AN ATOMIC DECOMPOSITION FOR PARABOLIC H^p SPACES ON PRODUCT DOMAINS

SHUICHI SATO

(Communicated by Richard R. Goldberg)

ABSTRACT. We obtain an atomic decomposition for two-parameter parabolic H^p spaces, showing simultaneously an integral inequality between Lusin functions and nontangential maximal functions. As its consequence, we generalize Fefferman's weak type estimates for double singular integrals.

1. Introduction. Gundy and Stein [6] proved that H^p spaces on the bidisc are characterized in terms of Lusin functions. Under a certain restriction, this was extended to parabolic H^p spaces by [7]. In the present note we consider parabolic H^p spaces on $\mathbf{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n_2}$ again and extend R. Fefferman's atomic decomposition (see [4]) to this setting, which enables us to remove the restriction mentioned above and brings the Lusin function characterization of two-parameter parabolic H^p spaces. (See Theorems 1 and 2.) As a consequence, C. Fefferman's weak type estimates for double singular integrals are further generalized (see [3, 7] and Theorem 3).

2. Preliminaries. Here are some of our notations and background materials.

(2.1) If $x \in \mathbf{R}^n = \mathbf{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbf{R}^{n_2}$ ($n = n_1 + n_2$), we write $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$, $x^{(i)} = (x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_{n_i}^{(i)}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_i}$ ($i = 1, 2$). Let $\mathbf{D}_i = \mathbf{R}_+^{n_i+1} = \{(x^{(i)}, t_i) \in \mathbf{R}^{n_i+1}; t_i > 0\}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_1 \times \mathbf{D}_2$. If $X = (x^{(1)}, t_1; x^{(2)}, t_2) \in \mathbf{D}$, we also write $X = (x, t)$, where $x = (x^{(1)}, x^{(2)})$, $t = (t_1, t_2)$.

(2.2) We consider a linear transformation P_i of $\mathbf{R}^{n_i}$ such that $(P_i x^{(i)}, x^{(i)}) \geq (x^{(i)}, x^{(i)})$ for all $x^{(i)}$, where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the ordinary inner product. Let $A_{t_i}^{(i)} = \exp(P_i(\log t_i))$ ($t_i > 0$). For $x^{(i)} \neq 0$, $\rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)})$ denotes the unique t_i such that $|A_{t_i}^{(i)} x^{(i)}| = 1$, where $|\cdot| = (\cdot, \cdot)^{1/2}$. Let $\rho^{(i)}(0) = 0$. (See Calderón and Torchinsky [1].)

(2.3) Let $B^{(i)}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{R}^{n_i}$ such that $B^{(i)} = \{y^{(i)}; \rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) < t_i\}$ for some $x^{(i)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n_i}$ and $t_i > 0$. Then we say that $B^{(i)}$ is a ball centered at $x^{(i)}$ with radius t_i and write $B^{(i)} = B^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, t_i)$. We define $B_\star^{(i)} = B_\star^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, t_i) = B^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, 5t_i)$, $B_+^{(i)} = B_+^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, t_i) = B^{(i)}(x^{(i)}, 20t_i)$ and if $R = B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)}$, we set $R_\star = B_\star^{(1)} \times B_\star^{(2)}$, $R_+ = B_+^{(1)} \times B_+^{(2)}$. We also write $R = R(x, t)$, $R_\star = R_\star(x, t)$, $R_+ = R_+(x, t)$.

3. Atomic decomposition. Let $\psi^{(i)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_i})$ (the Schwartz class) be such that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{supp } \psi^{(i)} \subset B^{(i)}(0, 1);$$

Received by the editors August 19, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 42B30.

©1988 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/88 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\infty \{ \mathcal{F} \psi^{(i)}(A_{t_i}^{(i)*} \xi^{(i)}) \}^2 \frac{dt_i}{t_i} = 1 \quad \text{if } \xi^{(i)} \neq 0,$$

where $\mathcal{F} \psi^{(i)}$ is the Fourier transform of $\psi^{(i)}$ and $A_{t_i}^{(i)*}$ is the transposed transformation of $A_{t_i}^{(i)}$;

$$(3.3) \quad \text{for every monomial } x^{(i)\alpha_i} \text{ of degree } |\alpha_i| \leq N_i - 1, \\ \int \psi^{(i)}(x^{(i)}) x^{(i)\alpha_i} dx^{(i)} = 0,$$

where N_i (≥ 1) will be determined later.

Let f be a tempered distribution on $\mathbf{R}^n$. Then the Lusin function for f is defined by

$$S(f) = \left(\int_{\Gamma(x)} |f * \psi_t(y)|^2 t_1^{-\gamma_1} t_2^{-\gamma_2} dy \frac{dt}{t_1 t_2} \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$\Gamma(x) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{D}: \rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)} - y^{(i)}) < t_i \ (i = 1, 2)\}$$

and

$$\psi_t(y) = \psi_{t_1}^{(1)}(y^{(1)}) \psi_{t_2}^{(2)}(y^{(2)})$$

with the usual notation: $\psi_{t_i}^{(i)}(y^{(i)}) = t_i^{-\gamma_i} \psi^{(i)}(A_{t_i}^{(i)-1} y^{(i)})$, $\gamma_i = \text{trace } P_i$. Let $\varphi^{(i)} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n_i})$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi^{(i)} \subset B^{(i)}(0, 1)$, $\int \varphi^{(i)} dx^{(i)} = 1$. Set $\varphi_t(x) = \varphi_{t_1}^{(1)}(x^{(1)}) \varphi_{t_2}^{(2)}(x^{(2)})$ and define the radial maximal function f^+ by $f^+(x) = \sup_t |f * \varphi_t(x)|$. Then it is known that if $f^+ \in L^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$), then $S(f) \in L^p$ and $\|S(f)\|_p \leq c \|f^+\|_p$ (see [7]).

In this section we prove that if $f \in L^2$ (this is assumed for simplicity) and $S(f) \in L^p$ ($0 < p \leq 1$), then f can be decomposed into atoms, showing at the same time the inequality: $\|f^+\|_p \leq c \|S(f)\|_p$. Here an atom is a function a vanishing outside an open set U of finite measure and satisfying

$$|a * \varphi_t(x)| \leq \left(\frac{|R_+(x, t) \cap U|}{|R(x, t)|} \right)^\alpha M(x) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ and } t,$$

where $\alpha > (2 - p)/2p$ and M is a function such that

$$\|M\|_2 \leq |U|^{1/2-1/p}$$

(for $R(x, t)$, $R_+(x, t)$ see (2.3)).

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $f \in L^2$ and $S(f) \in L^p$ ($0 < p \leq 1$). Then there are a sequence of numbers $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a sequence of atoms $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that*

- (a) $f = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$ (the series converges in $\mathcal{S}'$);
- (b) $\sum_{j=1}^\infty |\lambda_j|^p \leq c \|S(f)\|_p^p$, where c is independent of f .

As a consequence we have

THEOREM 2. *Let f be a tempered distribution on $\mathbf{R}^n$ such that*

$$\mathcal{F} f(\xi)(1 + |\xi|^2)^{-l} \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for some $l \geq 0$. Then

$$\|f^+\|_p \leq c\|S(f)\|_p \quad (0 < p \leq 1).$$

For the case when P_i is diagonal, see [7].

Now we prove Theorem 1. We first require some preliminaries. Following [5], let $\{B^{(i)}(x_j^{(i)}, 2^{-1}): j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a maximal family of mutually disjoint balls. Let $B_{k,j}^{(i)} = B^{(i)}(A_{2^k}^{(i)} x_j^{(i)}, 2^k)$ for an integer k and set $\mathcal{B}(i, k) = \{B_{k,j}^{(i)}: j = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\mathcal{B}(i) = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}(i, k)$. Then we can easily see

(3.4) REMARK. Similar results to those of [5, Lemma 7.14] hold for $\mathcal{B}(i)$.

This remark enables us to proceed as in [5, Chapter 7]. For $B^{(i)} \in \mathcal{B}(i, k)$, let $\zeta_{B^{(i)}}^{(i)} = \chi_{B^{(i)}} / \sum_{C^{(i)} \in \mathcal{B}(i, k)} \chi_{C^{(i)}}$ and $I_{B^{(i)}}^{(i)} = \{t_i \in \mathbf{R}: 2^{k+1} < t_i \leq 2^{k+2}\}$. Set $\mathcal{R} = \{B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)}: B^{(i)} \in \mathcal{B}(i) (i = 1, 2)\}$. For $R = B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \in \mathcal{R}$, let $\zeta_R(x) = \zeta_{B^{(1)}}^{(1)}(x^{(1)})\zeta_{B^{(2)}}^{(2)}(x^{(2)})$, $I_R = I_{B^{(1)}}^{(1)} \times I_{B^{(2)}}^{(2)}$, $D(R) = R \times I_R$ and set

$$b_R(x) = \int_{D(R)} \zeta_R(y) f * \psi_t(y) \psi_t(x-y) dy \frac{dt}{t_1 t_2},$$

$$S_R = \left(\int_{D(R)} |f * \psi_t(y)|^2 dy \frac{dt}{t_1 t_2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Next for an integer k , let $O_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: S(f)(x) > 2^k\}$, $\mathcal{R}(k) = \{R \in \mathcal{R}: |R \cap O_k| \geq |R|/2, |R \cap O_{k+1}| < |R|/2\}$. Then as in [2 and 5], we have

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}} b_R = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}';$$

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} S_R^2 \leq c 2^{2k} |O_k|$$

(3.7) if $\mathcal{R}'$ is a subset of $\mathcal{R}$, then

$$\left\| \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}'} b_R \right\|_2^2 \leq c \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}'} S_R^2.$$

Let $a_k = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} b_R$, $U_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: M_S(\chi_{O_k})(x) \geq 100^{-\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}\}$. Here M_S is the strong maximal operator defined by $M_S(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in R} |R|^{-1} \int_R |f(y)| dy$, where $R = B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)}$ and $B^{(i)}$ is a ball in $\mathbf{R}^{n_i}$. Suppose that N_1 and N_2 in (3.3) are large enough to satisfy $\delta = \delta(N_1, N_2) = \min\{N_1/\gamma_1, N_2/\gamma_2\} - 1 > (2-p)/2p$. Then, as in [4], to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show

$$(3.8) \quad |a_k * \varphi_t(x)| \leq \left(\frac{|R_+(x, t) \cap U_k|}{|R(x, t)|} \right)^6 L(x),$$

where L is a function such that $\|L\|_2^2 \leq c 2^{2k} |O_k|$.

To prove (3.8), fix k, x, t and let $\mathcal{R}^* = \{R \in \mathcal{R}(k): R_* \cap S \text{ is not empty}\}$, where $S = R(x, t)$. Then since $\text{supp } b_R \subset R_*$, we have $a_k * \varphi_t(x) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}^*} b_R * \varphi_t(x)$.

If $R = B^{(1)}(y^{(1)}, s_1) \times B^{(2)}(y^{(2)}, s_2)$, then we define $l_1(R) = s_1$, $l_2(R) = s_2$. With this notation we classify $\mathcal{R}^*$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(1) &= \{R \in \mathcal{R}^*: l_1(R) < l_1(S), l_2(R) < l_2(S)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(2) &= \{R \in \mathcal{R}^*: l_1(R) < l_1(S), l_2(R) \geq l_2(S)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(3) &= \{R \in \mathcal{R}^*: l_1(R) \geq l_1(S), l_2(R) < l_2(S)\}, \\ \mathcal{L}(4) &= \{R \in \mathcal{R}^*: l_1(R) \geq l_1(S), l_2(R) \geq l_2(S)\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, let $A_j = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{L}(j)} b_R$ (if $\mathcal{L}(j)$ is empty, let $A_j = 0$). This rule is in effect throughout this note). We estimate $A_j * \varphi_t(x)$ separately and prove a similar estimate to (3.8) for each A_j .

First we estimate $A_1 * \varphi_t(x)$. It is sufficient to prove

$$(3.9) \quad |A_1 * \varphi_t(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{|S_+ \cap U_k|}{|S|} \right)^\delta \left\{ \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} M_S(b_R)(x)^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

since by (3.6) and (3.7) we have

$$\int \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} M_S(b_R)^2 dx \leq c \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} \int |b_R|^2 dx \leq c \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} S_R^2 \leq c 2^{2k} |O_k|.$$

Let $R \in \mathcal{L}(1)$. We estimate $b_R * \varphi_t(x)$. Recall that $\text{supp } b_R \subset R_*$. Therefore, since $\psi^{(i)}$ has vanishing moments up to the order $N_i - 1$, using Taylor's formula and noting $R_* \subset S_+$, we easily find

$$|b_R * \varphi_t(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{l_1(R)}{t_1} \right)^{N_1} \left(\frac{l_2(R)}{t_2} \right)^{N_2} |S|^{-1} \int_{S_+} |b_R| dy.$$

Next, since $R \subset S_+$, it follows that $|S_+ \cap U_k| \geq |R|/2$. Combining these inequalities, we have

$$|b_R * \varphi_t(x)| \leq c(|S_+ \cap U_k|/|S|)^\delta |R| |S|^{-1} M_S(b_R)(x).$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \quad |A_1 * \varphi_t(x)| \leq c \sum_{R \in \mathcal{L}(1)} \left(\frac{|S_+ \cap U_k|}{|S|} \right)^\delta |R| |S|^{-1} M_S(b_R)(x).$$

Let $\mathcal{R}(S) = \{R \in \mathcal{R}: R \subset S_+\}$. Then, note that (3.4) implies

$$\sum_{R \in \mathcal{L}(1)} \left(\frac{|R|}{|S|} \right)^2 \leq \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(S)} \left(\frac{|R|}{|S|} \right)^2 \leq c,$$

where c is independent of x and t . Thus, applying the Schwarz inequality to the right hand side of (3.10), we obtain (3.9).

Next we estimate $A_2 * \varphi_t(x)$. For an integer m let $\mathcal{M}(m) = \{R \in \mathcal{L}(2): l_1(R) = 2^m\}$ and $A_{2,m} = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{M}(m)} b_R$. Then $A_2 = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{2,m}$ (recall that $A_{2,m} = 0$ if

$\mathcal{M}(m)$ is empty). Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(m) &= \{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1): B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \in \mathcal{M}(m) \text{ for some } B^{(2)} \in \mathcal{B}(2)\}, \\ \mathcal{D}(m, B^{(1)}) &= \{R \in \mathcal{M}(m): R = B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \text{ for some } B^{(2)} \in \mathcal{B}(2)\}, \\ \mathcal{D}(B^{(1)}) &= \{R \in \mathcal{R}(k): R = B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} \text{ for some } B^{(2)} \in \mathcal{B}(2)\} \\ &\quad (B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1)), \\ \mathcal{E}(B^{(1)}) &= \mathcal{D}(B^{(1)}) \cap \mathcal{L}(1).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}A_{2,m} * \varphi_t(x) &= \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)} \int \varphi_t(x-y) \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}(m, B^{(1)})} b_R(y) dy \\ &= \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)} \int \varphi_t(x-y) \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}(B^{(1)})} b_R(y) dy \\ &\quad - \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)} \int \varphi_t(x-y) \sum_{R \in \mathcal{E}(B^{(1)})} b_R(y) dy \\ &= H_m - I_m, \quad \text{say.}\end{aligned}$$

As in the estimate for $A_1 * \varphi_t(x)$, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \left| \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} I_m \right| \leq c \left(\frac{|S_+ \cap U_k|}{|S|} \right)^\delta \left\{ \sum_{R \in \mathcal{R}(k)} M_S(b_R)(x)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

It remains to estimate $\sum_m H_m$. Let $G_{B^{(1)}}(y) = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}(B^{(1)})} b_R(y)$ ($B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1)$). Then, for $B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)$, consider the integral:

$$J = \int \varphi_t(x^{(1)} - y^{(1)}, x^{(2)} - y^{(2)}) G_{B^{(1)}}(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}) dy^{(1)}.$$

If we fix $y^{(2)}$ and regard $G_{B^{(1)}}$ as a function of $y^{(1)}$, then $G_{B^{(1)}}$ has vanishing moments up to the order $N_1 - 1$ and is supported in $B_*^{(1)}$. By Taylor's formula, this implies that

$$(3.12) \quad |J| \leq ct_1^{-\gamma_1} t_2^{-\gamma_2} \left(\frac{2^m}{t_1} \right)^{N_1} \int_{B_*^{(1)}} |G_{B^{(1)}}(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)})| dy^{(1)}.$$

Note that if $B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)$, then $B_*^{(1)} \subset B^{(1)}(x^{(1)}, 20t_1)$. Thus, integrating J with respect to $y^{(2)}$ and using (3.12), we find

$$\left| \int \varphi_t(x-y) G_{B^{(1)}}(y) dy \right| \leq c \left(\frac{2^m}{t_1} \right)^{N_1} |S|^{-1} \int_{S_+} |G_{B^{(1)}}(y)| dy.$$

Consequently

$$(3.13) \quad |H_m| \leq c \left(\frac{2^m}{t_1} \right)^{N_1} |S|^{-1} \int_{S_+} \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{E}(m)} |G_{B^{(1)}}(y)| dy.$$

Let $F_m(y) = \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1,m)} |G_{B^{(1)}}(y)|^2$. Since by Remark (3.4) we have

$$\sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1,m)} \chi_{B^{(1)}} \leq c$$

(where c is independent of m), from the Schwarz inequality it follows that $\sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(m)} |G_{B^{(1)}}| \leq cF_m^{1/2}$. Therefore, by (3.13) we have

$$|H_m| \leq c(2^m/t_1)^{N_1} M_S(F_m^{1/2}),$$

so that

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \sum_m H_m \right| \leq c \sum_m \left(\frac{2^m}{t_1} \right)^{N_1} M_S(F_m^{1/2}),$$

where the summation with respect to m is taken over all integers m such that $\mathcal{M}(m)$ is not empty. Note that if $\mathcal{M}(m)$ is not empty,

$$(2^m/t_1)^{\gamma_1} \leq c|S_+ \cap U_k|/|S|.$$

Thus, applying the Schwarz inequality to the right-hand side of (3.14), we have

$$(3.15) \quad \left| \sum_m H_m \right| \leq c \left(\frac{|S_+ \cap U_k|}{|S|} \right)^{N_1/\gamma_1} \left\{ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M_S(F_m^{1/2})^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \int \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} M_S(F_m^{1/2})^2 dx &\leq c \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int F_m dx \leq c \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{B^{(1)} \in \mathcal{B}(1,m)} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{L}(B^{(1)})} S_R^2 \\ &\leq c2^{2k}|O_k|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (3.15) with (3.11), we obtain a desired estimate. $A_3 * \varphi_t(x)$ can be treated similarly.

Finally we estimate $A_4 * \varphi_t(x)$. Note that if $\mathcal{L}(4)$ is not empty, then $U_k \supset S_+$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |A_4 * \varphi_t(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 |A_j * \varphi_t(x)| + cM_S(a_k)(x) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^3 |A_j * \varphi_t(x)| + c \left(\frac{|S_+ \cap U_k|}{|S|} \right)^\delta M_S(a_k). \end{aligned}$$

This is what we need since we have already obtained desired estimates for $A_j * \varphi_t(x)$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) and by (3.6) and (3.7) we have $\|a_k\|_2^2 \leq c2^{2k}|O_k|$. This completes a proof of (3.8).

4. Double singular integrals. Let $K^{(i)} \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n_i} - \{0\})$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x^{(i)}|=1} K^{(i)}(x^{(i)})(P_i x^{(i)}, x^{(i)}) d\sigma(x^{(i)}) &= 0, \\ K^{(i)}(A_{t_i}^{(i)} x^{(i)}) &= t_i^{-\gamma_i} K^{(i)}(x^{(i)}) \quad \text{for all } t_i > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $d\sigma(x^{(i)})$ is the area element of $S^{n_i-1} = \{x^{(i)}: |x^{(i)}| = 1\}$. For $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, set

$$K_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(x) = \prod_{i=1,2} K^{(i)}(x^{(i)}) \{1 - \chi_{[0,1]}(\varepsilon_i^{-1} \rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)}))\}.$$

We can generalize the weak type estimates of [3 and 7].

THEOREM 3. *Let A and B be compact sets of $\mathbf{R}^n$. If f is a function on $\mathbf{R}^n$ such that $\int_B |f| \log(2 + |f|) dx < \infty$ and $\text{supp } f \subset B$, then*

$$\left| \left\{ x \in A: \sup_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0} |f * K_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(x)| > 1 \right\} \right| \leq c \int_B |f| \log(2 + |f|) dx,$$

where c is a constant independent of f .

Combining results of [7] with Theorem 2, we obtain the equivalence with respect to the L^p -norms of Lusin functions and radial maximal functions (radial maximal functions can be replaced by nontangential maximal functions). Now we briefly see how this equivalence implies Theorem 3. Let $h \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$ be a nonnegative function such that $h(u) = 1$ if $u \leq 1$ and $h(u) = 0$ if $u \geq 2$. Set

$$K'_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(x) = K_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(x) \prod_{i=1,2} h(M\rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)})),$$

where $M > 0$. Then, by Stein's theorem on limits of sequences of operators, to prove Theorem 3 it is sufficient to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0} |f * K'_{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(x)| < \infty \quad \text{for almost every } x,$$

where f is a function with compact support such that $\int |f| \log(2 + |f|) dx < \infty$, $\int f(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) dx^{(2)} = 0$ for all $x^{(1)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n_1}$, $\int f(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}) dx^{(1)} = 0$ for all $x^{(2)} \in \mathbf{R}^{n_2}$. We can show by a direct estimate that $f^+ \in L^p$ for some $p < 1$. Thus, as in [7], (4.1) follows from the inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \sup_{\delta > 0} \|(f * K^{(\delta)})^+\|_p \leq c \|f^+\|_p,$$

where

$$K^{(\delta)}(x) = \prod_{i=1,2} K^{(i)}(x^{(i)}) h(M\rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)})) \{1 - h(\delta^{-1} \rho^{(i)}(x^{(i)}))\}.$$

(4.2) is proved as follows. First, by Theorem 2 we have that $\|(f * K^{(\delta)})^+\|_p \leq c \|S(f * K^{(\delta)})\|_p$. Next, it is not difficult to see that $\sup_{\delta > 0} \|S(f * K^{(\delta)})\|_p \leq c \|S(f)\|_p$. Finally, from results of [7] it follows that $\|S(f)\|_p \leq c \|f^+\|_p$. Combining these results, we obtain (4.2). See [7] for more details.

REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón and A. Torchinsky, *Parabolic maximal functions associated with a distribution*, Adv. in Math. **16** (1975), 1–64.
2. S.-Y. A. Chang and R. Fefferman, *A continuous version of duality of H^1 with BMO on the bidisc*, Ann. of Math. (2) **112** (1980), 179–201.
3. C. Fefferman, *Estimates for double Hilbert transforms*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 1–15.
4. R. Fefferman, *The atomic decomposition of H^1 in product spaces*, Adv. in Math. **55** (1985), 90–100.

5. G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J. 1982.
6. R. F. Gundy and E. M. Stein, *H^p theory for the poly-disc*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1979), 1026–1029.
7. S. Sato, *Lusin functions on product spaces*, Tôhoku Math. J. **39** (1987), 41–59.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY, SENDAI, 980, JAPAN