A HOMEOMORPHISM ON s NOT CONJUGATE TO AN EXTENDABLE HOMEOMORPHISM

JAN VAN MILL

(Communicated by James E. West)

Dedicated to Profesor Yukihiro Kodama on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. Consider $s = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1, 1)_i$ and its compactification $Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]_i$. Anderson and Bing asked whether for every homeomorphism $f: s \rightarrow s$ there is a homeomorphism $\phi: s \rightarrow s$ such that $\phi^{-1}f\phi$ is extendable to a homeomorphism $\phi^{-1}f\phi: Q \rightarrow Q$. The aim of this note is to construct a counterexample to this question.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider $s = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1, 1)_i$ and its compactification

$$Q = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} [-1, 1]_i.$$

A homeomorphism $f: s \rightarrow s$ is said to be *conjugate to an extendable homeomorphism* if there is a homeomorphism $\phi: s \rightarrow s$ such that $\phi^{-1}f\phi$ is extendable to a homeomorphism $\phi^{-1}f\phi: Q \rightarrow Q$. In [1], Anderson and Bing asked whether every homeomorphism on s is conjugate to an extendable homeomorphism. Sakai and Wong [5] recently presented several conditions that imply that a homeomorphism on s satisfying one of them is conjugate to an extendable homeomorphism.

The corresponding finite-dimensional problem, replacing s by $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: \|x\| < 1\}$ and Q by $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: \|x\| \leq 1\}$, has a simple negative answer for every $n \geq 2$. For details, see [5]. We remark that Eric van Douwen communicated a similar solution to us at least 5 years ago.

Received by the editors March 18, 1988.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 57N20.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert cube, isotopy, conjugation, capset.

This note was written during a visit to Japan in February 1988. I am indebted to the Department of Mathematics of the University of Tsukuba for generous hospitality and support.

The aim of this note is to present an example of a homeomorphism on s which is not conjugate to an extendable homeomorphism, thereby answering the Anderson and Bing question in the negative.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION

Let X be a space. An *isotopy* on X is a homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$ such that the function $\phi: X \times I \rightarrow X \times I$ defined by

$$\phi(x, t) = (H(x, t), t), \quad (x \in X, t \in I)$$

is a homeomorphism. Observe that if $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$ is an isotopy then for every $t \in I$ the function $H_t: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$H_t(x) = H(x, t), \quad (x \in X)$$

is a homeomorphism.

A *Hilbert cube* is a space homeomorphic to Q . Let M be a Hilbert cube. A *capset* of M is a subset $A \subseteq M$ for which there is a homeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow Q$ such that $f(A) = Q \setminus s$. In addition, a closed set B of M is called a *Z-set* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a map $f: M \rightarrow M \setminus B$ with $d(f, 1_M) < \varepsilon$ (1_X means the identity function on X). It is known that if $A \subseteq M$ is a capset and $B \subseteq M$ is a Z-set then $A \setminus B$ is a capset (for details see [2] and [3]).

We shall now describe a special isotopy on s that shall be important later in the construction of the example.

2.1. Proposition. *There are an isotopy $H: s \times I \rightarrow s$ on s and two compact sets $A, B \subseteq s$ such that*

- (1) $H_0 = 1_s$;
- (2) if $E \subseteq s$ is closed and misses A and if U is a neighborhood of B in s then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(H_1)^n(E) \subseteq U$.

Proof. There is an isotopy $F: I \times I \rightarrow I$ such that

- (3) $F_0 = 1_I$,
- (4) for every $t \in I$, $F_t(0) = 0$ and $F_t(1) = 1$,
- (5) $\forall t \in (0, 1], \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (F_1)^n(t) = 1$.

For example, F can be defined by $F(s, t) = (1 - t)s + t\sqrt{s}$.

Put $\tilde{Q} = Q \times I$. The isotopy F induces an isotopy $G: \tilde{Q} \times I \rightarrow \tilde{Q}$ as follows:

$$G((x, s), t) = (x, F_t(s)), \quad (x \in Q, t, s \in I).$$

Since $Q \times \{0, 1\}$ is a Z-set in $\tilde{Q}$ and $\tilde{Q} \setminus (s \times (0, 1))$ is clearly a capset of $\tilde{Q}$, by the remarks preceding Proposition 2.1, $X = (s \times (0, 1)) \cup (Q \times \{0, 1\})$ is the complement of a capset in $\tilde{Q}$, and hence is homeomorphic to s . We shall construct the required isotopy on X .

Observe that by (4), $G(X \times I) = X$. Consequently, $H = G|(X \times I)$ is an isotopy on X . Define $A = Q \times \{0\}$ and $B = Q \times \{1\}$, respectively. We shall prove that H, A and B satisfy (1) and (2). Of course, only (2) needs

verification. To this end, let $E \subseteq X$ be closed such that $E \cap A = \emptyset$, and let U be an open neighborhood of B in X . Then $\bar{E} \cap A = \emptyset$ ($\bar{E}$ is the closure of E in $\bar{Q}$) because A is compact. So there exists an element $t \in (0, 1]$ with $\bar{E} \subseteq Q \times [t, 1]$. Let $U' \subseteq \bar{Q}$ be open such that $U' \cap X = U$. There is an element $s \in (0, 1)$ such that $Q \times [s, 1] \subseteq U'$. By (5) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(F_1)^n(t) \geq s$. Then clearly $(G_1)^n(\bar{E}) \subseteq U'$, i.e. $(H_1)^n(E) \subseteq U$.

Now for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $x_n = (1, 1/n) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The straight line segment connecting $(0, 0)$ and x_n will be denoted by T_n . Let T denote the subspace $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ of $\mathbb{R}^2$. We define a homeomorphism $\xi: T \times s \rightarrow T \times s$ as follows. On $\{(0, 0)\} \times s$, ξ is the homeomorphism H_1 of Proposition 2.1 (we make an obvious identification here). For every n , $\xi|_{\{x_n\} \times s}$ is the identity. We use the isotopy of Proposition 2.1 to "connect" $\xi|_{\{(0, 0)\} \times s}$ and $\xi|_{\{x_n\} \times s}$ for every n . Clearly, the resulting function is a homeomorphism.

The space T is contractible, locally contractible and 1-dimensional. Consequently, T is an AR ([4]). Since T is also topologically complete, by a theorem of Toruńczyk [6], $T \times s$ is homeomorphic to s ; let $h: s \rightarrow T \times s$ be any homeomorphism. Then

$$\eta = h^{-1} \circ \xi \circ h: s \rightarrow s$$

is a homeomorphism and we claim that it is as desired.

2.2. Proposition. η is not conjugate to an extendable homeomorphism.

Proof. To the contrary, assume that there exists a homeomorphism $f: s \rightarrow s$ such that $f^{-1}\eta f = f^{-1}h^{-1}\xi h f$ is extendable to a homeomorphism $\rho: Q \rightarrow Q$. It will be convenient to let g denote the composition $h \circ f: s \rightarrow T \times s$. Let $\underline{0}$ be the point in s all coordinates of which are equal to 0. For every n , let $p_n = g_n^{-1}(x_n, \underline{0})$ and let $p \in Q$ be a limit point of the sequence $(p_n)_n$. Observe that $\{p_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is closed in s so that $p \notin s$. Also observe that every p_n is a fixed point of $g^{-1}\xi g$ from which it follows that p is a fixed point of ρ .

Claim. $p \notin \overline{g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times s)}$.

To the contrary, assume that $p \in \overline{g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times s)}$. Since A and B are compact and $p \notin g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times s)$ there are open neighborhoods U and V of A and B in s , respectively, such that

$$p \notin \overline{g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times (U \cup V))}.$$

Put $E = s \setminus (U \cup V)$. Then E is closed in s , misses A , and clearly has the property that $p \in g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times E)$. By Proposition 2.1 there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(H_1)^n(E) \subset V$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (g^{-1}\xi g)^n(g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times E)) &= g^{-1}\xi^n g(g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times E)) \\ &= g^{-1}\xi^n(\{\underline{0}\} \times E) \subseteq g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times V). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\rho^n(p) \in \overline{\rho^n(g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times E))} \subseteq \overline{g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times V)} \subseteq Q \setminus \{p\}.$$

But this contradicts the fact that p is a fixed point of ρ .

By the claim there is an open neighborhood U of p in Q such that

- (1) $U \cap (g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times s)) = \emptyset$;
- (2) $U \cap s$ is connected

(simply let U be a basic open subcube of Q containing p but missing $g^{-1}(\{\underline{0}\} \times s)$). Observe that $U \cap s$ contains infinitely many p_n 's. Consequently, $g(U \cap s)$ is a connected open subset of $T \times s$ which misses $\{\underline{0}\} \times s$ and contains infinitely many $(x_n, \underline{0})$'s. This is clearly a contradiction.

2.3. Question. Is every homeomorphism on s the composition of two conjugates of extendable homeomorphisms?

REFERENCES

1. R. D. Anderson and R. H. Bing, *A complete elementary proof that Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable product of lines*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 771–792.
2. C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *Selected topics in infinite-dimensional topology*, Polish Sci. Publ., Warsaw, 1975.
3. T. A. Chapman, *Dense sigma-compact subset of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 399–426.
4. S. T. Hu, *The theory of retracts*, Wayne State University Press, 1965.
5. K. Sakai and R. Y. T. Wong, *Conjugating homeomorphisms to uniform homeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
6. H. Toruńczyk, *Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces*, Fund. Math. **86** (1974), 53–67.

FACULTEIT WISKUNDE EN INFORMATICA, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT, DE BOELELAAN 1081, 1081 HV AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS

FACULTEIT WISKUNDE EN INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM, ROETERS STRAAT 15, 1018 WB AMSTERDAM, THE NETHERLANDS