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#### Abstract

Theorem. If $G$ be a subgroup of index 3 in the multiplicative group $F^{*}$ of a field $F$, then $G+G=F$, except in the cases $|F|=4,7,13$, or 16 . The elementary methods used here provide a new proof of the classical case when $F$ is finite.


If $F$ is a finite field and $|F| \neq 4$ or 7 , then every element $c \in F$ can be expressed as a sum of two cubes: $c=x^{3}+y^{3}$ for some $x, y \in F$. Furthermore such $x, y$ exist with $x y \neq 0$ in $F$ provided $|F| \neq 4,7,13,16$. Versions of these results have appeared in various forms in the literature. For example, see [ 3 p. 95 and p. 104, 7, 8, and 9]. This theorem also follows from the known values of the cyclotomic numbers when $e=3$, as given for example in [10, p. 35].

We present here a generalization to arbitrary fields. If $F$ is a finite field where the multiplicative group $F^{*}$ has order divisible by 3 , then the nonzero cubes $F^{* 3}$ form the unique subgroup of index 3 in $F^{*}$.

Theorem. Let $G$ be a subgroup of index 3 in the multiplicative group $F^{*}$ of a field $F$. Then $G+G=F$, except in the cases $|F|=4,7,13$, or 16 .

The Theorem is proved in an elementary fashion, not using the classical results mentioned above. It is valid for fields of any cardinality and any characteristic.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $G \subseteq F^{*}$ be a subgroup of finite index $n$. Then $x^{n} \in G$ for every $x \in F^{*}$. Let $\sum G$ denote the additive closure of $G$. That is, $\sum G=\left\{g_{1}+g_{2}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+g_{m} \mid g_{i} \in G\right\}$. Then $P=\sum G$ satisfies $P+P \subseteq P$ and $P \cdot P \subseteq P$. Also, if $0 \neq x \in P$ then $x^{-1} \in P$, because $x^{-1}=x^{n-1}\left(x^{-1}\right)^{n}$.

1. Lemma. Suppose $-1 \in P=\sum G$. Then $P$ is a subfield of $F$.
(1) If $F$ is infinite then $P=F$.

[^0](2) If $F$ is finite with $[F: P]=d$ and $|P|=q$ then $\left[F^{*}: P^{*}\right]=$ $\left(q^{d}-1\right) /(q-1)$.
Proof. If $-1 \in P=\sum G$ then $P$ is also closed under subtraction, so that $P$ is a subfield of $F$. (1) Suppose $P \neq F$ and choose $\alpha \in F$ with $\alpha \notin P$. Then the cosets $(a+\alpha) P^{*}$ are all distinct for $a \in P$. For if $(a+\alpha) P^{*}=(b+\alpha) P^{*}$ where $a, b \in P$ then $a+\alpha=(b+\alpha) c$ for some $c \in P$. Then $a-b c=(c-1) \alpha$ implies $c=1$ and $a=b$. Since $G \subseteq P^{*}$, we have $n=\left|F^{*} / G\right| \geq\left|F^{*} / P^{*}\right| \geq|P| \geq|G|$. This implies $F^{*}$ is finite, contrary to hypothesis. (2) $|F|=q^{d}$ so the index is $\left[F^{*}: P^{*}\right]=\left|F^{*}\right| /\left|P^{*}\right|$.

If $-1 \notin \sum G$ then $\sum G$ is a "torsion preordering" of the field $F$. These preorderings and the related "orderings of level $n$ " have been studied extensively by E. Becker. See [1] for a survey of this theory. For our question, even the case when the index is 2 provides some difficulty.
2. Proposition. Suppose $G$ is a subgroup of index 2 in $F^{*}$ and $|F| \neq 3,5$. Then one of the following holds.
(1) $G+G=G$ and $G$ is the positive cone of an ordering in $F$.
(2) $G+G \supseteq F^{*}$, with equality if and only if $-1 \notin G$.

Furthermore, if $a \notin G$ then $G+a G \supseteq F^{*}$ with equality if and only if $-1 \in G$.
Proof. Suppose first that $G+G \subseteq G \cup\{0\}$. If $-1 \notin G$ then $G+G=G$ and $F^{*}=G \cup-G$ so that $G$ is the positive cone of an ordering. (See [5] or other algebra texts for information on orderings.) Otherwise $-1 \in G$ and $P=\sum G=G \cup\{0\}$. By hypothesis we know that $\left[F^{*}: P^{*}\right]=\left[F^{*}: G\right]=2$. But Lemma 1 states that if $F$ is infinite then this index equals 1 , and if $F$ is finite it equals $\left(q^{d}-1\right) /(q-1)$. Both cases are impossible.

Now suppose there exists $x \in G+G$ with $x \notin G \cup\{0\}$. Then $F^{*}=G \cup x G$ and $x G \subseteq G+G$.

Claim. There exists $g \in G$ with $g \in G+G$. To prove this first suppose $\operatorname{char} F \neq 2$. The identity $\left(x^{2}+1\right)^{2}=\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2}+(2 x)^{2}$ shows that $G$ meets $G+G$, provided we can choose $x \in F$ with $x^{2}+1, x^{2}-1$, and $2 x$ nonzero. These polynomials have at most 5 roots, so since $|F|>5$ the claim is proved. Now suppose $F$ has characteristic 2. If $F$ is finite then $\left|F^{*}\right|$ is odd contrary to the existence of $G$. If $F$ is infinite we can choose $a \in F$ with $a \neq 0,1$. Then $(1+a)^{2}=1+a^{2} \in G+G$, as claimed.

From the Claim we conclude that $G \subseteq G+G$ and hence $F^{*}=G \cup x G \subseteq$ $G+G$. To complete the proof of (2) we see that $0 \notin G+G$ if and only if $-1 \notin G$. For the last statement, note that $G+a G$ must meet (and hence contain) one of the two cosets. Scaling by $a$ shows that $G+a G \supseteq G$ iff $G+a G \supseteq a G$. Then $G+a G \supseteq G \cup a G=F^{*}$. Finally we have $0 \nsupseteq G+a G$ if and only if $-1 \notin a G$ if and only if $-1 \in G$.
Remark. There are no proper subgroups of finite index in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and no subgroups of finite index greater than 2 in $\mathbf{R}^{*}$, because $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{+}$are divisible groups.

In the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$ there are many multiplicative subgroups of finite index in $\mathbb{Q}^{*}$, because $\mathbb{Q}^{*}$ is generated by the set $\mathscr{P}=\{-1\} \cup\left\{\right.$ primes in $\left.\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right\}$. To form a subgroup of index 2 , choose any partition $\mathscr{P}=A \cup B$ where $B$ is nonempty, and define $G=G(A, B)$ to be the subgroup of index 2 with $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq x G$ (where $x G$ is the nontrivial coset). That is, $G$ is generated by all nonzero squares, all $a \in A$ and all products $b_{1} b_{2}$ where $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$. For example if $A=\{-1\}$ and $B=\{$ all primes $\}$ then an integer $n$ lies in $G_{1}=G(A, B)$ iff $n= \pm p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{k}$ where the $p_{i}$ are primes and $k$ is even. Similarly if $A$ is empty and $B=\mathscr{P}$ then an integer lies in $G_{0}=G(\varnothing, \mathscr{P})$ iff $n=(-1)^{k} p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{k}$ where the $p_{i}$ are primes. If $\mathscr{P}$ is partitioned into three subsets, $\mathscr{P}=A \cup B \cup C$ where $B \cup C$ is nonempty, then there is an associated subgroup $G=G(A, B, C)$ of index 3 with $A \subseteq G$ and with $B$ lying in one of the nonidentity cosets and $C$ in the other. Further details and generalizations are omitted.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Throughout this section we assume that $G$ is a subgroup of index 3 in the multiplicative group $F^{*}$. This implies that $F^{* 3} \subseteq G$, and in particular $-1 \in G$. We begin the proof by establishing a technical lemma which says that a given proper finite subgroup can be avoided.
3. Lemma. Suppose $G$ is a subgroup of index 3 in $F^{*}$. Let $H \subseteq G$ be a finite proper subgroup of $G$. Then there exists $g \in G$ with $1-g \notin G$ and $g \notin H$. Proof. First we find one $g \in G$ with $g \neq 1$ and $1-g \notin G$. If $G+G \subseteq G \cup\{0\}$ then $G \cup\{0\}$ is additively closed and Lemma 1 yields the contradiction $G=F^{*}$ if $F$ is infinite. If $F$ is finite, then using the notation from Lemma 1 we have $3=\left(q^{d}-1\right) /(q-1)$. This implies $|F|=4$ and $|G|=1$ so that $G$ has no proper subgroup, contrary to hypothesis. Therefore there exist $a, b \in G$ with $a+b \notin G \cup\{0\}$. Defining $g=-a^{-1} b$ we have $g \in G$ with $g \neq 1$ and $1-g \notin G$. Let us fix this element $g$.

Suppose the conclusion of the Lemma fails, and choose any $c \in G \backslash H$. Since $c \notin H$ the hypothesis implies $1-c \in G$. Also since $1-g \notin G$ we have $g \in H$. Therefore $c g \notin H$, so that $1-c g \in G$. Since $(1-c)-(1-c g)=$ $-c(1-g) \notin G$, we know that $x=(1-c g) /(1-c) \in G$ satisfies $1-x \notin G$, and hence $x \in H$. Moreover $x \neq g$ since $g \neq 1$. Letting $n=|H|$, we conclude that there are at most $n-1$ possibilities for $x$, and hence also for $c$ since $c=(x-1) /(x-g)$. Therefore $|G \backslash H| \leq n-1$ so that $|G| \leq n+n-1<2|H|$. This implies $G=H$ contrary to the hypothesis.

Any finite subgroup of $F^{*}$ is cyclic, so we are dealing with the various cyclic subgroups $H_{k}=\left\{x \in G: x^{k}=1\right\}$. We will apply the lemma in the cases $k=2$, 4, and 5. When $k=5$ we have $n=\left|H_{5}\right|$ divides 5 . Thus if $|F|>16$ so that $|G|>5$ then we can avoid $H_{5}$. Similarly if $|F|>13$ we can avoid $H_{4}$ and if $|F|>7$ we can avoid $H_{2}$.

Now we proceed with the proof of the Theorem.
4. Lemma. For $F$ and $G$ as above suppose the 3 cosets are $G, a G$ and $a^{2} G$. If $|F|>7$, then $a G \cup a^{2} G \subseteq G+G$.
Proof. By Lemma 3 there exists $g \in G$ with $1-g \notin G$ and $g^{2} \neq 1$. Then $1-g$ and $1+g$ are nonzero. We may choose the coset representative $a$ in the Lemma to be $1-g$. Since $a \in G+G$ we have $a G \subseteq G+G$. Suppose the claim is false so that $a^{2} G$ is not in $G+G$. Then $G+G$ does not meet $a^{2} G$ and $a G+a G$ does not meet $G$.

Since $1+g \in G+G$ we have $1+g \notin a^{2} G$. Similarly $(1+g)(1-g)=$ $1-g^{2} \notin a^{2} G$ and cancelling $a=1-g$ shows that $1+g \notin a G$. The only possibility is $1+g \in G$. Then also $1-g^{2} \in a G$. Next we analyze the element 2. Since $2=1+1$ we see $2 \notin a^{2} G$. If $2 \in a G$ we would have $1+g=(1-g)+2 g \in G \cap(a G+a G)$ contrary to hypothesis. Finally, if $2=0$ then $(1-g)^{2}=1+g^{2} \in a^{2} G \cap(G+G)$, a contradiction. The only remaining possibility is $2 \in G$.

We can now analyze $1+g^{2}$. First we easily see $1+g^{2} \notin a^{2} G$. If $1+g^{2} \in a G$ then $1-g^{4}=\left(1+g^{2}\right)\left(1-g^{2}\right) \in(G+G) \cap a^{2} G$, a contradiction. Similarly if $1+g^{2} \in G$ then $(1-g)^{2}=\left(1+g^{2}\right)-2 g \in a^{2} G \cap(G+G)$. Finally if $1+g^{2}=0$ then $-2 g=(1-g)^{2} \in a^{2} G$, another contradiction. All the possibilities for $1+g^{2}$ have been eliminated.
5. Lemma. If $|F|>16$ then $G \subseteq G+G$.

Proof. Suppose the claim is false so that $G+G$ does not meet $G$. Since $|F|>13$ there exists $g \in G$ with $1-g \notin G$ and $g^{4} \neq 1$. Then $1-g, 1+g$, $1-g^{2}$ and $1+g^{2}$ are nonzero. Let $a=1-g$ so that $a \notin G$ as before. Then $1+g \in G+G$ so that $1+g \notin G$. Also $(1+g)(1-g)=1-g^{2} \notin G$ so that $1+g \notin a^{2} G$. Therefore $1+g \in a G$. Similarly $1+g^{2} \notin G$ and since $1-g^{2} \in a^{2} G$ and $\left(1+g^{2}\right)\left(1-g^{2}\right)=1-g^{4} \notin G$ we find $1+g^{2} \in a^{2} G$. Where does 2 lie? We have $2=1+1 \notin G, 2=(1-g)+(1+g) \notin a G$ and $2=\left(1+g^{2}\right)+\left(1-g^{2}\right) \notin a^{2} G$. This is a contradiction provided $2 \neq 0$.

Suppose $F$ has characteristic 2 . Since $|F|>16$ Lemma 3 implies that there exists $h \in G$ with $1-h \notin G$ and $h^{5} \neq 1$. Let $a=1+h \notin G$ with the cosets as before. Then $(1+h)\left(1+h+h^{2}+h^{3}\right)=1+h^{4}=(1+h)^{4} \in a G$, and we have $1+h+h^{2}+h^{3} \in G$. It follows that $1+h^{3} \neq 0$, for otherwise we would have $h(1+h)=h+h^{2} \in G$, a contradiction. Now $\left(1+h+h^{2}\right)(1+h)=1+h^{3} \notin G$, so that $0 \neq 1+h+h^{2} \notin a^{2} G$. Also $1+h+h^{2}=(1+h)^{3}+h^{3} \notin G$, and we have $1+h+h^{2} \in a G$. Let $x=1+h+h^{2}+h^{3}+h^{4}$. Then $x(1+h)=$ $1+h^{5} \notin G$ so that $x \notin a^{2} G$. Also $x \neq 0$ since $h^{5} \neq 1$. Finally note that $x=\left(1+h+h^{2}+h^{3}\right)+h^{4} \notin G$ and $x=(1+h)+h^{2}\left(1+h+h^{2}\right) \notin a G$. This eliminates all possibilities for $x$, proving the lemma.

Lemmas 4 and 5 show that if $|F|>16$ then $G, a G$ and $a^{2} G$ all lie within $G+G$, and therefore $G+G=F$. Finally suppose $|F| \leq 16$. Since $|F| \equiv 1$
(mod 3) we have $\mid F \in\{4,7,13,16\}$. Suppose $F=G+G$ for one of these fields. Listing $G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$ we have $G+G=\left\{g_{i}+g_{j}: i \leq j\right\}$. Then $3 n+1=|F|=|G+G| \leq n(n+1) / 2$. This implies $n^{2}-5 n-2 \geq 0$, so that $n \geq 6$ and $|F| \geq 19$. Therefore the cases $q=4,7,13$, and 16 must be exceptional. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

To finish our analysis of the additive structure of $G$ we consider sums of other cosets. If $a \notin G$ then certainly $0 \notin G+a G$. Does $G+a G$ always equal $F^{*}$ ?
6. Proposition. Suppose $G$ has index 3 in $F^{*}$ and suppose $a \in G+G$ with $a \notin G \cup\{0\}$.
(1) If $F$ is finite then $G+a G=F^{*}$ except when $|F|=4$ or 7 .
(2) If $F$ is infinite then $G \cup a G \subseteq G+a G$. There are examples where $a^{2} G \nsubseteq G+a G$.
Proof. Expressing $a=g+h$ for $g, h \in G$ we have $g=-h+a \in G+a G$, so that $G \subseteq G+a G$. If $|F| \neq 4,7$ then Lemma 4 implies that we can write $a^{2}=x+y$ where $x, y \in G$. Then $a x=a^{3}-a y \in G+a G$, so that $a G \subseteq G+a G$. To complete the proof of (2) we furnish an explicit example. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ be the field of $p$-adic numbers with $p$-adic valuation $v$, and let $G=$ $v^{-1}(3 \mathbb{Z})=\left\{p^{3 k} u: k \in \mathbb{Z}\right.$ and $u$ is a $p$-adic unit $\}$. Then $F^{*}=G \cup p G \cup p^{2} G$. There cannot be an equation $g_{1}+p g_{2}=p^{2} g_{3}$ because these three quantities have unequal $p$-adic values.

To finish the proof of (1) we show that $a^{2} G \subseteq G+a G$ when $|F|=q$ is finite. We copy the counting argument found in Lemma 1 of [6]. Let $W=$ $\{g-1: 1 \neq g \in G\}$. Then $|W|=(q-4) / 3$. Let $V=G \cup W \cup W^{-1}$ and note that $|V| \leq q-3$. Choose $\delta \in F^{*}$ with $\delta \notin V$. Then $\delta \notin G$ and since $\delta$ and $\delta^{-1} \notin W$ we have $1+\delta$ and $1+\delta^{-1} \notin G$. Then $1+\delta \notin G \cup \delta G$, forcing $1+\delta \in \delta^{2} G$. Therefore $\delta^{2} G \subseteq G+\delta G$. Scaling by $\delta^{2}$ we also find $\delta G \subseteq G+\delta^{2} G$. Since $a G$ equals either $\delta G$ or $\delta^{2} G$ we conclude that $a^{2} G \subseteq G+a G$.

## 3. Open questions

What happens when the index of $G$ is greater than 3 ? When $F$ is finite a positive answer can be given as before.
7. Theorem. Let $F=F_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements. Suppose $e$ is $a$ positive divisor of $q-1$ and let $a, b \in F^{*}$.
(1) if $q>(e-1)^{4}$ then every element of $F$ is expressible as $a x^{e}+b y^{e}$, for $x, y \in F$.
(2) If $q \geq(e-1)^{4}+4 e$ then every element of $F^{*}$ is expressible as $a x^{e}+b y^{e}$, for $x, y \in F^{*}$.
Proof. For $c \in F^{*}$ let $N(c)$ be the number of solutions $(x, y) \in F \times F$ of $a x^{e}+b y^{e}=c$. The estimate $|N(c)-q| \leq(e-1)^{2} \sqrt{q}$ appears in [4 p. 57]. In
[9] this estimate was used to prove (1). Part (2) follows similarly be requiring that $N(c)$ be more than $2 e$, the maximal number of trivial solutions.

For more information on these techniques see Chapter 8 of [3]. Slightly better bounds than those in Theorem 7 were obtained in [2]. These improvements can be derived using Theorem 5 on p. 103 of [3].

With these estimates and some calculations one can list the exceptional finite fields for any given exponent $e$. For example here are the results when $e$ is 4 or 5 .
8. Corollary. Let $F=F_{q}$ be the finite field of $q$ elements.
(1) Let $G=F^{* 4}$. Then $G+G=F^{*}$ if $q \equiv 3,5,7(\bmod 8)$ and $G+G=F$ otherwise, except for the cases $q=3,5,9,13,17,25,29$ and 41 .
(2) Let $G=F^{* 5}$. Then $G+G=F$ except for the cases $q=11,16,31,41$, 61,71 and 101.

It is natural to hope that our Theorem on index 3 can be generalized to subgroups of higher index. To be concrete we make an explicit conjecture when $e=5$. We have been unable to prove it even when $F$ is the field of rational numbers.
9, Conjecture. If $F$ is an infinite field and $G$ is a subgroup of index 5 in $F^{*}$ then $G+G=F$.
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