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#### Abstract

D. Borwein and S. Z. Ditor have found a measurable subset $A$ of the real line having positive Lebesgue measure and a decreasing sequence $\left(d_{n}\right)$ of reals converging to zero such that, for each $x, x+d_{n}$ is not in $A$ for infinitely many $n$; thus answering a question of P. Erdös. It will be shown that the result of Borwein and Ditor can be extended using a general 2-place function in place of plus. Related material is also presented.


## 1. Introduction

D. Borwein and S. Z. Ditor [1] have proved the following theorem, answering a question of $P$. Erdös.

Theorem B and D. (1) If $A$ is a measurable set of real numbers with $m(A)>0$ and $\left(d_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to zero, then, for almost all $x \in A, x+d_{n} \in A$ for infinitely many $n$.
(2) There is a measurable set $A$, with $m(A)>0$ and a monotonic sequence $\left(d_{n}\right)$ of positive reals converging to zero such that, for each $x, x+d_{n} \notin A$ for infinitely many $n$.

The Baire set analogue of (2) is not true. In [2] it is shown that if $A$ is a subset of the real line satisfying the Baire property (see [3]) and $\left(d_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to zero, then the set

$$
A \backslash\left\{x \in A: x+d_{n} \in A \quad \text { for all but finitely many } n\right\}
$$

is of the first Baire category.
Suppose that $A$ is a measurable set of positive reals and $\left(e_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to one. It is natural to consider a multiplicative version of the Theorem of Borwein and Ditor. In this paper, we extend the Theorem of Borwein and Ditor to a general result that includes the operations of addition

[^0]and multiplication as special cases by using general 2-place functions that satisfy appropriate conditions.

## 2. Results

Our first theorem, an extension of part (2) of the Theorem of Borwein and Ditor, is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. If $f: R \times R \rightarrow R$ (the real line) is a function satisfying the following conditions:
(a) there exists an $e \in R$ such that $f(x, e)=x$ for every $x \in R$;
(b) $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ (partial derivatives) exist and are continuous in a neighborhood of $\left(x_{0}, e\right)$, for some $x_{0} \in R$;
(c) $f_{y}\left(x_{0}, e\right)>0$,
then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\left(e_{n}\right)$ of real numbers converging to $e$ and a measurable subset $A$ of $R$ with $m(A)>0$ such that, for all $x \in R$, $f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \notin A$ for infinitely many $n$.
Proof. By (a) and (c), $f_{y}\left(x_{0}, e\right)=a>0$ and $f_{x}\left(x_{0}, e\right)=1$. Therefore, by (b), there exist $x_{1}>x_{0}$ and $y_{1}>e$ such that $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ exist and are continuous on the closed rectangular body $T$ having corners at $\left(x_{0}, e\right),\left(x_{0}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, $\left(x_{1}, e\right), f_{y}>a / 2$ and $f_{x}>0$ on $T$. Let $t=y_{1}-e$. There exists an integer $n_{0}, n_{0}>2$ such that $(a / 2)(t / 2)>\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) / n_{0}$. Divide $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$ into $n_{0}$ abutting closed intervals, each of length $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) / n_{0}$; denote them by $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n_{0}}$. Let $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{n_{0}}$ be $n_{0}$ open intervals of equal length satisfying:
(i) $J_{i} \subset I_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{0}$.
(ii) $J_{i}$ and $I_{i}$ have the same right-hand end points for each $i=1,2, \ldots$, $n_{0}$.
(iii) The set $A_{1}=\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right] \backslash\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}} J_{i} \cup\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right)$ has measure greater than (0.9) $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$.

Then, clearly, $A_{1}$ can be written as $A_{1}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}} H_{i}$; where the $H_{i}$ 's are closed, disjoint intervals of equal length. Since $f_{x}$ is bounded on $T$, by the mean value theorem for derivatives applied to $f_{x}$, there exists a $d_{1}>0$ such that if $K$ is a closed subinterval of $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right.$ ] of length less than $d_{1}$ and $e<\bar{e}<y_{1}$, then the closed interval $f(K, \bar{e})=\{f(x, \bar{e}): x \in K\}$ has length less than $u_{1} / 2$, where $u_{1}$ is the common length of the $J_{i}$ 's.

Let $m_{1}, m_{1}>2$, be an integer satisfying the inequality $\min \left(d_{1},(a / 2)\left(t / 2^{2}\right)\right)$ $>\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) / m_{1} n_{0}$. Divide each of the closed intervals $H_{i}$ into $m_{1}$ abutting closed intervals of equal length; denote these intervals by $H_{i 1}, H_{i 2}, \ldots, H_{i m_{1}}$.

Suppose $K$ is an interval in the collection $\left\{H_{i j}: 1 \leq i \leq n_{0}, 1 \leq j \leq m_{1}\right\}$, say $K=H_{i j}$. By the mean value theorem for derivatives applied to $f_{y}$ and the definition of $n_{0}$, there exists an $e_{K}, e<e_{K}<e+t / 2$, such that $L_{J_{i}}<$ $f\left(L_{K}, e_{K}\right)<M_{J_{i}}$, where $L_{J_{i}}$ and $L_{K}$ denote the left end points of $J_{i}$ and
$K$ respectively and $M_{J_{i}}$ denotes the midpoint of $J_{i}$. Furthermore, since the length of $K$ is less than $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) / m_{1} n_{0}$, which in turn is less than $d_{1}$, it follows that $f\left(K, e_{K}\right)$ has length less than $u_{1} / 2$ and hence $f\left(K, e_{K}\right) \subset J_{i}$. Of course, for each $K, e_{K}$ is highly nonunique; namely, if $e_{K^{\prime}}$ is sufficiently close to $e_{K}$ than $f\left(K, e_{K^{\prime}}\right) \subset J_{i}$ still holds.

Therefore, there exist $m_{1} n_{0}$ distinct points $\left\{e_{1 i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{1} n_{0}}$, each strictly between $e$ and $e+t / 2$, such that for each $x \in A_{1}$, there exists a point $e_{x} \in\left\{e_{1 i}: i=\right.$ $\left.1,2, \ldots, m_{1} n_{0}\right\}$ such that $f\left(x, e_{x}\right) \notin A_{1}$.

Suppose now that $\left\{J_{i j}: 1 \leq i \leq n_{0}, 1 \leq j \leq m_{1}\right\}$ is a collection of open intervals of equal length satisfying:
(iv) $J_{i j} \subset H_{i j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n_{0}$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_{1}$.
(v) $J_{i j}$ and $H_{i j}$ have the same right-hand end points for all $1 \leq i \leq n_{0}$ and $1 \leq j \leq m_{1}$.
(vi) $A_{2}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left(H_{i} \backslash\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{m_{1}} J_{i j} \cup\left\{b_{i}\right\}\right)\right)$ has measure greater than (0.9) $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$, where $b_{i}$ is the right end point of $H_{i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n_{0}$.
Let $u_{2}$ denote the common length of the $J_{i j}$ 's. Again, since $f_{x}$ is bounded on $T$, by the mean value theorem there exists a $d_{2}>0$ such that $f(K, \bar{e})$ has length less than $u_{2} / 2$ for every closed subinterval $K$ of $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right.$ ] of length less than $d_{2}$ and every $\bar{e}, e<\bar{e}<y_{1}$.

Let $m_{2}, m_{2}>2$ be an integer satisfying the inequality

$$
\min \left(d_{2},(a / 2)\left(t / 2^{3}\right)\right)>\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) /\left(m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}\right)
$$

Divide each of the $m_{1} n_{0}$ disjoint closed intervals of equal length making up $A_{2}$ into $m_{2}$ abutting closed intervals of equal length. Denote the $m_{2}$ abutting closed intervals making up $H_{i j}$ by $H_{i j 1}, H_{i j 2}, \ldots, H_{i j m_{2}}$.

Suppose $K$ is an interval in the collection $\left\{H_{i j k}: 1 \leq i \leq n_{0}, 1 \leq j \leq\right.$ $\left.m_{1}, 1 \leq k \leq m_{2}\right\}$, say $K=H_{i j k}$. By the mean value theorem applied to $f_{y}$ and the definition of $m_{1}$, there exists an $e_{K}, e<e_{K}<e+t / 2^{2}$, such that $L_{J_{i j}}<f\left(L_{K}, e_{K}\right)<M_{J_{i j}}$, where $L_{J_{i j}}$ and $L_{K}$ are the left end points of $J_{i j}$ and $K$ respectively and $M_{J_{i j}}$ is the midpoint of $J_{i j}$. Furthermore, since the length of $K$ is less than $\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right) /\left(m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}\right)$ which in turn is less than $d_{2}$, it follows that $f\left(K, e_{K}\right)$ has length less than $u_{2} / 2$ and hence $f\left(K, e_{K}\right) \subset J_{i j}$.

Therefore, there exist $m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}$ distinct numbers $\left\{e_{2 i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}}$, each different from the points in $\left\{e_{1 i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{1} n_{0}}$ and such that each number $e_{2 i}$ lies strictly between $e$ and $e+t / 2^{2}$ and such that for each $x \in A_{2}$, there exists a point $e_{x} \in$ $\left\{e_{2 i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}}$ such that $f\left(x, e_{x}\right) \notin A_{2}$.

This process can be continued by mathematical induction. In this way we obtain sequences

$$
\left\{m_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \quad\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{i} m_{i-1} \cdots m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}}, \quad\left\{A_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}
$$

satisfying the following conditions.
(vii) Each $m_{i}$ is an integer greater than 2.
(viii) All of the numbers $e_{i j}$ are distinct and for each $i \in N$ (the natural numbers), $e_{i j}$ lies strictly between $e$ and $e+t / 2^{i}$ for each $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m_{i} m_{i-1} \cdots m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}$.
(ix) Each set $A_{i}$ is a closed subset of $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right], A_{i+1} \subset A_{i}$ for each $i \in N$ and $m\left(A_{i}\right)>(0.9)\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)$ for each $i \in N$. For each $x \in A_{i}$, there exists a point $e_{x} \in\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{j=1}^{m_{i} m_{i-1} \cdots m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}}$, such that $f\left(x, e_{x}\right) \notin A_{i}$.
Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ denote the set $\left\{e_{i j}: i \in N, 1 \leq j \leq m_{i} m_{i-1} \cdots m_{2} m_{1} n_{0}\right\}$ written as a strictly decreasing sequence and let $A=\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$.

Clearly $m(A) \geq(0.9)\left(x_{1}-x_{0}\right)>0$ and, by (viii) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} e_{n}=e$. If $x \in A$, then, by (ix),$f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \notin A$ for infinitely many $n$. Finally, if $x \notin A$; since $A$ is closed, $f(x, e)=x$ and $f$ is continuous; it follows that there exists an $n_{x} \in N$ such that $f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \notin A$ for every $n, n \geq n_{x}$.
Remark 1. Theorem 1 can be extended by replacing condition (a) by the hypothesis that $f_{x}\left(x_{0}, e\right)>0$. Furthermore an $n$-dimensional version of Theorem 1 is valid under appropriate conditions on $f: R^{n} \times R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$.

Our next result is an extension of part (1) of Theorem B and D.
Theorem 2. If $A$ is a measurable set of real numbers with $m(A)>0$ and $f: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:
(d) there exists an $e \in R$ such that $f(x, e)=x$ for every $x \in R$;
(e) $f_{x}$ and $f_{y}$ exist and are continuous everywhere;
(f) $f_{y}(x, e)>0$ for all $x \in R$;
and $\left(e_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to $e$, then, for almost all $x \in A, f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \in A$ for infinitely many $n$.
Proof. Let $m \in N$ and let $A_{m}$ denote the set $A \cap(-m, m)$. For each $\bar{\varepsilon}>0$, since $A_{m}$ is bounded and measurable, there exists a positive integer $n=n(\bar{\varepsilon})$ and there are disjoint intervals $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m}=\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{n} I_{k} \cup E_{2}\right) \backslash E_{1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ each have outer Lebesgue measure less than $\bar{\varepsilon}$.
Let $\varepsilon>0$. By (*) and the conditions on $f$ there exists a subsequence $\left(n_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of the natural numbers such that

$$
m\left(A_{m} \backslash f\left(A_{m}, e_{n_{k}}\right)\right)<\varepsilon / 2^{k} \quad \text { for each } k
$$

From this it follows that $\left\{x \in A_{m}: x\right.$ is not in infinitely many of the sets $\left.f\left(A_{m}, e_{n}\right)\right\}$ has measure less than $\varepsilon$ for each $\varepsilon>0$. Since this holds for each $m \in N$ it follows that for almost all $x \in A, f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \in A$ for infinitely many $n$.
Remark 2. In connection with Theorem 2, see [2].
The Baire set analogue of Theorem 2 is not true. In fact the following holds.

Theorem 3. If $A$ is a Baire subset of $R$ and $f: R \times R \rightarrow R$ is a function satisfying ( d$),(\mathrm{e})$ and ( f$)$ and $\left(\mathrm{e}_{n}\right)$ is a sequence converging to $e$, then the set $A \backslash\left\{x \in A: f\left(x, e_{n}\right) \in A\right.$ for all but finitely many $\left.n\right\}$ is of the first Baire category. Proof. This result is immediate by the properties of $f$ and the fact that $A$ has the form $A=(G \backslash P) \cup Q$, where $G$ is open and $P$ and $Q$ are sets of the first category.
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