HARMONIC FUNCTIONS HAVING NO TANGENTIAL LIMITS

HIROAKI AIKAWA

(Communicated by Irwin Kra)

ABSTRACT. Let C_0 be a tangential curve in $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ which ends at 1 and let C_θ be its rotation about the origin through an angle θ . We construct a bounded harmonic function in D which fails to have limits along C_θ for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Fatou theorem asserts that if f is a bounded holomorphic function in the unit disc $D = \{|z| < 1\}$, then f has nontangential boundary values at almost every point on the unit circle $\partial D = \{|z| = 1\}$. The Fatou theorem has been generalized in several directions; a bounded (or more generally, positive) harmonic function in D has nontangential boundary values at almost every point on ∂D . In 1926, Littlewood [3] showed that the Fatou theorem is best possible in the following sense: Let C_0 be an arbitrary tangential curve in D which ends at $z = 1$ and let C_θ be the curve C_0 rotated about the origin through an angle θ , so that C_θ touches ∂D internally at $e^{i\theta}$.

Theorem A. *There exists a bounded harmonic function h in D such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z)$$

does not exist for almost all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. In particular, there exists a bounded holomorphic function f in D such that

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} f(z)$$

does not exist for almost all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

The second half of Theorem A, in fact, readily follows from the first; if h^* is a harmonic conjugate function of h , then $\exp(h + ih^*)$ is a bounded outer function in D with the property holding for almost all θ . In 1957, Lohwater and Piranian [4] (see also Collingwood and Lohwater [2; Theorem 2.22]) improved the second half of Theorem A by giving the next theorem.

Received by the editors September 26, 1988 and, in revised form, April 11, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 31A20, 30D40.

Key words and phrases. Fatou theorem, harmonic functions, tangential limits.

Theorem B. *There exists a Blaschke product B in D such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} B(z)$$

does not exist for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

Since the logarithm of the modulus of a Blaschke product is a Green potential of a measure distributed on the zeros of the Blaschke product, we may, from the potential theoretical point of view, regard Theorem B as the existence of a Green potential which fails to have limits along C_θ for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. The existence of a bounded harmonic function with the property holding for all θ , however, seems to be unknown. In 1980, Barth (see [1; p. 551]) raised a somewhat weaker question. Does there exist a *positive* harmonic function which fails to have limits along C_θ for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$?

The purpose of this paper is to show

Theorem. *There exists a bounded harmonic function h in D such that*

$$\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z)$$

does not exist for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$. In particular, if h^* is a harmonic conjugate function of h , then $\exp(h + ih^*)$ is a bounded outer function in D which fails to have limits along C_θ for all θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

Obviously, the above theorem automatically answers Barth's question in the affirmative. However, we note that Barth's question itself can be solved more easily. We shall, in fact, find a positive *unbounded* harmonic function with the required property (see Remark in §3).

2. LEMMAS

For an integrable function f on $[0, 2\pi]$ we let

$$\text{PI}(f, z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|z - e^{i\varphi}|^2} f(\varphi) d\varphi$$

be the Poisson integral of f . We identify the left end 0 and the right end 2π of the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. If an interval $[\theta_1, \theta_2]$ is not contained in $[0, 2\pi]$, we replace it by

$$(*) \quad \left\{ \Theta = \theta - 2n\pi; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2 \text{ and } n = \left[\frac{\theta}{2\pi} \right] \right\}.$$

Here $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function. We shall continue to refer to sets defined by $(*)$ as intervals.

Let us begin with some elementary estimates of the Poisson integrals.

Lemma 1. *Let $m > \pi/2$, $0 < c < 1$ and $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Suppose f is measurable on $[0, 2\pi]$, $|f| \leq 1$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $f(\varphi) = 0$ for $|\varphi - \eta| < mc$. Then*

$$|\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-2} m^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 - c \leq r < 1.$$

Proof. Let $1 - c \leq r < 1$. We observe that if $mc \leq |\varphi - \eta| \leq \pi$, then

$$|re^{i\eta} - e^{i\varphi}| \geq |e^{i\varphi} - e^{i\eta}| - (1 - r) \geq 2 \left| \sin \frac{\varphi - \eta}{2} \right| - \frac{1}{m} |\varphi - \eta| \geq \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right) |\varphi - \eta|.$$

Hence

$$|\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{1 - r^2}{2\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-2} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| \geq mc} \frac{d\varphi}{|\varphi - \eta|^2},$$

which implies the desired inequality.

Lemma 2 (cf. [3; Lemma 2]). *Let $m_1 > \pi/2$ be a constant such that*

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right)^{-2} m_1^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Let $0 < c < 1$ and $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Suppose f is measurable on $[0, 2\pi]$, $|f| \leq 1$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and $f(\varphi) = 1$ for $|\varphi - \eta| < m_1 c$. Then

$$\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 - c \leq r < 1.$$

Proof. We write $\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) = 1 + 2\text{PI}(\frac{1}{2}(f - 1), re^{i\eta})$ and apply Lemma 1 to $\frac{1}{2}(f - 1)$ to obtain

$$\text{PI}(f, re^{i\eta}) \geq 1 - 2 \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{m_1} \right)^{-2} m_1^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma 3. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ and $0 < c < 1$. Suppose f is measurable on $[0, 2\pi]$, $|f| \leq 1$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ and*

$$(1) \quad c^{-1} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < c} |f(\varphi)| d\varphi \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then

$$\sup_{|z| \leq 1 - c} |\text{PI}(f, z)| \leq m_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon},$$

where $m_2 = 2/\pi + (2/\pi)(2/\pi - 1/2)^{-2}$.

Proof. Let $r = 1 - c$ and take an arbitrary point $re^{i\eta}$ on the circle $\{|z| = r\}$. We decompose f into $f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 = f\chi_{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{PI}(f_1, re^{i\eta})| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{1 - r^2}{(r - 1)^2} |f(\varphi)| d\varphi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{2}{c} \cdot c\varepsilon(1 + [1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where $[1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ stands for the greatest integer not greater than $1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$. Here the second inequality follows from (1) and the fact that the interval $\{|\varphi - \eta| < c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\}$

of length $2c/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ is covered by at most $1 + [1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}]$ intervals of length $2c$. Applying Lemma 1 to $f = f_2$ and $m = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$|\text{PI}(f_2, re^{i\eta})| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right)^{-2} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore $|\text{PI}(f, z)| \leq m_2 \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ on the circle $\{|z| = 1 - c\}$, and hence the maximum principle proves the lemma.

We define the set valued mapping T from D to $[0, 2\pi]$ by

$$Tz = \{\theta; z \in C_\theta\}.$$

If none of C_θ contains z , then $Tz = \emptyset$. Let M be a subset of D . We observe that $T(M) = [0, 2\pi]$ if and only if every curve C_θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, meets the set M . Let γ be a subcurve of C_0 and let γ_θ be its rotation about the origin through θ . Therefore $\gamma = \gamma_0$. We define the set valued mapping T_γ by

$$T_\gamma z = \{\theta; z \in \gamma_\theta\}.$$

Obviously, $T_\gamma z \subset Tz$. We denote by γ^* the radial projection of γ onto ∂D . It follows from the connectedness of γ that γ^* is a circular interval on ∂D or a singleton set. If γ contains both the end points, then γ^* is a closed circular interval or a singleton set. We denote by $l(\gamma^*)$ the length of γ^* . Note that the curve γ itself may not be rectifiable.

Lemma 4. *Let γ be a subcurve of C_0 connecting $ae^{i\alpha}$ and $be^{i\beta}$, $0 < a < b \leq 1$, such that if $z \in \gamma$, then $a \leq |z| \leq b$. Put $M(\eta) = \{re^{i\eta}; a \leq r \leq b\}$. Then $T_\gamma(M(\eta))$ is a closed interval of length $l(\gamma^*)$. More precisely, if $\gamma^* = \{e^{i\theta}; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$, then $T_\gamma(M(\eta)) = [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma^* = \{e^{i\theta}; \theta_1 \leq \theta \leq \theta_2\}$. For each $\theta \in [\theta_1, \theta_2]$ we find r_θ , $a \leq r_\theta \leq b$, such that $r_\theta e^{i\theta} \in \gamma$, or equivalently $r_\theta e^{i\eta} \in \gamma_{\eta-\theta}$. Hence $\eta - \theta \in T_\gamma(M(\eta))$, so that $[\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1] \subset T_\gamma(M(\eta))$. Conversely, if $\theta \in T_\gamma(M(\eta))$, then there is r_θ , $a \leq r_\theta \leq b$, such that $r_\theta e^{i\eta} \in \gamma_\theta$. Hence $r_\theta e^{i(\eta-\theta)} \in \gamma$, so that $\theta_1 \leq \eta - \theta \leq \theta_2$, or equivalently $\theta \in [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$. Therefore $T_\gamma(M(\eta)) \subset [\eta - \theta_2, \eta - \theta_1]$.

Since C_0 is tangential, it follows that C_0 eventually lies outside any Stoltz region with vertex at the point 1, so that

$$\frac{l(C_0(z)^*)}{1 - |z|} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $z \in C_0$ tends to 1, where $C_0(z)$ is the subcurve of C_0 that connects the points z and 1. We have from this fact

Lemma 5. *For each $m > 1$ we can choose a sequence of subcurves γ_j of C_0 with the following properties:*

- (i) γ_j connects $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$ and $b_j e^{i\beta_j}$.

- (ii) If $z \in \gamma_j$, then $a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j$.
- (iii) $l(\gamma_j^*) > j(1 - a_j)$.
- (iv) $0 < 1 - b_j < 1 - a_j < (1 - b_{j-1})/m < (1 - a_{j-1})/m$.

Proof. We shall choose γ_j inductively. Assume we have already gotten $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{j-1}$ with the properties (i)–(iv). By the observation before the lemma we can take a_j such that

$$0 < 1 - a_j < (1 - b_{j-1})/m, \quad l(\gamma_j'^*) > 2j(1 - a_j),$$

where γ_j' is the subcurve of C_0 that connects the point 1 and the point $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$ at which C_0 meets the circle $\{|z| = a_j\}$ for the last time. Let γ_j'' be a subcurve of γ_j' which connects $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$ and a point near 1 such that $l(\gamma_j''^*) > \frac{1}{2}l(\gamma_j'^*)$. Now take b_j such that

$$\sup_{z \in \gamma_j''} |z| < b_j < 1.$$

Let γ_j be the subcurve of C_0 that connects $a_j e^{i\alpha_j}$ and the point $b_j e^{i\beta_j}$ at which C_0 meets the circle $\{|z| = b_j\}$ for the first time. Then we see that $0 < 1 - b_j < 1 - a_j$ and that $a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j$ for $z \in \gamma_j$. Moreover γ_j'' is included in γ_j , so that

$$l(\gamma_j^*) > j(1 - a_j).$$

Thus we can choose a sequence of subcurves γ_j with the properties (i)–(iv).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM

Proof of theorem. For $m = m_1$, the constant appearing in Lemma 2, we choose a sequence of subcurves γ_j as in Lemma 5. For a moment we fix j . Let a_j and b_j be as in Lemma 5. By $M_j(\eta)$ we denote the radial line segment $\{re^{i\eta}; a_j \leq r \leq b_j\}$. We obtain from Lemma 4 applied to $\gamma = \gamma_j$ and $M(\eta) = M_j(\eta)$ that $T_{\gamma_j}(M_j(\eta))$ is a closed interval of length $l(\gamma_j^*)$, where we recall that T_{γ_j} is the set valued mapping defined before Lemma 4. Let N be the integer such that

$$(2) \quad 2\pi/l(\gamma_j^*) \leq N < 1 + 2\pi/l(\gamma_j^*)$$

and let $\eta_k = 2\pi k/N$. Since $|\eta_k - \eta_{k+1}| \leq l(\gamma_j^*)$, it follows that

$$[0, 2\pi] = \bigcup_{k=1}^N T_{\gamma_j}(M_j(\eta_k)),$$

so that $M_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^N M_j(\eta_k)$ satisfies $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$ by the fact that $T_{\gamma_j} z \subset Tz$ for $z \in D$. Let

$$I_k = [\eta_k - m_1(1 - a_j), \eta_k + m_1(1 - a_j)],$$

$$E_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^N I_k,$$

$$g_j = \chi_{E_j}.$$

Secondly, we vary the index j and extract a subsequence of γ_j satisfying the following (3) and (4). We see from (2) that $|E_j| = 2m_1(1 - a_j)N \leq 2m_1(1 - a_j) \cdot 4\pi/l(\gamma_j^*)$, so that $|E_j|$ tends to 0 by (iii) of Lemma 5. Hence, taking a subsequence of γ_j if necessary, we may assume that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j| < \infty.$$

We claim that g_j satisfies an inequality of type (1). We observe from (2) that an interval of length $4(1 - b_{j-1})$ in $[0, 2\pi]$ contains at most ν points η_k , where

$$\nu \leq 1 + \frac{4(1 - b_{j-1})}{2\pi/N} \leq \frac{4}{\pi}(1 - b_{j-1}) \left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{l(\gamma_j^*)}\right) \leq 16 \frac{1 - b_{j-1}}{l(\gamma_j^*)}.$$

The length of I_k is equal to $2m_1(1 - a_j) < 2(1 - b_{j-1})$ by (iv) of Lemma 5. Hence if I is an interval of length $2(1 - b_{j-1})$ in $[0, 2\pi]$, then the number of I_k which intersect I is bounded by $16(1 - b_{j-1})/l(\gamma_j^*)$. Therefore g_j satisfies

$$\frac{1}{1 - b_{j-1}} \int_{|\varphi - \eta| < 1 - b_{j-1}} g_j(\varphi) d\varphi \leq 32m_1 \frac{1 - a_j}{l(\gamma_j^*)}$$

for all $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$. Since the right hand side tends to 0 by (iii) of Lemma 5, it follows from Lemma 3 with $f = g_j$ and $c = 1 - b_{j-1}$ that

$$\sup_{|z| \leq b_{j-1}} \text{PI}(g_j, z) \leq m_2 \sqrt{32m_1} \sqrt{(1 - a_j)/l(\gamma_j^*)}$$

for sufficiently large j . Hence, taking a subsequence of γ_j if necessary, we may assume that

$$(4) \quad \sup_{|z| \leq b_{j-1}} \text{PI}(g_j, z) \leq 9^{-j}.$$

Thirdly, we form two sequences $\{F_j\}$ and $\{G_j\}$ of sets inductively. Let $F_1 = \emptyset$, $G_1 = E_1$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2j} &= F_{2j-1} \cup E_{2j}, & G_{2j} &= G_{2j-1} \setminus E_{2j}, \\ F_{2j+1} &= F_{2j} \setminus E_{2j+1}, & G_{2j+1} &= G_{2j} \cup E_{2j+1} \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 1$. We see that $F_j \cap G_j = \emptyset$, $F_j \cup G_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^j E_k$ and $E_j \subset F_j$ if j is even; $E_j \subset G_j$ if j is odd. Let

$$f_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } F_j, \\ -1 & \text{on } G_j, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

We observe that if $\varphi \notin \limsup E_j$, then $f_j(\varphi)$ converges to the limit

$$f(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi \in E_j \text{ with even } j \text{ for the last time,} \\ -1 & \text{if } \varphi \in E_j \text{ with odd } j \text{ for the last time,} \\ 0 & \text{if } \varphi \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j. \end{cases}$$

It follows from (3) that $\limsup E_j$ is of measure zero, so that f_j converges to f almost everywhere on $[0, 2\pi]$. We note that

$$(5) \quad f_j = (-1)^j \quad \text{on } E_j,$$

$$(6) \quad |f_j| \leq 1 \quad \text{on } [0, 2\pi],$$

$$(7) \quad |f_j - f_{j+1}| \leq 2g_{j+1} \quad \text{on } [0, 2\pi].$$

We are now in the final stage. We claim that $h(z) = \text{PI}(f, z)$ is the required bounded harmonic function. It is clear that $|h| \leq 1$ on D . Let $h_j(z) = \text{PI}(f_j, z)$. Note that h_j converges to h uniformly on every compact set in D by the dominated convergence theorem. We infer from (5), (6) and Lemma 2 with $f = f_j$, $\eta = \eta_k$ and $c = 1 - a_j$ that if j is even, then

$$h_j(z) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j;$$

if j is odd, then

$$h_j(z) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j.$$

We infer from (4) and (7) that

$$\sup_{|z| \leq b_j} |h_j(z) - h_{j+1}(z)| \leq 2 \sup_{|z| \leq b_j} \text{PI}(g_{j+1}, z) \leq 2/9^{j+1}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \sup_{|z| \leq b_j} |h_k(z) - h_{k+1}(z)| \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \frac{2}{9^{k+1}} \leq \frac{1}{4},$$

Therefore, if j is even, then

$$h(z) = h_j(z) + (h_{j+1}(z) - h_j(z)) + \cdots \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j;$$

if j is odd, then

$$h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for } z \in M_j.$$

Since $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$, it follows that if j is even, then

$$\sup_{a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi];$$

if j is odd, then

$$\inf_{a_j \leq |z| \leq b_j, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Consequently,

$$\liminf_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \leq -\frac{1}{4} < \frac{1}{4} \leq \limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

Thus this h satisfies the required property. The proof is complete.

Remark. Let E_j be as in the above proof. Since $|E_j| \rightarrow 0$, we can take a nonnegative sequence $\{p_j\}$ such that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} p_j = \infty$ and $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j \chi_{E_j}$ is integrable. In view of Lemma 2 and $T(M_j) = [0, 2\pi]$, we obtain that $h = \text{PI}(f, z)$ is a positive harmonic function such that

$$\limsup_{|z| \rightarrow 1, z \in C_\theta} h(z) = \infty \quad \text{for all } \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

REFERENCES

1. D. A. Brannan and J. G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, Academic Press, London, 1980.
2. F. E. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1966.
3. J. E. Littlewood, *On a theorem of Fatou*, J. London Math. Soc. **2** (1927), 172–176.
4. A. J. Lohwater and G. Piranian, *The boundary behavior of functions analytic in a disk*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I, no. 239 (1957).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, GUNMA UNIVERSITY, KIRYU 376,
JAPAN