

NORMS OF HANKEL OPERATORS ON A BIDISC

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

(Communicated by Paul S. Muhly)

ABSTRACT. In the Hardy space on the bidisc T^2 , if ϕ is a bounded function in the Lebesgue space and if its Fourier series vanishes on half of \mathbb{Z}^2 , then the norm of the Hankel operator H_ϕ is equal to the quotient norm of ϕ by the Hardy space $H^\infty(T^2)$.

Let σ denote the Haar measure of the torus T^2 , the distinguished boundary of the unit bidisc U^2 in the space of 2 complex variables (z, w) . Put $\mathbb{Z}_+^2 = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2; m \geq 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0\}$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p = L^p(T^2, \sigma)$ denotes the Lebesgue space and $H^p = H^p(T^2, \sigma) = \{f \in L^p; \hat{f}(m, n) = 0 \text{ if } (m, n) \notin \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}$. That is, H^p denotes the usual Hardy spaces on the bidisc. Let $K_0^p = \{f \in L^p; \hat{f}(m, n) = 0 \text{ if } (-n, -m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2\}$.

For $\phi \in L^\infty$, the Hankel operator determined by ϕ is

$$H_\phi = (I - P)M_\phi|H^2$$

and the Toeplitz operator determined by ϕ is

$$T_\phi = PM_\phi|H^2,$$

where $P: L^2 \rightarrow H^2$ is the orthogonal projection and M_ϕ is the multiplication operator on L^2 associated with ϕ .

In the classical Hardy space $H^2(T)$ on the unit disc, the norms of Hankel operators H_ϕ are equal to the quotient norms of the symbols ϕ by $H^\infty(T)$ ([7], [8, pp. 4–6]). This is called Nehari's theorem. The author [6] gave a generalization of Nehari's theorem to the Hardy space $H^2(T^2)$ on the bidisc. The theorem is analogous to Nehari's theorem but does not give the norm of H_ϕ using the symbol ϕ . For the Hardy space on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , it is known that the norm of a Hankel operator H_ϕ is equivalent to the BMO norm

Received by the editors March 1, 1989.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 47A30, 47B35; Secondary 46J15, 42B30.

Key words and phrases. bidisc, Hardy space, Hankel operator, norm.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

of ϕ (cf. [2], [9]). However we do not know whether the same theorem is valid or not for that on the polydisc. In this paper, for the bidisc we show that if $\phi \in L^\infty$ is a function whose Fourier series vanish on the half of \mathbf{Z}^2 , then $\|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|$.

In the classical Hardy space, the essential norms of Hankel operators are the quotient norms of the symbols by $H^\infty + C(T)$, where $C(T)$ denotes the set of all continuous functions on the unit circle (cf. [8, p. 6]). Hence there exist many nonzero compact Hankel operators. However Curto, Muhly and Nakazi [3] showed that there does not exist any nonzero compact Hankel operator in the bidisc Hardy space. In this paper, roughly speaking, we show that if $\phi \in L^\infty$ is a function whose Fourier series vanish on the half of \mathbf{Z}^2 , then $\|H_\phi\|_e = \|H_\phi\|$ where $\|H_\phi\|_e$ denotes the essential norm. Moreover we use results about Hankel operators to study the invertibility of Toeplitz operators.

An order relation can be introduced in \mathbf{Z}^2 . Let L_r be a line with rational slope r in the plane. S_r denotes all lattice points on one side of L_r , together with those on the right side ray of L_r from the origin. When L is a real axis, that is, $L = L_0$, then $S_0 = \{(m, 0) : m > 0\} \cup \{(m, n) : m > 0\}$. When L is an imaginary axis, that is, $L = L_{-\infty}$, then $S_{-\infty} = \{(m, n) : m > 0\} \cup \{(0, n) : n > 0\}$. This order is non-archimedean, and \mathbf{Z}^2 has a smallest positive element (m_0, n_0) in S_r . We assume that S_r contains \mathbf{Z}_+^2 , that is, $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$. When $-\infty < r < 0$, $|m_0|$ and $|n_0|$ have no common factor except 1 and $r = n_0/m_0$, and $(m_1, n_1) = (0, 1)$. When $r = 0$, $(m_0, n_0) = (1, 0)$ and let $(m_1, n_1) = (0, 1)$. When $r = -\infty$, $(m_0, n_0) = (0, 1)$ and let $(m_1, n_1) = (1, 0)$. For each half plane S_r , put

$$Z = Z_r = z^{m_0} w^{n_0}$$

and

$$W = W_r = z^{m_1} w^{n_1}.$$

Hence $Z_0 = W_{-\infty} = z$ and $W_0 = Z_{-\infty} = w$, and if $-\infty < r < 0$ then $W_r = w$.

For each r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$, put \mathbf{H}_r^p = the norm closure of $\cup_{j=-\infty}^\infty Z_r^j H^p$ in L^p if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and \mathbf{H}_r^∞ = the weak $^{*-}$ closure of $\cup_{j=-\infty}^\infty Z_r^j H^\infty$ in L^∞ . \mathcal{L}_r^p and \mathcal{H}_r^p denote the norm closure of the set of trigonometric polynomials and analytic polynomials, respectively, of Z_r in L^p if $1 \leq p < \infty$. \mathcal{L}_r^∞ and \mathcal{H}_r^∞ denote the weak $^{*-}$ closure. Then

$$\mathbf{H}_r^p = \mathcal{L}_r^p + \mathcal{L}_r^p W + \cdots + \mathcal{L}_r^p W^{n-1} + W^n \mathbf{H}_r^p.$$

Let E be a conditional expectation from \mathbf{H}_r^∞ onto \mathcal{L}_r^∞ . Then E is multiplicative on \mathbf{H}_r^∞ and $\mathbf{H}_r^\infty + \overline{W \mathbf{H}_r^\infty}$ is weak $^{*-}$ dense in L^∞ . Hence \mathbf{H}_r^∞ is an

extended weak^{*} Dirichlet algebra with respect to E (see [5]). Thus Theorems 4 and 4' in [5] imply

Lemma 1. *Let $h \in \mathbf{H}_r^1$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is an $f \in \mathbf{H}_r^2$, $\|f\|_2 \leq \|h\|_1$, and a $g \in \mathbf{H}_r^2$, $\|g\|_2 \leq \|h\|_1 + \varepsilon$, such that $h = fg$.*

The proof is almost the same as the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [4].

Theorem 1. *If ϕ is a function in L^∞ , then*

$$\|\phi + H^\infty\| \geq \|H_\phi\| \geq \sup\{\|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\|; -\infty \leq r \leq 0\}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\|H_\phi\| \geq \|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\|$ for any r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$. Fix r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$. Since $Z^j \mathbf{H}_r^2 = \mathbf{H}_r^2$ for any integer j , $H^2 \times W\mathbf{H}_r^2 = Z^j H^2 \times W\mathbf{H}_r^2$ and hence

$$H^2 \times K_0^2 \supset \{\cup_{j=-\infty}^\infty Z^j H^2\} \times W\mathbf{H}_r^2.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_\phi\| &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi f g d\sigma \right| : f \in H^2, g \in K_0^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|f\|_2 \leq 1 \text{ and } \|g\|_2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\geq \sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi f g d\sigma \right| : f \in \cup_{j=-\infty}^\infty Z^j H^2, g \in W\mathbf{H}_r^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|f\|_2 \leq 1 \text{ and } \|g\|_2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi f g d\sigma \right| : f \in \mathbf{H}_r^2, g \in W\mathbf{H}_r^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|f\|_2 \leq 1 \text{ and } \|g\|_2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\|. \end{aligned}$$

We used Lemma 1 in the last equality (cf. [4, Corollary 2.1.1.]). \square

Lemma 2. *If $\phi \in \overline{W\mathbf{H}_r^\infty}$ then $\|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|$.*

Proof. By duality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi f d\sigma \right| : f \in K_0^1, \|f\|_1 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi F d\sigma \right| : F \in W\mathbf{H}_r^1, \|F\|_1 \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let Q be an orthogonal projection from L^2 onto $W\mathbf{H}_r^2$. If $\phi \in \overline{W\mathbf{H}_r^\infty}$, then for any $f \in K_0^2$,

$$\int \phi f d\sigma = \int \phi Q(f) d\sigma.$$

Since $QK_0^2 = W\mathbf{H}_r^2$, the two supremums above are equal because K_0^2 is dense in K_0^1 and \mathbf{H}_r^2 is dense in \mathbf{H}_r^1 . \square

Theorem 2. For any r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$, if ϕ is in $\overline{WH}_r^\infty + H^\infty$, then

$$\|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|.$$

The proof is clear by Theorem 1 and Lemma 2.

For any r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$, we want to know about $\|H_\phi\|$ for ϕ in \mathcal{L}_r^∞ . The following proposition answers the request only when $r = 0$ or $-\infty$.

Proposition 3. Put $r = 0$ or $-\infty$. If ϕ is in $\mathcal{L}_r^\infty + H^\infty$ then

$$\|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|.$$

Proof. For any r , \mathcal{H}_r^p is isometrically isomorphic to the classical Hardy space $H^p(T)$. Hence by Nehari's theorem (cf. [8, p. 11]),

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_\phi\| &\geq \sup \left\{ \left| \int \phi f g d\sigma \right| ; f \in \mathcal{H}_r^2, g \in \mathcal{H}_r^2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|f\|_2 \leq 1 \text{ and } \|g\|_2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \|\phi + \mathcal{H}_r^\infty\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $r = 0$ or $-\infty$, $H^\infty \supset \mathcal{H}_r^\infty$ and hence the proposition follows. \square

By Theorem 1, we can ask whether $\|H_\phi\| = \sup_r \|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\|$ is true or not. By Theorem 2, if $\phi \in \overline{WH}_r^\infty + H^\infty$ then $\|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + \mathbf{H}_r^\infty\|$. However even if $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_r^\infty$ for $r = 0$ or $-\infty$, we do not know whether this equality holds. If $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{H}}_r^\infty + \mathcal{H}_r^\infty$ then $\phi - \hat{\phi}(0, 0) \in \overline{WH}_s^\infty$ for some s with $-\infty \leq s \leq 0$. Hence $\|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + \mathbf{H}_s^\infty\|$.

Now we will give two corollaries about essential norms of Hankel operators and Toeplitz operators.

Corollary 1. Put $r = 0$ or $-\infty$. If ϕ is in $\overline{WH}_r^\infty + H^\infty$ then

$$\|H_\phi\|_e = \|H_\phi\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 2,

$$\|H_{Z^n \phi}\| = \|Z^n \phi + H^\infty\| = \|\phi + H^\infty\|$$

because $Z^n \phi \in \overline{WH}_r^\infty + H^\infty$. Since $Z = z$ and $W = w$ for $r = 0$, and $Z = w$ and $W = z$ for $r = -\infty$, both T_Z and T_W are unilateral shift operators on H^2 with infinite multiplicities. By the proof for classical Hardy space (cf. [1]), the theorem follows. \square

Corollary 2. Let ϕ be a unimodular function in L^∞ .

- (1) For any fixed r with $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$, suppose ϕ is in $\overline{WH}_r^\infty + H^\infty$. Then T_ϕ is left invertible if and only if $\|\phi + H^\infty\| < 1$.
- (2) For $r = 0$ or $-\infty$, suppose ϕ is in \mathcal{L}_r^∞ . Then T_ϕ is left invertible if and only if $\|\phi + H^\infty\| < 1$.
- (3) For $r = 0$ or $-\infty$, suppose ϕ is in \overline{WH}_r^∞ . Then T_ϕ is left Fredholm if and only if $\|\phi + H^\infty\| < 1$.

Proof. Using the well known equality

$$T_{\phi}^* T_{\phi} + H_{\phi}^* H_{\phi} = I$$

we can show (1) and (2) by Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, respectively, and (3) by Theorem 4. \square

REFERENCES

1. S. Axler, I. D. Berg, N. Jewell and A. Shields, *Approximation by compact operators and the space $H^{\infty} + C$* , Ann. of Math. **109** (1979), 601–612.
2. R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 611–635.
3. R. E. Curto, P. S. Muhly and T. Nakazi, *Uniform algebras, Hankel operators and invariant subspaces*, Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl. **17** (1986), 109–119.
4. R. E. Curto, P. S. Muhly, T. Nakazi and J. Xia, *Hankel operators and uniform algebras*, Archiv der Math. **43** (1984), 440–447.
5. T. Nakazi, *Extended weak*-Dirichlet algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **81** (1979), 493–513.
6. ———, *Norms of Hankel operators and uniform algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **299** (1987), 573–580.
7. Z. Nehari, *On bounded bilinear forms*, Ann. of Math. **65** (1957), 153–162.
8. S. C. Power, *Hankel operators on Hilbert space*, Research Notes in Math. 64, Pitman, Boston, 1982.
9. A. Uchiyama, *On the compactness of operators of Hankel type*, Tohoku Math. J. **30** (1978), 163–171.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, SAPPORO 060,
JAPAN