σ -HEREDITARILY CLOSURE-PRESERVING k -NETWORKS AND g -METRIZABILITY

YOSHIO TANAKA

(Communicated by Dennis Burke)

Dedicated to Professor Ryosuke Nakagawa on his sixtieth birthday

ABSTRACT. We show that a regular space is g -metrizable if and only if it is a weakly first countable space with a σ -hereditarily closure-preserving k -network.

INTRODUCTION

Let X be a space. For each $x \in X$, let T_x be a collection of subsets of X such that any element of T_x contains x . Following Arhangel'skii [1], the collection $T_c = \bigcup \{T_x; x \in X\}$ is a *weak base* for X if (a) and (b) below are satisfied (see [4] or [8]).

- (a) For each $B_1, B_2 \in T_x$, there exists $B_3 \in T_x$ such that $B_3 \subset B_1 \cap B_2$.
- (b) $U \subset X$ is open if and only if, for each $x \in U$, there exists $B \in T_x$ such that $B \subset U$.

We call the T_x a *weak neighborhood base* for x in X , and each element of T_x a *weak neighborhood* of x . A space X is *weakly first countable* (= g -first countable [15], or X satisfies the *weak first axiom of countability* [1]) if X has a weak base T_c such that each T_x is countable. Every first countable space, or symmetric space (in the sense of [1, p. 125]) is weakly first countable. Every weakly first countable σ -space is a symmetric space (cf. [1]).

We recall that a space X is *sequential* (resp. a k -space) if $F \subset X$ is closed in X if and only if $F \cap C$ is closed in C for every convergent sequence C containing its limit point (resp. a compact subset C) in X . Every weakly first countable space is sequential (for example, [15]), and every sequential space is a k -space.

F. Siwiec [15] introduced the notion of g -metrizable spaces as a generalization of metric spaces. A space X is g -metrizable if it has a σ -locally finite weak base. A space X is metrizable if and only if it is a Fréchet, g -metrizable space

Received by the editors November 13, 1989 and, in revised form, April 3, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 54E99.

Key words and phrases. Hereditarily closure-preserving collection, k -network, weak base, g -metrizable space.

[15]. Here a space X is *Fréchet* if, whenever $x \in \bar{A}$, there exists a sequence in A converging to the point x . Other interesting properties of g -metrizable spaces are obtained by N. Jakovlev [8] (see also [18]).

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$ be a collection of subsets of a space X . We recall that $\mathcal{A}$ is *closure-preserving* if $\overline{\bigcup\{A_\alpha; \alpha \in B\}} = \bigcup\{\overline{A_\alpha}; \alpha \in B\}$ for any $B \subset A$. $\mathcal{A}$ is *hereditarily closure-preserving* if $\overline{\bigcup\{B_\alpha; \alpha \in B\}} = \bigcup\{\overline{B_\alpha}; \alpha \in B\}$ whenever $B \subset A$ and $B_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in B$. A σ -*hereditarily closure-preserving* collection is the union of countably many hereditarily closure-preserving collections. We shall use “ σ -CP” (resp. “ σ -HCP”) instead of “ σ -closure preserving” (resp. “ σ -hereditarily closure-preserving”) in this paper. Obviously, every σ -locally finite collection is σ -HCP.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cover of a space X . We recall that $\mathcal{C}$ is *network* (= net) for X if for each $x \in X$ and neighborhood U of x , there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $x \in C \subset U$. $\mathcal{C}$ is a k -*network* if whenever $K \subset U$ with K compact and U open in X , then $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}' \subset U$ for some finite $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$. A space is an $\aleph$ -*space* [14] if it has a σ -locally finite k -network. Every σ -HCP weak base is a k -network [4].

L. Foged [4] proved that a space is g -metrizable if and only if it is a weakly first countable space with a σ -locally finite k -network. (Hence, a g -metrizable space is equal to a symmetric, $\aleph$ -space.)

In view of Foged's result, Y. Kotake [11] asked whether every weakly first countable space with a σ -HCP k -network is g -metrizable. Related to this question, he also posed the following problem in [12]: Characterize a space having a σ -HCP weak base.

In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the first question and, as for the second question, we show that every k -space with a σ -HCP weak base is precisely a g -metrizable space.

We assume that all spaces are regular, and all maps are continuous and onto.

RESULTS

For a cover $\mathcal{C}$ of a space X , we consider the following condition $(*)$, which is labeled (C_2) in [17].

$(*)$ If A is a sequence converging to a point x and U is a neighborhood, then there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C \subset U$ and C contains a subsequence of A .

Obviously, every k -network satisfies $(*)$.

Lemma 1 ([17]). *Every σ -HCP cover satisfying $(*)$ is a k -network.*

Lemma 2. *Let X be a k -space, or a Lindelöf space. If X has a σ -HCP weak base, then it is weakly first countable.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a σ -HCP weak base for X . Let X be a k -space. Since X has a σ -CP network of closed subsets, each point of X is a G_δ -set. Then any compact subset K of X is first countable, for each point of K is a G_δ -set in K . Then it is easy to show that a k -space X is sequential.

Let $x \in X$ be not isolated. Then there exists a sequence A converging to x with $A \not\ni x$. Note that any weak neighborhood of x contains A eventually, because $A \cup \{x\}$ is closed, but A is not closed in X , but each $\mathcal{B}_n$ is HCP and any infinite subset of A is not closed in X . Then for any $n \in N$, $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ is finite. Hence $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}$ is countable. Then X is weakly first countable. Next, let X be Lindelöf. Then for any nonisolated point x in X and any $n \in N$, $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ is countable. Indeed, suppose that $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ is not countable for some $n \in N$. Then there exists a subcollection $\{B_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$. Since x is not isolated in X , for any B_α and any neighborhood G of x , $B_\alpha \cap G \cap (X - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$. Then for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, there exists $x_\alpha \in B_\alpha \cap (X - \{x_\beta; \beta < \alpha\}) \cap (X - \{x\})$. Then $\{x_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$ is a discrete, closed subset of X with cardinality ω_1 . But X is Lindelöf. This is a contradiction. Then for any $n \in N$, $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ is countable. Hence X is also weakly first countable.

Lemma 3 ([4]). *Every weakly first countable space with a σ -locally finite k -network is g -metrizable.*

Lemma 4. *Let X have a σ -CP k -network. Then, for each closed subset F of X , there exists a sequence $\{V_n; n \in N\}$ of open subsets of X such that each V_n contains F , and for each sequence $\{x_n; n \in N\}$ converging to a point $x \notin F$, some V_n contains only finitely many x_n , but does not contain x .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_n; n \in N\}$ be a σ -CP k -network for X by closed subsets of X such that $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in N$. For each $n \in N$, let $V_n = X - \bigcup \{C \in \mathcal{C}_n; C \cap F = \emptyset\}$. Then each V_n contains F . Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a k -network with $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$, there exist $m, n \in N$ and a finite union A of elements of $\mathcal{C}_n$ such that $\{x_i; i \geq m\} \cup \{x\} \subset A \subset X - F$. Then V_n contains only finitely many x_n , and does not contain x .

Lemma 5. *Let X have a weak base $\bigcup \{T_x; x \in X\}$ such that each T_x is countable. Let D be a closed discrete subset of X . If X has a σ -HCP k -network, then there exists a σ -discrete collection $\mathcal{D}$ in X such that, for each $d \in D$, $\mathcal{D} \cap T_d$ is a weak base for d in X .*

Proof. First we note that, among regular spaces, if $\mathcal{A}$ is HCP, then so is $\{\bar{A}; A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Thus, X admits a σ -HCP k -network $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{C}_n; n \in N\}$ of closed subsets such that $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in N$. Let $D = \{x_\alpha; \alpha \in A\}$. For each $n \in N$ and each $\alpha \in A$, let

$$\mathcal{C}_{n,\alpha} = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_n; C \ni x_\alpha \text{ and } C \cap \{x_\beta; \beta \neq \alpha\} = \emptyset\},$$

let

$$C(n, \alpha) = \bigcup \mathcal{C}_{n,\alpha},$$

and let

$$C^*(n, \alpha) = C(n, \alpha) - \bigcup \{C(n, \beta); \beta \neq \alpha\}.$$

For each $d \in D$, we can assume that $T_d = \{Q_n(d); n \in N\}$ is a decreasing weak neighborhood base for d in X consisting of closed subsets of X . For

each $x_\alpha \in D$, there exist $m, n \in N$ such that $Q_n(x_\alpha) \subset C^*(m, \alpha)$. Indeed, there exists $m \in N$ such that $Q_m(x_\alpha) \subset C(m, \alpha)$. To show this, suppose that $Q_n(x_\alpha) \not\subset C(n, \alpha)$ for any $n \in N$. Then there exists a sequence $A = \{a_n; n \in N\}$ such that $a_n \in Q_n(x_\alpha) - C(n, \alpha)$. Since the sequence A converges to x_α , and $\mathcal{E}$ is a k -network of closed subsets, there exists $C \in \mathcal{E}_n$ for some $n \in N$ such that C contains x_α and a subsequence of A , and $C \cap \{x_\beta; \beta \neq \alpha\} = \emptyset$. Then $C \subset C(m, \alpha)$ for $m \geq n$. Hence there exists $a_k \in C(k, \alpha)$ for some $k \geq n$. This is a contradiction. Then $Q_m(x_\alpha) \subset C(m, \alpha)$ for some $m \in N$. On the other hand, since $x_\alpha \notin \bigcup\{C(m, \beta); \beta \neq \alpha\}$, there exists $i \in N$ such that $Q_i(x_\alpha) \cap \bigcup\{C(m, \beta); \beta \neq \alpha\} = \emptyset$. Let $n \geq m, i$. Then $Q_n(x_\alpha) \subset C^*(m, \alpha)$. Now, for each $m, n \in N$, let $\mathcal{D}_{mn} = \{Q_n(x_\alpha); Q_n(x_\alpha) \subset C^*(m, \alpha), \alpha \in A\}$. For each $m \in N$, let $\mathcal{D}_m = \bigcup\{\mathcal{D}_{mn}; n \in N\}$, and let $D_m = \bigcup \mathcal{D}_m$. Then $D \subset \bigcup\{D_m; m \in N\}$ by the above arguments. We note that for each $m \in N$ and $\alpha, \beta \in A$, if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $C^*(m, \alpha) \cap C^*(m, \beta) = \emptyset$, and $\mathcal{E}_{m, \alpha} \cap \mathcal{E}_{m, \beta} = \emptyset$. But for each $Q_n(x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{D}_{mn}$, $Q_n(x_\alpha) \subset C^*(m, \alpha)$ and $Q_n(x_\alpha) \subset C(m, \alpha)$. Then, since each $\mathcal{E}_m$ is HCP, each $\mathcal{D}_{mn}$ is a disjoint, CP collection of closed subsets of X ; hence, it is discrete in X . Then each $\mathcal{D}_m$ is a σ -discrete collection in X . Let $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{D}_m; m \in N\}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}$ is a σ -discrete collection in X such that, for each $d \in D$, $\mathcal{D} \cap T_d$ is a weak base for d in X .

Now, we prove the main theorem.

Theorem 6. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is g -metrizable.
- (b) X is a k -space with a σ -HCP weak base.
- (c) X is a weakly first countable space with a σ -HCP k -network.

Proof. Every g -metrizable space is sequential [15]; hence, it is a k -space. Then (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) holds. We note that, for any sequence converging to a point x , any weak neighborhood of x contains the sequence eventually. Then (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) follows from Lemmas 1 and 2. We prove (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). By Lemmas 1 and 3, it suffices to show that X has a σ -locally finite cover satisfying (*). Let $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{E}_n; n \in N\}$ be a σ -HCP k -network for X by closed subsets of X such that $\mathcal{E}_n \subset \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ for each $n \in N$. For each $n \in N$, let $D_n = \{x \in X; \mathcal{E}_n \text{ is not point-finite at } x\}$. Since X is weakly first countable, it is sequential. Then, since each $\mathcal{E}_n$ is HCP, it follows that each D_n is closed, and discrete in X . By Lemma 4, for each $n \in N$, there exists a collection $\{V_{mn}; m \in N\}$ of open subsets of X satisfying the condition in Lemma 4 with respect to the closed subset D_n . For each $m, n \in N$, let $\mathcal{E}_{mn} = \{C - V_{mn}; C \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$. Let $\mathcal{E}' = \bigcup\{\mathcal{E}_{mn}; m, n \in N\}$. Since each $\mathcal{E}_{mn}$ is a point-finite and CP collection of closed subsets of X , it is locally finite in X . Hence $\mathcal{E}'$ is a σ -locally finite collection of closed subsets of X . Let $\bigcup\{T_x; x \in X\}$ be a weak base for X such that each T_x is countable. Since each D_n is a closed discrete subset of X , by Lemma 5 there exists a σ -discrete collection $\mathcal{D}_n$ in X such that, for each $d \in D_n$, $\mathcal{D}_n \cap T_d$ is a weak base for d in X . Let $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{D}_n; n \in N\}$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{E}' \cup \mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is a σ -locally finite cover of X . We show that $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies (*). Let U be open in X and A be a sequence converging to a point x in U . Let $S = X - \bigcup \{D_n; n \in N\}$. If $x \in S$, then there exists an element C of $\mathcal{E}'$ such that $C \subset U$ and C contains a subsequence of A . If $x \notin S$, then there exists an element D of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $D \subset U$ and D contains A eventually. Then $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies (*). Hence X has a σ -locally finite cover $\mathcal{P}$ satisfying (*).

Lemma 7 ([15]). *Every Fréchet, g -metrizable space is metrizable.*

Remark 8. (1) As for networks (= nets), the following (a) holds by [16]: (a) X has a σ -HCP network $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -CP network $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -discrete network ($\Leftrightarrow X$ is a σ -space). In (a), we cannot replace “network” by “ k -network.” Indeed, not every space with a σ -HCP k -network has a σ -locally finite k -network; see Theorem 14. However, among weakly first countable spaces, we have the following (b) in view of [8, Theorem 5] (or the proof of [4, Theorem 2.4]) and Theorem 6: (b) Let X be weakly first countable. Then X has a σ -HCP k -network $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -discrete k -network $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -discrete weak base ($\Leftrightarrow X$ is a g -metrizable space).

(2) In Theorem 6, we cannot weaken “ σ -HCP k -network” (resp. “ σ -HCP weak base”) to “ σ -CP k -network” (resp. “ σ -CP weak base”), by (a) and (b) below: (a) There exists a separable, first countable space X with a σ -CP open base, but X is not g -metrizable; (b) There exists a weakly first countable, CW-complex X , but X is not g -metrizable. As for (a), the nonmetrizable, separable, first countable M_1 -space X in [3, Example 9.2] is the desired space by Lemma 7.

As for (b), let X be the CW-complex in [17, p. 336] such that X is the quotient, finite-to-one image of a metric space, but X has no σ -HCP k -networks. Note that every CW-complex has a σ -CP open base by [3, Corollary 8.6], and that every quotient, finite-to-one image of a metric space is weakly first countable in view of [1, p. 125]. Then X is the desired space.

In the following corollary, (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) is due to [2], and (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) is Guthrie’s unpublished result.

Corollary 9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is metrizable.
- (b) X has a σ -HCP open base.
- (c) X is a first countable space with a σ -HCP k -network.

Proof. As for (b), note that every space with a σ -HCP open base is first countable by [2, Lemma 4]. Then the corollary follows from Theorem 6 and Lemma 7.

A space is g -second countable [15] if it has a countable weak base. The following Corollary is obtained from Theorem 6 and Lemma 2.

Corollary 10. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a) *X is a g -second countable space.*
- (b) *X is a Lindelöf space with a σ -HCP weak base.*
- (c) *X is a Lindelöf, weakly first countable space with a σ -HCP k -network.*

The author has the following question in view of Theorem 6 and Corollary 10.

Question. Is every space with a σ -HCP weak base g -metrizable?

Let us give some partial answers to this question. We recall that a space X is a c -space (or X has countable tightness) if, whenever $x \in \overline{A}$, then $x \in \overline{C}$ for some countable $C \subset A$. Every g -metrizable (more generally, every sequential) space is a c -space; see [13, p. 123], for example. A space is *meta-Lindelöf* if every open cover has a point-countable open refinement.

Proposition 11. *Let X have a σ -HCP weak base. Then (a) or (b) below implies that X is g -metrizable.*

- (a) (CH). *X is a separable space, or a c -space.*
- (b) *X is a meta-Lindelöf c -space.*

Proof. For case (a), let X be a separable space. Then, as is well known, X has an open base of cardinality $\leq 2^\omega$, hence of cardinality $\leq \omega_1$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_n; n \in N\}$ be a σ -HCP weak base for X . Let $x \in X$ be not isolated in X . Then for any $n \in N$ and for any $A \in T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$, x is not isolated in A . Hence in view of [2, Corollary 2], $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}_n$ is countable for each $n \in N$. Then $T_x \cap \mathcal{B}$ is countable. Thus X is weakly first countable, hence a k -space. Next, let X be a c -space. Then it is easy to show that $F \subset X$ is closed in X if $F \cap S$ is closed for every separable closed subset S of X . Let S be any separable closed subset of X . Since S is closed in X , it has a σ -HCP weak base. Since S is separable, it is a k -space, as seen above. Thus any separable closed subset of X is a k -space. To show that X is a k -space, for $F \subset X$, let $F \cap C$ be closed for every compact subset C of X . Let S be any separable closed subset of X . Then $F \cap K$ is closed for every compact subset K of S . Since S is a k -space, $F \cap S$ is closed. Then F is closed in X . Thus X is a k -space. Then for case (a), X is a k -space. Hence it is g -metrizable, by Theorem 6.

For case (b), note that every separable closed subset of a meta-Lindelöf space X is Lindelöf. But every Lindelöf closed subset of X is a k -space by Lemma 2. Since X is a c -space, X is g -metrizable in view of the proof for case (a).

Lemma 12. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed map. If X has a σ -HCP k -network, then so does Y .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a σ -HCP k -network. Then $f(\mathcal{E})$ is a σ -HCP cover of Y . Then, by Lemma 1, it suffices to show that $f(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies (*). To show this, let A be a sequence converging to y in Y , and let U be a neighborhood of y . Since f is a closed map such that each point of X is a G_δ -set, in view of

[17, Lemma 1.6] there exists a convergent sequence B in X such that $f(B)$ is a subsequence of A . Thus there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f(C) \subset U$ and $f(C)$ contains a subsequence of A . Hence $f(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies $(*)$.

As is well known, every perfect image of a metric space is metrizable. However, not every perfect image of a countable, g -metrizable space is g -metrizable [18]. We recall that every closed image of a metric space is metrizable if and only if it is first countable. As for g -metrizability, we have the following analogue by Lemma 12 and Theorem 6:

Theorem 13. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a closed map such that X is g -metrizable. Then Y is g -metrizable if and only if it is weakly first countable.*

Finally, among Fréchet spaces, let us give a survey of characterizations for nice properties by means of k -networks or weak bases. We recall that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an s -map if $f^{-1}(y)$ is separable for each $y \in Y$. For M_3 -spaces, see [3].

Theorem 14. *Let X be a Fréchet space. Then we have the following:*

- (a) X is a metric space $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite weak base $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -HCP weak base.
- (b) X is the closed s -image of a metric space $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -locally finite k -network.
- (c) X is the closed image of a metric space $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -HCP k -network.
- (d) X is an M_3 -space $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -CP k -network $\Leftrightarrow X$ has a σ -CP weak base.

Proof. (a) follows from Theorem 6 and Lemma 7. (This is also shown in [11]. Indeed, every Fréchet space with a σ -HCP weak base has a σ -HCP open base. Then X is metrizable by Corollary 9.) (b) is due to [6], (c) is due to [5], and the equivalence in the first part of (d) is due to [10]. Let X be an M_3 -space. By [7] or [9], X has a σ -CP quasi-base $\mathcal{B}$; that is, for $x \in X$ and a neighborhood U of x , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in \text{int } B \subset B \subset U$. For $x \in X$, let $T_x = \{B \in \mathcal{B}; x \in \text{int } B\}$. Then $\bigcup \{T_x; x \in X\}$ is a σ -CP weak base for X . Thus X has a σ -CP weak base. Conversely, let $\mathcal{B}$ have a σ -CP weak base. Since X is Fréchet, for any $x \in X$ and for any $B \in T_x \cap \mathcal{B}$, $x \in \text{int } B$. Hence $\mathcal{B}$ is a σ -CP quasi-base for X . Hence X is an M_3 -space by [3, Theorem 2.2].

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Mappings and spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys **21** (1966), 115–162.
2. D. Burke, R. Engelking, and D. Lutzer, *Hereditarily closure-preserving collections and metrizability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1975), 483–488.
3. J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 105–125.
4. L. Foged, *On g -metrizability*, Pacific J. Math. **98** (1982), 327–332.
5. ———, *A characterization of closed images of metric spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985), 487–490.

6. Z. Gao and Y. Hattori, *A characterization of closed s -images of metric spaces*, Questions Answers Gen. Topology **4** (1986/87), 147–151; Tsukuba J. Math. **2** (1987), 367–370.
7. G. Gruenhage, *Stratifiable spaces are M_2* , Topology Proc. **1** (1976), 221–226.
8. N. N. Jakovlev, *On g -metrizable spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17** (1976), 156–159.
9. H. Junnila, *Neighbornets*, Pacific J. Math. **76** (1978), 83–108.
10. Y. Kanatani, N. Sasaki, and J. Nagata, *New characterizations of some generalized metric spaces*, Math. Japon. **5** (1985), 805–820.
11. Y. Kotake, personal communication.
12. —, *On g -metrizable spaces*, RIMS Kokyuroku, Kyoto Univ. **584** (1986), 46–51.
13. E. Michael, *A quintuple quotient quest*, Gen. Topology Appl. **2** (1972), 91–138.
14. P. O'Meara, *On paracompactness in function spaces with the compact-open topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (1971), 183–189.
15. F. Siwiec, *On defining a space by a weak base*, Pacific J. Math. **52** (1974), 233–245.
16. F. Siwiec and J. Nagata, *A note on nets and metrization*, Proc. Japan Acad. **44** (1968), 623–627.
17. Y. Tanaka, *Point-countable covers and k -networks*, Topology Proc. **12** (1987), 327–349.
18. —, *Symmetric spaces, g -developable spaces and g -metrizable spaces*, Math. Japon. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY, KOGANEI-SHI, TOKYO (184), JAPAN