SUMS OF POWERS OF DERIVATIVES

JAN MAŘÍK AND CLIFFORD E. WEIL

(Communicated by Andrew M. Bruckner)

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper the authors proved several theorems concerning *products* of positive derivatives. Here they prove analogous results for *sums* of powers of positive derivatives.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] the authors proved that if the *product* of powers of several positive derivatives is approximately continuous and if the corresponding exponents are positive, then all of the factors must be approximately continuous. The main goal of this work is to prove some analogous results for *sums* of powers of derivatives. For example, it follows from Theorem 5.6 that if the sum of squares of several derivatives is bounded and approximately continuous, then all of these derivatives are approximately continuous.

The authors also investigate equations like $f^2 + g^2 = \varphi^2$, where f , g , and φ are derivatives. We can construct nontrivial examples of such triples f , g , φ , if we choose a bounded derivative ψ , bounded approximately continuous functions α , β , and set $f = \alpha\psi$, $g = \beta\psi$, $\varphi = \psi\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}$. Theorem 5.11 shows that this construction is “not too far” from the general case.

Some of the results of this paper have been stated without proof in [2, 3].

2. NOTATION

The word “function” means a mapping to the real line R . The words “measure,” “integrable,” etc. refer to Lebesgue measure in R . The measure of a measurable set $S \subset R$ will be denoted by $|S|$. Symbols like $\int_S f$, $\int_a^b f$ will always denote the corresponding Lebesgue integrals.

The letter m denotes a natural number and R^m is m -dimensional Euclidean space. The coordinates of a point $a \in R^m$ will be denoted usually by $a_1, \dots, a_m$. For $x, y \in R^m$ we set $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i$ and $\|x\| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$. If $|\cdot|$ is any norm on R^m and if $f_1, \dots, f_m$ are functions on a set S , then

Received by the editors January 17, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 26A24.

©1991 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/91 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

$|(f_1, \dots, f_m)|$ means, of course, the function $|(f_1(t), \dots, f_m(t))|$ ($t \in S$).

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In this section we investigate some special norms on R^m (see 3.4). The corresponding results will be used later in this paper. They are valid, in particular, for the norms

$$(0) \quad \|x\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad (p > 1)$$

(hence $\|x\| = \|x\|_2$); actually, for the proofs of the main results (Theorems 5.5, 5.6, and 5.11) we will need no other norms. However, the reader might find it interesting that the results of §4 hold for more general norms.

3.1. Lemma. *Let V be a vector space over R , $|\cdot|$ a norm on V , and $x, y \in V$. Suppose that $|tx + (1-t)y| = 1$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$|\alpha x + \beta y| \geq \alpha + \beta \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in R.$$

Proof. We may suppose that $\alpha + \beta > 0$. We have

$$|\alpha x + \beta y| = (\alpha + \beta) \left| \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} y \right| = \alpha + \beta \quad \text{if } \alpha, \beta \geq 0.$$

If, e.g., $\alpha < 0$, then $0 < \beta = |\beta y| \leq |\alpha x + \beta y| + |-\alpha x| = |\alpha x + \beta y| - \alpha$ so that $\alpha + \beta < |\alpha x + \beta y|$.

3.2. Proposition. *Let H be a Hilbert space over R with the inner product denoted by $x \cdot y$. Let $|\cdot|$ be a norm on H which generates the same topology as the inner product. (We do not assume that $|x| = \sqrt{x \cdot x}$.) Suppose that $x, y \in H$ and $|tx + (1-t)y| = 1$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Then there is a $b \in H$ such that $x \cdot b = y \cdot b = 1$ and $z \cdot b \leq |z|$ for all $z \in H$.*

Proof. The set $V = \{\alpha x + \beta y; \alpha, \beta \in R\}$ is clearly a vector space over R . If $\alpha x + \beta y = 0$, then, by 3.1, $\alpha + \beta = 0$. Hence we may define a linear functional Φ on V setting $\Phi(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha + \beta$. According to 3.1 we have $|\Phi(v)| \leq |v|$ for each $v \in V$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem Φ has a linear extension Φ^* to all of H such that $|\Phi^*(z)| \leq |z|$ for each $z \in H$. By assumption, Φ^* is continuous in the topology induced by the inner product. It follows from the Riesz Representation Theorem that there is a $b \in H$ such that $\Phi^*(z) = z \cdot b$ for all $z \in H$. Then $x \cdot b = \Phi(x) = 1 = \Phi(y) = y \cdot b$ and $z \cdot b = \Phi^*(z) \leq |z|$ for all $z \in H$.

3.3. Proposition. *Let $|\cdot|$ be a norm on R^m and let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be functions integrable on R . Set $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $A = (\int_R f_1, \dots, \int_R f_m)$. Then $|A| \leq \int_R |f|$.*

Proof. It may be assumed that $|A| > 0$. By 3.2 with $x = y = A/|A|$ there is a $b \in R^m$ such that $b \cdot A = |A|$ and $b \cdot z \leq |z|$ for all $z \in R^m$. In particular, $b \cdot f(t) \leq |f(t)|$ for each $t \in R$. Thus $|A| = \int_R b \cdot f \leq \int_R |f|$.

3.4. Convention, notation. For the remainder of this paper, $|\cdot|$ is a norm on R^m and $Z = \{z \in R^m; |z| = 1\}$. It is well known that there are positive numbers k, K such that

$$(1) \quad k|x| \leq \|x\| \leq K|x| \quad \text{for each } x \in R^m.$$

We shall assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

(C₁) For each $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ we have $|x| = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_m|)$.

(C₂) For each $x \in Z$ there is a unique $b \in R^m$ such that $x \cdot b = 1$ and that $y \in Z, y \neq x$ implies $y \cdot b < 1$.

We define a function θ on Z setting $\theta(x) = b$, where b is determined by (C₂).

Remark. We will prove in 5.3 that the norms $\|x\|_p$ ($p > 1$) satisfy conditions (C₁) and (C₂) with $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$, where $b_i = |x_i|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} x_i$.

3.5. Lemma. Let $x \in Z, b \in R^m$. Suppose that $x \cdot b = 1$ and $y \cdot b \leq 1$ for each $y \in Z$. Then $b = \theta(x)$.

Proof. Set $c = \frac{1}{2}(b + \theta(x))$. Then $x \cdot c = 1$ and $y \cdot c < 1$ for each $y \in Z \setminus \{x\}$. By the uniqueness part of (C₂) we have $c = \theta(x)$ so that $b = \theta(x)$.

3.6. Lemma. Let $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $|x| \leq |y|$.

Proof. Set $a = (y_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$, $b = (-y_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$. First we show that $|x| \leq |a|$. This is obvious, if $y_1 = 0$, since in this case $x = a$. If $y_1 > 0$, we set $\alpha = (y_1 + x_1)/2y_1$, $\beta = (y_1 - x_1)/2y_1$ and we have $\alpha + \beta = 1$, $x = \alpha a + \beta b$. By (C₁) we have $|a| = |b|$. Thus $|x| \leq \alpha|a| + \beta|b| = |a|$. We can prove similarly that $|a| \leq |(y_1, y_2, x_3, \dots, x_m)|$, etc.

3.7. Lemma. Let $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and let $|x| = |y|$. Then $x = y$.

Proof. One may assume that $x, y \in Z$. Choose a $t \in [0, 1]$ and set $a = tx + (1-t)y$. It follows from 3.6 that $|x| \leq |a| \leq |y|$. Since $|x| = |y| = 1$, we also have $|a| = 1$. By 3.2 there is a $b \in R^m$ such that $x \cdot b = y \cdot b = 1$ and $z \cdot b \leq 1$ for each $z \in Z$. By 3.5 we have $b = \theta(x)$ and it follows from (C₂) that $y = x$.

3.8. Lemma. The mapping θ is continuous.

Proof. Let k be as in (1) and $x \in Z$. Set $b = \theta(x)$, $y = b/|b|$. Then $y \in Z$ and consequently $b \cdot b/|b| = y \cdot b \leq 1$. Hence $\|b\|^2 = b \cdot b \leq |b| \leq k^{-1}\|b\|$ so that $\|b\| \leq k^{-1}$. We see that θ is bounded.

Let $x, x_1, x_2, \dots \in Z, x_n \rightarrow x$. Suppose that the relation $\theta(x_n) \rightarrow \theta(x)$ does not hold. Then by the boundedness of θ there is a subsequence $\langle z_n \rangle$ of $\langle x_n \rangle$ and a $b \in R^m$ such that $\theta(z_n) \rightarrow b \neq \theta(x)$. Let $y \in Z$. By (C₂) we have $z_n \cdot \theta(z_n) = 1$ and $y \cdot \theta(z_n) \leq 1$ for each n . Hence $x \cdot b = 1$ and $y \cdot b \leq 1$. By 3.5, $b = \theta(x)$ which is a contradiction.

3.9. Lemma. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then there is a $P \in (0, 1)$ such that $y \cdot \theta(x) \leq P$, whenever $x, y \in Z$ and $\|y - x\| \geq \varepsilon$.

(This follows easily from 3.8.)

3.10. Notation. For each function g defined on $(0, 1)$ we set

$$\lambda(g) = \liminf g(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(g) = \limsup g(t) \quad (t \rightarrow 0+).$$

If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is a mapping of $(0, 1)$ to R^m , we define $\lambda(f) = (\lambda(f_1), \dots, \lambda(f_m))$, $\Lambda(f) = (\Lambda(f_1), \dots, \Lambda(f_m))$.

3.11. Lemma. Let $f_1, \dots, f_m$ be nonnegative functions defined on $(0, 1)$ and let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$. Suppose that $\lambda(|f|) < \infty$. Then $|\lambda(f)| \leq \lambda(|f|)$.

Proof. There are $t_1, t_2, \dots \in (0, 1)$ such that $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and that $|f(t_n)| \rightarrow \lambda(|f|)$. Let K be as in (1). Then

$$(2) \quad f_i(t_n) \leq K |f(t_n)| \quad \text{for all } i, n.$$

Since $\lambda(|f|) < \infty$, the sequences $\langle f_i(t_n) \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are bounded. Thus there is a subsequence $\langle v_n \rangle$ of $\langle t_n \rangle$ and a $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in R^m$ such that $f(v_n) \rightarrow b$. Clearly $\lambda(f_i) \leq b_i$ for each i . By 3.6 we have $|\lambda(f)| \leq |b| = \lim |f(v_n)| = \lambda(|f|)$.

3.12. Lemma. Let f_i and f be as in 3.11. Suppose that $\Lambda(|f|) < \infty$. Let $b_1 = \Lambda(f_1)$, $b_2 = \lambda(f_2)$, $\dots$, $b_m = \lambda(f_m)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$. Then $|b| \leq \Lambda(|f|)$.

Proof. There are $t_1, t_2, \dots \in (0, 1)$ such that $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and $f_1(t_n) \rightarrow b_1$. Since $\Lambda(|f|) < \infty$, by (2) the sequences $\langle f_i(t_n) \rangle$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) are bounded. Thus there is a subsequence $\langle v_n \rangle$ of $\langle t_n \rangle$ and a $B = (B_1, \dots, B_m) \in R^m$ such that $f(v_n) \rightarrow B$. Clearly $b_i \leq B_i \leq \Lambda(f_i)$ for each i . By 3.6 we have $|b| \leq |B| = \lim |f(v_n)| \leq \Lambda(|f|)$.

4. NORMS AND CONVEXITY

4.1. Notation. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the system of all functions integrable on $[0, 1]$. Let

$$\mathcal{D}_* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}; f(0) \leq \liminf t^{-1} \int_0^t f \quad (t \rightarrow 0+) \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^* = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}; f(0) \geq \limsup t^{-1} \int_0^t f \quad (t \rightarrow 0+) \right\},$$

and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_* \cap \mathcal{D}^*$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the system of all functions that are measurable on $[0, 1]$ and approximately continuous from the right at 0 and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}; t^{-1} \int_0^t |f - f(0)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 0+) \right\}.$$

(Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is the system of all functions f measurable on $[0, 1]$ for which $|f - f(0)| \in \mathcal{D}$.) For any system $\mathcal{S}$ of functions let $\mathcal{S}^+[b\mathcal{S}]$ be the system of all nonnegative [bounded] elements of $\mathcal{S}$.

Remark. Each of the systems $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{L}$ is a vector space. It is easy to see that $b\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}$.

4.2. Lemma. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, $|g| \leq f \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $g \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. We may suppose that $g \geq 0$. Set $c = f(0) + 1$, $f_0 = f \wedge c$, $g_0 = g \wedge c$, $f_1 = f - f_0$, $g_1 = g - g_0$. Since $f_0 \in b\mathcal{A}$, we have $f_0 \in \mathcal{L}$; similarly $g_0 \in \mathcal{L}$. From the relations $g_1 = (g - c) \vee 0 \leq (f - c) \vee 0 = f_1$ and $t^{-1} \int_0^t f_1 = t^{-1} \int_0^t f - t^{-1} \int_0^t f_0 \rightarrow f(0) - f_0(0) = 0$ we get $t^{-1} \int_0^t g_1 \rightarrow 0$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$) so that $g_1 \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence $g \in \mathcal{L}$.

4.3. Lemma. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$. Let J be an open interval containing $f([0, 1])$, let γ be a convex function on J and let $\gamma \circ f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $\gamma \circ f \in \mathcal{D}_*$.

Proof. There is a linear function μ such that $\mu(f(0)) = \gamma(f(0))$ and that $\mu \leq \gamma$ on J . Obviously $\mu \circ f \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $\gamma(f(0)) = \mu(f(0)) = \lim t^{-1} \int_0^t \mu \circ f \leq \liminf t^{-1} \int_0^t \gamma \circ f$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$).

4.4. Lemma. Let f and J be as in 4.3. Let γ be a strictly convex function on J and let $\gamma \circ f \in \mathcal{D}^*$. Then $f, \gamma \circ f \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Set $c = f(0)$. There is a linear function μ such that $\mu(c) = \gamma(c)$ and that $\mu < \gamma$ on $J \setminus \{c\}$. Set $\psi = \gamma - \mu$. By assumption and 4.3 we have $\gamma \circ f \in \mathcal{D}$ so that $\psi \circ f \in \mathcal{D}$. Since $\psi \circ f \geq 0$ and $\psi(f(0)) = 0$, we have $\psi \circ f \in \mathcal{L}$.

Choose an $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ such that $c \pm \varepsilon \in J$ and set $\eta = \min(\psi'^+(c + \varepsilon), |\psi'^-(c - \varepsilon)|)$, $\psi_0(y) = \eta(|y - c| - \varepsilon)$ ($y \in J$). If $|y - c| < \varepsilon$, then $\psi_0(y) < 0$. If $y \in J \cap [c + \varepsilon, \infty)$, then $\psi(y) \geq \psi(c + \varepsilon) + \psi'^+(c + \varepsilon)(y - c - \varepsilon) > \psi_0(y)$; it can be proved in a similar way that $\psi > \psi_0$ on $J \cap (-\infty, c - \varepsilon]$. Hence $\psi > \psi_0$ on J . It follows that $|y - c| < \eta^{-1}\psi(y) + \varepsilon$ for each $y \in J$ and that $\int_0^t |f - c| \leq \eta^{-1} \int_0^t \psi \circ f + \varepsilon t$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$. This together with the relation $\psi \circ f \in \mathcal{D}$ proves that $f \in \mathcal{L}$. Hence $\gamma \circ f = \psi \circ f + \mu \circ f \in \mathcal{L}$ as well.

4.5. Lemma. Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{D}_*^+$, $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ and let $|f| \in \mathcal{D}^*$. Then $f_1, \dots, f_m, |f| \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. For $t \in (0, 1)$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$ set $F_i(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t f_i$; further set $F = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$. Proposition 3.3 implies that

$$(3) \quad |F(t)| \leq t^{-1} \int_0^t |f| \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, 1).$$

Since $f_i(0) \leq \lambda(F_i)$, we have by 3.6 and 3.11, $|f(0)| \leq |\lambda(F)| \leq \lambda(|F|)$ which together with (3) shows that $|f| \in \mathcal{D}_*$.

Now define $b_1 = \Lambda(F_1)$, $b_2 = \lambda(F_2)$, $\dots$, $b_m = \lambda(F_m)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$. It follows from 3.6 and 3.12 that $|f(0)| \leq |b| \leq \Lambda(|F|)$. Since $|f| \in \mathcal{D}_*$, we have by (3), $\Lambda(|F|) \leq |f(0)|$. Hence $|f(0)| = |b|$. By 3.7 we have $f_1(0) = b_1 = \Lambda(F_1)$ whence $f_1 \in \mathcal{D}^*$. Similarly $f_2, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{D}^*$.

4.6. Proposition. Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $\varphi = |f|$, $c = \liminf \text{ap } \varphi(t)$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$). Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ and that $c > 0$. Then $\varphi(0) > 0$ and there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$).

Proof. It follows easily from the relation $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^*$ that $\varphi(0) \geq c$. Define $J = [0, 1]$, $\alpha_i(t) = f_i(t)/\varphi(t)$, if $\varphi(t) > 0$, and $\alpha_i(t) = \alpha_i(0)$ elsewhere on J . Then $f_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ on J for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ and $\int_0^t f = (\int_0^t f_1, \dots, \int_0^t f_m)$ for each $t \in J$. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $\int_0^t f / \left| \int_0^t f \right| \rightarrow f(0)/|f(0)| = \alpha(0)$ ($t \rightarrow 0+$). Thus there is a $\delta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \left\| \int_0^t f / \left| \int_0^t f \right| - \alpha(0) \right\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, \delta).$$

Set $E = \{t \in J; \|\alpha(t) - \alpha(0)\| > 2\varepsilon\}$ and choose a $P \in (0, 1)$ according to 3.9. Let $x \in (0, \delta)$, $A = \int_0^x f$, $A_1 = A/|A|$, $B = \theta(A_1)$. Then $A \cdot B = |A|$. If $t \in E \cap (0, x)$, then from (4) $\|\alpha(t) - A_1\| \geq \|\alpha(t) - \alpha(0)\| - \|A_1 - \alpha(0)\| > 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon = \varepsilon$. Consequently $\alpha(t) \cdot B \leq P$, $f(t) \cdot B \leq P\varphi(t)$. Clearly, $f \cdot B \leq \varphi$ on J .

Choose an $\eta \in (0, c)$ and set $T = \{t \in J; \varphi(t) > \eta\}$, $S = T \cap E \cap (0, x)$, $S' = (0, x) \setminus S$. Since $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, there is a $Q \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\int_0^t \varphi \leq Qt$ for each $t \in J$. We have

$$\left| \int_0^x f \right| = A \cdot B = \int_0^x f \cdot B \leq P \int_S \varphi + \int_{S'} \varphi = \int_0^x \varphi - (1 - P) \int_S \varphi.$$

Obviously

$$\int_S \varphi \geq |S|\eta \geq (|S|\eta/Qx) \int_0^x \varphi$$

so that

$$\left| \int_0^x f \right| \leq (1 - (1 - P)|S|\eta/Qx) \int_0^x \varphi.$$

Combining this inequality with the relation $|\int_0^x f| / \int_0^x \varphi \rightarrow |f(0)|/\varphi(0) = 1$ we obtain $|T \cap E \cap (0, x)|/x \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow 0+$). Since $E \subset (E \cap T) \cup (J \setminus T)$ and $|T \cap (0, x)|/x \rightarrow 1$, we have also $|E \cap (0, x)|/x \rightarrow 0$ ($x \rightarrow 0+$). This proves that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{A}$.

4.7. Convention. In 4.8–4.11 we shall use the following notation and assumptions: $\mathcal{M} = \{1, \dots, m\}$; $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$; $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{D}$; for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$ a strictly convex function γ_i on R is given such that $\gamma_i(t) > 0$, if $t \neq 0$; $g_i = \gamma_i \circ f_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{N}$, $g_i = f_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$; $\varphi = |(g_1, \dots, g_m)| \in \mathcal{D}^*$.

4.8. Proposition. *We have*

$$(5) \quad g_1, \dots, g_m, |g_1|, \dots, |g_m|, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$(6) \quad f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for each } i \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Proof. Since $|g_i| \leq \|(g_1, \dots, g_m)\|$, we get by (1)

$$(7) \quad |g_i| \leq K\varphi$$

so that $g_i \in \mathcal{J}$. Let $h_i = |g_i|$. By 4.3 we have $h_i \in \mathcal{D}_*$ ($i \in \mathcal{M}$). It follows from (C₁) in 3.4 and from 4.5 that $\varphi = |(h_1, \dots, h_m)|$ and that $h_1, \dots, h_m, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. This proves (5); now 4.4 implies (6).

4.9. Proposition. *If*

$$(8) \quad \varphi(0) = 0$$

or

$$(9) \quad f_i(0) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \in \mathcal{N},$$

then

$$(10) \quad f_1, \dots, f_m, \varphi \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof. If $i \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, then, by (5), $|f_i| = |g_i| \in \mathcal{D}$. If, moreover, $f_i(0) = 0$, then $f_i \in \mathcal{L}$. If $\varphi(0) = 0$, we get similarly $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus (8) together with (6) implies (10).

Now let (9) hold. Choose an $s \in \mathcal{N}$ with $f_s(0) \neq 0$. Then $g_s(0) > 0$ and, by (6), $g_s \in \mathcal{A}$. Therefore according to (7)

$$(11) \quad \liminf \inf \varphi(t) > 0 \quad (t \rightarrow 0+).$$

By (5) and 4.6 there are $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows from the relation $g_s = \alpha_s \varphi$ that $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$. By (5) and 4.2 we get $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$. Now let $i \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$. Then $f_i = g_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ so that $f_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Combining (7) with 4.2 we obtain $f_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus (9) together with (6) implies (10).

4.10. Proposition. *If (11) holds, then there are $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f_i = \beta_i \varphi$ for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. By 4.8 (see (5)) and 4.6 we have $\varphi(0) > 0$ and there are $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ ($i \in \mathcal{M}$). If $i \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$, set $\beta_i = \alpha_i$; if $i \in \mathcal{N}$, define

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \beta_i(t) = f_i(t)/\varphi(t), & \text{if } \varphi(t) > 0, \\ \beta_i(t) = \beta_i(0) & \text{elsewhere on } [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_i = \beta_i \varphi$ for each $i \in \mathcal{M}$. It remains to prove that $\beta_i \in \mathcal{A}$ for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$. Choose such an i . By (6) we have $f_i \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f_i(0) \neq 0$, then (9) holds so that, according to (10), $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ and by (12) we have $\beta_i \in \mathcal{A}$. If $f_i(0) = 0$, then, by (11) and (12), we have $\beta_i \in \mathcal{A}$ again.

4.11. Proposition. *If $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$, then (10) holds.*

Proof. By (5) and 4.2 we have $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$. If $\varphi(0) = 0$, we apply 4.9. If $i \in \mathcal{N}$, then by (6) we have $f_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Thus let $\varphi(0) > 0$ and $i \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}$. By 4.10 we have $f_i \in \mathcal{A}$ and the relation $f_i \in \mathcal{L}$ follows from (7) and 4.2.

4.12. Lemma. *Let r be a natural number, $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r \in (0, 1)$, $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_r \leq 1$, $h_1, \dots, h_r \in (\mathcal{D}^*)^+$. Then $h_1^{\delta_1} \dots h_r^{\delta_r} \in \mathcal{D}^*$.*

Proof. We may suppose that $\delta_1 + \dots + \delta_r = 1$. Let $t \in (0, 1)$. By Hölder's inequality we have $t^{-1} \int_0^t h_1^{\delta_1} \dots h_r^{\delta_r} \leq \prod_{j=1}^r (t^{-1} \int_0^t h_j)^{\delta_j}$ for each $t \in (0, 1)$ which easily implies our assertion.

5. DERIVATIVES AND APPROXIMATE CONTINUITY

In this section we shall apply Propositions 4.8–4.11 and Lemma 4.12 using the norm $|x| = \|x\|_p$ ($p > 1$). We shall see that the behavior of derivatives $f_1, \dots, f_m$ depends on some properties of the sum of powers of their absolute values. In 5.10 and 5.11 we obtain some results pointing in the opposite direction.

5.1. Notation. For each $p \in (1, \infty)$ and each $x \in R^m$ define $\|x\|_p$ by (0) and set $Z_p = \{x \in R^m; \|x\|_p = 1\}$.

5.2. Lemma. Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$, $x, y \in R^m$. Then $x \cdot y \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$; equality holds if and only if

$$(13) \quad y_i = |x_i|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} x_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. It is well known that $x \cdot y \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q$ and that equality holds if and only if $x_i y_i \geq 0$ and $|x_i|^p = |y_i|^q$ for each i . Since $p/q = p - 1$, this equality means the same as $|y_i| = |x_i|^{p-1}$. This easily implies our assertion.

5.3. Proposition. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. For each $v \in R^m$ set $|v| = \|v\|_p$. Let $x \in Z_p$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$, where $b_i = |x_i|^{p-1} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} x_i$. Then conditions (C_1) and (C_2) in 3.4 are fulfilled.

Proof. The validity of (C_1) is obvious. Define q by $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. We have $x \cdot b = 1$ and $\|b\|_q = 1$. If $y \in Z_p$, then $y \cdot b \leq \|y\|_p \|b\|_q = 1$; if, moreover, $y \cdot b = 1$, then we obtain from 5.2 the equalities $b_i = |y_i|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn} y_i$ so that $y = x$.

Now let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in R^m$, $x \cdot c = 1$ and $y \cdot c \leq 1$ for each $y \in Z_p$. Set $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$, where $v_i = |c_i|^{q-1} \operatorname{sgn} c_i$. Since $v/\|v\|_p \in Z_p$, we have $v \cdot c \leq \|v\|_p$ while by 5.2, $v \cdot c = \|v\|_p \|c\|_q$. It follows that $\|c\|_q \leq 1$. Since $x \cdot c = 1 \geq \|x\|_p \|c\|_q$, by (13) we have $c_i = b_i$ so that $c = b$. This proves (C_2) .

5.4. Notation. Let D be the system of all finite derivatives on R . Thus, the relation $f \in D$ means that there is a function F differentiable on R such that $F'(t) = f(t)$ for each $t \in R$. If, moreover, f is locally integrable, then $\int_a^b f = F(b) - F(a)$ for all $a, b \in R$.

Let A be the system of all approximately continuous functions on R and let L be the system of all Lebesgue functions on R (i.e., functions f such that $h^{-1} \int_t^{t+h} |f - f(t)| \rightarrow 0$ ($h \rightarrow 0$) for each $t \in R$). The symbols $b\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}^+$ will have the same meaning as in 4.1.

It is well known that $bA \subset L \subset D \cap A$. We shall often apply the fact that nonnegative derivatives are locally integrable. For each $p \in (1, \infty)$ let Q_p be the set of all functions Φ with the following property: There is a natural number r , positive numbers q_j , and functions $h_j \in D^+$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$) such that $q_1 + \dots + q_r \leq p$ and $\Phi = h_1^{q_1} \dots h_r^{q_r}$. (We see that products of p nonnegative derivatives are in Q_p , if p is an integer.)

5.5. Theorem. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$. Let $f_i \in D$, $p_i \in (p, \infty)$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) and $\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^{p_i} \in Q_p$. Then $f_i \in L$ for each i .

Proof. Set $\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^{p_i}$. Let $q_1, \dots, q_r$ be as in the definition of Q_p . Now we apply 4.12 with $\delta_j = q_j/p$ and 4.8 with $|x| = \|x\|_p$, $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\gamma_i(t) = |t|^{p_i/p}$.

5.6. Theorem. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $f_1, \dots, f_m \in D$; set $\varphi = (\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^p)^{1/p}$. Then $\varphi \in L$ if and only if $f_i \in L$ for each i .

Proof. If $\varphi \in L$, we apply 4.11 with $|x| = \|x\|_p$ and empty $\mathcal{N}$. The proof that $\varphi \in L$, if $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L$, is left to the reader.

Remark 1. Choosing a nonempty $\mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{M}$ we may obtain from 4.8–4.12 various other theorems analogous to 5.5 and 5.6. For example, choosing $|x| = \|x\|$, $m = p = 2$, $\mathcal{N} = \{1\}$, $f_1 = 1$, $f_2 = f$, $\gamma(t) = t^2$ we deduce easily from 4.9 that a derivative f is a Lebesgue function if and only if $\sqrt{1+f^2}$ is a derivative.

Remark 2. If the functions $f_1, \dots, f_m$ and $\varphi = (\sum_{i=1}^m |f_i|^p)^{1/p}$ are derivatives and if φ is “not too small,” then by 4.10, the functions f_i/φ are approximately continuous. Now it is natural to ask whether the relations, say, $1 < f_i \in D$ and $f_i/\varphi \in A$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$) imply that $\varphi \in D$. Example 3 in 5.14 shows that this is not true even in the simple case $m = p = 2$. However, according to Proposition 5.10, we get the desired result $\varphi \in D$, if we replace the requirement $f_i \in D$ by $f_i \in M$, where M is defined next.

5.7. Definition. Let M be the system of all functions $f \in D$ such that $fg \in D$ for each $g \in bA$.

Remark. It is easy to prove that $L \cup bD \subset M$. A characterization of M will be given in another paper. Here we prove only two simple propositions to give the reader an idea about the “size” of M .

5.8. Proposition. We have $A \cap M = L$.

Proof. Let $f \in A \cap M$. Set $f_0 = (f \vee (-1)) \wedge 1$, $g = ff_0$. Then $g(t) = (f(t))^2$ if $|f(t)| < 1$, and $g(t) = |f(t)|$ elsewhere on R . Hence $|f| < 1 + g$. Since $f_0 \in bA$, we have $g \in A \cap D$. By 4.2 we have $f \in L$. The proof of the inclusion $L \subset A \cap M$ is left to the reader.

5.9. Proposition. Let $f \in D$. Suppose that for each $t \in R$ there is a $p \in (1, \infty)$ such that

$$(14) \quad \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} \int_t^{t+h} |f|^p < \infty.$$

Then $f \in M$.

Proof. Let $g \in bA$ and let t, p be as above. Define q by $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$ and set $f_1 = f - f(t)$, $g_1 = g - g(t)$. Then $fg = f_1g_1 + v$, where $v \in D$. By

Hölder's inequality we have

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{h} \int_h^{t+h} |f_1 g_1| \leq \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |f_1|^p \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} |g_1|^q \right)^{1/q} \quad \text{for each } h \neq 0.$$

It is easy to see that in (14) we may replace f by f_1 . Since $|g_1|^q \in bA \subset D$, the second factor in (15) tends to 0 ($h \rightarrow 0$). This shows that $f g \in D$.

5.10. Proposition. Let $|\cdot|$ be a norm on R^m . Let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in M$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$. Set $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$, $\varphi = |f|$ and suppose that $f = \alpha \varphi$. Then $\varphi \in M$.

Proof. Obviously $\varphi = |\alpha| \varphi$ so that $|\alpha| = 1$ on the set $S = \{t \in R; \varphi(t) > 0\}$. Defining $\gamma = k^2 \vee (\alpha \cdot \alpha)$ (see (1)) we have $\gamma \in A$, $\gamma = \alpha \cdot \alpha$ on S , $\alpha \cdot f = \gamma \varphi$ on R . Let $T = \{t \in R; \|\alpha(t)\| \leq k\}$. On T we have $\gamma = k^2$ so that $\|\alpha\| \leq \gamma/k$; on $R \setminus T$ we have $\gamma = \|\alpha\|^2$ so that $\|\alpha\| = \gamma/\|\alpha\| < \gamma/k$. We see that $\|\alpha\|/\gamma \leq k^{-1}$ on R whence $\alpha_i/\gamma \in bA$, $\alpha_i f_i/\gamma \in M$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), $\varphi = \alpha f/\gamma$.

5.11. Theorem. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_m \in M$. Define $\varphi = \|(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_p$, $\Phi = \varphi^p$ and suppose that

$$(16) \quad \liminf \operatorname{ap}_{y \rightarrow t} \varphi(y) > 0 \quad \text{for each } t \in R.$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\Phi \in Q_p$;
- (ii) $\varphi \in D$;
- (iii) there are $\alpha_i \in A$ such that $f_i = \alpha_i \varphi$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$;
- (iv) there are functions ψ , $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ such that $\psi \in D^+$, $\alpha_i \in A$, and $f_i = \alpha_i \psi$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$;
- (v) $\varphi \in M$.

Proof. If (i) holds, we apply 4.12 as in the proof of 5.5, then 4.8 with $|x| = \|x\|_p$ and from (5) we get (ii). If (ii) holds, then (iii) follows from 4.10. If (iii) holds, then by 5.10, we have $\varphi \in D$ so that we may choose $\psi = \varphi$ in (iv). Now suppose that (iv) holds. Set $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$. It is easy to see that $\varphi = \|f\|_p = \psi \|\alpha\|_p$ and that $\|\alpha\|_p \in A$. If $t \in R$ and $\|\alpha(t)\|_p = 0$, then (16) implies $\lim \operatorname{ap}_{y \rightarrow t} \psi(y) = \infty$ which is impossible because $\psi \in D^+$. Hence $\alpha \cdot \alpha > 0$ on R . Set $\beta = \alpha \|\alpha\|_p / (\alpha \cdot \alpha)$. By (1) $\|\beta\| = \|\alpha\|_p / \|\alpha\| \leq k^{-1}$ so that $\beta \cdot f \in M$ and $\beta \cdot f = (\alpha \cdot \alpha \psi) \|\alpha\|_p / (\alpha \cdot \alpha) = \psi \|\alpha\|_p = \varphi$. This proves (v). If (v) holds, then, obviously, $\Phi = \varphi^p \in Q_p$ which completes the proof.

Remark. We conclude the paper with three examples. The first shows that the requirement (16) cannot be replaced by " $\varphi > 0$ on R ." The second reminds us that in 4.11 we supposed that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^*$ (see 4.7); the assumption that φ is integrable would not suffice. The third refers to Remark 2 in 5.6.

5.12. Example 1. Let γ be a bounded derivative such that $\gamma = 1$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, γ is continuous and nonnegative on $(0, \infty)$ and that the upper density of the

set $S = \{t \in R; \gamma(t) = 0\}$ at 0 is positive. Let μ be a bounded continuous function on R such that $\mu(0) = 0$ and $\mu(t) > 0$ for $t \neq 0$. Further set $f = 3\gamma + 4\mu$, $g = 4\gamma + 3\mu$, $\varphi = \sqrt{f^2 + g^2}$. Then $f, g \in D$ and from the inequalities $5\gamma \leq \varphi \leq 5(\gamma + \mu)$ it follows easily that $\varphi \in D$. It is obvious that all the functions f, g , and φ are positive; since they are bounded, they belong to M . However, $(f/\varphi)(0) = \frac{3}{5}$ and $f/\varphi = \frac{4}{5}$ on S . Therefore $f/\varphi \notin A$.

5.13. Example 2. Let $x_2 > x_3 > \dots$, $x_n \rightarrow 0$, $x_{n+1}/x_n \rightarrow 1$. Set $Q = 5/\sqrt{2}$, $d_n = x_{n-1} - x_n$, $y_n = x_n + d_n/n$, $v_n = (x_n + x_{n-1})/2$, $w_n = v_n + d_n/n$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots$). Let f, g be functions on R continuous on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f = g = Q$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, $f = 3$, $g = 4$ on (y_n, v_n) , $f = 4$, $g = 3$ on (w_n, x_{n-1}) , $f \geq 3$, $g \geq 3$ on R and $\int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}} f = \int_{x_n}^{x_{n-1}} g = Qd_n$. (Such functions exist, because $Q > (3+4)/2$.) Set $S = \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} ((x_n, y_n) \cup (v_n, w_n))$. Since $x_{n+1}/x_n \rightarrow 1$, we have $f, g \in D$ and S has density 0 at 0. It is easy to see that the function $\varphi = \sqrt{f^2 + g^2}$ is locally integrable, $\varphi \in A$ (because $\varphi = 5$ on $(-\infty, x_2) \setminus S$) and that $f, g \notin A$.

5.14. Example 3. Let x_n, d_n, y_n be as in 5.13. Set $J_n = [x_n, y_n]$, $L_n = [x_n, x_{n-1}]$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots$). It is easy to construct a function v on R continuous on $(0, \infty)$ such that $v = 1$ on $(-\infty, 0]$, $v \geq \frac{1}{2}$ on R , $v = \frac{n}{2}$ on J_n (so that $\int_{J_n} v = d_n/2$) and $\int_{L_n} v = d_n$. Let μ be a nonconstant function continuous on $[0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 \mu = 0$, $\mu(0) = \mu(1) = 0$ and that $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$. Set $q = \int_0^1 \sqrt{1 + \mu^2}$ (so that $q > 1$). Define a function h on R setting $h(t) = \mu((t - x_n)/(y_n - x_n))$ for $t \in J_n$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots$) and $h(t) = 0$ elsewhere on R . Now set $f = v \cdot (3 + 4h)$, $g = v \cdot (4 - 3h)$, $\varphi = \sqrt{f^2 + g^2}$. Then $\varphi = 5v\sqrt{1 + h^2}$. It is easy to see that f, g , and φ are continuous on $R \setminus \{0\}$ and that $\int_{J_n} h = 0$, $\int_{J_n} \sqrt{1 + h^2} = q(y_n - x_n)$. Thus $\int_{J_n} vh = 0$ and $\int_{J_n} v \cdot \sqrt{1 + h^2} = qd_n/2$. It follows that $\int_{L_n} f = 3d_n$, $\int_{L_n} g = 4d_n$, $\int_{L_n} \varphi = 5d_n(q + 1)/2$. This combined with $x_{n+1}/x_n \rightarrow 1$ yields $f, g \in D$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \varphi = 5(q + 1)/2 > 5 = \varphi(0)$ so that $\varphi \notin D$. On $R \setminus \bigcup_{n=3}^{\infty} J_n$ we have $f \geq \frac{3}{2}$, $g \geq 2$, $f/\varphi = \frac{3}{5}$, $g/\varphi = \frac{4}{5}$; in particular, $f/\varphi, g/\varphi \in A$. The inequalities $-\frac{1}{2} \leq h \leq 1$ imply that $f \geq \frac{n}{2}$, $g \geq \frac{n}{2}$ on J_n . Thus $f > 1$, $g > 1$ on R .

REFERENCES

1. Jan Mařík and Clifford E. Weil, *Products of powers of nonnegative derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 361–373.
2. ———, *Sums of powers of derivatives*, Real Anal. Exchange **13** (1987–88), 180–182.
3. A. Bruckner, J. Mařík, and C. Weil, *Some aspects of products of derivatives*, Amer. Math. Monthly (to appear).