FULLY INDECOMPOSABLE EXPONENTS OF PRIMITIVE MATRICES

RICHARD A. BRUALDI AND BOLIAN LIU

(Communicated by Thomas H. Brylawski)

ABSTRACT. If A is a primitive matrix, then there is a smallest power of A (its fully indecomposable exponent) that is fully indecomposable, and a smallest power of A (its strict fully indecomposable exponent) starting from which all powers are fully indecomposable. We obtain bounds on these two exponents.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B_n denote the set of all matrices of order n over the Boolean algebra $\{0, 1\}$ where, in particular, $1 + 1 = 1$. Then B_n is a semigroup whose binary operation is ordinary matrix multiplication. Let J_n denote the matrix in B_n each of whose entries equals 1. A matrix $A \in B_n$ is *primitive* provided there is a positive integer k such that $A^k = J_n$; the least positive integer k satisfying $A^k = J_n$ is the *exponent* $e(A)$ of A . Exponents of primitive matrices have been well studied, and those numbers that are exponents of primitive matrices of order n have been completely determined [4, 6, 7]. In particular the largest exponent of a primitive matrix of order n is $e_n = n^2 - 2n + 2$. We denote the set of primitive matrices in B_n by P_n .

A matrix $A \in B_n$ is *partly decomposable* provided for some positive integers r and s with $r + s = n$, A has an r by s zero submatrix. A matrix in B_n that is not partly decomposable is called *fully indecomposable*. It is well known that a fully indecomposable matrix is primitive and the exponents of fully indecomposable matrices have been studied [3]. We denote the set of fully indecomposable matrices in B_n by F_n . Thus $F_n \subseteq P_n$. Moreover, it follows that

$$(1.1) \quad P_n = \{A : A \in B_n \text{ and } A^k \in F_n \text{ for some positive integer } k\}.$$

Received by the editors March 15, 1989.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05C20, 05C50, 15A48.

The first author's research was partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMS-8521521.

The second author's research was performed while a Visiting Scholar of the University of Wisconsin, Madison.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set B_n and the set Γ_n of digraphs with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. This correspondence is given as follows: If $A = [a_{ij}] \in B_n$, then $\Gamma(A)$ is the digraph in which there is an arc (i, j) from i to j if and only if $a_{ij} = 1$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$). We note that since A may have 1's on its main diagonal, the digraph $\Gamma(A)$ may have loops. We call a vertex i of a digraph a *loop-vertex* provided (i, i) is a loop of the digraph. We call a digraph in Γ_n a *primitive digraph* provided it corresponds to a primitive matrix. The following properties are direct consequences of the definitions:

- (1.2) If A is primitive, $\Gamma(A)$ is *strongly connected* (that is, for each pair of distinct vertices i and j , there is a walk from i to j);
- (1.3) A is primitive if and only if there is an integer k such that for each pair of distinct vertices i and j there is a walk in $\Gamma(A)$ of length k from i to j ;
- (1.4) $[1] A^k$ is fully indecomposable if and only if for each set X of r vertices with $0 < r < n$, there are at least $r + 1$ different vertices that can be reached by a walk of length k which starts at a vertex in X .

In addition the following is a well-known characterization of primitive matrices:

- (1.5) A is primitive if and only if the greatest common divisor of the lengths of all (elementary) cycles of $\Gamma(A)$ is 1.

Let A be a primitive matrix. It follows from (1.1) that there is a smallest positive integer k such that A^k is fully indecomposable; we denote the smallest such integer by $f(A)$. Schwarz [5] raised the question of determining the numbers

$$f_n = \max\{f(A) : A \in P_n\} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Before proceeding we observe an important difference that occurs in the investigation of the numbers $e(A)$ and $f(A)$ for primitive matrices A . If $A^k = J_n$, then because A can have no zero rows, $A^i = J_n$ for all $i \geq k$. However, if $A^k \in F_n$, then it does not necessarily follow that $A^i \in F_n$ for all $i \geq k$. For example, let

$$(1.6) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $A^i \notin F_7$ ($i = 1, \dots, 7$); $A^8, A^9 \in F_7$; $A^{10}, A^{11} \notin F_7$; and $A^i \in F_7$ ($i \geq 12$). (It follows (cf. (1.1)) that A is primitive since some power of A is

fully indecomposable.) For a primitive matrix A we define $f^*(A)$ to be the smallest positive integer k such that A^i is fully indecomposable for all $i \geq k$. We call $f(A)$ and $f^*(A)$, respectively, the *fully indecomposable exponent* and *strict fully indecomposable exponent* of the primitive matrix A . The matrix A in (1.6) satisfies $f(A) = 8$ and $f^*(A) = 12$. In general we have

$$(1.7) \quad f(A) \leq f^*(A) \leq e(A) \quad (A \in P_n).$$

We define

$$(1.8) \quad f_n^* = \max\{f^*(A) : A \in P_n\} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and hereby raise the question of determining the numbers f_n^* . Clearly $f_n \leq f_n^*$ ($n \geq 1$).

In what follows we obtain bounds for the numbers f_n and f_n^* .

2. BOUNDS ON THE EXPONENTS

Let A be a matrix in B_n with associated digraph $\Gamma(A)$, and let k be a nonnegative integer. For $X \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $R_k(X)$ denotes the set of all those vertices that can be *reached* by a walk of length k in $\Gamma(A)$ starting from a vertex in X . (If $k = 0$, $R_k(X) = X$.) A restatement of (1.4) is:

$$(2.1) \quad A^k \text{ is fully indecomposable if and only if } |R_k(X)| > |X| \text{ for all } X \text{ with } \emptyset \neq X \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Chao and Zhang [2] showed that $f(A) \leq n$ if $A \in P_n$ and $\text{trace}(A) \neq 0$. We refine this result to obtain an inequality for $f^*(A)$ in Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Γ be a strongly connected digraph with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let s be a positive integer, and let $Z = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ be a set of s loop-vertices of Γ . Then for each positive integer t ,*

$$(2.2) \quad |R_t(Z)| \geq \min\{s + t, n\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $R_t(Z) \neq \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since Γ is strongly connected, there is an arc (p, j) from some vertex $p \in R_t(Z)$ to a vertex $j \notin R_t(Z)$. Because $j \notin R_t(Z)$ there is a vertex in Z , say i_1 , such that the distance from i_1 to p is t and the distance from each of $i_2, \dots, i_s$ to p is at least t . Thus there is a walk $(i_1, \dots, p)$ of length t from i_1 to p containing $t+1$ distinct vertices all of which are different from $i_2, \dots, i_s$. Since $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$ are loop-vertices, we conclude that

$$|R_t(Z)| \geq (s-1) + (t+1) = s+t,$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Theorem 2.2. *Let s be a positive integer, and let A be a matrix in P_n having s 1's on its main diagonal. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad f^*(A) \leq n - s + 1.$$

Proof. Let Z be the set of s loop-vertices of the digraph $\Gamma(A)$, and let t be a positive integer. Let X be a set of vertices with $\phi \neq X \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, and let $k = |X|$. We show that

$$(2.4) \quad |R_t(X)| \geq |X| + 1 \quad \text{for } t \geq n - s + 1.$$

If $|R_t(X)| = n$, then (2.4) holds. We now suppose that $|R_t(X)| < n$. First assume that $X \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. By Lemma 2.1

$$|R_t(X)| \geq |R_t(X \cap Z)| \geq |X \cap Z| + t.$$

Hence if $t \geq n - s + 1$,

$$|R_t(X)| \geq |X \cap Z| + n - s + 1 \geq |X| + 1.$$

Now assume that $X \cap Z = \emptyset$. Let x^* be a vertex in X and let z^* be a vertex in Z such that x^* has the minimum distance d to z^* among all vertices x in X and z in Z . Then

$$d \leq n + 1 - |Z| - |X| = n + 1 - s - k.$$

Because z^* is a loop-vertex, there are walks of length t from x^* to each vertex in $R_k(z^*)$ for each integer $t \geq (n + 1 - s - k) + k = n + 1 - s$. Using Lemma 2.1 we obtain that

$$|R_t(X)| \geq |R_t(\{x^*\})| \geq |R_k(z^*)| \geq k + 1$$

for each integer $t \geq n + 1 - s$. Hence (2.4) holds, and using (1.4) we conclude that (2.3) holds. $\square$

It is easy to construct matrices A satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 for which equality holds in (2.3). Let s and n be positive integers with $s \leq n$, and let Γ be the digraph obtained by including a loop at each of s consecutive vertices of a simple cycle of length n . Then the matrix $A \in B_n$ whose associated digraph is Γ is in P_n and satisfies $f^*(A) = n + 1 - s$.

Corollary 2.3 (cf. [2]). *Let the matrix A in P_n have nonzero trace. Then*

$$(2.5) \quad f(A) \leq f^*(A) \leq n.$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let A be a matrix in P_n . Suppose that the digraph $\Gamma(A)$ has a cycle of length r and that there are s vertices which belong to at least one cycle of length r . Then*

$$(2.6) \quad f(A) \leq r(n - s + 1).$$

Proof. The matrix A^r has s 1's on its main diagonal, and hence by Theorem 2.2 $(A^r)^{n-s+1}$ is fully indecomposable. $\square$

The matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Corollary 2.4 with $r = 3$ and $s = 4$. Hence by (2.6), $f(A) \leq 3$. Since $A^2 \neq J_4$, $f(A) = 3$ and equality holds in (2.6).

We note the following consequences of Corollary 2.4:

(2.7) If $\Gamma(A)$ has a Hamilton cycle (so $r = s = n$), then $f(A) \leq n$.

(2.8) If A is symmetric (so $r = 2$ and $s = n$), then $f(A) \leq 2$.

Corollary 2.5. Let A be a matrix in P_n . Suppose that the digraph $\Gamma(A)$ has diameter d . Then $f(A) \leq 2d(n - d)$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma(A)$ is strongly connected, there is a cycle of length $r \leq 2d$ containing $s \geq d + 1$ distinct vertices. Hence by (2.6), $f(A) \leq 2d(n - d)$. $\square$

We now use Corollary 2.4 to obtain an upper bound on the numbers f_n ($n \geq 1$).

Theorem 2.6. $f_n \leq \lceil \frac{1}{4}(n - 1)(n + 3) \rceil$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Let A be a matrix in P_n . Since $\Gamma(A)$ is strongly connected, $\Gamma(A)$ has a simple cycle of length r for some r with $1 \leq r \leq n$. Let s be the number of vertices which belong to at least one cycle of length r . Then $s \geq r$ and by Corollary 2.4,

$$(2.9) \quad f(A) \leq r(n - s + 1) \leq r(n - r + 1).$$

For integral r , $r(n - r + 1)$ achieves its maximum when $r = (n + 1)/2$ (n odd) and $r = n/2$, $n/2 + 1$ (n even). Since A is primitive, then by (1.5) the greatest common divisor of the lengths of the cycles is 1. Hence if n is odd and $r = (n + 1)/2$, $\Gamma(A)$ has a cycle of length different from $(n + 1)/2$. We now obtain from (2.6) that

$$f(A) \leq \begin{cases} (n^2 + 2n)/4, & (n \text{ even}) \\ (n^2 + 2n - 3)/4, & (n \text{ odd}) \end{cases}$$

and the theorem follows. $\square$

The upper bound for f_n in Theorem 2.6 probably is not of the same order of magnitude as f_n . The example (1.6) can be generalized to all $n \geq 5$ to show that $f_n \geq 2n - 4$. Indeed it is tempting to conjecture that $f_n = 2n - 4$ ($n \geq 5$).

We now consider the maximum strict fully indecomposable exponent f_n^* . First we obtain by example a lower bound for f_n^* .

Suppose that k and n are integers with $n \geq 5$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 3$. Let A be the matrix in B_n whose associated digraph is pictured in Figure 1 (p. 1198). This digraph has cycles of lengths $n - k + 1$ and $n - k$, and hence by (1.5) $A \in P_n$. Let $X_k = \{n - k + 1, \dots, n\}$. One easily checks that

$$|R_{i(n-k)-1}(X_k)| = i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k).$$

Hence it follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.10) \quad f^*(A) \geq k(n - k).$$

FIGURE 1. A digraph.

Indeed one may show that $f^*(A) = k(n-k)$. Taking $k = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ in (2.10) we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad f_n^* \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil \quad (n \geq 5).$$

For a primitive matrix A in B_n , $f^*(A) \leq e(A) \leq e_n = n^2 - 2n + 2$ and hence it follows that

$$(2.12) \quad f_n^* \leq n^2 - 2n + 2.$$

Let $\lambda(A)$ denote the number of distinct lengths of the cycles of $\Gamma(A)$. It follows from (1.5) that $\lambda(A) \geq 2$ if $n > 1$. We now turn our attention to obtaining an improved bound for $f^*(A)$ in the case that $\lambda(A) = 2$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let Γ be a digraph in Γ_n , and let γ be a cycle of Γ of length r . If X is a set of vertices belonging to the cycle γ , then*

$$R_{ir+j}(X) \subseteq R_{(i+1)r+j}(X) \quad (i \geq 0; 0 \leq j \leq r-1).$$

Proof. If from some x in X there is a path of length $ir+j$ to a vertex z , then there is also a walk from x to z of length $(i+1)r+j$. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *Let Γ be a digraph in Γ_n , and let γ be a cycle of Γ of length r . Let X be the set of all vertices belonging to the cycle γ . Then*

$$R_i(X) \subseteq R_{i+1}(X) \quad (i \geq 0).$$

Proof. If from some vertex x of X there is a walk of length i to a vertex z , then there is a walk of length $i+1$ to z from the vertex which precedes x in Γ . $\square$

Corollary 2.9. *If Z is the set of vertices of Γ consisting of those vertices z for which there is a walk of length at most d to z from some vertex of the cycle γ , then $R_d(X) = Z$.*

Lemma 2.10. *Let r and s be relatively prime positive integers with $r > s$. Let Γ be a digraph in Γ_n with exactly two cycles γ and μ where γ has length r ,*

FIGURE 2. A digraph satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 2.10 with $n = 10$, $r = 8$, and $s = 5$.

μ has length s , and γ and μ intersect. Let X be a nonempty set of vertices of the cycle γ . Then

$$(2.13) \quad |R_i(X)| \geq \min\{n, |X| + l\} \quad \text{if } i \geq lr \text{ and } l \geq 1.$$

Proof. A digraph Γ satisfying the hypotheses is pictured in Figure 2. Let Z be the set of vertices of γ , so that $\phi \neq X \subseteq Z$. For $i \geq 1$ let $X^{(i)}$ denote the set of vertices of γ that are reachable from X by a walk in γ of length i . It follows that $X^{(i)} = X^{(j)}$ if $i \equiv j \pmod{r}$. We first show that for $X \neq Z$, we have $|R_r(X) \cap Z| > |X|$. Since $X = X^{(r)} \subseteq R_r(X) \cap Z$, it suffices to show that $(R_r(X) \setminus X) \cap Z$ is nonempty. Suppose this set were empty. Then making use of the cycle μ we see that $X^{(r-s)} \subseteq R_r(X)$ and hence $X^{(r-s)} = X$. This implies that $X = X^{(s)}$ which contradicts the fact that r and s are relatively prime. It follows that (2.12) holds if $i = lr$ and $l \geq 1$. It now suffices to show that (2.12) holds for $l = 1$ and $r + 1 \leq i < 2r$.

If $X = Z$, then using Lemma 2.8 we see that

$$|R_i(X)| \geq \min\{n, |X| + i\}$$

for all $i \geq 1$. Hence we may assume that $X \neq Z$. If $R_i(X) \not\subseteq Z$, then the desired conclusion holds. We now assume that $R_i(X) \subseteq Z$. Contrary to what we wish to prove, we assume that $|R_i(X)| = |X|$, from which it follows that $R_i(X) = X^{(i)}$. Since $R_i(X) \subseteq Z$, we have that $R_{i-s}(X) \subseteq R_i(X)$. It follows that $X^{(i-s)} \subseteq X^{(i)}$ and hence that $X^{(i-s)} = X^{(i)}$, implying that the set $Y = X^{(i-s)}$ of vertices of γ satisfies $Y^{(s)} = Y$. Again we contradict the assumption that r and s are relatively prime. The proof of the lemma is now complete. $\square$

We now obtain a bound for the strict fully indecomposable exponent $f^*(A)$ of a primitive matrix A for which the number $\lambda(A)$ of distinct cycle lengths of the digraph $\Gamma(A)$ equals 2.

Theorem 2.11. *Let A be a matrix in P_n satisfying $\lambda(A) = 2$. Then*

$$f^*(A) \leq \lfloor (n+1)^2/4 \rfloor.$$

Proof. Let the lengths of the cycles of $\Gamma(A)$ be r and s where $r > s$, and r and s are relatively prime. There exist cycles γ and μ of Γ with lengths r and s , respectively, such that γ and μ intersect. Let the digraph Γ^* consist of the vertices and arcs of γ and μ , and let m be the number of vertices of Γ^* . Let Y be a subset of the vertices of Γ with $1 \leq k = |Y| \leq n - 1$. First suppose that γ and μ above can be chosen so that Y contains $p \geq 1$ vertices of γ . Applying Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.9, we see that

$$|R_i(Y)| \geq k + 1 \quad (i \geq (k - p + 1)r).$$

Since $r \leq n - (k - p)$, an easy calculation shows that

$$(k - p + 1)r \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{4}(n + 1)^2 \rfloor.$$

Now suppose that γ and μ cannot be chosen so that γ contains a vertex of Y . In this case $r \leq n - k$. There is a walk of length t from some vertex in Y to some vertex x of γ where $t \leq n - r - k + 1$. Applying Lemma 2.10 and Corollary 2.9 again, we see that

$$|R_i(\{x\})| \geq k + 1 \quad (i \geq kr).$$

Hence

$$|R_i(Y)| \geq k + 1 \quad (i \geq kr + n - r - k + 1).$$

An easy calculation now shows that

$$kr + n - r - k + 1 \leq \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + 1.$$

It follows that for all Y with $\phi \neq Y \subsetneq X$,

$$|R_i(Y)| \geq |Y| + 1 \quad (i \geq \lfloor (n + 1)^2/4 \rfloor).$$

Hence A^i is fully indecomposable for all integers $i \geq \lfloor (n + 1)^2/4 \rfloor$. $\square$

We conclude with the following remark. Let A be a primitive matrix of order n . The exponent $e(A)$ of A satisfies $e(A) \leq n^2 - 2n + 2$. If $\lambda(A) \geq 3$, then by a theorem of Lewin and Vitek [4], $e(A) \leq \lfloor (n^2 - 2n + 2)/2 \rfloor + 1$. In particular, the largest exponent for matrices in P_n occurs among those matrices with $\lambda(A) = 2$. We conjecture that the strict fully indecomposable exponent behaves in a similar way and thus that

$$f_n^* \leq \lfloor (n + 1)^2/4 \rfloor.$$

In view of (2.11) the validity of this latter inequality would imply that f_n^* is roughly $n^2/4$.

REFERENCES

1. C. Y. Chao, *On a conjecture of the semigroup of fully indecomposable relations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **27** (1977), 591–597.
2. C. Y. Chao and M. C. Zhang, *On the semigroup of fully indecomposable relations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **33** (1983), 314–319.

3. M. Lewin, *Bounds for exponents of doubly stochastic primitive matrices*, Math. Z. **137** (1974), 21–30.
4. M. Lewin and Y. Vitek, *A system of gaps in the exponent set of primitive matrices*, Illinois J. Math. **25** (1981), 87–98.
5. S. Schwarz, *The semigroup of fully indecomposable relations and Hall relations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **23** (1973), 151–163.
6. J. Y. Shao, *On a conjecture about the exponent set of primitive matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **65** (1985), 91–123.
7. K. M. Zhang, *On Lewin and Vitek's conjecture about the exponent set of primitive matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **96** (1987), 101–108.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WISCONSIN 53706
E-mail address: brualdi@math.wisc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, PEOPLE'S
REPUBLIC OF CHINA