

REGULAR STATES AND COUNTABLE ADDITIVITY ON QUANTUM LOGICS

ANATOLIY DVUREČENSKIY, TIBOR NEUBRUNN, AND SYLVIA PULMANNOVÁ

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We give a counterexample of the result of Béaver and Cook concerning a generalization of the Alexandroff theorem for regular, finitely-additive states on quantum logics using states on the system of all splitting subspaces of an incomplete inner-product space. Moreover, we introduce another type of state regularity which entails countable additivity of states on logics.

1. INTRODUCTION

We recall that a *quantum logic* is a poset L with the minimal and maximal elements 0 and 1, respectively, and with the unary operation (named orthocomplementation) $\perp: L \rightarrow L$ such that

- (i) $(a^\perp)^\perp = a$, for any $a \in L$;
- (ii) if $a \leq b$, then $b^\perp \leq a^\perp$;
- (iii) $a \vee a^\perp = 1$, for any $a \in L$;
- (iv) if $a \leq b^\perp$, then $a \vee b \in L$; and
- (v) if $a \leq b$, then $b = a \vee (b \wedge a^\perp)$ (orthomodular property).

(\vee and \wedge denote the operations sup and inf.) We note that, in view of (i)–(iv), if $a \leq b$, then $b \wedge a^\perp$ exists in L . We say that two elements a and b of L are *orthogonal*, denoted $a \perp b$, if $a \leq b^\perp$. If L has the property that any sequence $\{a_n\}$ of mutually orthogonal elements of L has a supremum, $\bigvee_{n=1}^\infty a_n$, in L , then L is called a σ -quantum logic.

A *Boolean algebra* is a poset \mathcal{B} containing the minimal and maximal elements 0 and 1, respectively, such that \mathcal{B} is equipped with the operation of complementation \perp satisfying (i) and (ii) of the definition of quantum logic and has the additional properties

$$(L) \quad a \vee b \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{for any } a, b \in \mathcal{B} \quad (\text{lattice property})$$

(so that any nonempty finite subset of \mathcal{B} has supremum and infimum)

$$(D) \quad (a \vee b) \wedge c = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c) \quad (\text{distributive property}).$$

Received by the editors November 12, 1989 and, in revised form, March 28, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*). Primary 28A60, 81C20, 46C10.

Key words and phrases. Quantum logic, state, regular state, countable additivity, inner product space, splitting subspace.

It is well known that every Boolean algebra is isomorphic to some algebra of sets.

A nonempty subset \mathcal{B} of a quantum logic L is a *Boolean subalgebra* of L if (i) $0, 1 \in \mathcal{B}$; (ii) $a \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $a^\perp \in \mathcal{B}$; and (L) and (D) hold in \mathcal{B} .

A *state* (more precisely, a finitely-additive state) on a quantum logic L is a mapping $m: L \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that (i) $m(1) = 1$; (ii) $m(a \vee b) = m(a) + m(b)$ whenever $a \perp b$. A state m is *countably additive* if $m(\bigvee_{n=1}^\infty a_n) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty m(a_n)$ whenever $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of mutually orthogonal elements and $\bigvee_{n=1}^\infty a_n \in L$. A state m is *completely additive* if $m(\bigvee_{t \in T} a_t) = \sum_{t \in T} m(a_t)$ whenever $\{a_t : t \in T\}$ is a system of mutually orthogonal elements of L and $\bigvee_{t \in T} a_t \in L$.

In the present paper, we show that the proof of Béaver and Cook [2] on regular states contains a gap, and we present an example of a regular, finitely-additive state that is not countably additive. On the other hand, we give a new type of state regularity that will entail the countable additivity.

2. REGULAR STATES

Let \mathcal{P} be a nonvoid subset of a quantum logic L . A state m is called \mathcal{P} -*regular* (more precisely, \mathcal{P} -*regular in the sense of Béaver and Cook*), if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $b \in L$ there exists an $a \in \mathcal{P}$ with $a \leq b$ and $m(b \wedge a^\perp) < \varepsilon$.

One of the most important examples of a quantum logic is the system $L(H)$ of all closed subspaces of a real or complex Hilbert space H , which is a complete lattice and plays a considerable role in the axiomatic model of quantum mechanics (see, e.g., [15]). The famous theorem of Gleason [8] asserts that any countably-additive state m on $L(H)$, $3 \leq \dim H \leq \aleph_0$, is of the following form

$$(2.1) \quad m(M) = \operatorname{tr}(TP^M), \quad M \in L(H),$$

where T is a positive operator of the trace class on H and P^M is the orthogonal projector from H onto M .

More generally, let S be a real or complex inner-product space with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . By a subspace of S we shall understand a linear closed subspace of S . For any subspace M of S , M^\perp denotes the set of all $x \in S$ such that $(x, y) = 0$ for all $y \in M$. We denote by $E(S)$ the set of all subspaces M of S such that $M + M^\perp = S$. Then $L = E(S)$ is a quantum logic which contains any complete and, therefore, any finite-dimensional subspace. Moreover, $E(S)$ is a σ -quantum logic iff S is complete [6].

Let $\mathcal{P}^\perp = \{a^\perp : a \in \mathcal{P}\}$. An element $b \in \mathcal{P}$ is called *finitely coverable* if, for any sequence $\{a_1^\perp, a_2^\perp, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}^\perp$ such that $\bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_k^\perp$ exists in L and $b \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty a_k^\perp$, there is an integer n such that $\bigvee_{k=1}^n a_k^\perp$ exists in L and $b \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^n a_k^\perp$. \mathcal{P} is called *finitely coverable* if each element of \mathcal{P} is finitely coverable.

Béaver and Cook [2] presented the following result: Let L be a σ -quantum logic and $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L$ be finitely coverable such that \mathcal{P}^\perp contains the join of any sequence in \mathcal{P}^\perp . Then any \mathcal{P} -regular state on L is countably additive.

Unfortunately their proof is incorrect, because they used the subadditivity of a state (i.e., $m(a) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(a_i)$ if $a \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n a_i$), which is invalid, in general, in quantum logics (consider, for example, a state of the form (2.1)). Also, the assumption that L is a σ -quantum logic was not used in the proof. If m is subadditive—for example, if L is a Boolean algebra—their proof works.

Below we present an example of a quantum logic L , a finitely-coverable subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L$ such that \mathcal{P}^\perp contains the join of any sequence in \mathcal{P}^\perp , and a \mathcal{P} -regular state m on L that is not countably additive. In particular, it shows that \mathcal{P} -regularity is not a sufficient condition for countable additivity.

Counterexample 2.1. Let S be an inner-product space. For any $x \in S$, $\|x\| = 1$, the mapping m_x on $E(S)$ defined via

$$(2.2) \quad m_x(M) = \|x_M\|^2, \quad M \in E(S),$$

if $x = x_M + x_{M^\perp}$, where $x_M \in M$, $x_{M^\perp} \in M^\perp$, is a state on the quantum logic $L = E(S)$. The system $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ of all finite-dimensional subspaces is finitely coverable. If S is a separable, incomplete inner-product space, then any m_x is a \mathcal{P} -regular state which is not countably additive.

Proof. If M and N are mutually orthogonal, splitting subspaces of S , then $M + N = M \vee N \in E(S)$ (see, e.g., [9, 5]). Hence, it is simple to verify that any m_x is a state of a quantum logic $L = E(S)$.

Now we show that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(S)$ is finitely coverable. In fact, any $M \in E(S)$ is finitely coverable by \mathcal{P}^\perp . To see this, let $M \in E(S)$ and let $\{M_1^\perp, M_2^\perp, \dots\}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{P}^\perp such that $M \subseteq \bigvee_{k=1}^\infty M_k^\perp$ (the latter join belongs always to $E(S)$). Each M_k^\perp is a subspace of finite codimension. If the integer n_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, is the codimension of $M_1^\perp \vee \dots \vee M_k^\perp \in E(S)$, then $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$. Thus, for some i , $n_i = n_{i+1} = \dots$ and $M \leq \bigvee_{k=1}^i M_k^\perp$.

Suppose that S is separable and incomplete. It is straightforward to show that m_x is of the form

$$(2.3) \quad m_x(M) = \|P^{\overline{M}}x\|^2, \quad M \in E(S),$$

where $P^{\overline{M}}$ is the orthoprojector from the completion \overline{S} of S onto the completion \overline{M} of M . The separability of S entails the existence of an orthonormal basis (ONB) $\{x_n\}$ in any splitting subspace M of S . It is simple to show that $\{x_n\}$ is an ONB in \overline{M} , too. Therefore, $m_x(M) = \|P^{\overline{M}}x\|^2 = \|\sum_n P_{x_n}x\|^2 = \sum_n \|P_{x_n}x\|^2$, where P_u is an orthogonal projection onto one-dimensional subspace spanned by a nonzero vector $u \in S$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a finite-dimensional subspace $N = \text{sp}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subseteq M$, where sp denotes the span over x_1, \dots, x_n such that $m_x(M \cap N^\perp) < \varepsilon$.

Since S is incomplete and separable, there is a maximal orthonormal set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in S that is not a basis (see, for example [11]). Consequently, there is a $z \in S$ such that $1 = \|z\|^2 \neq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |(z, x_i)|^2$. Therefore, $m_z(S) = \|z\|^2 \neq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |(z, x_i)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^\infty m_z(P_{x_i})$, although $\bigvee_{i=1}^\infty P_{x_i} = S$, and m_z is not countably additive. Actually, in this case $E(S)$ does not possess any countably-additive states, as a consequence of the result of [6] saying that S is complete iff $E(S)$ has at least one countably-additive state (completely additive for general S). Therefore, any of the states m_x is a \mathcal{P} -regular state but not countably additive. Q.E.D.

On the other hand, we show below that on a very important quantum logic, $L(H)$ of a Hilbert space H , the assertion of Béaver and Cook is correct, even when a finitely-additive state is not subadditive.

Theorem 2.2. *A finitely-additive state m on $L(H)$, $\dim H \geq 3$, is of the form (2.1) iff m is $\mathcal{P}(S)$ -regular (in the sense of Béaver and Cook).*

Proof. Suppose that m is of the form (2.1). Then m is completely additive. Let M be an arbitrary element of $L(H)$ and an ONB $\{f_i\}$ in M . Due to the complete additivity of m , there is a sequence $\{f_n\} \subseteq \{f_i\}$ such that $m(M) = \sum_n m(P_{f_n})$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer k sufficiently large for which $N = \text{sp}(f_1, \dots, f_k)$ has the property $m(M \cap N^\perp) = m(M) - m(N) = \sum_{n=k+1}^\infty m(P_{f_n}) < \varepsilon$.

Now let m be a $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regular (in the sense of Béaver and Cook), finitely-additive state on $L(H)$. Due to the result by Aarnes [1, Proposition 2, p. 609], any finitely-additive state on $L(H)$ is uniquely decomposed into the sum $m = m_1 + m_2$, where m_1 is a completely-additive state and m_2 is a finitely-additive state that vanishes on finite-dimensional subspaces of H . Due to the Maeda theorem [12] or Aarnes [1], m_1 is of the form (2.1) for some positive operator of trace class on H . The $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regularity of m and m_1 entails $m_2 = 0$. Thus, if m is a $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regular state on $L(H)$, then $m = m_1$ and m is of the form (2.1). Q.E.D.

A system of states, \mathcal{M} , of a quantum logic L is full if the following condition is satisfied: If $m(a) \leq m(b)$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, then $a \leq b$.

Example 2.3. There is a lattice σ -quantum logic L with a full system of countably-additive states on L , a subquantum logic L_0 of L that is not a lattice, and a finitely-coverable system $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L_0$ such that the restriction of any $m \in \mathcal{M}$ onto L_0 is a \mathcal{P} -regular state which is not countably additive.

Proof. Let S be an incomplete, separable inner-product space. Put $L = L(\bar{S})$, and for any $M \in E(S)$ define $\varphi(M) = \bar{M} \in L$. Then

- (i) if $M \neq N$, then $\varphi(M) \neq \varphi(N)$;
- (ii) $\varphi(M \vee N) = \varphi(M) \vee \varphi(N)$ if $M \perp N$; and
- (iii) $\varphi(M^\perp) = \varphi(M)^{\perp \bar{S}} = \{x \in \bar{S} : (x, y) = 0 \text{ for each } y \in \varphi(M)\}$.

If we put $L_0 = \{\varphi(M) : M \in E(S)\}$, then L_0 is a subquantum logic of L that is isomorphic to $E(S)$. The system $\mathcal{M} = \{w_x : x \in S, \|x\| = 1\}$, where w_x is a mapping on L defined in a manner analogous to m_x in (2.2), is a full system of countably-additive states on L . Indeed, let $w_x(M) \leq w_x(N)$, $x \in S$, $\|x\| = 1$, then we have $w_x(M) = (P^M x, x)$, $M \in L(\bar{S})$, where P^M is the orthoprojector from \bar{S} onto \bar{M} . Therefore, for all vectors x from S we have $(P^M x, x) \leq (P^N x, x)$, so that $(P^M x, x) \leq (P^N x, x)$ for all $x \in \bar{S}$; i.e., $M \subseteq N$.

A finitely-coverable system \mathcal{P} is defined as $\mathcal{P} = \{\varphi(M) : M \in E(S), \dim M < \infty\}$. Following the lines of Counterexample 2.1 and noting that $w_x(\varphi(M)) = m_x(M)$ for each $M \in E(S)$, we see that $w_x|_{L_0}$ is \mathcal{P} -regular but is not countable additive. Q.E.D.

3. ALEXANDROFF'S THEOREM ON QUANTUM LOGICS

Now we introduce another type of state regularity that will imply countable additivity. Let \mathcal{P} be a nonvoid subset of a quantum logic L . We say that a state m is \mathcal{P} -regular if, for every sequence $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of mutually orthogonal

elements of L such that $q = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n$ exists in L , there is a block $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L$ (i.e., a maximal Boolean subalgebra of L) such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $r \in \{q, q_1^\perp, q_2^\perp, \dots\}$, there exists a $p \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{P}$ with $p \leq r$ and $m(r \wedge p^\perp) < \varepsilon$.

It is evident that any \mathcal{P} -regular state is a \mathcal{P} -regular state in the sense of Béaver and Cook. The converse assertion is not true, in general, as we shall see below.

If L is a Boolean algebra, then both notions coincide.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a quantum logic, $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L$ be finitely coverable, and \mathcal{P}^\perp contain the join of any sequence in \mathcal{P}^\perp . Then any \mathcal{P} -regular state m on L is countably additive.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [2]. Let $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthogonal sequence in L with $q = \bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n$ in L . Then $q \geq \bigvee_{i=1}^n q_i$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $m(q) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n m(q_i)$, so that $m(q) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(q_i)$. For $\{q_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ there is a block \mathcal{B} of L such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a sequence $\{p_1, p_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{P}$ with $p_n \leq q_n^\perp$ and $m(q_n^\perp \wedge p_n^\perp) < \varepsilon/2^n$. Also, there exists a $p \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{P}$ with $p \leq q$ and $m(q \wedge p^\perp) < \varepsilon$. Thus, $p^\perp \geq q^\perp = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i^\perp \geq \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$, so $p \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^\perp$. Since \mathcal{P} is finitely coverable, there exists an integer k such that $p \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^k p_i^\perp$. Also, we have $m(p_n^\perp) = m(q_n) + m(q_n^\perp \wedge p_n^\perp)$, and this implies $m(p_n^\perp) - \varepsilon/2^n \leq m(q_n)$. Similarly, $m(p) + \varepsilon \geq m(q)$. Using the subadditivity of m in Boolean subalgebras, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(q_n) &\geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(p_n^\perp) - \varepsilon \geq \sum_{n=1}^k m(p_n^\perp) - \varepsilon \geq m(p) - \varepsilon \\ &\geq m(q) - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m(q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(q_n)$. Q.E.D.

Let $L = L(H)$ be the quantum logic of a Hilbert space H , and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(H)$ be the system of all finite-dimensional subspaces of H . Then any countably-additive state m on L of the form $m(M) = \text{tr}(TP^M)$, $M \in L(H)$, where T is a positive operator of trace equal to 1, is \mathcal{P} -regular, and m, \mathcal{P}, L satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, in view of Theorem 2.2, the $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regularity and the $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regularity (in the sense of Béaver and Cook) coincide on $L(H)$ if $\dim H \geq 3$. On the other hand, we recall that any countably additive state on $L(H)$ is of the form (2.1) iff the dimension of H is a nonmeasurable cardinal $\neq 2$ ([4, 7]).

Example 3.2. Let S be an incomplete, separable inner-product space. For any unit vector $x \in \bar{S}$ we define a mapping $m_x: E(S) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ via $m_x(M) = \|\bar{P}^M x\|^2$, $M \in E(S)$, and let \mathcal{P} be the system of all finite-dimensional subspaces of S . Then m_x is \mathcal{P} -regular in the sense of Béaver and Cook and not \mathcal{P} -regular. This follows from the result in [6] saying that S is complete iff $E(S)$ possesses at least one countably additive state.

Corollary 3.3. *Let S be of a countable orthogonal dimension (i.e., the cardinality of any maximal orthonormal system in S is countable). S is complete iff $E(S)$ possesses at least one \mathcal{P} -regular state, where \mathcal{P} is the system of all finite-dimensional subspaces of S .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.1 and the result in [6]. Q.E.D.

We note that according to [3, pp. 21, 38], the range of the observable corresponding to the momentum operator is a block in $L = L(H)$ that does not contain nonzero finite-dimensional subspaces. Therefore, not every block in $L(H)$ may be used for an approximation of a $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regular state.

4. REGULARITY ON σ -CLASSES

Now we exhibit the problem of the countable additivity of regular states on a special type of σ -quantum logics that are called σ -classes, and we present two results in this direction.

Let X be a nonempty set. A σ -class L of subsets of X is a collection of subsets of X that satisfy the following:

- (i) $X \in L$;
- (ii) if $E \in L$, then $E^c : X - E \in L$;
- (iii) if $A_i \in L$, $i \geq 1$, are mutually disjoint, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in L$.

The set L may be regarded as a partially ordered set, where the partial ordering is defined by the set-theoretical inclusion, and $A^\perp = A^c$. It is easy to check that L is a σ -quantum logic, where \sup and \inf , $F \vee G$ and $F \wedge G$, respectively, are defined in the usual way relative to L . Note, however, that $F \vee G$ ($F \wedge G$) need not equal $F \cup G$ ($F \cap G$) even if the former exist in L ; they are equal if the latter are in L .

In the following two examples, we show that the subadditivity does not hold, in general, relative neither to \cup nor to \vee .

Example 4.1 [10, p. 71]. Let $X = [0, 6]$ and $L = \{\emptyset, X, A, B, C, A^c, B^c, C^c\}$, where $A = [0, 4]$, $B = [2, 5]$, $C = [0, 1] \cup [2, 3] \cup [5, 6]$. Now we define the state m on L as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\emptyset) &= 0, & m(A) &= m(B) = m(C) = 1/4, \\ m(A^c) &= m(B^c) = m(C^c) = 3/4, & m(X) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $1 = m(X) = m(A \cup B \cup C) > 3/4 = m(A) + m(B) + m(C)$, so that m is not subadditive.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $L = \{\emptyset, X, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$, $m(\emptyset) = 0$, $m(X) = 1$, $m(\{1, 2\}) = m(\{3, 4\}) = 1/2$, $m(\{1, 3\}) = 1/3$, $m(\{2, 4\}) = 2/3$. Then

$$1 = m(X) = m(\{1, 2\} \vee \{1, 3\}) > m(\{1, 2\}) + m(\{1, 3\}) = 5/6.$$

On the other hand, any m satisfies the condition of subadditivity (with respect to the union \cup) for two sets $A, B \in L$ if $A \cup B \in L$ [10, p. 71].

Now we show that the proof of the result of Béaver and Cook works in the case of σ -classes in the “almost” original formulation. Namely, the following is true:

Theorem 4.3. *Let L be a σ -class of subsets of a set $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq L$ be finitely coverable (with respect to \vee) and contain the union of any sequence in it. Then any \mathcal{P} -regular state m (in the sense of Béaver and Cook) on L is countably additive.*

Proof. We show that m is \mathcal{P} -regular. Since \mathcal{P} is closed with respect to any union of elements from \mathcal{P} , we conclude by [13] that there is a Boolean subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L$ containing \mathcal{P} . Without loss of generality, we may assume

that \mathcal{B} is a block of question which is necessary for the validity of Theorem 3.1. Q.E.D.

A different approach to that of Alexandroff for a criterion of σ -additivity of a set function defined on a σ -algebra of subsets of a set X is that of E. Marczewski [14]. In this case, no topology on X is supposed. We show that such an approach may be applied to σ -classes.

A collection \mathcal{K} of subsets of a set $X \neq \emptyset$ is said to be compact [14] if for any sequence $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of elements of \mathcal{K} we have $K_1 \cap K_2 \cap \dots \cap K_n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 1$, imply $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$. Let L be a σ -class of subsets of a set X and m be a state on L . We say that m is compact (with respect to \mathcal{K}), provided that for any $E \in L$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and an $F \in L$, such that $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$ and $m(E \cap F^c) < \varepsilon$.

Denote $\tilde{L} = \{E \cap F^c : E \in L, F \in L, E \supseteq F \text{ and there is a } K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that } E \supseteq K \supseteq F\}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let L be a σ -class of subsets of a set X . Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq 2^X$ be compact, m a compact state on L , and L contain every finite union of elements from \tilde{L} . Then m is countably additive.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\lim_n m(E_n) = 0$ for each decreasing sequence $\{E_n\}$ in L such that $\bigcap_n E_n = \emptyset$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and for any $n \geq 1$, choose a $K_n \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $E_n \supseteq K_n \supseteq F_n$, where $F_n \in L$ and $m(E_n \cap F_n^c) < \varepsilon/2^n$. Evidently $\emptyset = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \supseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Therefore, there is an integer n_0 such that, for $n > n_0$, we have $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_i = \emptyset$; hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F_i = \emptyset$.

As in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we conclude that \tilde{L} is contained in a Boolean subalgebra of L , so that m is subadditive on it. Therefore, for any $n > n_0$, we have

$$m(E_n) = m\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = m\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n E_i - \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_i\right) \leq m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (E_i \cap F_i^c)\right) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $\lim_n m(E_n) = 0$, which entails the σ -additivity of M on L . Q.E.D.

Remark. Observe that in Theorem 4.4, \mathcal{K} need not be contained in L .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the referee for his very valuable comments that enabled us to improve our paper. In particular, we are indebted to him for Theorem 2.2; in the original version, it was posed as an open problem whether the $\mathcal{P}(H)$ -regularity in the sense of Béaver and Cook implies the countable additivity.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Aarnes, *Quasi-states on C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 601–625.
2. O. R. Béaver and T. A. Cook, *States on quantum logics and their connection with a theorem of Alexandroff*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1977), 133–134.
3. E. G. Beltrametti and G. Cassinelli, *The logic of quantum mechanics*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
4. A. Dvurečenskij, *Converse of the Eilers-Horst theorem*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **26** (1987), 609–612.

5. —, *Completeness of inner product spaces and quantum logic of splitting subspaces*, Lett. Math. Phys. **15** (1988), 231–235.
6. A. Dvurečenskij and S. Pulmannová, *State on splitting subspaces and completeness of inner product spaces*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **27** (1988), 1059–1067.
7. M. Eilers and E. Horst, *The theorem of Gleason for non-separable Hilbert space*, Internat. J. Theoret. Phys. **13** (1975), 419–424.
8. A. M. Gleason, *Measures on the closed subspaces of a Hilbert space*, J. Math. Mech. **6** (1957), 447–452.
9. H. Gross and A. Keller, *On the definition of Hilbert space*, Manuscripta Math. **23** (1977), 67–90.
10. S. P. Gudder, *Stochastic methods in quantum mechanics*, Elsevier, New York, 1979.
11. S. P. Gudder and S. Holland, Jr., *Second correction to 'Inner product spaces'*, Amer. Math. Monthly **82** (1975), 818.
12. G. Kalmbach, *Orthomodular lattices*, Academic Press, London, 1983.
13. T. Neubrunn and S. Pulmannová, *On compatibility in quantum logics*, Acta Fac. Univ. Comenian Math. **42/43** (1983), 153–168.
14. E. Marczewski, *On compact measures*, Fund. Math. **40** (1953), 113–124.
15. V. S. Varadarajan, *Geometry of quantum theory*, vol. 1, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1968.

(A. Dvurečenskij and T. Neubrunn) DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY AND MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, COMENIUS UNIVERSITY, MLYNSKA DOLINA, CS-842 15 BRATISLAVA, CZECHOSLOVAKIA

Current address: A. Dvurečenskij: Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Štefánikova 49, CS-814 73 Bratislava, Czechoslovakia

(S. Pulmannová) MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SLOVAK ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŠTEFÁNIKOVA 49, CS-814 73 BRATISLAVA, CZECHOSLOVAKIA