MAJORIZATION AND DOMINATION IN THE BERGMAN SPACE

BORIS KORENBLUM AND KENDALL RICHARDS

(Communicated by Theodore W. Gamelin)

ABSTRACT. Let f and g be functions analytic on the unit disk and let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Bergman norm. Conditions are identified under which there exists an absolute constant c, with 0 < c < 1, such that the relationship $|g(z)| \le |f(z)|$ $(c \le |z| < 1)$ will imply $||g|| \le ||f||$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb C$ denote the complex plane, $\mathbb D$ the open unit disk, and $L^2(\mathbb D)$ the Hilbert space of all measurable functions $f: \mathbb D \to \mathbb C$ with

$$||f||^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 dm < \infty,$$

where dm denotes the Lebesgue area measure. The Bergman space A_2 is defined to be the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{D})$ consisting of functions analytic on \mathbb{D} .

Let f and g be analytic on \mathbb{D} . We say g is *majorized* by f on a region $R \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ if $|g(z)| \le |f(z)|$ for all $z \in R$. By the positivity of dm, if g is majorized by f on \mathbb{D} then certainly

$$||g|| \le ||f||.$$

What other cases of majorization will imply (1)? In particular, when does majorization on an annulus imply (1)? That is, we investigate the existence of an absolute constant c, with 0 < c < 1, such that if

(2)
$$|g(z)| \le |f(z)|$$
 $(c \le |z| < 1)$

then

$$||g|| \le ||f||.$$

In the case that either function is a monomial z^n , it has been shown (see [3]) that (2) implies (3) for any $c \le 1/\sqrt{3}$.

For arbitrary f and g analytic on \mathbb{D} , let Z_f and Z_g denote the zero sets (counting multiplicity) of f and g, respectively. If $Z_f \setminus Z_g$ is empty, then (2) implies (3) for any $c \in (0, 1)$, by the classical maximum principle.

Received by the editors May 16, 1991. Presented at AMS Special Session on Bergman Spaces in Baltimore, Maryland, on January 10, 1992.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C80, 30H05.

Furthermore, it is known (see [1]) that when $Z_g \setminus Z_f$ is empty (2) will again imply (3) for $c \le 1/(2e^2)$.

We seek conditions under which majorization on an annulus of inner radius $c \in (0, 1)$ will imply (3), without requiring either relative complementary zero set to be empty. We will show that such a c exists when $Z_g \setminus Z_f$ and $Z_f \setminus Z_g$ are separated by an annulus.

2. Preliminary results

First we present some definitions and preliminary facts that will be appealed to throughout this article (see [1, 2]). Let H^{∞} be the space of all bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} , with

$$||h||_{\infty} = \sup\{|h(z)| | z \in \mathbb{D}\}.$$

For $G, F \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$, we say that G is dominated by F if $||Gh|| \le ||Fh||$ for all $h \in H^{\infty}$, and we write $G \prec F$. It follows that if $G \prec F$ and $G, F \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$, then $||Gh|| \le ||Fh||$ for all $h \in A_2$. The following properties are direct consequences of the definition of domination.

Property 1. If $G, F \in L^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $G \prec F$, then $G \circ \phi \prec F \circ \phi$ for all Möbius transformations ϕ on \mathbb{D} .

Property 2. If G_i , $F_i \in H^{\infty}$ and $G_i \prec F_i$ for i = 1, ..., n, then

$$(G_1G_2, \ldots, G_n) \prec (F_1F_2, \ldots, F_n).$$

We denote $B_a(z) = \bar{a}(a-z)/[|a|(1-\bar{a}z)]$ for $a \in \mathbb{D}$, $a \neq 0$, and $B_0(z) = -z$.

Proposition 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then

(4)
$$|B_a|^{\gamma((1+|a|)/(1-|a|))} \prec |z|^{\gamma}$$
,

and

(5)
$$\exp\{-2\gamma(1+z)/(1-z)\} \prec |z|^{\gamma}$$
.

Corollary 1. Let $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and define $\alpha = (1-|a|)/(1+|a|)$, $\beta = (1+|a|)/(1-|a|)$. Then $|z|^{\beta} \prec B_a \prec |z|^{\alpha}$.

(Proposition 1 is proved in [2] while Corollary 1 follows from (4) and Property 1.)

Corollary 2. Let $B(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} B_{a_k}(z)$, $|a_k| < 1$, and let

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\left[\frac{1 + |a_k|}{1 - |a_k|} \right] + 1 \right) ,$$

where $N < \infty$ and $[\cdot]$ denotes the greatest integer function. Then $|z|^{\beta} \prec B$. Proof. By Corollary 1, we have for each k that $|z|^{\beta_k} \prec B_{a_k}$ where $\beta_k = (1+|a_k|)/(1-|a_k|)$. Since $\beta_k \leq ([\beta_k]+1)$, we have

$$z^{([\beta_k]+1)} \prec B_{a_k}.$$

Since both sides of (6) are in H^{∞} , we can apply Property 2 for k = 1, ..., N, which yields $|z|^{\beta} \prec B$. This proves the corollary. \square

Proposition 2 (see [1]). Suppose $G \in H^{\infty}$, $||G||_{\infty} \leq 1$, and $G(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $|G(0)| \leq e^{-2\gamma}$ for $\gamma > 0$, then $G \prec |z|^{\gamma}$.

Proof. We have

$$G(z) = \lambda \exp \left\{ - \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} \frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z} d\mu(\zeta) \right\} ,$$

where $d\mu$ is a positive Borel measure on $\partial \mathbb{D}$, with $\mu(\partial \mathbb{D}) = -\log |G(0)| \ge 2\gamma$, and $|\lambda| = 1$. Let $\eta = \mu(\partial \mathbb{D})$. Using the generalized arithmetic-geometric mean inequality combined with the Fubini Theorem and (5), we obtain for all $h \in A_2$

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |Gh|^2 dm = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \exp\left\{-2\eta \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \cdot \frac{d\mu(t)}{\eta}\right\} |h(z)|^2 dm_z$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \exp\left\{\frac{-2\eta(e^{it} + z)}{e^{it} - z}\right\} \left| \frac{d\mu(t)}{\eta} \right| |h(z)|^2 dm_z \right]$$

$$= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \exp\left\{\frac{-\eta(e^{it} + z)}{e^{it} - z}\right\} h(z) \right|^2 dm_z \frac{d\mu(t)}{\eta}$$

$$\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{\eta} |h(z)|^2 dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2\eta} |h(z)|^2 dm. \quad \square$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $G \in H^{\infty}$ be such that $||G||_{\infty} \le 1$ and $G(z) \ne 0$ for all |z| < c for some $c \in (0, 1)$. If $|G(0)| < (c^{(1+c)/(1-c)})^{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma > 0$, then $G < |z|^{\gamma}$.

(It is interesting to note that Proposition 2 is the limiting case of Theorem 1 since $c^{(1+c)/(1-c)} \to e^{-2}$ as $c \to 1^-$.) We postpone the proof of Theorem 1 until the end of the article. Once this theorem is proved, we can obtain the following results.

Theorem 2. Let $B(z)=\prod_{k=1}^N B_{a_k}(z)$, where $|a_k|\leq c<\frac{1}{3}$. Suppose $G\in H^\infty$, $\|G\|_\infty\leq 1$, and $G(z)\neq 0$ for all |z|< d, with d>c. If

$$|G(0)| \le (d^{(1+d)/(1-d)})^{2N}$$

then $G \prec B$.

Proof. We have that $G \prec |z|^{2N}$, by Theorem 1. Since $|a_k| < \frac{1}{3}$, it follows that

$$\beta = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\left[\frac{1 + |a_k|}{1 - |a_k|} \right] + 1 \right) = 2N.$$

Thus, $|z|^{2N} \prec B$, by Corollary 2. Therefore, $G \prec |z|^{2N} \prec B$, which proves the theorem. \Box

Theorem 3. Let $f, g \in A_2$. There exists an absolute constant c_0 , with $0 < c_0 < 1$, such that for any $c < c_0$, if

- (i) $|g(z)| \le |f(z)|$ $(c \le |z| < 1)$ and
- (ii) $Z_g \setminus Z_f \subset \{z \in \mathbb{D} | c^{1/3} < |z| < 1\}$,

then $g \prec f$.

Proof. Let B be the finite Blaschke product

$$B = \prod_{k=1}^{N} B_{a_k}, \quad \text{where } \{a_k\}_{k=1}^{N} = Z_f \backslash Z_g.$$

Note that (i) implies $|a_k| < c$ for k = 1, ..., N. Consider the function G = gB/f. It follows that $G \in H^{\infty}$, $||G||_{\infty} \le 1$, and $G(z) \ne 0$ for $|z| < c^{1/3}$. Also, on |z| = c, we have

$$|G(z)| \le |B(z)| \le (2c)^N.$$

The classical maximal principle implies that $|G(0)| \le (2c)^N$. Letting $d = c^{1/3}$, it follows that $2c \le d^{2(1+d)/(1-d)}$ for $c < 0.0021 = c_0$. Thus,

$$|G(0)| < (d^{(1+d)/(1-d)})^{2N}$$

and $G(z) \neq 0$ for all |z| < d. Applying Theorem 2, we have $G \prec B$ or $||Gh|| \leq ||Bh||$ for all $h \in A_2$. Taking $h = h_1 f/B$, with $h_1 \in H^{\infty}$, we have $g \prec f$. \square

Corollary 3. Let f and g be analytic on \mathbb{D} . There exists an absolute constant c_0 , with $0 < c_0 < 1$, such that for any $c < c_0$, if

- (i) $|g(z)| \le |f(z)|$ $(c \le |z| < 1)$ and
- (ii) $Z_g \setminus Z_f \subset \{z \in \mathbb{D} | c^{1/3} < |z| < 1\}$,

then ||g|| < ||f||.

Proof. If $||f|| = \infty$, then there is nothing to prove. Thus we can assume that $f \in A_2$, which implies $g \in A_2$ by (i). Applying Theorem 3, we have $g \prec f$ and, in particular, $||g|| \le ||f||$. \square

Notice that (i) and (ii) together imply that $Z_g \setminus Z_f$ is separated from $Z_f \setminus Z_g$ by the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{D} | c \le |z| \le c^{1/3} \}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let F = G/B where $B = \prod_{k=1}^{M} B_{a_k}$ and $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{M} = Z_G$ $(M \le \infty)$. Applying (4), we have for $\lambda, \gamma > 0$

$$|B_{a_k}|^{\lambda \gamma \alpha_k} \prec |z|^{\lambda \gamma}$$

where $\alpha_k = (1+|a_k|)/(1-|a_k|)$. Since F has no zeros in $\mathbb D$ and $\|F\|_\infty \le 1$, we can define the analytic function $\widehat F = F^{2\lambda\gamma/\log(1/|F(0)|)}$ that satisfies $\|\widehat F\|_\infty \le 1$ and $|\widehat F(0)| = e^{-2\lambda\gamma}$. Thus, we can apply Proposition 2 to $\widehat F$ yielding

$$\widehat{F} \prec |z|^{\lambda \gamma}.$$

Now let $h \in H^{\infty}$. We have

(9)
$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |Gh|^2 dm = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \prod_k B_{\alpha_k}(z) \right|^2 |F(z)h(z)|^2 dm$$

(10)
$$= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \prod_{k} (|B_{a_k}(z)|^{2\lambda \gamma \alpha_k})^{1/(\lambda \gamma \alpha_k)} (|\widehat{F}(z)|^2)^{(\log 1/|F(0)|)/2\lambda \gamma} |h(z)|^2 dm,$$

where we choose

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|F(0)|} \right].$$

For this λ , we can apply the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality to (10) to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |Gh|^2 dm \leq \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\lambda \gamma \alpha_k} \int_{\mathbb{D}} (|B_{a_k}|^{\lambda \gamma \alpha_k} |h(z)|)^2 dm + \frac{\log(1/|F(0)|)}{2\lambda \gamma} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\widehat{F}(z)h(z)|^2 dm.$$

Applying (7) and (8), it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |Gh|^2 dm \le \left[\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda \gamma \alpha_k} \right) + \frac{\log(1/|F(0)|)}{2\lambda \gamma} \right] \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2\lambda \gamma} |h(z)|^2 dm$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2\lambda \gamma} |h(z)|^2 dm,$$

by the above choice of λ . We will show that $\lambda \geq 1$. Once this is done, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |Gh|^2 dm \leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2\gamma} |h(z)|^2 dm,$$

which is the desired result. To see that $\lambda \geq 1$, first observe that

(11)
$$|F(0)| \prod_{k} |a_{k}| = |F(0)B(0)| = |G(0)| \le c^{\gamma(1+c)/(1-c)},$$

where the last inequality follows by hypothesis. This implies

(12)
$$\frac{1+c}{1-c}\log\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}\sum_{k}\left(\log\frac{1}{|a_k|}\right) + \frac{1}{\gamma}\log\frac{1}{|F(0)|}.$$

It can be shown that the function $\phi(r) = \frac{1+r}{1-r}\log\frac{1}{r}$ is decreasing and $\phi(r) > 2$ on (0,1). We have, by assumption, that $|a_k| > c$ and thus, $\phi(|a_k|) \le \phi(c)$ for all k. This yields

$$\log \frac{1}{|a_k|} \le \left(\frac{1-|a_k|}{1+|a_k|}\right) \frac{1+c}{1-c} \log \frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} \left(\frac{1+c}{1-c} \log \frac{1}{c}\right).$$

This, together with (12), implies

$$\frac{1+c}{1-c}\log\frac{1}{c} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right) \frac{1+c}{1-c} \log \frac{1}{c} + \log \frac{1}{|F(0)|} \right]$$

or

$$1 \le \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right) + \frac{2(1-c)}{(1+c)\log 1/c} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|F(0)|} \right]$$
$$\le \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{|F(0)|} \right] = \lambda,$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of $\phi(c)>2$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\ \square$

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Korenblum, A maximum principle for the Bergman space, Publ. Mat. 35 (1991), 479-486.
- 2. _____, Transformations of zero sets by contractive operators in the Bergman space, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 114 (1990), 385-394.
- 3. B. Korenblum, R. O'Neil, K. Richards, and K. Zhu, *Totally monotone functions with applications to the Bergman space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, ALBANY, NEW YORK 12222

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY, LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79409

Current address, Kendall Richards: Department of Mathematics, Southwestern University,
Georgetown, Texas 78627