## A NOTE ON ALMOST SUBNORMAL SUBGROUPS OF LINEAR GROUPS

## B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

ABSTRACT. Following Hartley we say that a subgroup H of a group G is almost subnormal in G if there is a series of subgroups  $H = H_0 \le H_1 \le \cdots \le H_r = G$  of G of finite length such that for each i < r either  $H_i$  is normal in  $H_{i+1}$  or  $H_i$  has finite index in  $H_{i+1}$ . We extend a result of Hartley's on arithmetic groups (see Theorem 2 of Hartley's Free groups in normal subgroups of unit groups and arithmetic groups, Contemp. Math., vol. 93, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 173–177) to arbitrary linear groups. Specifically, we prove: let G be any linear group with connected component of the identity  $G^0$  and unipotent radical U. If H is any soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup of G then  $[H \cap G^0, G^0] \le U$ .

Following Hartley [1] we say that a subgroup H of a group G is almost subnormal in G, and write H asn G for short, if there is a series of subgroups

$$H = H_0 \le H_1 \le \cdots \le H_r = G$$

of G of finite length such that for each i < r either  $H_i$  is normal in  $H_{i+1}$  or  $H_i$  has finite index in  $H_{i+1}$ . Theorem 2 of [1] reads as follows. Let H be a connected reductive algebraic  $\mathbb{Q}$ -group and  $\Gamma$  an arithmetic subgroup of H. Then  $\Gamma$  contains a normal subgroup  $\Gamma_0$  of finite index such that if  $\Delta$  is an almost subnormal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , then either  $\Delta$  contains a nonabelian free group or  $[\Delta \cap \Gamma_0, \Gamma] = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

In this note we show that this result can be viewed as a special case of a basically elementary result about arbitrary linear groups. Note first that by Tits's theorem [2, 10.17] either the  $\Delta$  above contains a nonabelian free subgroup or  $\Delta$  is soluble-by-finite. Thus we are concerned with soluble-by-finite almost subnormal subgroups of linear groups.

Throughout this note F denotes a field, n a positive integer, and G a subgroup of GL(n, F). Then G carries its Zariski topology and topological terms below refer to this topology. G has a unique minimal closed subgroup of finite index; we denote this subgroup by  $G^0$ . Also u(G) denotes the unipotent radical of G. Our main result is the following.

**Proposition 1.** Let G be a subgroup of GL(n, F) and H a soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup of G. Then  $[H \cap G^0, G^0] \leq u(G^0)$ .

Received by the editors May 6, 1991.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20H20, 20E15.

If  $\Gamma$  is as in [1, Theorem 2] quoted above, then the centre of  $\Gamma^0$  lies in the centre of  $\Gamma$  (indeed of H) and  $u(\Gamma^0) = u(\Gamma) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Consequently Hartley's theorem follows from Tits's theorem and Proposition 1 (with  $\Gamma^0$  for  $\Gamma_0$ ). (These facts about  $\Gamma$  are easy to deduce from the first part of Hartley's proof of [1, Theorem 2].) If we recast Proposition 1 in the format of [1] we obtain

**Corollary.** Let H asn  $G \leq GL(n, F)$ . Suppose either that char F = 0 or that the entries of the elements of G lie in some finitely generated subring of F. Then either H contains a noncyclic free subgroup or  $[H \cap G^0, G^0] < u(G^0)$ .

In characteristic zero we can strengthen the conclusion of Proposition 1.

**Proposition 2.** There exists an integer-valued function f(n) of n only such that whenever  $G \leq GL(n, F)$  with char F = 0 there is a closed normal subgroup C of G with  $(G: C) \leq f(n)$  such that if H asn G then either H contains a noncyclic free subgroup or  $[H \cap C, C] < u(C)$ .

Although we have been discussing almost subnormal subgroups, the core of our proofs is that one needs only consider characteristic subgroups. This is the content of the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.** Let G be a subgroup of GL(n, F). Then G has a unique maximal soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup T and T contains every soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup of G.

## THE PROOFS

1. Let  $G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ . Then G has a unique maximal soluble-by-finite normal subgroup T. The subgroup T contains every soluble-by-finite normal subgroup of G.

*Proof.* The product S of the soluble normal subgroups of G is soluble and closed in G, see [2, 3.8 and 5.11]. Let T be the product of the soluble-by-finite normal subgroups of G. Then T/S is an FC-group and hence is centre-by-finite, see [2, 5.5 and 5.14]. Consequently, T is soluble-by-finite, T/S is finite, and the lemma is proved.

2. Let  $H \operatorname{asn} G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ . Then  $u(H^0) \leq u(G^0) \leq u(G)$  and  $u(H)^0 \leq u(G)^0$ . If  $\operatorname{char} F = 0$  then  $u(H) \leq u(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H=H_0 \le H_1 \le \cdots \le H_r=G$  be as in the definition above of the statement 'H asn G.' If H is normal in  $H_1$  then  $H^0$  is normal in  $H_1^0$ ; hence  $u(H^0)$  is normal in  $H_1^0$  and so  $u(H^0) \le u(H_1^0)$ . Suppose  $(H_1:H)$  is finite. Use a star to denote closures of subsets in  $H_1$ . Then  $H^{0*}$  is connected and  $(H_1:H^{0*}) \le (H_1:H^0)$  is also finite. Consequently,  $H^{0*}=H_1^0$ . Also  $u(H^0)^*$  is unipotent and normal in  $H^{0*}$ , see [2, 5.9 and 1.21]. Therefore,

$$u(H^0) \le u(H^0)^* \le u(H^{0*}) = u(H_1^0)$$
.

We have now proved that in all cases  $u(H^0) \le u(H^0_1)$ . The same argument yields that  $u(H^0_i) \le u(H^0_{i+1})$  for each i and hence  $u(H^0) \le u(G^0)$ .

Now  $u(H)^0 \le H^0$ , so  $u(H)^0 \le u(H^0) \le u(G^0) \le u(G)$ . It is also connected, so  $u(H)^0 \le u(G)^0$ . If char F = 0 then unipotent subgroups of GL(n, F) are connected and  $u(H) = u(H)^0 \le u(G)^0 = u(G)$ .

3. Suppose  $H \operatorname{asn} G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$  with H soluble-by-finite. Then  $H^G = \langle g^{-1}hg \colon h \in H, g \in G \rangle$  is also soluble-by-finite.

*Proof.* We may assume that F is algebraically closed. Let  $H = H_0 \le H_1 \le \cdots \le H_r = G$  be as in the definition of H asn G. We induct on r. If r = 0 there is nothing to prove, so assume otherwise and set  $K = H_{r-1}$ . By induction  $H^K$  is soluble-by-finite. Replacing  $H^K$  by H we may assume that H is normal in K. If K is normal in G then G is soluble-by-finite by G, G, so now G and G are closed subgroups of G.

Certainly u(G) is soluble and G/u(G) embeds into GL(n, F) in the standard way. Thus we may pass to G/u(G) and assume that  $u(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . In particular by 2 we now have  $u(H^0) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . It follows from the Lie-Kolchin theorem [2, 5.8] that  $H^0$  is abelian. Now K/u(K) has a faithful completely reducible representation in GL(n, F), see [2, Chapter 1], and hence [2, 1.12 and 5.4] the centralizer  $C_K(H^0)$  is closed in K of finite index. So too is  $C_K(H/H^0)$ , see [2, 5.10]. Hence  $G^0 = K^0$  stabilizes the series  $H \supseteq H^0 \supseteq \langle 1 \rangle$  and consequently  $L = (G^0)'$  centralizes H. Thus H lies in the normal subgroup  $C_G(L)$  of G and hence  $H^G \subseteq C_G(L)$ . Finally  $G^0 \cap C_G(L)$  is soluble and of finite index in  $C_G(L)$ . The proof is complete.

- 4. The Proof of Proposition 3. Let T be as in 1. The result then follows from 1 and 3.
- 5. Suppose  $H \operatorname{asn} G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$  with H soluble-by-finite and  $u(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Then  $[H \cap G^0, G^0] = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Let T be as in Proposition 3. Then it suffices to prove that  $[T \cap G^0, G^0] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . We may assume that F is algebraically closed and, since  $u(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ , that G is completely reducible. Then  $T^0$  is abelian and  $(G: C_G(T^0))$  divides n! by [2, 5.11, 5.8, and 1.12]. Certainly T and  $T^0$  are closed in G. Hence  $L = C_G(T^0) \cap C_G(T/T^0)$  is a closed normal subgroup of G of finite index. By stability theory,  $L/C_L(T \cap L)$  embeds into

$$\operatorname{Der}((T \cap L)/(T^0 \cap L), T^0 \cap L) = \operatorname{Hom}((T \cap L)/(T^0 \cap L), T^0 \cap L),$$

since  $T^0 \cap L$  is central in  $T \cap L$ . Also  $(T \cap L)/(T^0 \cap L)$  is finite and  $T^0$  is abelian with its torsion subgroup of finite rank (at most n, see [2, 2.2]). Hence this Hom group is finite and therefore  $C = C_L(T \cap L)$  is a closed normal subgroup of G of finite index. Consequently we have  $G^0 \leq C$  and  $[T \cap C, C] \leq [T \cap L, C] = \langle 1 \rangle$ . The proof is complete.

- 6. The Proof of Proposition 1. There is a continuous homomorphism  $\phi$  of G into GL(n, F) with kernel u(G) and image completely reducible. Then  $(G\phi)^0 \supseteq G^0\phi$ . Also  $[H\phi \cap (G\phi)^0, (G\phi)^0] = \langle 1 \rangle$  by 5. Therefore  $[H \cap G^0, G^0] \le \ker \phi \cap G^0 = u(G) \cap G^0 = u(G^0)$ .
- 7. The Proof of Proposition 2. We modify the proof of 5. Again we may assume that F is algebraically closed and that G is completely reducible. Then T has a closed diagonalizable subgroup A normal in G such that (T:A) is bounded by an integer-valued function of n only [2, 10.11; 3, Proposition 1]. The proof of 5 yields that if  $L = C_G(A) \cap C_G(T/A)$  and  $C = C_L(T \cap L)$ , then C is

a closed normal subgroup of G with (G:C) bounded by an integer-valued function of n only and  $[T \cap C, C] = \langle 1 \rangle$ .

8. The corollary to Proposition 1 is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, Tits's theorem, and the following, no doubt well-known, fact:

If H is a soluble-by-periodic subgroup of GL(n, R), where R is a finitely generated integral domain, then H is soluble-by-finite.

To see this note first that H is soluble by locally-finite by [2, 5.9, 5.11, 6.4, and 4.9]. Suppose first that H is absolutely irreducible. Then by [2, 1.12] the group H has a centre by locally-finite normal subgroup K of finite index. Then K' is locally finite. Since  $u(K') \leq u(H) = \langle 1 \rangle$ , it follows from [2, 4.8] that K' is finite. Consequently H is soluble-by-finite. In general, by adjoining the (finitely many) entries and the inverse determinant of a suitable change-of-basis matrix to R, we may assume that H/u(H) is isomorphic to an absolutely completely reducible subgroup of GL(n,R). Then H/u(H) is soluble-by-finite by the first case and consequently so is H.

9. Remarks. (i) Consider the situation of 5. Clearly we cannot prove in general that  $[H, G^0] = \langle 1 \rangle$ , for we can choose H = G and G not centre-by-finite. However, we cannot even show that  $G^0$  normalizes H, i.e., that  $[H, G^0] \leq H$ . For the infinite dihedral group,

$$G = \langle x, y | x^y = x^{-1}, y^2 = 1 \rangle$$

has an embedding in  $GL(2, \mathbb{Q})$  (and also in  $GL(4, \mathbb{Z})$ ) with  $G^0 = \langle x \rangle$  and  $u(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$ . Set  $H = \langle x^3, y \rangle$ . Then (G: H) = 3 and so H asn G. Also

$$H[H, G^0] \ge \langle x^3, y, [y, x] = x^2 \rangle = G.$$

- (ii) There is no analogue of Proposition 2 if char F = p > 0. For if G is a finite simple linear group of degree n and characteristic p, then the order of G is not boundable and, since G is an allowable choice for H, the only possibility for C is  $\langle 1 \rangle$ .
- (iii) If char F = 0 in 3 then  $H^G$  has a soluble normal subgroup with finite index bounded by a function of n only [2, 10.11]. If char F > 0 this conclusion is false. One can at least prove the following (cf. [5, 5.1]).

Let  $H \operatorname{asn} G \leq \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ . Suppose H has a soluble normal subgroup of finite index m. Then  $H^G$  has a soluble normal subgroup with index bounded by a function of m, n and the indices  $(H_{i+1}: H_i)$  for those i for which  $H_i$  is not normal in  $H_{i+1}$ . (The  $H_i$  here are as in the definition of  $H \operatorname{asn} G$ .)

(iv) Using the techniques and main theorem of [4, §6], including a converse of [4, 6.4], one can extend the results of this note as follows.

Let R be a commutative ring, M a Noetherian R-module, and G a group of R-automorphisms of M.

- (a) There exists a normal subgroup C of G of finite index such that whenever H is a soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup of G, we have  $[H \cap C, C] \le u(C)$ .
- (b) If R is finitely generated as a ring and C is as in (a), then for every almost subnormal subgroup H of G either H contains a noncyclic free subgroup or  $[H \cap C, C] \leq u(C)$ .
- (c) G has a unique maximal soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup T and T contains every soluble-by-finite, almost subnormal subgroup of G.

## REFERENCES

- 1. B. Hartley, Free groups in normal subgroups of unit groups and arithmetic groups, Contemp. Math., vol. 93, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989, pp. 173-177.
- 2. B. A. F. Wehrfritz, Infinite linear groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- 3. \_\_\_\_, On the Lie-Kolchin-Mal'cev theorem, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 26 (1978), 270-276.
- 4. \_\_\_\_, Lectures around complete local rings, Queen Mary College Math. Notes, London, 1979.
- 5. \_\_\_\_, Wielandt's subnormality criterion and linear groups, J. Algebra 67 (1980), 491-503.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary and Westfield College, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, Great Britain