

## DIFFERENTIATION OF ZYGMUND FUNCTIONS

DAVID C. ULLRICH

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

**ABSTRACT.** The “little- $o$  Zygmund class”  $\lambda^*$  contains a nowhere-differentiable function.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

A classical result due originally to Rajchman and then improved by Zygmund [ZY, p. 43] states that if  $f \in \lambda^*(T)$  and  $f$  is real valued then  $f$  must be differentiable on a dense subset of  $T$ . This implies that if  $F \in \mathfrak{B}_o$  (the “little- $o$  Bloch space”) then  $\operatorname{Re}(F)$  must possess a radial (and hence nontangential) limit at each point of a dense subset of the boundary.

Somewhat more recently, it was shown [GHP, Theorem 2] that  $F$  itself must have a radial limit at each point of a dense subset of the boundary, if  $F \in \mathfrak{B}_o$ . As noted in [GHP], this would follow from the result of Rajchman and Zygmund if the latter were true for a general (complex-valued) element of  $\lambda^*$ , but this question has been open. In this note we show that there exists an  $f \in \lambda^*$  that is nowhere differentiable and that, in fact, satisfies a Hölder condition of order one at no point.

It turns out that the existence of a nowhere differentiable  $f \in \lambda^*$  is also one of various results in [MAK2], including the fact that if  $f \in \lambda^*$  and is either real-valued or extends to a function holomorphic in the disc then the set of points where  $f$  is differentiable must have Hausdorff dimension 1. (The results in [MAK2] are proved in more detail in [MAK1], in particular, cf. [MAK1, Theorem 5.5].) It seems that the extremely simple argument below may nonetheless be of some independent interest: If  $u$  is an appropriate (real-valued) lacunary trigonometric series then  $u \in \lambda^*$  and  $u$  is differentiable only on a set of measure zero. Now one may construct  $v \in \lambda^*$  so that  $f = u + iv$  is nowhere differentiable (in particular, we do not require the main technical device in [MAK2]—a characterization of the dyadic martingales arising from elements of  $\lambda^*$ ).

### 1. THEOREM

The notation  $\lambda^*(T)$  refers to the “little- $o$ ” Zygmund class on the unit circle  $T$ : we write  $f \in \lambda^*(T)$  if  $f$  is a continuous (complex-valued) function on  $T$

---

Received by the editors May 24, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A55.

and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h^{-1} |f(e^{i(t-h)}) - 2f(e^{it}) + f(e^{i(t+h)})| = 0,$$

uniformly in  $t$  (the functions in  $\lambda^*$  are called “smooth functions” in [ZY]).

We set

$$Mf(t) = \sup_{h>0} h^{-1} |f(e^{i(t+h)}) - f(e^{it})|,$$

so that  $f$  satisfies a Hölder condition of order 1 at  $e^{it}$  if and only if  $Mf(t) < \infty$ .

**Theorem.** *There exists  $f \in \lambda^*(T)$  such that  $Mf(t) \equiv \infty$ .*

We will set  $f = u + iv$ , where  $u \in \lambda^*$  is a (real-valued) lacunary series with  $Mu = \infty$  a.e. It is impossible to achieve  $Mu \equiv \infty$  here, but the following proposition will provide us with a real-valued function  $v \in \lambda^*$  such that  $Mv = \infty$  at every point of the set where  $Mu < \infty$ .

**Proposition.** *Suppose  $E \subset T$  is an  $F_\sigma$  of (Lebesgue) measure zero. Then there exists a real-valued  $v \in \lambda^*(T)$  such that  $(d/dt)v(e^{it}) = \infty$  for every  $t \in E$ .*

This will follow from the following lemma. The notation  $\text{VMO}(T)$  refers to the space of functions of vanishing mean oscillation, as usual.

**Lemma.** *Suppose  $E$  is as in the proposition. There exists  $\varphi \in \text{VMO}(T)$  such that  $\varphi \geq 0$  on  $T$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(e^{is}) = \infty$  for every  $e^{it} \in E$ .*

*Proof.* If we can prove the lemma for compact  $E$  then the general case follows because  $\varphi \geq 0$ . Suppose  $E \subset T$  is a compact set of measure zero.

This implies that  $E$  is a peak set for the disc algebra: there exists a function  $g$  that is holomorphic in the unit disc  $D$  and continuous on  $\bar{D}$ , such that  $g(e^{it}) = 1$  for  $e^{it} \in E$ , while  $|g(z)| < 1$  for  $z \in \bar{D} \setminus E$ .

Now let  $\Omega = \{x + iy : x > 1, |y| < 1/x\}$  and let  $\psi : D \rightarrow \Omega$  be holomorphic and surjective. A theorem of Carathéodory shows that  $\psi$  extends to a homeomorphism  $\bar{\psi} : \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$ , where  $\bar{\Omega}$  denotes the closure of  $\Omega$  on  $S$ , the Riemann sphere; we may take  $\bar{\psi}(1) = \infty$ .

Thus  $G = \bar{\psi} \circ g : \bar{D} \rightarrow S$  is continuous. Let  $\varphi = \text{Re}(G)$ . Then  $\varphi$  (restricted to  $T$ ) is a continuous map from  $T$  to  $[0, \infty]$  such that  $\varphi(e^{it}) = \infty$  for  $e^{it} \in E$ . We only need to show that  $\varphi \in \text{VMO}$ , but  $\varphi \in \text{VMO}$  because  $\varphi$  is the harmonic conjugate of a continuous function: The point to our choice of  $\Omega$  was that  $\text{Im}(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z$  tends to  $\infty$  within  $\Omega$ , and this shows that  $\text{Im}(G) \in C(T)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the proposition.* Given an  $F_\sigma$  set  $E \subset T$  of measure zero, choose  $\varphi$  as in the lemma. Now define  $\varphi_1 = \varphi - c$ , where  $c = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(e^{it}) dt$ , and let  $v$  be an absolutely continuous function such that  $(d/dt)v(e^{it}) = \varphi_1(e^{it})$  almost everywhere. It follows that  $(d/dt)v(e^{it}) = \infty$  for  $t \in E$ , while the fact that  $\varphi \in \text{VMO}$  implies that  $v \in \lambda^*$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem.* Choose a sequence  $a_j \geq 0$  with  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j = 0$  but  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 = \infty$ , and set

$$u(e^{it}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} a_j \cos(2^j t).$$

Now the fact that  $a_j \rightarrow 0$  shows that  $u \in \lambda^*$  [ZY, Theorem 4.10, p. 47], while  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j^2 = \infty$  shows that  $Mu(e^{it}) = \infty$  for almost all  $t$ . This will be “clear” to readers with some experience dealing with lacunary series; a proof is already at least implicit in [ZY]:

Let  $d_N(t) = -\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \sin(2^j t)$ . Then it is well known that  $(d_N(t))$  is unbounded for almost every value of  $t$  [ZY, Theorem 6.4, p. 203 and Remark (c), p. 205]. But it is easy to obtain a uniform upper bound on the quantity

$$h_N^{-1}[u(e^{i(t+h_N)}) - u(e^{it})] - d_N(t)$$

if  $h_N = 2^{-N}\pi$ , so that  $Mu = \infty$  at any point where  $(d_N)$  is unbounded.

Now let  $E = \{e^{it} : Mu(e^{it}) < \infty\}$ . We have just seen that  $E$  has measure zero. Continuity of  $u \in \lambda^*$  shows that  $\{Mu \leq j\}$  is closed for  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , so that  $E$  is an  $F_\sigma$ . Choose  $v$  as in the proposition and let  $f = u + iv$ . Then  $f \in \lambda^*$  and  $Mf \equiv \infty$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [GHP] D. Gnuschke-Hauschild and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and gap series*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **367** (1986), 172–186.
- [MAK1] N. G. Makarov, *Probability methods in conformal mappings*. II, LOMI preprint E-14-88, Leningrad, 1988.
- [MAK2] —, *On the radial behavior of Bloch functions*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **40** (1990), 505–507.
- [ZY] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1959.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078-0001

*E-mail address:* ULLRICH@HARDY.MATH.OKSTATE.EDU