METRIC ENTROPY CONDITIONS FOR AN OPERATOR TO BE OF TRACE CLASS

JOSÉ M. GONZALEZ-BARRIOS AND RICHARD M. DUDLEY

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let A be an operator from one Hilbert space H into another. It was known that A is of trace class if and only if the metric entropy of A(B) is integrable where B is the unit ball in H. We give a new, general sufficient condition for an integral operator to be of trace class, and examples showing it is sharp but not necessary.

1. Introduction

Kolmogorov's concept of ε -entropy (e.g., [KT]), here called metric entropy [Lo], is a measure of the size of a totally bounded metric space (S, d). Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let $N_M(\varepsilon, S)$ be the smallest number of closed balls $B(x_i, \varepsilon) := \{y : d(x_i, y) \le \varepsilon\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, in a covering of S, in other words, the smallest n such that there exists an ε -net $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for (S, d). The diameter of a set $A \subset S$ is

$$\operatorname{diam} A := \sup \{ d(x, y) : x, y \in A \}.$$

Let $N_K(\varepsilon, S)$ be the smallest number of sets A_i with diam $A_i \le 2\varepsilon$ that cover S. Let $D(\varepsilon, S)$ be the largest number of points $x_i \in S$ such that $d(x_i, x_j) > \varepsilon$ for all $i \ne j$. Then it is known and easily checked that

$$(1.1) D(2\varepsilon, S) \leq N_K(\varepsilon, S) \leq N_M(\varepsilon, S) \leq D(\varepsilon, S).$$

Thus as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, these quantities are of the same order of magnitude, up to a factor of 2 in ε . Let $H_K := \log N_K$, $H_M := \log N_M$, and $C := \log D$. The first result we will state is

Theorem A. If H is a Hilbert space, B its unit ball, and A a bounded operator from H into another Hilbert space, then

(i) A is of trace class if and only if

(1.2)
$$\int_0^1 H_M(\varepsilon, A(B)) d\varepsilon < \infty;$$

Received by the editors September 3, 1991.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B10; Secondary 60G15, 60G17, 60B11. Key words and phrases. Metric entropy, ellipsoids, trace class, Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grants.

(ii) A is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if and only if

(1.3)
$$\int_0^1 H_M(\varepsilon^{1/2}, A(B)) d\varepsilon < \infty.$$

Here $H_M(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be replaced by $H_K(\cdot, \cdot)$ or $C(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Theorem A reduces easily to the case of selfadjoint compact operators with a basis of eigenvectors and thus to diagonal operators. Then, part (ii) was stated by Sudakov [Su] and is proved in Marcus [Ma]; part (i) was given by Oloff [Ol], see also Carl [C].

An operator A is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if A^*A is of trace class, where A^* is the adjoint of A. Hilbert-Schmidt operators are interesting to probabilists as the so-called radonifying operators between Hilbert spaces: Sazonov [Sa], Minlos [Min], Kolmogorov [K], and Schwartz [Schw]. On related questions for the isonormal and other Gaussian processes, the metric entropy condition

(1.4)
$$\int_0^1 H_K(\varepsilon, E)^{1/2} d\varepsilon < \infty$$

has been studied by Dudley [Du1, Du2] and Fernique [F]. Then (1.4) implies (1.3) for an ellipsoid E = A(B) but not conversely; for example, if $H_K(\varepsilon, E) \sim \varepsilon^{-2} |\log \varepsilon|^{\alpha}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, then (1.4) holds just for $\alpha < -2$ and (1.3) holds for $\alpha < -1$.

The characterization of trace class operators gives a sufficient condition for an integral operator to be of trace class as follows. Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be two finite measure spaces. Let $K \in L^2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$. Then, as is well known, an *integral operator* A_K from $L^2(\nu)$ into $L^2(\mu)$ is defined by

$$A_K(f)(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y)$$

and is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Conversely, any Hilbert-Schmidt operator from $L^2(\nu)$ into $L^2(\mu)$ is of the form A_K for some $K \in L^2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$. Conditions for A_K to be of trace class are not as simple. Here is the main new result of this note, a sufficient condition based on metric entropy.

Theorem 1. Let $K_Y := \{K(\cdot, y) : y \in Y\}$. Suppose K is such that for some $M < \infty$ and r < 2, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we have $N_M(\varepsilon, K_Y) \le M/\varepsilon^r$. Then A_K is of trace class.

The next section will give quite short, partly new proofs of Theorem A and some related facts to be given in Theorem B. Then §3 proves Theorem 1 and shows that "r < 2" is sharp but that no metric entropy condition on K_Y characterizes trace class integral operators.

2. Statements and proofs for Theorem A

We can assume that the bounded operator A takes the Hilbert space H into itself. Let B be the unit ball of H. Let $|A| := (A^*A)^{1/2}$. If any of the conditions in Theorem A is to hold, A must be a compact operator, hence so is |A|. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ of H with $|A|e_n = a_ne_n$ for all n and $a_n \to 0$, $n \to \infty$. For a given orthonormal set $\{f_n\}$ and bounded sequence of numbers $c_n > 0$, define the ellipsoid $E(\{c_n\}) := E(\{c_n\}, \{f_n\}) :=$

 $\{\sum_{n} x_{n} f_{n}: \sum_{n} (x_{n}/c_{n})^{2} \leq 1\}$. Then $|A|(B) = E(\{b_{n}\}, \{f_{n}\})$, where f_{n} is the subsequence of those e_n such that $a_n \neq 0$ (so $a_n > 0$) and b_n are those a_n . Also, via a partial isometry (e.g., [Scha, p. 4]) $A(B) = E(\{b_n\}, \{g_n\})$ where $\{g_n\}$ is an orthonormal set. So A(B) and |A|(B) are isometric ellipsoids, with the same sequence $\{b_n\}$. We can assume that $b_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

For a sequence $b_n \downarrow 0$ and t > 0, let $m(t) := \sup\{n : b_n \ge 1/t\}$, or 0 if $b_0 < 1/t$. For s > 0 let $I(s) := \int_0^s m(t)/t \, dt$. Theorem A will follow easily from Theorem B. A key step in the proof follows from Mityagin [Mit]. Theorem 2 of Marcus [Ma] includes the case r=2; and Oloff [OI] includes the general case.

Theorem B. Let $E := E(\{b_n\}, \{f_n\})$ for an orthonormal set $\{f_n\}$ and some $b_n \downarrow 0$. Let $0 < r < \infty$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\sum_{n} b_{n}^{r} < \infty$. (b) $\int_{1}^{\infty} m(t)t^{-r-1} dt < \infty$.
- (c) For some c>0, $\int_0^1 I(c\varepsilon^{-1/r}) d\varepsilon < \infty$.
- (d) For all c > 0, $\int_0^1 I(c\varepsilon^{-1/r}) d\varepsilon < \infty$.
- (e) $\int_0^1 H_M(\varepsilon^{1/r}, E) d\varepsilon < \infty$.

In (e), $H_M(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be replaced equivalently by $H_K(\cdot, \cdot)$ or $C(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Proof. The series in (a) equals the Riemann-Stieltjes integral $\int_0^\infty t^{-r} dm(t)$. So (a) is equivalent to (b) by integration by parts (e.g., [Le, p. 10]). Next.

$$\int_0^1 I(c\varepsilon^{-1/r}) d\varepsilon = \int_0^1 \int_0^{c\varepsilon^{-1/r}} \frac{m(t)}{t} dt d\varepsilon = \int_0^\infty \frac{m(t)}{t} \min\left(1, \frac{c^r}{t^r}\right) dt$$
$$= \int_0^c \frac{m(t)}{t} dt + c^r \int_0^\infty \frac{m(t)}{t^{r+1}} dt,$$

and since m(t) = 0 for $0 < t < 1/b_0$, (b), (c), and (d) are all equivalent.

By results of Mityagin [Mit, p. 74], (d) for c = 8 implies (e), and (e) implies (c) for c = 1/2. So (a) through (e) are equivalent.

In (e), H_M can be replaced by H_K or C by (1), and since all these functions are nonincreasing, integrability is only an issue near 0. So Theorem B is proved. \square

Carl and Stephani [CS, pp. 118-119] give other relations between semiaxes and metric entropy of ellipsoids.

Proof of Theorem A. For (a), A is of trace class by definition iff $\sum_n b_n < \infty$, and Hilbert-Schmidt iff $\sum_n b_n^2 < \infty$, so we can apply Theorem B for r =1, 2. □

3. Integral operators

First we give a

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $C := \nu(Y)^{1/2}$. Let A be the union $A := \{CK(\cdot, y) :$ $y \in Y \cup \{-CK(\cdot, y) : y \in Y\} \subset L^2(X)$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $N_M(\varepsilon, A) \le$ $2N_M(\varepsilon/C, K_Y) \le D/\varepsilon^r$ where $D = 2MC^r$ if $C \ge 1$ or $\varepsilon/C < 1$, and so in any case for ε small enough and thus for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, possibly with a larger constant $D < \infty$.

Let B be the unit ball in $L^2(Y, \nu)$. It will be shown that all functions in $A_K(B)$ are in the closed convex hull of A. For $f \in B$ let $f \equiv f^+ - f^-$ where $f^+ := \max(f, 0)$, $f^- := -\min(f, 0)$. Then

(*)
$$\int K(x, y) f(y) d\nu(y) = \int f^{+}(y) K(x, y) + f^{-}(y) (-K(x, y)) d\nu(y).$$

Here $f^+ + f^- \equiv |f| \ge 0$ and $\int |f| d\nu \le C (\int |f|^2 d\nu)^{1/2} \le C$ by the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky (-Schwarz) inequality. So multiplying and dividing by C, we will show that $A_K(f)$ is of the form $A_K(f)(x) = \int g(x) dP(g)$ where P is a probability measure on A. There are nonnegative measures P_1 and P_2 on Y with $dP_1 = f^+ d\nu/C$ and $dP_2 = f^- d\nu/C$ so that $(P_1 + P_2)(Y) \le 1$. If $\alpha := 1 - (P_1 + P_2)(Y) > 0$, take a fixed y = z and replace P_i by $P_i + \alpha \delta_z/2$, i = 1, 2. Then $P_1 + P_2$ is a probability measure on Y, and

$$A_K(f)(x) \equiv \int CK(x, y) dP_1(y) + \int -CK(x, y) dP_2(y),$$

as desired. By assumption, K_Y is totally bounded and so separable. The map $y \mapsto K(\cdot, y)$ is measurable, so $A_K(f)$ is in the closed convex hull of A (e.g., [DiU, pp. 42, 48]). Also, A is bounded in $L^2(\mu)$, say $||x|| \le T < \infty$ for all $x \in A$, so $A_K(B)/T$ is included in the closed convex hull of A/T. It then follows from [Du3, Theorem 5.1] that for any t > 2r/(2+r), there are constants C_1 , $C_2 < \infty$ such that for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$N_M(\varepsilon, A_K(B)/T) \leq C_1(\exp(C_2\varepsilon^{-t}))$$
.

Thus $N_M(\varepsilon, A_K(B)) \le C_1 \exp(C_3 \varepsilon^{-t})$, $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$, where $C_3 = C_2 T^t$. Now r < 2 implies 2r/(2+r) < 1, so we can choose t < 1 and apply Theorem A to conclude that A_K is of trace class. \square

Specializing Theorem 1, let X = Y = [a, b], $\mu = \nu =$ Lebesgue measure. Say that $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in \text{Lip}_{\alpha}$ in the variable x iff

$$|K(x + h, y) - K(x, y)| \le |h|^{\alpha} G(y)$$

whenever $x, x+h, y \in [a, b]$, where $G \in L^2[a, b]$. The condition $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ in y is defined symmetrically. Hille and Tamarkin [HT, Theorem 9.1] implies that A_K is of trace class if $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in \operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ in either of its variables and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$. This follows directly from Theorem 1: for simplicity suppose [a, b] = [0, 1]. Since the adjoint of a trace class operator is of trace class, and since the adjoint of A_K is A_L where $L(x, y) \equiv K(y, x)$, we can assume K is $\operatorname{Lip}_{\alpha}$ in x. Let $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ and $\gamma := \max(1, \|G\|_2)$. Then for the usual metric on [0, 1], $N_M((\varepsilon/\gamma)^{1/\alpha}, [0, 1]) < (\gamma/\varepsilon)^{1/\alpha}$ and

$$|K(x + (\varepsilon/\gamma)^{1/\alpha}, y) - K(x, y)| \le \varepsilon G(y)/\gamma$$

whenever all the arguments are in [0, 1], so

$$\|K(x+(\varepsilon/\gamma)^{1/\alpha}\,,\,\boldsymbol{\cdot})-K(x\,,\,\boldsymbol{\cdot})\|_2\leq\varepsilon\,.$$

Since $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$, it follows that $\frac{1}{\alpha} < 2$ and Theorem 1 applies.

Smithies [Sm] and Stinespring [St] extended Hille and Tamarkin's result in a different direction. Stinespring showed that A_K is of trace class if K(x, y) is periodic of period 1 in x and

(3.1)
$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K(x+h,y) - K(x,y)|^2 /h^\beta \, dy \, dx \, dh < \infty$$

for some $\beta > 2$.

Example. The condition r < 2 in Theorem 1 is sharp: Let $K(x, y) := 1_T(x, y)$ where $T := \{(x, y) : 0 \le y \le x \le 1\}$, on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure. Then $A_K(f)(x) \equiv \int_0^x f(y) \, dy \colon A_K$ is the indefinite integral operator. The functions $f_n(y) := e^{2\pi i n y}$, $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, are eigenvalues of A_K and its adjoint and so of $|A_K|$, with eigenvalues $1/(2\pi |n|)$ for the latter, so A_K is not of trace class, as is well known. For this K, we have $N_M(\varepsilon, K_Y) \le 1/\varepsilon^2$ for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. So Theorem 1 fails for r = 2.

On the other hand, the condition r < 2 is far from necessary, as the following shows:

Example. Let $\mu=\nu=$ Lebesgue measure on [0,1]. Let r_n be independent Rademacher functions, specifically, $r_n(x)=1$ if the nth binary digit is 1 and $r_n(x)=-1$ otherwise. Then for each n, $\mu(r_n=1)=\mu(r_n=-1)=\frac{1}{2}$ and the r_n are orthonormal in $L^2[0,1]$. Let $\delta>0$ and $K(x,y):=\sum_{n\geq 1}n^{-1-\delta}r_n(x)r_n(y)$. Then clearly A_K is of trace class. Now K_Y consists of those functions where each $r_n(y)$ can either be +1 or -1, independently of the others. Thus, given $\varepsilon>0$, if $2n^{-1-\delta}>\varepsilon$ then $D(\varepsilon,K_Y)\geq 2^n$ since we can choose $r_j=\pm 1$ for $j=1,\ldots,n$ and get 2^n functions at distances more than ε apart. Thus

$$D(\varepsilon, K_Y) \ge \exp((\log 2)(2/\varepsilon)^{1/(1+\delta)} - 1)$$
.

So we have, for any r < 1, examples of trace class operators with $\log D(\varepsilon, K_Y) \ge \alpha \varepsilon^{-r}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and for $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$. In this sense K_Y can be about as large as $A_K(B)$ itself can be for A_K of trace class. Also, since K is symmetric, A_K is selfadjoint and the corresponding class of functions $K_X := \{K(X, \cdot) : X \in X\}$ is the same as K_Y .

This and the previous example show that no condition on the metric entropy of K_Y can characterize trace class integral operators.

Stinespring's hypothesis (3.1), although the condition $\beta > 2$ is also sharp, fails for the rank 1 operator A_L with $L(x,y) := r_1(x)r_1(y)$. The hypothesis of Theorem 1 also fails for a rank 1 operator A_K with K(x,y) = f(x)g(y) whenever g is not essentially bounded. So there is still apparently much to be done in finding useful conditions for the trace class property of integral operators.

Some of the results of this paper appeared in the first author's thesis [G].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Michael Marcus for telling us of his paper [Ma] and a referee for remarks that helped shorten the proof of Theorem B.

REFERENCES

- [C] B. Carl, Entropy numbers of diagonal operators with an application to eigenvalue problems, J. Approx. Theory 32 (1981), 135-150.
- [CS] B. Carl and I. Stephani, Entropy, compactness and the approximation of operators, Cambridge Univ. Press, London and New York, 1990.
- [DiU] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl Jr., Vector measures, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1977.
- [Du1] R. M. Dudley, The sizes of compact subsets of Hilbert space and continuity of Gaussian processes, J. Funct. Anal. 1 (1967), 290-330.
- [Du2] ____, Sample functions of the Gaussian process, Ann. Probab. 1 (1973), 66-103.
- [Du3] ____, Universal Donsker classes and metric entropy, Ann. Probab. 15 (1987), 1306-1326.
- [F] X. Fernique, Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes, Ecole d'été de Probabilités de St.-Flour IV-1974, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 480, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1975, pp. 1-96.
- [G] J. M. Gonzalez-Barrios, On von Mises functionals with emphasis on trace class kernels, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
- [HT] E. Hille and J. D. Tamarkin, On the characteristic values of linear integral equations, Acta Math. 57 (1931), 1-76.
- [K] A. N. Kolmogorov, A note to the papers of R. A. Minlos and V. Sazonov, Theor. Probab. Appl. 4 (1959), 221-223.
- [KT] A. N. Kolmogorov and V. M. Tikhomirov, ε-entropy and ε-capacity of sets in function spaces, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 14 (1959), no. 2(86), 1-86; English transl. in Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 17 (1961), 277-364.
- [Le] B. Ya. Levin, Distribution of zeros of entire functions, rev. ed., Transl. Math. Monographs, vol. 5, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1980.
- [Lo] G. G. Lorentz, Metric entropy and approximation, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 903–937.
- [Ma] M. B. Marcus, The ε -entropy of some compact subsets of l^p , J. Approx. Theory 10 (1974), 304–312.
- [Min] R. A. Minlos, Generalized random processes and their extension to a measure, Trudy Mosk. Mat. Obshch. 8 (1959), 497-518; English transl., Selected Transl. Math. Statist. and Probab., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1962, pp. 291-313.
- [Mit] B. S. Mityagin, Approximate dimension and bases in nuclear spaces, Russian Math. Surveys 16 (1961), no. 4, 59-127.
- [O1] R. Oloff, Entropieeigenschaften von Diagonaloperatoren, Math. Nachr. 86 (1978), 157-165.
- [Sa] V. V. Sazonov, A remark on characteristic functionals, Theor. Probab. Appl. 3 (1958), 188– 192.
- [Scha] R. Schatten, Norm ideals of completely continuous operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.
- [Schw] Laurent Schwartz, Probabilités cylindriques et applications radonifiantes, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math. 18 (1971-72), 139-286.
- [Sm] F. Smithies, The eigen-values and singular values of integral equations, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 43 (1937), 255-279.
- [St] W. F. Stinespring, A sufficient condition for an integral operator to have a trace, J. Reine Angew. Math. 200 (1958), 200-207.
- [Su] V. N. Sudakov, Gaussian measures, Cauchy measures and ε-entropy, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 185 (1969), 51-53; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl. 10 (1969), 310-313.

CIMAT, GUANAJUATO 36000, MEXICO

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139-4307 E-mail address: rmd@math.mit.edu