

BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF GENERALIZED POISSON INTEGRALS FOR THE HALF-SPACE AND THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM FOR THE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

ALEXANDER I. KHEIFITS

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

ABSTRACT. The boundary properties are investigated for the generalized Poisson integral

$$u(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) f(y) dy,$$

where X is a point of the upper half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} , $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and the kernel k has some special properties. Our results imply the known boundary properties of the harmonic Poisson integrals on the half-space. As an application we derive a solution of the Dirichlet problem for the operator $-\Delta + c(X)$, $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, with boundary data $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

In their well-known paper [1] Fefferman and Stein extended the classical theory of Hardy spaces \mathbf{H}^p on harmonic functions in the half-space

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \{X = (x, x_{n+1}) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_{n+1} > 0\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

In this question the Poisson integral plays an essential role. The Laplace operator Δ has constant coefficients; hence the Poisson integral, i.e., a normal derivative of the Green function for the half-space, depends on the difference of arguments and consequently in the harmonic case the Poisson integral is a convolution. In the same article [1] the authors considered the boundary behavior of more general convolution integrals.

In the next step the problem appears to investigate the boundary behavior of nonconvolution integrals of the kind

$$(1) \quad u(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) f(y) dy, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1},$$

which in this context it is natural to call the generalized Poisson integrals.

If k in (1) is the Poisson kernel for the Laplacian, then the following result is known for the function (1) (see [2, Chapters 3 and 7]).

Received by the editors July 29, 1991 and, in revised form, January 10, 1992.
 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 31C99, 35J10, 35J25.

©1993 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Theorem A. Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and let $B(x, r)$ be a ball in \mathbb{R}^n with center x and radius r , ω_n the volume of $B(0, 1)$, and $(Mf)(x) = \sup_{r>0} (\omega_n r^n)^{-1} \int_{B(x,r)} |f(y)| dy$ the maximal function. Then

- 1° . $\sup_{0 < x_{n+1} < \infty} |u(X)| \leq (Mf)(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, as before, here $X = (x, x_{n+1})$;
- 2° . $\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} u(X) = f(x)$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- 3° . for $p < \infty$, $\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \|u(X) - f(x)\| = 0$.

Here and in the sequel $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Actually in [2] the generalization of Theorem A, particularly when the Poisson kernel is replaced by any approximate identity, was proved. In addition the statement was proved in [2] that in 1° and 2° the condition $x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ (i.e., $X \rightarrow x$ along the normal to the boundary \mathbb{R}^n of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) may be interchanged to the tending $X \rightarrow x$ as X belongs to the cone

$$\Gamma_\alpha(x_0) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : |x - x_0| < \alpha x_{n+1}\}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Our aim is to extend Theorem A on the integrals (1) where the kernel $k(X, y)$ is defined and measurable on the Cartesian product $\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We investigate the boundary behavior of (1) and apply our results to the Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + c(X)I$ in the half-space, I being the identity operator. Now we state our results. All proofs will be given in §2.

Proposition 1. Fix a point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose the kernel $k(X, y)$ has the summable majorant $\psi(x_{n+1}, \cdot) \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, depending only on $|x - y|$: $|k(X, y)| \leq \psi(x_{n+1}, |x - y|)$ for all $X = (x, x_{n+1})$, $0 < x_{n+1} < h$, with some $h > 0$. Let $\psi(x_{n+1}, r)$ decrease monotonically for $0 < r < \infty$ and

$$A(x_{n+1}) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) dy = O(1) \quad \text{as } x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then the following is valid for the function (1):

$$\limsup_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} |u(X)| \leq A(Mf)(x),$$

where $A = \limsup_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} A(x_{n+1})$.

Corollary. If the conditions of the proposition are satisfied with the same ψ at almost every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, x_{n+1})\| \leq A\|f\|, \quad A = \text{const}.$$

If $\sup_{x_{n+1} > 0} A(x_{n+1}) < \infty$ and $p > 1$, then

$$\sup_{x_{n+1} > 0} \|u(\cdot, x_{n+1})\| \leq A_p \|f\|.$$

Proposition 2. Let the conditions of the previous proposition be satisfied for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Besides let there exist the limit

$$\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy = 1$$

for Lebesgue a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and the limit

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y| \geq \delta} |k(X, y)| dy = 0$$

for every $\delta > 0$. Then there exists the limit

$$\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} u(X) = f(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Remark. If in Propositions 1 and 2 the condition $x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$ is replaced by $\Gamma_\alpha(x_0) \ni X \rightarrow x_0$, then all the assertions will remain valid as $\Gamma_\alpha(x_0) \ni X \rightarrow x_0$.

Proposition 3. Suppose all conditions of Proposition 2 are satisfied and, in addition, the condition (2) is strengthened to

$$\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) dy = 0 \quad \forall \delta > 0.$$

If $1 \leq \mathbf{p} < \infty$ and $|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy - 1| \leq \text{const} < \infty$ uniformly in $X \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, h)$, then there exists the limit

$$\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \|u(X) - f(x)\| = 0.$$

Evidently all our conjectures are fulfilled for the classical Poisson kernel

$$\gamma_{n+1} x_{n+1} \{|x - y|^2 + x_{n+1}^2\}^{-(n+1)/2}, \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \text{const};$$

hence Propositions 1–3 imply Theorem A. Our assumptions are valid too for the kernels

$$(x_{n+1}^2 / (|x - y|^2 + x_{n+1}^2))^{\lambda n/2} \cdot x_{n+1}^{-n}$$

and

$$(x_{n+1} / (|x - y| + x_{n+1}))^{\lambda n} \cdot x_{n+1}^{-n}$$

with $\lambda > 1$, and Proposition 1 implies the case $z = 0$ and real $\lambda > 1$ of Lemma 3.3 by Johnson [3].

Now let us consider the operator $L_c = -\Delta + c(X)I$, where the function (potential) $c(X) \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} and such that $c \in L^s$ in some neighbourhood of each finite point $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cup \mathbb{R}^n$ with certain $s > (n+1)/2$ for $n \geq 3$ and $s = 2$ for $n = 1, 2$. Besides we suppose that $c(X)$ has summable majorant depending only on $|X - y|$ in some vicinity of any boundary point $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Under these assumptions it is known that the operator L_c on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ has a Green function $G(X, Y)$ in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} with analytic properties necessary in the sequel. Consequently Propositions 1–3 and the results of [4, 5] imply the following.

Theorem. Suppose $f \in L^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq \mathbf{p} \leq \infty$. Then the Dirichlet problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + c(X)u, & X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ u(x) = f(x) & \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases}$$

has the solution

$$u(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial G(X, y)}{\partial n(y)} f(y) dy, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1},$$

which satisfies all the conclusions of Propositions 1–3. Here $\partial/\partial n$ is a derivative along an inner normal to \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, this solution u is Hölder continuous with exponent $2 - n/s$ if $n/2 < s \leq n$ and its gradient ∇u is Hölder continuous with exponent $1 - n/s$ if $s > n$ in all points $X \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$. For potentials under consideration this assertion makes more precise Simon's results about Hölder

continuity of the solutions to the Schrödinger equation $L_c u = 0$ [6, Theorems C.2.4 and C.2.5, p. 497].

Of course this solution of problem (3) is not unique. The uniqueness is valid only with a priori growth estimates of the solution at infinity; this question will be considered elsewhere.

2. DEMONSTRATIONS

We essentially use some ideas of Stein's book [2].

Proof of Proposition 1. By assumption

$$I \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y - x|) dy < \infty.$$

If we introduce spherical coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n with pole x , then $I = n\omega_n \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} \psi(x_{n+1}, r) dr$. The monotonicity of ψ implies $r^n \psi(x_{n+1}, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$. Now integration by parts leads to the equality $I = \omega_n \int_0^\infty r^n d\{-\psi(x_{n+1}, r)\}$. Denote (see [2])

$$\lambda(r) = \int_{|t-x|=r} f(t) d\sigma(t)$$

and

$$\Lambda(r) = \int_{|x-y|\leq r} |f(y)| dy = \int_0^r t^{n-1} \lambda(t) dt.$$

Then $\Lambda(r) \leq \omega_n r^n (Mf)(x)$. Hence if $(Mf)(x) < \infty$, then for $0 < x_{n+1} < h$,

$$(4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0, r \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(r) \psi(x_{n+1}, r) = 0,$$

Now we can estimate the function u :

$$|u(X)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |k(X, y)| |f(y)| dy \leq \int_0^\infty \Lambda(r) dr \{-\psi(x_{n+1}, r)\}$$

with regard to (4). From this we have

$$|u(X)| \leq \omega_n (Mf)(x) \int_0^\infty r^n dr \{-\psi(x_{n+1}, r)\},$$

and after back integration by parts the inequality $|u(X)| \leq A(x_{n+1})(Mf)(x)$ follows. To finish the proof it remains to let $x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 2. Transform the difference

$$\begin{aligned} u(X) - f(x) &= \int_{|y-x|<\delta} k(X, y) \{f(y) - f(x)\} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y-x|\geq\delta} k(X, y) \{f(y) - f(x)\} dy + f(x) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy - 1 \right\} \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

and estimate every term separately.

Let x be a point of the Lebesgue set of the function f ; then $|f(x)| < \infty$. If x does not belong to other exceptional sets of zero measure (see the statement of the proposition), i.e., x belongs to the set of full measure in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} I_3 = 0$ by virtue of (2). Similarly, $\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} f(x) \int_{|y-x|\geq\delta} k(X, y) dy$

$= 0$. We estimate the integral $I_{21} = \int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} k(X, y) f(y) dy$ by the Hölder inequality:

$$|I_{21}| \leq \|f\| \left\{ \int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} |k(X, y)|^q dy \right\}^{1/q}, \quad q = p/(p-1) \geq 1.$$

The inequality $|k(X, y)| < 1$ is valid asymptotically by virtue of the monotonicity and integrability of the majorant ψ . Hence $|k(X, y)|^q \leq |k(X, y)|$ asymptotically and

$$|I_{21}| \leq \text{const} \|f\| \left\{ \int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} |k(X, y)| dy \right\}^{1/q} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0.$$

To estimate I_1 we introduce the function as in [2]

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} f(y) - f(x) & \text{if } |y-x| < \delta, \\ 0 & \text{if } |y-x| \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can choose $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon)$ such that $(Mg)(x) < \varepsilon$ because x is the point of the Lebesgue set of f . Therefore Proposition 1 implies $|I_1| \leq A(Mg)(x) < A\varepsilon$, where ε may be arbitrarily small. Q.E.D.

Proof of Proposition 3. We have $\|u(X) - f(x)\| \leq \|I_1\| + \|I_2\| + \|I_3\|$. First

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &= \left| \int_{|y| < \delta} k(X, y) \{f(y+x) - f(x)\} dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) |f(y+x) - f(x)| dy, \end{aligned}$$

but $\psi(x_{n+1}, r)$ does not depend on x ; hence

$$\|I_1\| \leq \int_{|y| < \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, r) \|f(y+\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| dy.$$

It is known that the relation $\Delta(y) \equiv \|f(y+\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| = o(1)$ as $|y| < \delta$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence for arbitrary fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ one can choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\Delta(y) < \varepsilon$ and consequently

$$\|I_1\| \leq \varepsilon \int_{|y| < \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) dy \leq A(x_{n+1})\varepsilon \leq A\varepsilon.$$

Now we fix $\delta > 0$ and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\| &\leq \left\| \int_{|y-x| \geq \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y-x|) |f(y) - f(x)| dy \right\| \\ &\leq \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) \|f(y+\cdot) - f(\cdot)\| dy \\ &\leq 2\|f\| \int_{|y| \geq \delta} \psi(x_{n+1}, |y|) dy = o(1), \quad x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|I_3\|$ (now $p < \infty$) we use the representation (see [2]) $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 is a continuous function with compact support and $\|f_2\| < \varepsilon$. Hence $\sup|f_1| < \infty$ and the function with compact support

$$\left\{ |f_1(x)| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy - 1 \right| \right\}^p$$

has a summable majorant. Now from the Lebesgue theorem about dominated convergence we have

$$\lim_{x_{n+1} \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(x)|^p \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy - 1 \right|^p dx = 0.$$

Finally,

$$\left\| f_2(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} k(X, y) dy - 1 \right) \right\| \leq \text{const} \|f_2\| \leq \text{const } \varepsilon. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for his essential remarks concerning this manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* **129** (1972), 137–193.
2. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differential properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
3. R. Johnson, *Application of Carleson measures to partial differential equations and Fourier multiplier problems*, Harmonic Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 992, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, and Tokyo, 1983, pp. 16–72.
4. A. I. Kheifits, *Subfunctions of the Schrödinger operator*. 2, Rostov State Univ., Rostov-on-Don, 1989; *RZhMat* 1989: 4E541Dep.
5. ———, *Subfunctions of the Schrödinger operator*. 3, Capacity and its Applications, Rostov State Univ., Rostov-on-Don, 1990; *RZhMat* 1990: 11E383Dep.
6. B. Simon, *Schrödinger semigroups*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **7** (1982), 447–526.

ROSTOV STATE UNIVERSITY, UL. SODRUZHESTVA 37, KV. 198, ROSTOV-ON-DON, REPUBLIC OF RUSSIA