

## SOME CONSEQUENCES OF HARISH-CHANDRA'S SUBMERSION PRINCIPLE

CARY RADER AND ALLAN SILBERGER

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $G$  be a reductive  $p$ -adic group,  $K$  a good maximal compact subgroup,  $K_1 \subset K$  any open subgroup, and  $\pi$  an admissible representation of  $G$  of finite type. In *A submersion principle and its applications*, Harish-Chandra proves the theorem that  $\int_K \pi(kgk^{-1}) dk$  is a finite-rank operator for  $g$  in the regular set  $G'$  in order to show that the character  $\Theta_\pi(g)$  is a locally constant class function on  $G'$ . From this, the authors derive the formula  $\theta(1)\Theta_\pi(g) = d(\pi) \int_{G/Z} \int_{K_1} \theta(xkgk^{-1}x^{-1}) dk d\dot{x}$  ( $g \in G'$ ) for any  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient  $\theta$  of a discrete series representation  $\pi$  with formal degree  $d(\pi)$ . They use another technical result of the paper to prove that invariant integrals of Schwartz space functions converge absolutely. None of these results depends upon a characteristic zero assumption.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a commutative  $p$ -field,  $G$  the group of  $\mathbb{F}$ -points of a connected reductive  $\mathbb{F}$ -group  $\mathbf{G}$ , and  $G'$  the set of regular (semisimple) points of  $G$ .

There is a well-known integral formula, proved originally by Harish-Chandra [4, pp. 60, 94] and rederived and used by Kutzko [6] (cf. also [7, Theorems 1.7 and 1.9]), which allows one in principle to compute the values of the character of a supercuspidal representation of  $G$  on  $G'$ , either by integrating a matrix coefficient of the representation or the character of a  $K$ -type which induces the representation. One purpose of this paper is to point out that this integral formula is actually valid for any discrete series representation of  $G$  and, in this context, to give a new and simple proof of the integral formula based on Harish-Chandra's elegant paper [3].

In order not to obtain an unnecessarily restrictive special case of the integral formula for discrete series, the authors found it necessary to sharpen the statement of [3, Theorem 2]. The restatement appears here in §2 as Theorem 1; the modification to Harish-Chandra's argument is given in §4. Theorem 2 of §2 presents the integral formula for the character of a discrete series representation; the derivation of this formula from Theorem 1 is also given in §2.

---

Received by the editors August 26, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22E50.

*Key words and phrases*. Character, discrete series, reductive  $p$ -adic groups, Schwartz space, invariant integral.

Although he does not present the details, Harish-Chandra mentions that [3, Theorem 3] can be used to give a characteristic-free proof of the absolute convergence of invariant integrals for Schwartz space functions. In §3, Theorem 4, we use [3, Theorem 4] to prove this absolute convergence. Our proof, while reminiscent of the argument presented in [9, p. 244] for real reductive Lie groups, simplifies Wallach's approach through the use of the "numerical function" of Geometric Invariant Theory from Kempf [5] and Mumford. In Corollary 5 we use Theorem 4 to express the discrete series characters on elliptic Cartan subgroups as invariant integrals of their matrix coefficients. It is interesting that the integral formula from Theorem 2 has support on all Cartan subgroups, whereas the invariant integrals vanish on the Cartan subgroups which are not elliptic. Related work also appears in Clozel [1].

## 2. THE INTEGRAL FORMULA FOR THE CHARACTER OF A DISCRETE SERIES REPRESENTATION

We use the notational conventions of [2, 3, 8]. In particular, for  $X$  an  $\mathbb{F}$ -group, we write  $X$  to denote its corresponding group of  $\mathbb{F}$ -points.

Let  $Z$  denote the split component of  $G$ . Let  $(P, A)$  ( $P = MN$ ) denote a minimal  $p$ -pair of  $G$  and  $K$  an  $A$ -special maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . In the following  $K_1$  denotes an arbitrary open subgroup of  $K$ . Fix Haar measures  $dg$  on  $G$  and  $d\dot{g}$  on  $G/Z$  such that  $\int_{K_1} dg = \int_{K_1/Z \cap K_1} d\dot{g} = 1$ .

Let  $\pi$  be an admissible representation of  $G$  acting in a complex vector space  $V$ . Let  $C_c^\infty(G)$  denote the convolution algebra of compactly supported locally constant functions on  $G$ . For any  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(g) \pi(g) dg$$

is an operator of finite rank acting in  $V$ . The mapping

$$f \mapsto \Theta_\pi(f) = \text{tr}(\pi(f)) \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G))$$

is the (distributional) character of  $\pi$ . Harish-Chandra has proved in [3] that if  $V$  is a module of finite type under  $C_c^\infty(G)$ , then there is a locally constant function  $\Theta_\pi(g)$  defined for all  $g \in G'$  such that

$$\Theta_\pi(f) = \int_{G'} f(g) \Theta_\pi(g) dg$$

for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$  with support in  $G'$ . His proof depends upon the following assertion, proved in [3] only for the case  $K_1 = K$ :

**Theorem 1** (Harish-Chandra). *Assume that  $\pi$  is an admissible representation of  $G$  in a complex vector space  $V$  and that  $V$  is a left  $C_c^\infty(G)$ -module of finite type under  $\pi$ . Then*

$$g \mapsto \int_{K_1} \pi(k g k^{-1}) dk \quad (g \in G')$$

*is a locally constant function with range in the space of finite rank operators on  $V$ .*

As we shall need Theorem 1 for arbitrary  $K_1$ , we shall indicate the modification in Harish-Chandra's argument needed to prove the more general version in §4.

For the remainder of §2 assume Theorem 1, as stated. Let  $\pi$  now be an irreducible, admissible discrete series representation which is unitary on the pre-Hilbert space  $V$ . Write  $\langle u, v \rangle$  for the inner product of  $u, v \in V$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$  denote the vector space spanned by functions of the form

$$x \mapsto \langle \pi(x)u, v \rangle \quad (x \in G; u, v \in V).$$

With respect to the fixed Haar measure  $d\dot{x}$  on  $G/Z$  the formal degree  $d(\pi)$  of  $\pi$  is defined such that

$$d(\pi)^{-1} \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle \text{conj} \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(x)u_1, v_1 \rangle \text{conj} \langle \pi(x)u_2, v_2 \rangle d\dot{x}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\theta(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\pi)$  and let  $g \in G'$ . Then

$$\theta(1)\Theta_\pi(g) = d(\pi) \int_{G/Z} \int_{K_1} \theta(xk g k^{-1} x^{-1}) dk d\dot{x}.$$

*Remark.* Since  $\int_{G/Z \times K_1} |\theta(xk g k^{-1} x^{-1})| d\dot{x} \times dk$  does not exist, in general, it is not possible to use the right invariance of the Haar measure  $d\dot{x}$  to absorb the integration over  $K_1$  into the integration over  $G/Z$ . Indeed, without the integration over  $K_1$ , the integrand would be constant on cosets of the centralizer of  $g$ ; the centralizer of  $g$  not being compact (when  $g$  is not an elliptic element), the integral would diverge trivially.

*Proof.* Since the operator

$$T_g = \int_{K_1} \pi(k g k^{-1}) dk$$

has finite rank, there exists an open normal subgroup  $\tilde{K} \subset K_1$  such that

$$\pi(k_1) T_g \pi(k_2) = T_g$$

for all  $k_1, k_2 \in \tilde{K}$ . Let  $V_2$  denote the subspace consisting of all  $\tilde{K}$ -fixed vectors in  $V$ . By the choice of  $\tilde{K}$  we may assume  $\dim(V_2) > 0$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{e_i\}$  for  $V$  such that  $e_1, \dots, e_N$  is an orthonormal basis for  $V_2$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $\theta(g) = \langle \pi(g)u, v \rangle$  for some  $u, v \in V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_1} \theta(xk g k^{-1} x^{-1}) dk &= \int_{K_1} \langle \pi(k g k^{-1}) \pi(x^{-1})u, \pi(x^{-1})v \rangle dk \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle T_g e_i, e_j \rangle \langle \pi(x^{-1})u, e_i \rangle \text{conj} \langle \pi(x^{-1})v, e_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle T_g e_i, e_j \rangle \langle \pi(x) e_j, v \rangle \text{conj} \langle \pi(x) e_i, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since, for the discrete series representation  $\pi$ ,

$$\int_{G/Z} \langle \pi(x) e_j, v \rangle \text{conj} \langle \pi(x) e_i, u \rangle d\dot{x} = d(\pi)^{-1} \langle e_j, e_i \rangle \langle u, v \rangle = d(\pi)^{-1} \delta_{ij} \theta(1)$$

and since we can interchange  $\int_{G/Z}$  and the finite summation  $\sum_{i,j=1}^N$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(\pi) \int_{G/Z} \int_{K_1} \theta(xkgk^{-1}x^{-1}) dk d\dot{x} &= d(\pi) \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle T_g e_i, e_j \rangle d(\pi)^{-1} \delta_{ij} \theta(1) \\ &= \text{tr}(T_g) \theta(1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $f \in C_c^\infty(G')$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_\pi(f) &= \text{tr} \left( \int_{G'} f(g) \pi(g) dg \right) = \text{tr} \left( \int_{G'} \int_{K_1} f(k^{-1}gk) dk \pi(g) dg \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left( \int_{G'} f(g) \int_{K_1} \pi(kgk^{-1}) dk dg \right) = \int_{G'} f(g) \text{tr}(T_g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 3. ON THE INVARIANT INTEGRALS OF SCHWARTZ FUNCTIONS

In this section we use [3] to construct a proof of the convergence of invariant integrals for Schwartz functions. Let

$$\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F})$$

be an irreducible faithful rational representation of  $G$  on  $V = \mathbb{F}^n$ , defined over  $\mathbb{F}$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$  (the space of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}$ ) define

$$\|T\| = \max_{i,j} |T_{ij}|,$$

and for  $x \in G$  define

$$\|x\| = \inf_{z \in Z} \max(\|\varphi(xz)\|, \|\varphi(xz)^{-1}\|).$$

Define the relations  $\prec$  and  $\succ$  as in [8, p. 149]. In [3, p. 101] Harish-Chandra defines

$$\beta(g) = \sup_x (f_{\alpha_1, g}(x)) \quad (g \in G', K_1 = K).$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be an elliptic Cartan subgroup of  $G$ , let  $\Gamma' = \Gamma \cap G'$  denote the set of regular elements in  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\Xi$  denote the spherical function used in Harish-Chandra's definition of the Schwartz space for  $G$  ([2, §14] or [8, §4.2]).

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\omega$  be a compact subset of  $\Gamma'$ . Then there are positive constants  $c$  and  $r$  such that, for any  $g \in \omega$ ,*

$$(1) \quad \int_K \Xi(m^{-1}k^{-1}gkm) dk \leq c\beta(g)\Xi(m)^2$$

and

$$(2) \quad \|m^{-1}k^{-1}gkm\| \geq c^{-1}\|m\|^r$$

for all  $m \in M$ .

*Proof.* A stronger version of (1) is proved in [3, p. 101] (where  $\omega \subset \Gamma'$  only has to be precompact in  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma$  need not be elliptic). (Harish-Chandra ostensibly proves the assertion of (1) only for  $m \in M^+$ , but one can use the  $K$ -invariance

of  $\Xi$  to obtain his assertion for any  $m \in M$ .) We prove only (2), using an idea from [5]. The assertion (2) factors through  $G/Z$ , so for the rest of the proof we assume that  $Z = \{1\}$ . Set

$$\Omega = \{k^{-1}gk : k \in K \text{ and } g \in \omega \cup \omega^{-1}\},$$

a compact set of elliptic regular points of  $G$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of weights of  $\varphi$  for  $A$ , the split component of  $M$ , and let  $E_\lambda$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) be the corresponding projection onto the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace  $V_\lambda$ . Without loss of generality we assume that for  $\gamma \in \Omega$  and  $m \in M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(m^{-1}\gamma m)\| &= \max\{\|E_\lambda \varphi(m^{-1}\gamma m) E_\nu\| : \lambda, \nu \in \Lambda\} \\ &\asymp \max\{q^{\langle \nu - \lambda, H(m) \rangle} \|E_\lambda \varphi(\gamma) E_\nu\| : \lambda, \nu \in \Lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $m \in M$  with  $m \notin {}^0M$  [8, p. 8] we have the flag in  $V$ ,

$$F_\lambda = \bigoplus \{V_\nu : \langle \nu, H(m) \rangle \leq \langle \lambda, H(m) \rangle\} \quad (\nu, \lambda \in \Lambda).$$

Let  $P_m = M_m N_m$  be the proper parabolic subgroup in  $G$  which stabilizes this flag, so  $\varphi(M_m)$  consists of block diagonal matrices and  $\varphi(N_m)$  of block upper triangular matrices with respect to  $F_\lambda$ . Thus

$$P_m = \{x \in G : E_\lambda \varphi(x) E_\nu = 0 \text{ if } \langle \nu, H(m) \rangle \geq \langle \lambda, H(m) \rangle\}.$$

Define

$$l(m, \gamma) = \max\{\langle \nu - \lambda, H(m) \rangle : \|E_\lambda \varphi(\gamma) E_\nu\| \neq 0\}$$

for  $m \in M$  with  $m \notin {}^0M$  and  $\gamma \in \Omega$  (cf. [5, p. 306]). Clearly, if  $l(m, \gamma) \leq 0$ , then  $\gamma \in P_m$  (cf. [5, p. 305]); conjugating by  $N_m$ , we may assume that  $\gamma \in M_m$ . It follows that

$$\Gamma = \text{cent}(\gamma)^0 \supset A_m = \text{split center of } M_m,$$

which is impossible since  $\Gamma$  is elliptic. Set

$$L(m, \gamma) = \max\{\|E_\lambda \varphi(\gamma) E_\nu\| : \langle \nu - \lambda, H(m) \rangle = l(m, \gamma)\}.$$

Then

$$\|\varphi(m^{-1}\gamma m)\| \geq L(m, \gamma) q^{l(m, \gamma)} \quad (\gamma \in \Omega, m \in M).$$

Next note that  $L$  and  $l$  are strictly positive (for  $m \notin {}^0M$ ) locally constant functions. As  $\gamma$  varies over the compact set  $\Omega$ , the set of values assumed by  $L(m, \gamma)$  is a finite set of positive numbers; let  $L$  be the smallest of these. Since the  $\lambda - \nu$  are integral linear combinations of roots, it is clear that  $l$  extends to the real Lie algebra,

$$l : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$$

as a continuous function which is convex and positively homogeneous of degree one on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$  and locally constant on  $\Omega$ .

On the other hand, if  $H(a)$  lies in a closed positive Weyl chamber  $\mathfrak{a}^+$  and  $\lambda$  is the highest weight for  $\varphi$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}^+$ , then  $\|a\| = q^{\langle \lambda, H(a) \rangle}$  relative to a basis of eigenvectors for  $A$ , since the other weights are of the form  $\lambda - \sum m_\alpha \alpha$  with integers  $m_\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$  on  $A^+$ . Thus

$$a \mapsto \sigma(a) = \max\{\langle \lambda, H(a) \rangle : \lambda \text{ is an extreme weight of } \varphi\}$$

extends to a continuous, convex function on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$  which is positively homogeneous of degree one and strictly positive away from zero (strictly positive because  $\varphi$  is faithful). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the unit sphere in  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then

$$r = \inf\{l(H, \gamma)/\sigma(H) : (H, \gamma) \in \mathcal{S} \times \Omega\}$$

is positive, and

$$\|\varphi(m^{-1}\gamma m)\| \geq L q^{l(m, \gamma)} \geq L q^{r\sigma(H(m))} \succ L \|m\|^r$$

for  $\gamma \in \Omega$ . But also  $\gamma^{-1} \in \Omega$ , so  $\|m^{-1}\gamma m\| \succ \|m\|^r$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

Recall that the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  is the space of functions  $f$  on  $G$  such that  $f \in C(G//K_0)$ , the space of  $K_0$ -bi-invariant functions, for some compact open subgroup  $K_0 \subset G$ , and that

$$|f|_N = \sup_{x \in G} |f(x)| \Xi(x)^{-1} (1 + \log \|x\|)^N$$

is finite for each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a Cartan subgroup of  $G$ ,  $A_{\Gamma}$  is its split component, and  $f \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ , then

$$F_f(g) = |D(g)|^{1/2} \int_{G/A_{\Gamma}} f(xgx^{-1}) dx^* \quad (g \in \Gamma')$$

is called the invariant integral. Here  $D(g)$  is the lowest coefficient in the characteristic polynomial of  $\text{Ad}(g) - 1$  and  $dx^*$  is the invariant measure on  $G/A_{\Gamma}$ .

**Theorem 4.** *There exists an integer  $N$  with the following property. For any compact set  $\omega \subset \Gamma'$  there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $f \in \mathcal{E}(G)$*

$$|F_f(g)| \leq C|f|_N$$

*for all  $g \in \omega$ . Moreover,  $F_f$  is locally constant on  $\Gamma'$  (for every  $f \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ ), and for a fixed compact open subgroup  $K_0 \subset G$ , the space of restrictions*

$$\{F_f|_{\omega} : f \in \mathcal{E}(G//K_0)\}$$

*is a finite-dimensional vector space.*

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  is not elliptic, then choose a parabolic subgroup  $P = MN$  so that  $A = A_{\Gamma}$  is the split component of  $M$ , and  $\Gamma \subset M$  is elliptic. Then we have the continuous map

$$f \mapsto f^P : \mathcal{E}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(M), \quad |f^P|_{M, n} \leq C_n |f|_{G, n+d_A}$$

(for some integer  $d_A$  and all integers  $n$  [8, p. 176]) which satisfies

$$F_f^{G/\Gamma}(g) = F_{\bar{f}^P}^{M/\Gamma}(g) \quad (g \in \Gamma')$$

(where  $\bar{f}(x) = \int_K f(kxk^{-1}) dk$ ) [4, p. 58].

This reduces the proof to the case of an elliptic Cartan subgroup  $\Gamma$ . We use the Cartan integration formula [8, p. 149]. For  $g \in \omega$  (and letting  $\mu$  denote

our normalized Haar measure)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |D(g)|^{-1/2} |F_f^\Gamma(g)| \\
 &= \left| \int_{K \times M^+ \times K} f(l^{-1} m^{-1} k^{-1} g k m l) \mu(K m K) dk dm dl \right| \\
 &\prec \int_{M^+ \times K} \Xi(m^{-1} k^{-1} g k m) (1 + \log \|m^{-1} k^{-1} g k m\|)^{-n} \mu(K m K) dk dm \\
 &\prec \int_{M^+} (1 + r \log \|m\|)^{-n} \delta_{P_0}(m) \int_K \Xi(m^{-1} k^{-1} g k m) dk dm \\
 &\quad \quad \quad (\text{Lemma 3 and [8, Lemma 4.1.1]}) \\
 &\prec \beta(g) \int_{M^+} (1 + r \log \|m\|)^{-n} \delta_{P_0}(m) \Xi(m)^2 dm \quad (\text{Lemma 3}) \\
 &\prec \beta(g) \sum_{M^+ / {}^0 M} (1 + r \log \|m\|)^{-n+2r_0} \quad [8, \text{p. 154}] \\
 &\prec \beta(g) \quad [8, \text{p. 150}].
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies the first sentence of the theorem. The rest comes directly from [3, Theorem 3] and the fact that  $C_c(G//K_0)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{E}(G//K_0)$ .

As a corollary to the last result, note that if  $\Gamma$  is elliptic (so  $A_\Gamma = Z$ ), the integral in Theorem 2 is absolutely convergent, since  $\theta$  is a matrix entry of a discrete series representation, so lies in  $\mathcal{E}(G)$ . Thus we can reverse the order of integration and absorb the integration over  $K$  into the Haar invariance of  $d\dot{x}$ . Moreover, since  $\theta$  is a cusp form, we obtain

**Corollary 5.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, if  $g \in G'$  is regular elliptic, then*

$$d(\pi) \int_{G/Z} \theta(x g x^{-1}) d\dot{x} = \theta(1) \Theta_\pi(g),$$

and if  $g$  is regular but not elliptic and  $\Gamma$  is the centralizer of  $g$ , then

$$\int_{G/A_\Gamma} \theta(x g x^{-1}) d\dot{x} = 0$$

(cf. [1, p. 9]).

#### 4. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We use notation like [3, p. 98], and where notation or terminology is not explained we have used Harish-Chandra's. (To read this proof it will be necessary to have Harish-Chandra's article close at hand.)

For simplicity assume that  $K_1$  is a normal subgroup of  $K$ . There is no loss of generality in this assumption inasmuch as every open subgroup of  $G$  contains an open normal subgroup of  $K$ . Moreover, if Theorem 1 is true for an open subgroup of  $K_1$ , then it is obviously true for  $K_1$ , too. Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  be the cosets of  $K_1$  in  $K$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  define

$$T_{g,i} = \int_{K_i} \pi(k g k^{-1}) dk, \quad T_g = T_{g,1} \quad (g \in G').$$

For every  $k \in K$  there exists  $i = i(k)$  such that

$$T_g \pi(k) = \pi(k) T_{g,i} \quad (g \in G', k \in K).$$

Let  $P_0$  be an open compact subgroup of  $P$  chosen as at the top of [3, p. 99]. Let  $\alpha_i \in C_c^\infty(G \times P)$  be the characteristic function of  $K_i \times P_0$  for each  $i$ . Harish-Chandra's "submersion principle" applied to the submersion of  $G \times P \rightarrow G$  defined by

$$(x, p) \mapsto x g x^{-1} p \quad (\text{fixed } g \in G')$$

implies the existence of functions  $f_{\alpha_i, g} \in C_c^\infty(G)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_i \times P_0} F(k g k^{-1} p) dk d_l p &= \int_{G \times P} \alpha_i(x, p) F(x g x^{-1} p) dx d_l p \\ &= \int_G f_{\alpha_i, g}(y) F(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for any locally integrable function  $F$  on  $G$  ( $d_l p$  denotes a left Haar measure on  $P$ ). Let  $V_0$  denote a finite-dimensional subspace of  $V$  such that  $\pi(C_c^\infty(G))V_0 = V$  and such that  $V_0$  is the space of all  $K_0$ -fixed vectors for some open normal subgroup  $K_0$  of  $K$ . Then for  $F = \pi$  and on the vector space spanning set for  $V$

$$\{\pi(km)v \mid k \in K, m \in M^+, v \in V_0\}$$

we obtain the relation

$$T_g \pi(km)v = \pi(k) T_{g,i} \int_{P_0} \pi(p) d_l p \pi(m)v = \pi(k) \pi(f_{\alpha_i, g}) \pi(m)v,$$

which implies that  $T_g$  is an operator of finite rank. In fact, choosing an open normal subgroup  $\tilde{K} \subset K$  such that  $f_{\alpha_i, g} \in C_c^\infty(G//\tilde{K})$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have

$$\pi(E_{\tilde{K}}) T_g \pi(km)v = \pi(k) \pi(E_{\tilde{K}} f_{\alpha_i, g}) \pi(m)v = T_g \pi(km)v,$$

where  $E_{\tilde{K}}$  is the identity element in the convolution algebra  $C_c^\infty(G//\tilde{K})$ . Assuming that  $\tilde{K} \subset K_1$  and using the fact that  $T_g$  commutes with  $\pi(K_1)$ , we conclude that, since

$$\pi(E_{\tilde{K}}) T_g = T_g \pi(E_{\tilde{K}}) = T_g,$$

$T_g$  is of finite rank. (Harish-Chandra also shows that  $(g, x) \mapsto f_{\alpha_i, g}(x)$  lies in  $C^\infty(G' \times G)$  and is compactly supported in  $x$ . This implies that  $T_{g,i}$  is locally constant in  $g$ .)

## REFERENCES

1. Laurent Clozel, *Invariant harmonic analysis on the Schwartz space of a reductive p-adic group*, Harmonic Analysis on Reductive Groups (Bowdoin Conference), Birkhauser, Boston, MA, 1991, pp. 101–121.
2. Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 26, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1974, pp. 167–192.
3. ———, *A submersion principle and its applications*, Papers dedicated to the memory of V. K. Patodi, Indian Academy of Sciences, Bangalore, and the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1980, pp. 95–102.



4. ———, *Harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, Notes by G. van Dijk, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 162, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1970.
5. George Kempf, *Instability in invariant theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **108** (1978), 299–316.
6. Philip Kutzko, *Character formulas for supercuspidal representations of  $GL_l$ ,  $l$  a prime*, Amer. J. Math. **109** (1987), 201–222.
7. Paul J. Sally, Jr., *Some remarks on discrete series characters for reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, Representations of Lie Groups, Kyoto, Hiroshima, 1986, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 14, North-Holland, Amsterdam and New York, 1988, pp. 337–348.
8. Allan J. Silberger, *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive  $p$ -adic groups*, Math. Notes, vol. 23, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1979.
9. Nolan Wallach, *Real reductive groups. I*, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, OHIO 43055

E-mail address: cbrader@mps.ohio-state.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115

E-mail address: R0730@vmcms.csuohio.edu