

LOCAL ISOGENY THEOREM FOR DRINFELD MODULES WITH NONINTEGRAL INVARIANTS

SUNGHAN BAE AND PYUNG-LYUN KANG

(Communicated by William W. Adams)

ABSTRACT. An isogeny theorem for the Drinfeld modules of rank 2 over a local field analogous to that of elliptic curves is proved.

0. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a global function field over a finite constant field \mathbf{F}_q . Drinfeld introduced the notion of elliptic modules, which are now known as Drinfeld modules, on k in analogy with classical elliptic curves. Hayes also studied this independently to generate certain class fields of k .

Drinfeld modules of rank 2 have many interesting properties analogous to those of elliptic curves. We fix k to be the rational function field $\mathbf{F}_q(T)$. In [1] we introduced the Tate parametrization of Drinfeld modules of rank 2 with nonintegral invariants over a complete field. In this article we use the description of division points of Tate-Drinfeld modules and the methods in [6, 7] to get an isomorphism theorem for Drinfeld modules over a field with some restrictions on t and t' . In other words, there exist a and b in $A = \mathbf{F}_q[T]$ such that $\rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ is integral. This restriction does not appear in the classical case because α/β is a unit if the valuations of α and β are equal.

From now on Drinfeld modules always mean Drinfeld modules of rank 2 defined on $A = \mathbf{F}_q[T]$.

1. TATE-DRINFELD MODULES

In this section we give a quick review of Tate-Drinfeld modules, which are the function field analogues of Tate elliptic curves [1]. Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q(T)$ and $k_\infty = \mathbf{F}_q((T))$, and let C be the completion of the algebraic closure of k_∞ . Let $\bar{\pi}$ be an element of C associated to the *Carlitz module*

$$\rho_T = TX + X^q.$$

Any rank 2 Drinfeld module ϕ over C on $A = \mathbf{F}_q[T]$ is completely determined by

$$\phi_T = TX + \bar{\pi}^{1-q} gX^q + \bar{\pi}^{1-q^2} \Delta X^{q^2}.$$

Received by the editors April 29, 1991 and, in revised form, January 16, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G09, 11R58.

Partially supported by KOSEF Research Grant 91-08-00-07.

©1993 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/93 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Then g and Δ are modular forms on $\Omega = C - K_\infty$ for $\mathrm{GL}_2(A)$ of weight $q - 1$ and $q^2 - 1$, respectively. Let

$$t = t(z) = e^{-1}(\pi z)$$

where

$$e(z) = z \prod'_{a \in A} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a\pi}\right).$$

Then g and Δ have t -expansions with coefficients in A [3].

Now let K be a complete field containing k and $\delta > 0$ a real number so that $g(t)$ and $\Delta(t)$ converge for $|t| < \delta$. For $t \in K$ with $|t| < \delta$, we define the *Tate-Drinfeld module* associated to t by

$$\phi_T^{(t)} = TX + g(t)X^q + \Delta(t)X^{q^2}.$$

The *Tate-Drinfeld map* $e_{(t)}$ is defined to be

$$e_{(t)}(u) = u \prod'_{a \in A} \left(1 - \frac{u}{\rho_a(t^{-1})}\right).$$

Remark 1.1. If one views K as an A -module via ρ (i.e., $a \cdot x = \rho_a(x)$ for $a \in A$, $x \in K$), then $e_{(t)}$ has exactly the same form as the exponential map $e_\Lambda(z)$ associated to the lattice $A \cdot t^{-1}$.

The following is given in [1].

Proposition 1.2. (i) *The set D_t of zeros of $e_{(t)}$ is $D_t = \{\rho_a(t^{-1}) : a \in A\}$.*

$$(ii) \quad e_{(t)}(u + v) = e_{(t)}(u) + e_{(t)}(v).$$

$$(iii) \quad \phi_a^{(t)}(e_{(t)}(u)) = e_{(t)}(\rho_a(u)).$$

Remark 1.3. In the classical case, the Tate map is a homomorphism from the multiplicative group K^* to the elliptic curve. Proposition 1.2 says that the Tate-Drinfeld map is an A -module homomorphism from \overline{K} with A -module structure given by the Carlitz module to \overline{K} with A -module structure given by the Tate-Drinfeld module $\phi^{(t)}$.

Proposition 1.4. *For $a \in A$, let $t_a = 1/\rho_a(t^{-1})$. Then $\phi^{(t)}$ and $\phi^{(t_a)}$ are isogenous.*

Proposition 1.5. *Let*

$$D_t^{1/a} = \{u \in \overline{K} : \rho_a(u) \in D_t\},$$

where \overline{K} is the algebraic closure of K . Then $e_{(t)}$ induces a Galois isomorphism of $D_t^{1/a}/D_t$ with $\mathrm{Ker} \phi_a^{(t)}$.

2. \mathfrak{p} -ADIC REPRESENTATION AND KUMMER THEORY

Let $\mathfrak{p} = (p(T))$ be a prime ideal of $A = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, where $p(T)$ is a monic irreducible polynomial in A . Let ϕ be a Drinfeld module of rank 2. Then $\mathrm{Ker} \phi_{p(T)^n}$ has a natural structure of an A/\mathfrak{p}^n -module. Hence

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi) = \varprojlim \mathrm{Ker} \phi_{p(T)^n}$$

is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, where

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \varprojlim A/\mathfrak{p}^n.$$

Let

$$V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi) \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} k_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Now let K be a finite extension of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\phi^{(t)}$ be a Tate-Drinfeld module of rank 2 over K associated to t with $|t| < 1$. We use 1 instead of δ because A is contained in the ring of integers of K and the coefficients of g and Δ are in A .

If $z \in D_t^{1/p(T)^n}$, then $\rho_{p(T)^n}(z)$ lies in D_t . Hence there is an element $a \in A$ such that $\rho_{p(T)^n}(z) = \rho_a(t^{-1})$. The association $z \mapsto a \bmod \mathfrak{p}^n$ defines a homomorphism of $\Lambda_{p(T)^n} = \text{Ker } \phi_{p(T)^n}^{(t)}$ onto A/\mathfrak{p}^n . Hence the Tate-Drinfeld map gives rise to an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_n \rightarrow \Lambda_{p(T)^n} \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p}^n \rightarrow 0$$

of $A[G]$ -modules, where $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ and R_n is the set of $p(T)^n$ th roots of ρ (i.e., $\text{Ker } \rho_{p(T)^n}$). By taking the limits, we obtain an exact sequence of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}[G]$ -modules

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{p}}(R) \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi^{(t)}) \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

and tensoring with $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we get an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_{\mathfrak{p}}(R) \rightarrow V_{\mathfrak{p}}(\phi^{(t)}) \rightarrow k_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

where G acts on $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ trivially.

We will show that the sequence (3) does not split. To do this we introduce an invariant x , which belongs to the A -module $\varprojlim H^1(G, R_n)$. Let d be the coboundary map

$$d : H^0(G, A/\mathfrak{p}^n) \rightarrow H^1(G, R_n)$$

with respect to the sequence (1), and let $x_n = d(1)$. Let x be an element of $\varprojlim H^1(G, R_n)$ defined by the family $\{x_n\}$, $n \geq 1$.

From the exact sequence of $A[G]$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow R_n \rightarrow \overline{K} \xrightarrow{\rho_{p(T)^n}} \overline{K} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have an isomorphism $\delta : K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K) \rightarrow H^1(G, R_n)$, since $H^1(G, \overline{K}) = 0$ by Hilbert's Theorem 90.

Proposition 2.1. (a) *The isomorphism $\delta : K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K) \rightarrow H^1(G, R_n)$ transforms the class of $t^{-1} \bmod \rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$ into x_n .*

(b) *The element x is A -torsion free.*

Proof. (a) follows easily from the definition of x_n and δ . To prove (b), suppose that $a \cdot x = \rho_a(x) = 0$ for some $a \in A$. Then

$$a \cdot t^{-1} = \rho_a(t^{-1}) \in \rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$$

for every n by (a). Let v be the discrete valuation on K . Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(\rho_a(t^{-1})) &= v(t^{-1})q^{\deg a}, \\ v(\rho_{p(T)^n}(\alpha_n)) &= v(\alpha_n)q^{n \deg p(T)}. \end{aligned}$$

But $\rho_a(t^{-1}) = \rho_{p(T)^n}(\alpha_n)$ implies that

$$(4) \quad v(\alpha_n) = v(t^{-1})q^{\deg a - n \deg p(T)}.$$

But for sufficiently large n , (4) implies that $v(\alpha_n)$ is not an integer, which is impossible.

Corollary 2.2. *The exact sequence (3) does not split.*

Proof. Exactly the same proof as in [6, 7], replacing \mathbb{Z}_p by A_p and p by $p(T)$ would give the result.

3. LOCAL ISOGENY THEOREM

In this section, we will prove the following local isogeny theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let K be a finite extension of k_p and \mathcal{O} the ring of integers in K . Let v be the discrete valuation on K and $t, t' \in K^*$ with $v(t)$ and $v(t') > 0$. Let $\phi = \phi^{(t)}$ and $\phi' = \phi^{(t')}$ be the corresponding Tate-Drinfeld modules over K . Suppose that there exist $a, b \in A - \{0\}$ such that $\rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ lies in \mathcal{O} . Then ϕ and ϕ' are isogenous if and only if $V_p(\phi)$ and $V_p(\phi')$ are isomorphic as $k_p[G]$ -modules.*

Proof. The ‘only if’ part is trivial. To show the other direction, it suffices to show that there exist elements $\alpha, \beta \in A$ such that $\rho_\alpha(t) = \rho_\beta(t')$ by Proposition 1.2. Let $\varphi : V_p(\phi) \rightarrow V_p(\phi')$ be a G -isomorphism. By Corollary 2.2, φ maps $V_p(R)$ into itself. After multiplying φ by some element of A_p , we may assume that φ maps $T_p(\phi)$ into $T_p(\phi')$. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_p(R) & \longrightarrow & T_p(\phi) & \longrightarrow & A_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & r \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow & & s \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_p(R) & \longrightarrow & T_p(\phi') & \longrightarrow & A_p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $r, s \in A_p$. Let x and x' be the invariants in $\varprojlim H^1(G, R_n)$ associated to ϕ and ϕ' , respectively, given in the previous section. Then the commutativity of (5) shows that $r \cdot x = s \cdot x'$, that is, writing $r = (r_n)$ and $s = (s_n)$, with $\deg r_n < \deg p(T)^n$ and $\deg s_n < \deg p(T)^n$,

$$\rho_{r_n}(x_n) = \rho_{s_n}(x'_n)$$

in $H^1(G, R_n)$. Therefore $\rho_r(t^{-1}) = \rho_s(t'^{-1})$ in $\varprojlim K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$ by Proposition 2.1. Let

$$z = \rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{sa-rb}(t^{-1}) &= \rho_{sa}(t^{-1}) - \rho_{rb}(t^{-1}) = \rho_s(\rho_b(t'^{-1}) + z) - \rho_{rb}(t^{-1}) \\ &= \rho_b(\rho_s(t'^{-1}) - \rho_r(t^{-1})) + \rho_s(z). \end{aligned}$$

Write $u = sa - rb = (u_n)$, with $\deg u_n < \deg p(T)^n$. Since $\rho_s(t'^{-1}) - \rho_r(t^{-1}) = 0$ in $\varprojlim K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$ and $\rho_a \rho_b = \rho_b \rho_a$, there exists $\alpha_n \in K$ such that

$$\rho_{u_n}(t^{-1}) = \rho_{p(T)^n}(\alpha_n) + \rho_{s_n}(z), \quad v(\alpha_n) \leq 0.$$

Suppose that $u = (u_n) \neq 0$. Then for all sufficiently large n ,

$$\gcd(u_n, p(T)^n) = p(T)^k$$

for some fixed $k < n$. Then there are $c_n, d_n \in A$ such that

$$c_n u_n + d_n p(T)^n = p(T)^k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{p(T)^k}(t^{-1}) &= \rho_{c_n u_n + d_n p(T)^n}(t^{-1}) \\ &= \rho_{p(T)^n}(\rho_{c_n}(\alpha_n) + \rho_{d_n}(t^{-1})) + \text{integral} \\ &= \rho_{p(T)^n}(\beta_n) + \text{integral}, \quad \beta_n \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho_{p(T)^k}(t^{-1} - \rho_{p(T)^{n-k}}(\beta_n))$ is integral, and so $t^{-1} - \rho_{p(T)^{n-k}}(\beta_n)$ is integral for all large n , which is impossible. Therefore $u = 0$. Hence $sa = rb$ and $\rho_s(z) = 0$ in $\varprojlim K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$.

Then

$$(6) \quad \rho_{s_n}(z) = \rho_{p(T)^n}(\beta_n).$$

Let $k = v(s)$, the valuation of s in k_p . Then $\gcd(s_n, p(T)^n) = p(T)^k$ for $n \geq k$. Hence there exist a_n and b_n in A such that $a_n s_n + b_n p(T)^n = p(T)^k$.

From (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{p(T)^k}(z) &= \rho_{a_n s_n + b_n p(T)^n}(z) = \rho_{a_n}(\rho_{s_n}(z)) + \rho_{p(T)^n}(\rho_{b_n}(z)) \\ &= \rho_{a_n}(\rho_{p(T)^n}(\beta_n)) + \rho_{p(T)^n}(\rho_{b_n}(z)) \\ &= \rho_{p(T)^n}(\rho_{a_n}(\beta_n) + \rho_{b_n}(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $u = \rho_{p(T)^k}(z) = 0$ in $\varprojlim K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$. The proof is complete if we show that u is a root of ρ_c for some $c \in A$. Let \mathfrak{P} be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} and the residual class degree of \mathcal{O}/\mathfrak{P} be m . Since $p(T) \in \mathfrak{P}$ and

$$\rho_{p(T)^n}(X) \equiv X^{q^{n \deg p(T)}} \pmod{(p(T))},$$

we have

$$\rho_{p(T)^{m-1}}(u) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}}.$$

Let $u' = \rho_{p(T)^{m-1}}(u)$. Then $v(u') > 0$. Since $u' = 0$ in $\varprojlim K/\rho_{p(T)^n}(K)$, there is a sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ in K with $u' = \rho_{p(T)^n}(\delta_n)$. Since $v(u') > 0$, we have $v(\delta_n) > 0$. In this case it is easy to see that

$$v(\rho_{p(T)^n}(\delta_n)) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $u' = \lim \rho_{p(T)^n}(\delta_n) = 0$, and we are done.

Remark 3.2. The j -invariant j_t of $\phi^{(t)}$ is defined to be $j_t = g(t)^{q+1}/\Delta(t)$. It is shown in [3] that

$$j_t = \frac{1}{t^{q-1}} + \text{power series in } t^{q-1}.$$

Hence j_t is nonintegral iff $v(t) > 0$.

Remark 3.3. (a) The proof of Theorem 3.1 is quite similar to that of the classical case except the use of the assumption that $\rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ lies in \mathcal{O} . The comparison is shown in the following table:

| Elliptic curve case | Drinfeld module case |
|--------------------------------|--|
| q, q' | t^{-1}, t'^{-1} |
| $v(q), v(q') \in \mathbf{Z}$ | $a, b \in A$ |
| $\alpha = q^{v(q')}/q'^{v(q)}$ | $z = \rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ |
| root of unity | torsion points of ρ |

In the elliptic curve case, for each element $q \in K^*$, there is a naturally associated integer $v(q)$, the valuation of q . The fact that $\alpha = q^{v(q')}/q'^{v(q)}$ is a unit in \mathcal{O} is used in the proof. In our case, there is no natural element of A associated to an element $t \in K$, however, we need some elements a and b in A , which make $z = \rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ to be integral in order to prove that

- (i) $sa = rb$,
- (ii) z is a torsion point of ρ .

(b) The condition that $\rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ lies in \mathcal{O} is not necessary if $0 < v(t), v(t') < q$. Indeed, in the proof we showed that

$$\rho_{s_n}(t^{-1}) - \rho_{s_n}(t'^{-1}) = \rho_{p(T)^n}(\alpha_n)$$

for some $\alpha_n \in K$ with $\deg r_n, \deg s_n < \deg p(T)^n$. Then

$$v(\rho_{r_n}(t^{-1})) = v(t^{-1}) \cdot q^{\deg r_n} > -q^{1+\deg r_n} \geq -q^{n \deg p(T)'}$$

and

$$v(\rho_{s_n}(t'^{-1})) = v(t'^{-1})q^{\deg s_n} > -q^{1+\deg s_n} \geq -q^{n \deg p(T)}.$$

Thus

$$v(\alpha_n)q^{n \deg p(T)} = v(\rho_{r_n}(t^{-1}) - \rho_{s_n}(t'^{-1})) > -q^{n \deg p(T)}$$

since $v(\alpha_n)$ is an integer, $v(\alpha_n) \geq 0$. Then $\rho_{p(T)^n}(\alpha_n)$ lies in \mathcal{O} , as does $\rho_{r_n}(t^{-1}) - \rho_{s_n}(t'^{-1})$. Hence one may take $a = r_n, b = s_n$ for any n .

(c) The existence of the condition prevents one from getting the global isogeny theorem. Thus one may ask: “Do there exist a and b so that $\rho_a(t^{-1}) - \rho_b(t'^{-1})$ lies in \mathcal{O} only assuming that $v(t), v(t') > 0$ and $V_p(\phi)$ and $V_p(\phi')$ are G -isomorphic?”

Remark 3.4. One might be able to replace A by a more general function ring B to get the similar result. But there are some problems to be resolved primarily because B is not a principal ideal domain. For example,

(i) One should consider a family of Tate-Drinfeld modules $\phi^{(b)}$ for each ideal class (b) of B .

(ii) To each $\phi^{(b)}$ one must replace the Carlitz module by the sign normalized rank 1 Drinfeld module $\rho^{(b)}$, which is defined over the Hilbert class field of B . Hence we need more restrictions on the complete field K to make $\rho^{(b)}$ Galois invariant.

(iii) One must define invariants of Drinfeld modules of rank 2 on B to get the analogue of Proposition 1.4.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bae and P. L. Kang, *On Tate-Drinfeld modules*, Canad. Math. Bull. (to appear).
2. E. Gekeler, *Zur Arithmetik von Drinfeld Moduln*, Math. Ann. **262** (1983), 167–182.
3. ———, *On the coefficients of Drinfeld modular forms*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 667–700.
4. D. Hayes, *Explicit class field theory for rational function fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **189** (1974), 77–91.
5. S. Lang, *Isogenous generic elliptic curves*, Amer. J. Math. **94** (1972), 861–874.
6. ———, *Elliptic functions*, 2nd ed, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 112, Springer, New York, Berlin, and Heidelberg, 1987.
7. J. P. Serre, *Abelian ℓ -adic representations and elliptic curves*, Benjamin, New York and Amsterdam, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
TAEJON, 305-701, KOREA

HONGIK UNIVERSITY, JOCHIWON, CHUNGCHUNGNAMDO, 339-800, KOREA