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Abstract. The Hayman-Wu constant is at least $\pi^{2}$.

Let $D$ be the open unit disc and $T$ its boundary. The length of a curve $K$ is denoted $|K|$. The Hayman-Wu theorem says that there is a constant $C$ such that if $f(z)$ is univalent in $D$ and $L$ is any line then $\left|f^{-1}(L)\right| \leq C$ (see [3]). The Hayman-Wu constant is the least possible value of $C$. Its numerical value is unknown, but in [4] it is proved that $C \leq 4 \pi$. It has been conjectured that $C=8 \int_{0}^{1} d x / \sqrt{1+x^{4}}$ (see [1]); however, we will prove

Theorem. $C \geq \pi^{2}$.
Flinn proved in [2] that if $f(D)$ contains one component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash L$ then $\left|f^{-1}(L)\right| \leq \pi^{2}$. Our example shows that this is the best possible result in this case. The proof uses an elementary fact about harmonic measure: If $I$ is a subarc of $T$ and $0<c<1$ then the level curve $\omega(z, I, D)=c$ is a circular arc through the endpoints of $I$ meeting $T \backslash I$ at an angle of $c \pi$.

Let $\Pi^{+}$and $\Pi^{-}$be the upper and lower half planes respectively. If $I$ is an interval of the real line and $0<\varepsilon<1$ then let $C_{I, \varepsilon}$ be the circle centered in $\Pi^{+}$meeting $\mathbb{R}$ at the endpoints of $I$ such that the (least) angle between $C_{I, \varepsilon}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ is $\varepsilon$. We define $C_{I, \varepsilon} \cap \Pi^{+}=S_{I, \varepsilon}$. Let $\Omega_{I, \varepsilon}$ be the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\left(S_{I, \varepsilon} \cup S_{I, \varepsilon / 2}\right)}$. Two lemmas are needed.

Lemma 1. For $z \in I, \omega(z)=\omega\left(z, S_{I, \varepsilon}, \Omega_{I, \varepsilon}\right)<\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$.
Proof. Without loss of generality $I$ equals $[0,1]$. If we use the transformation $g(z)=1 / z-1$, we may assume that $\Omega_{I, \varepsilon}=\left\{r e^{i \phi}: r>0,-\pi+\varepsilon<\phi<\pi+\varepsilon / 2\right\}$ and that $I=\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Then $\omega(z)$ is given by the formula

$$
\omega\left(r e^{i \phi}\right)=(\pi+\varepsilon / 2-\phi) /(2 \pi-\varepsilon / 2) .
$$

Therefore, $\omega(z)=(\pi+\varepsilon / 2) /(2 \pi-\varepsilon / 2)<\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$.
Lemma 2. For every $\delta>0$ there exist numbers $b>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that if $I$ is a subarc of $T$ of length less than $b$ and $K$ is a crosscut in $D$ connecting
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the endpoints of $I$ satisfying $\omega(z, I, D)<\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$ for every $z \in K$, then $|K|>$ $|I|(1-\delta) \pi / 2$.
Proof. $K$ lies outside the convex curve $\omega(z, I, D)=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$. If $|I|$ and $\varepsilon$ are small then this curve is almost a half circle whose diameter is almost $|I|$. A routine but tedious calculation shows that

$$
\left|\omega(z, I, D)=\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right|>(\sin (|I| / 2))(\pi-|I|-2 \varepsilon \pi)
$$

Proof of the theorem. If $\delta>0$ choose $\varepsilon$ as in Lemma 2. Define $I_{0}^{1}=[0,1]$ and $d=\operatorname{diam}\left(C_{I_{0}^{1}, \varepsilon / 2}\right)$. For $k \in Z$ let $I_{k}^{1}=I_{0}^{1}+2 k d$. The circles $C_{I_{k}^{1}, \varepsilon / 2}$ are disjoint. Let $\mathbb{R} \backslash \bigcup I_{k}^{1}=\bigcup J_{m}^{1}$, where the intervals $J_{k}^{1}$ are disjoint. Choose closed intervals $I_{n}^{2} \subset \bigcup J_{k}^{1}$ such that:
(i) $S_{I_{m}^{2}, \varepsilon / 2} \cap S_{I_{n}^{2}, \varepsilon / 2}=\varnothing$ for $m \neq n$;
(ii) $S_{I_{m}^{2}, \varepsilon / 2}^{m_{2}} \cap S_{I_{n}^{\prime}, \varepsilon / 2}=\varnothing$ for all $m, n$;
(iii) Each compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ intersects only finitely many $I_{k}^{2}$;
(iv) $\left|\cup I_{k}^{2} \cap J_{m}^{1}\right|>\left|J_{m}^{1}\right| / 3 d$ for all $m$.

We can obtain (iv) by choosing each $I_{k}^{2}$ small. Let $\mathbb{R} \backslash\left(\cup I_{m}^{2} \cup I_{n}^{1}\right)=\bigcup J_{m}^{2}$. Continue the construction inductively.

Renumber the set $\left\{I_{m}^{k}\right\}=\left\{I_{n}\right\}$. Define $S_{n}=S_{I_{n}, \varepsilon}$ and let $O_{n}$ be the inside of $C_{I_{n}, \varepsilon}$. Define $\Omega=\left(\bigcup O_{n}\right) \cup \Pi^{-}$. The domain $\Omega$ is simply connected and the boundary of $\Omega$ equals $\left(\cup S_{n}\right) \cup E$ where $E \subset \mathbb{R}$. This is a Jordan arc, which is locally rectifiable since $\left|S_{n}\right| /\left|I_{n}\right|=$ constant. Therefore $\omega(z, E, \Omega) \equiv 0$ since $|E|=0$ by (iv). It follows that if $f(z)$ maps $D$ conformally onto $\Omega$ then $\sum\left|f^{-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right|=2 \pi$.

By comparison $\omega_{n}(z)=\omega\left(z, S_{n}, \Omega\right)<\omega\left(z, S_{n}, \Omega_{I_{n}, \varepsilon}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma $1, \omega_{n}(z)<\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon$ for $z \in I_{n}$. Choose $f(z)$ such that $f(0)=-i a$ where $a$ is so large that $\omega_{n}(-i a)<b$ for all $n$. The constant $b$ comes from Lemma 2. $f^{-1}\left(I_{n}\right)$ is a crosscut in $D$ connecting the endpoints of $f^{-1}\left(S_{n}\right)$. Lemma 2 shows that $\left|f^{-1}\left(I_{n}\right)\right|>\left|f^{-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right|(1-\delta) \pi / 2$. This proves the theorem since

$$
\left|f^{-1}(\mathbb{R})\right|=\sum\left|f^{-1}\left(I_{n}\right)\right| \geq \sum\left|f^{-1}\left(S_{n}\right)\right|(1-\delta) \pi / 2=\pi^{2}(1-\delta)
$$

Conjecture. $C=\pi^{2}$.
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