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#### Abstract

Subspaces sufficiently near an arbitrary (fixed) subspace of a Hilbert space are shown to be in one-to-one correspondence with operators defined on the given subspace. Specifically, the nearby subspaces can be regarded as the graphs of these operators. This is applied to explicitly define a $C^{\infty}$-atlas of charts for the set of subspaces.


## 1. Introduction

Given (real or complex) Hilbert spaces $H$ and $K$, let $\mathscr{L}(H, K)$ denote the Banach space of (bounded linear) operators $T: H \rightarrow K$; we write $\mathscr{L}(H)$ for $\mathscr{L}(H, H)$. If $T \in \mathscr{L}(H, K)$, let $G(T)$ be the graph of $T$; thus

$$
G(T)=\{(x, T x) \in H \oplus K: x \in H\}
$$

Throughout, $H$ is assumed to be a Hilbert space and we denote inner products by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. (Orthogonal) projection onto a (closed linear) subspace $M$ is denoted $P_{M}$. By identifying subspaces with projections, we can induce various topologies on the set $\mathscr{C}(H)$ of subspaces of $H$. Let us metrise $\mathscr{C}(H)$ by referring to the norm topology on $\mathscr{L}(H)$.

The present work concerns the relation of subspaces to a fixed subspace $M$ by taking graphs of operators in $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$. The identification of $H$ with the Hilbert direct sum $M \oplus M^{\perp}$ allows us to view such graphs as subspaces of $H$. This problem is studied by Halmos in [2], where only subspaces in "generic position" are considered. We consider the problem more generally, showing that a subspace $N$ is the graph of an operator in $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ if and only if $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|<1$.

Let $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$. Then

$$
G(T)=\{S x: x \in M\}=S M
$$

where $S$ is the invertible operator in $\mathscr{L}(H)$ defined by $S x=x+T P_{M} x$. The theory developed by Longstaff [4,5] is applicable here. For our purposes, it is more convenient to think of $G(T)$ as the range of the operator $1+T$ in $\mathscr{L}(M, H)$ defined by $(1+T) x=x+T x$, so we shall write $(1+T) M$ for $G(T)$.

## 2. The main result

Before proceeding to prove our main result, we need a preparatory lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $M$ be a subspace of $H$ and let $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$. Put $N=$ $(1+T) M$. Then

$$
\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{\|T\|^{2}}{1+\|T\|^{2}}
$$

Proof. It is easily verified that relative to the Hilbert direct sum $H=M \oplus M^{\perp}$,

$$
N=\{(x, T x): x \in M\}, \quad N^{\perp}=\left\{\left(-T^{*} y, y\right): y \in M^{\perp}\right\} .
$$

Let $(x, y) \in M \oplus M^{\perp}$. Then $P_{N}(x, y)=(u, T u)$ and $P_{N^{\perp}}(x, y)=$ $\left(-T^{*} v, v\right)$ for suitable $u \in M$ and $v \in M^{\perp}$. Since $P_{N}+P_{N^{\perp}}$ is the identity operator, it follows that $u-T^{*} v=x$ and $T u+v=y$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(x, y)\|^{2} & =\left\|P_{N}(x, y)\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{N^{\perp}}(x, y)\right\|^{2}=\|(u, T u)\|^{2}+\left\|\left(-T^{*} v, v\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|u\|^{2}+\|T u\|^{2}+\left\|T^{*} v\right\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\|T\|^{2}\right)\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right)(x, y)=(u-x, T u)=\left(T^{*} v, T u\right)$, so if $(x, y) \neq 0$, then

$$
\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right)(x, y)\right\|^{2}=\left\|T^{*} v\right\|^{2}+\|T u\|^{2}=\|(x, y)\|^{2}-\|u\|^{2}-\|v\|^{2},
$$

whence

$$
\frac{\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right)(x, y)\right\|^{2}}{\|(x, y)\|^{2}}=1-\frac{\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}}{\|(x, y)\|^{2}} \leq 1-\frac{1}{1+\|T\|^{2}}=\frac{\|T\|^{2}}{1+\|T\|^{2}}
$$

Solving for $u$ in the above proof leads to the following matrix representation for $P_{N}$ relative to the decomposition $H=M \oplus M^{\perp}$ :

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(1+T^{*} T\right)^{-1} & \left(1+T^{*} T\right)^{-1} T^{*} \\
T\left(1+T^{*} T\right)^{-1} & T\left(1+T^{*} T\right)^{-1} T^{*}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Note the similarity of this matrix representation to the one given by Halmos in [2, p. 386]. We now classify all subspaces that are graphs of operators in $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ and $N$ be subspaces of $H$. The following are equivalent:
(1) $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|<1$.
(2) $N$ and $M^{\perp}$ are complementary $\left(N+M^{\perp}=H\right.$ and $\left.N \cap M^{\perp}=\{0\}\right)$.
(3) $\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}$ is injective and $P_{M} N=M$.
(4) $N=(1+T) M$ for some $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right) ; T$ is unique and satisfies

$$
\|T\|=\frac{\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|}{\sqrt{1-\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|^{2}}}
$$

Proof. (1) implies (2): Suppose $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|<1$ and let $x \in M^{\perp} \cap N$; if $x \neq 0$, then $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\| \geq\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) x\right\| /\|x\|=\|x\| /\|x\|=1$, a contradiction. Thus $N \cap M^{\perp}=\{0\}$.

Now $M \ominus P_{M} N \subseteq N \cap M^{\perp}$ (if $x \in M \ominus P_{M} N$ then $\langle x, y\rangle=\left\langle P_{M} x, y\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle x, P_{M} y\right\rangle=0$ for all $y \in N$ ), so $P_{M} N$ is dense in $M$.

If $x \in N$, then $\left(1-P_{M}\right) x=\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) x$, so

$$
\|x\|^{2}=\left\|P_{M} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{M^{\perp}} x\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|P_{M} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|^{2}\|x\|^{2},
$$

whence $\left\|P_{M} x\right\| \geq\|x\| \sqrt{1-\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|^{2}}$. Given a Cauchy sequence $\left\{P_{M} x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $P_{M} N$ with the $x_{n} \in N$, we see that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $N$. Thus $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ for some $x \in N$, and then $P_{M} x_{n} \rightarrow P_{M} x \in P_{M} N$, so $P_{M} N$ is complete and therefore closed. Since $P_{M} N$ is dense in $M, P_{M} N=M$.

If $x \in H$, then $P_{M} x=P_{M} y$ for some $y \in N$ by the preceding result. Hence $x=P_{M} x+P_{M^{\perp}} x=y+P_{M^{\perp}}(x-y) \in N+M^{\perp}$, so $N+M^{\perp}=H$.
(2) implies (3): Suppose $\left.x \in \operatorname{ker} P_{M}\right|_{N}$. Then $x \in N$ and $x \in M^{\perp}$, whence $x=0$. Therefore $\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}$ is injective. Now let $x \in M$. Then $x=y+z$ for suitable $y \in N$ and $z \in M^{\perp}$. We have $x=P_{M} x=P_{M} y \in P_{M} N$, so $P_{M} N=M$.
(3) implies (4): Assuming (3), the Banach Inversion Theorem asserts that $\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}$ is invertible as an operator in $\mathscr{L}(N, M)$. Put $T=P_{M^{\perp}}\left(\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}\right)^{-1} \in$ $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$. Then

$$
(1+T) M=\left(P_{M}\left(\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}\right)^{-1}+P_{M^{\perp}}\left(\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}\right)^{-1}\right) M=1 N=N
$$

It is clear that $T$ is unique since graphs of different operators in $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ are different. To calculate the norm of $T$, we use the fact that if $E$ is a subset of $\mathbf{R}$ and $f$ is a continuous increasing real-valued function defined on (the closure) $\bar{E}$, then $\sup f(E)=f(\sup E)$. For our application, $f(\xi)=\xi / \sqrt{1-\xi^{2}}$ and $E=\left\{\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) y\right\| /\|y\|: y \in H \backslash\{0\}\right\}$. Since $\sup E=\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T\| & =\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|P_{M^{\perp}}\left(\left.P_{M}\right|_{N}\right)^{-1} x\right\|}{\|x\|}: x \in M \backslash\{0\}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|P_{M^{\perp}} y\right\|}{\left\|P_{M} y\right\|}: y \in N \backslash\{0\}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) y\right\| /\|y\|}{\sqrt{1-\left(\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) y\right\| /\|y\|\right)^{2}}}: y \in N \backslash\{0\}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\frac{\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) y\right\| /\|y\|}{\sqrt{1-\left(\left\|\left(P_{N}-P_{M}\right) y\right\| /\|y\|\right)^{2}}}: y \in H \backslash\{0\}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|}{\sqrt{1-\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|^{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, Lemma 1(2) yields the reverse inequality, so (4) follows.
(4) implies (1): By Lemma 1(2),

$$
\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\sqrt{1+\|T\|^{2}}}<1
$$

Corollary 1. Let $M$ and $N$ be subspaces of $H$ such that $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|<1$. Suppose $S$ is an operator in $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ satisfying $(1+S) M \subseteq N$. Then $(1+S) M=N$.
Proof. Let $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ satisfy $(1+T) M=N ; T$ exists by Theorem 1. Hence $G(S) \subseteq G(T)$, but this holds if and only if $S=T$.

The condition of Theorem 1(1) should be viewed in terms of the following
Proposition 1. For any subspaces $M$ and $N$ of $H,\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\| \leq 1$.
Proof. Let $U_{M}=2 P_{M}-1$ and $U_{N}=2 P_{N}-1$. It is easily verified that $U_{M}$ and $U_{N}$ are unitary operators (indeed, they are symmetries). Now $P_{N}-P_{M}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{N}-U_{M}\right)$, so $\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|U_{N}-U_{M}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|U_{N}\right\|+\left\|U_{M}\right\|\right)=1$.

## 3. An application

We conclude by defining the structure of a $C^{\infty}$-manifold on $\mathscr{C}(H)$ that is compatible with the metric topology. Given a subspace $M$, Theorem 1 provides a homeomorphism between subspaces near $M$ and operators in the Banach space $\mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$; this will be our chart map. We shall need a preliminary

Lemma 2. Let $M$ be a subspace of $H$ and let $T_{0} \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$. Put $N=$ $\left(1+T_{0}\right) M$. Then there is a neighbourhood $W$ of $T_{0}$ such that for each $T \in W$ there exists an operator $S$ in $\mathscr{L}\left(N, N^{\perp}\right)$ satisfying $(1+T) M=(1+S) N$. Specifically, if $\left\|T-T_{0}\right\|<\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{-1}$ and $\left\|P_{(1+T) M}-P_{N}\right\|<1$, then

$$
S=\left.\left(1-T_{0}^{*}\right)\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T-T_{0}\right) P_{M}\right|_{N}
$$

Proof. First put

$$
W=\left\{T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right):\left\|T-T_{0}\right\|<\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{-1} \text { and }\left\|P_{(1+T) M}-P_{N}\right\|<1\right\}
$$

The matrix representation following Lemma 1 shows that the map $T \mapsto P_{(1+T) M}$ is continuous, whence $W$ is open.

Now $T_{0} T_{0}^{*}$ is a positive operator in $\mathscr{L}\left(M^{\perp}\right)$, so $1+T_{0} T_{0}^{*}$ is invertible. The functional calculus for positive selfadjoint operators yields $\left\|\left(1+T_{0} T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1}$ $\geq 1$.

Suppose $T \in W$. Then $1+T T_{0}^{*} \in \mathscr{L}\left(M^{\perp}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)-\left(1+T_{0} T_{0}^{*}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|T-T_{0}\right\|\left\|T_{0}\right\|<1 \leq\left\|\left(1+T_{0} T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\right\|^{-1}
$$

By a standard result (see, e.g., [1, pp. 584-585]), $1+T T_{0}^{*}$ is invertible.
Consider the Hilbert direct sum $H=M \oplus M^{\perp}$, and put

$$
S=\left.\left(1-T_{0}^{*}\right)\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T-T_{0}\right) P_{M}\right|_{N}
$$

Let $\left(x, T_{0} x\right) \in N$. Then $S\left(x, T_{0} x\right)=\left(-T_{0}^{*} y, y\right)$ where $y=\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}$ $\times\left(T-T_{0}\right) x \in M^{\perp}$. Thus $\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right) y=\left(T-T_{0}\right) x$ or, equivalently,

$$
T_{0} x+y=T\left(x-T_{0}^{*} y\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
(1+S)\left(x, T_{0} x\right)=\left(x-T_{0}^{*} y, T_{0} x+y\right)=(z, T z) \in(1+T) M
$$

where $z=x-T_{0}^{*} y$. Hence $(1+S) N \subseteq(1+T) M$, so $(1+S) N=(1+T) M$ by Corollary 1.

For each subspace $M$ of $H$, let

$$
V_{M}=\left\{K \in \mathscr{C}(H):\left\|P_{K}-P_{M}\right\|<\frac{1}{4}\right\} .
$$

By Theorem $1, N \in V_{M}$ if and only if $N=(1+T) M$ for some $T \in W_{M}$ where

$$
W_{M}=\left\{T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right):\|T\|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\right\}
$$

so we can define a map $\phi_{M}: V_{M} \rightarrow W_{M}$ by

$$
\phi_{M}((1+T) M)=T .
$$

Observe that $\phi_{M}$ is a homeomorphism.
The $V_{M}$ cover $\mathscr{C}(H)$, and $\phi_{M}\left(V_{M} \cap V_{N}\right)$ is open for all subspaces $M$ and $N$ since $V_{M} \cap V_{N}$ is open. Suppose $V_{M} \cap V_{N} \neq \varnothing$. Then

$$
\left\|P_{N}-P_{M}\right\| \leq\left\|P_{N}-P_{K}\right\|+\left\|P_{K}-P_{M}\right\|<\frac{1}{2}
$$

for any $K \in V_{M} \cap V_{N}$. Thus $N=\left(1+T_{0}\right) M$ for some $T_{0} \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ satisfying $\left\|T_{0}\right\|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$. Let $K \in V_{M} \cap V_{N}$. Then $K=(1+T) M$ for some $T \in \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ with $\|T\|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}$ and $\left\|P_{(1+T) M}-P_{N}\right\|=\left\|P_{K}-P_{N}\right\|<\frac{1}{4}<1$. Moreover,

$$
\left\|T-T_{0}\right\|<\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}<\sqrt{3}<\left\|T_{0}\right\|^{-1}
$$

so $K=(1+T) M=(1+S) N$ by Lemma 2 , where $S=\left(1-T_{0}^{*}\right)$ $\times\left.\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T-T_{0}\right) P_{M}\right|_{N}$. This holds for all $K \in V_{M} \cap V_{N}$ and hence for all $T \in \phi_{M}\left(V_{M} \cap V_{N}\right)$. Therefore, $\phi_{N} \phi_{M}^{-1}: \phi_{M}\left(V_{M} \cap V_{N}\right) \rightarrow \phi_{N}\left(V_{M} \cap V_{N}\right)$ is given by

$$
\phi_{N} \phi_{M}^{-1}(T)=\left.\left(1-T_{0}^{*}\right)\left(1+T T_{0}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(T-T_{0}\right) P_{M}\right|_{N},
$$

which is a $C^{\infty}$ function. We have just proved
Theorem 2. The metric space $\mathscr{C}(H)$ is a $C^{\infty}$-manifold with the structure defined by the atlas consisting of the charts $\left(V_{M}, \phi_{M}\right)$ for each $M \in \mathscr{C}(H)$.

In fact, $\mathscr{C}(H)$ is a complex analytic manifold, at least in the case where $H$ is a finite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. The above $C^{\infty}$-atlas is fundamental to Noakes's definition of stability of invariant subspaces in [6]: if $A \in \mathscr{L}(H)$ and $M$ is an invariant subspace of $A$, then the pair $(M, A)$ is stable if there is a $C^{1}$ function $f: V \rightarrow \mathscr{L}\left(M, M^{\perp}\right)$ defined on an (open) neighbourhood $V$ of $A$ such that $f(A)=0$ and $(1+f(B)) M$ is $B$-invariant for each $B \in V$. The definition of stability simplifies to the requirement that a $C^{1}$ function $g: V \rightarrow \mathscr{C}(H)$ exists such that $g(A)=M$ and $g(B)$ is $B$-invariant for all $B \in V$; in such a case, it is evident that the pair $(g(B), B)$ is stable for all $B \in V$.
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