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#### Abstract

We show that if the Gauss curvature of a surface of constant mean curvature in a nonpositively curved space form is sufficiently pinched, the surface is stable. In this case, we also give an upper bound for the inradius. We then show that the inradius of a stable minimal surface in Euclidean space, which is contained in a solid cylinder, is bounded above by a constant depending only on the radius of the cylinder.


Let $M^{3}(c)$ denote a 3-dimensional oriented space form of constant sectional curvature $c \leq 0$. Let $X: M \rightarrow M^{3}(c)$ be a smooth immersion of a smoothly bounded surface $M$ with curvature $K$ and mean curvature $h$. Set

$$
\bar{K}=\max _{M} K, \quad \underline{K}=\min _{M} K .
$$

We show
Theorem I. For $h, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $-A^{2}:=h^{2}+c \leq 0$, there exist universal constants $\omega(c, h) \geq e^{2}$ with the following property:

If $M \subset M^{3}(c)$ is a smooth orientable surface with constant mean curvature $h$ and

$$
\left(-A^{2}-\underline{K}\right) /\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right) \leq \omega(c, h)
$$

then $M$ is stable. In addition, $7.4 \ldots=e^{2} \leq \omega(0,0) \leq 10.75 \ldots$ holds.
Theorem II. If $-\infty<\underline{K}, \bar{K}<-A^{2}$, and $M$ contains a geodesic ball $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of radius $r$ then

$$
r^{2} \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{4\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)} \log _{e}\left[\left(-A^{2}-\underline{K}\right) /\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)\right]
$$

In the second part of this paper we consider surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{N}$ which are extrinsically bounded in some way. Let $C_{R}=\left\{X=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{E}^{3} \mid x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}<\right.$ $\left.R^{2}\right\}$. We show

Theorem III. There exists a constant $c_{1}>0$ with the following property: If $M \subset$ $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ is an orientable stable minimal surface with $B_{r}(p) \subset M$ and $M \subset C_{R_{1}} \backslash C_{R_{2}}$ for some $R_{1}>R_{2} \geq 0$ then $r^{2} \leq c_{1}\left(R_{1}^{2}-R_{2}^{2}\right)$.
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Before beginning the proofs, we review some basic facts about surfaces in $M^{3}(c)$.

Let $M \rightarrow M^{3}(c)$ be an orientable surface. Let $d s^{2}$ denote the induced metric. ( $M, d s^{2}$ ) may be considered a Riemann surface in a natural way by introducing isothermal coordinates $(x, y)$ and using $z=x+i y$ as a complex coordinate. Doing so, $d s^{2}$ may be expressed as $d s^{2}=e^{\rho}|d z|^{2}$ and the curvature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=-2 e^{-\rho} \rho_{z \bar{z}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second fundamental form of $M$ has an expression

$$
\Pi=\operatorname{Re}\left\{\phi d z^{2}+h e^{\rho} d z d \bar{z}\right\}
$$

where $\phi d z^{2}$ is an invariant quadratic differential and $h$ is the mean curvature. The fundamental equations of the immersion are those of Gauss

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi|^{2}=e^{2 \rho}\left(h^{2}+c-K\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Codazzi

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\bar{z}}=e^{\rho} h_{z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $h=$ const, (3) implies that $\phi$ is holomorphic in $z$. It then follows that either $\phi \equiv 0$ and $M$ is totally umbilic or the zeros of $\phi$ are isolated.

Lemma 1. Let $M \subset M^{3}(c), c \leq 0$, have constant mean curvature $h$ such that $h^{2}+c \leq 0$. Assume $M$ has no umbilics. Then the conformal metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{s}^{2} \equiv\left(h^{2}+c-K\right) d s^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has curvature $\widetilde{K}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{K} \geq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using (1)-(3) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\Delta \log |\phi|=\Delta \log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}+\Delta \rho  \tag{6}\\
& =\Delta \log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}-2 K
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta=4 e^{-\rho} \partial z \partial \bar{z}$. Therefore, using (1) to compute $\tilde{K}$ one has

$$
\widetilde{K}=-\left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{-1}\left\{\Delta \log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}-K\right\}=\left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{-1}(-K)
$$

Since $K<0$ on $M$, (5) follows.
Proposition 1. Again assume $h=$ const, $h^{2}+c \equiv-A^{2} \leq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq-A^{2}-\bar{K} \leq-A^{2}-K \leq-A^{2}-\underline{K}<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the first (nontrivial) eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta \psi+2 \lambda\left(-A^{2}-K\right) \psi=0 & \text { on } M  \tag{*}\\ \psi=0 & \text { on } \partial M\end{cases}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\left(-A^{2}-\underline{K}\right) /\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)\right)\right]^{-1} \leq \lambda_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\psi \geq 0$ be the eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}$. Let $g(x, y)$ denote the positive Green's function of $M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi(x) & =\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega}\left(-2 A^{2}-2 K(y)\right) \psi(y) g(x, y) * 1(y) \\
& \leq \lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega}-2 K(y) \psi(y) g(x, y) * 1(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
|\psi(y)| \leq \lambda_{1}\|\psi\|_{\infty} \int-2 K(y) g(x, y) * 1(y) \equiv \lambda_{1}\|\psi\|_{\infty} \nu(x)
$$

where $\nu$ solves

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Delta \nu & =2 K & & \text { in } M \\
\nu \equiv 0 & & \text { on } \partial M \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

Choosing $x$ where $\psi$ achieves its maximum we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \lambda_{1}\|\nu\|_{\infty} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6) and (9)

$$
\Delta\left(\nu-\log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}\right)=0 \quad \text { in } M
$$

and on $\partial M$

$$
\nu-\log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2} \leq-\log \left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

It follows from the maximum principle and (8) that on $M$

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu & \leq \log \left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2}-\log \left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{11}\\
& \leq \log \left(-A^{2}-\underline{K}\right)^{1 / 2}-\log \left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this and (10), (8) follows.
Proof of Theorem I. The surface $M$ is stationary for the functional

$$
J=\text { area }+2 H(\text { enclosed 3-volume })
$$

The second variation of $J$ for variations of the form $\psi \cdot N$ where $N$ is the unit normal to $M$ and $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(M)$ is given by $\delta^{2} J=\int-\psi L \psi$. Here $L$ is the selfadjoint elliptic operator $L \psi=\Delta \psi+2\left(-2 A^{2}-K\right) \psi$. Assuming the hypothesis of the theorem, we have by Proposition $1, \lambda_{1} \geq 1$. Using integration by parts we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{2} J & =\int-\psi L \psi=\int\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}-2\left(-2 A^{2}-K\right) \psi^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \int\left(|\nabla \psi|^{2}-2\left(-A^{2}-K\right) \psi^{2}\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and $M$ is stable.
The upper bound for $\omega(0,0)$ follows from Example I.
Remark. We have shown that under the hypothesis of Theorem I, the second variation of $J$ is nonnegative for all compactly supported variations. When $h \neq 0$ this is stronger than the condition that $\delta^{2} J$ be nonnegative for all volume-preserving variations (cf. [B-DC]).

Example I. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \approx \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the catenoid parameterized by $X(u, v)=$ $\left(e^{i v} \cosh (u), u\right),(u, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times[0,2 \pi)$. One easily computes that the curvature is given by $K=-(\cosh u)^{-4}$ and that the support function is given by $s=$ $-1+u \tanh (u)$.

Denote by $\Omega_{t}$ the symmetric "waist" domain of the catenoid given by $|u|<$ $t$. Then $\Omega_{t}$ will be stable as long as $s$ is negative, that is, for $t<u_{1} \approx 1.2 \ldots$. For $\Omega_{t}$

$$
e^{2} \geq \underline{K} / \bar{K}=(\cosh t)^{4}
$$

holds for $t<t_{1} \approx 1.0850 \ldots$. It follows that Theorem I has correctly predicted stability in this case. Furthermore, for $\Omega u_{1}, \underline{K} / \bar{K} \approx 10.75 \ldots$ furnishes the upper bound in the corollary. Finally the total curvature of $\Omega_{t}$ is

$$
2 \pi \int_{-t_{1}}^{t_{1}} \cosh ^{-2} u d u \approx 2 \pi(1.590 \ldots)>2 \pi
$$

Consequently the criteria of Theorem I is independent of the Barbosa-DoCarmo result [B-DC1].

To prove Theorem II we state without proof a special case of an eigenvalue estimate due to Gage [G].
Theorem (Gage). Let $\widetilde{B}_{\tilde{r}}$ be a geodesic ball of radius $\tilde{r}$ contained in a surface of curvature $\widetilde{K} \geq-\beta^{2}=$ const. Then the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian $\widetilde{\Delta}$ on $\widetilde{B}_{r}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{1} \leq \pi^{2} / \tilde{r}^{2}+\beta^{2} / 4 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem II. For a region $\Omega \subset M$ let $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Omega)$ denote the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of $\tilde{\Delta}$ for $\Omega$. Here $\tilde{\Delta}$ is the Laplacian for the metric $d \tilde{s}$ of Lemma 1. Let $\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$ be the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \psi+2 \lambda\left(-A^{2}-K\right) \psi & =0 & & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{13}\\
\psi & =0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\tilde{\Delta}=\left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{-1} \Delta$, it is clear that $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Omega)=2 \lambda_{1}(\Omega)$. Let $\gamma$ be a minimizing geodesic of length $\tilde{r}$ for the metric $d \tilde{s}$. Then

$$
\tilde{r}=\int_{\gamma}\left(-A^{2}-K\right)^{1 / 2} d s \geq\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2} \int_{\gamma} d s
$$

It follows that $\widetilde{B}_{r\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2}} \subset B_{r}$. By a well-known monotonicity property of eigenvalues

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\tilde{B}_{r\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(B_{r}\right)=2 \lambda_{1}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

So by Lemma 1 and Gage's Theorem with $\beta=0$, we have

$$
\frac{\pi^{2}}{r^{2}\left(-A^{2}-\bar{K}\right)} \geq 2 \lambda_{1}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

Combining this with the lower bound of Proposition 1 yields the result.
We now consider surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{N}$ which are extrinsically bounded.

Lemma 2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ be a minimal surface. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a smoothly bounded subdomain, and let $\mu_{1}$ be the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian in $\Omega$. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \subset C_{R_{1}} \backslash C_{R_{2}}, \quad R_{1}>R_{2} \geq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1} \geq \frac{2}{R_{1}^{2}-R_{2}^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Define $\tau=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)$. Let $N=\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)$ be a unit normal defined on a neighborhood in $M$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \tau & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1,2}\left(2 x_{i} \Delta x_{i}+2\left\|\nabla x_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)=\left\|\nabla x_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla x_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =1-N_{1}^{2}+1-N_{2}^{2}=1+N_{3}^{2} \geq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

By (14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R_{2}^{2}}{2}<\tau<\frac{R_{1}^{2}}{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi \geq 0$ be a solution of $\Delta \psi+\mu \psi=0$ with $\psi \equiv 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Then

$$
\psi(x)=\mu_{1} \int_{\Omega} \psi(y) g(x, y) * 1(y)
$$

and consequently

$$
|\psi(x)| \leq \mu_{1}\|\psi\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x, y) * 1(y)
$$

Taking $x$ values where $\psi$ achieves its maximum yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq \mu_{1} \max _{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega} g(x, y) * 1(y) \equiv \mu_{1} \max _{x \in \Omega} S(x) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ solves

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta S & =-1 & & \text { in } \Omega \\
S & \equiv 0 & & \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\Delta(S+\tau) \geq 0 \quad \text { in } \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad S+\tau=\tau \leq R_{1}^{2} / 2 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega .
$$

By the maximum principle

$$
S \leq \frac{R_{1}^{2}}{2}-\tau \leq \frac{R_{1}^{2}}{2}-\frac{R_{2}^{2}}{2} \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

Combining this with (17) proves (15).
Proof of Theorem III. Since $M$ is stable, it follows by a result of Schoen [Sc, Corollary 4] that there is an estimate $K(x) \geq-2 \alpha / r^{2}$, for all $x \in B_{r / 2}$, where $\alpha$ is a universal constant. Using this lower bound for $K$ in Gage's upper bound for $\mu_{1}$ gives

$$
\mu_{1} \leq \frac{\alpha}{4 r^{2}}+\frac{\pi^{2}}{r^{2}}=: \frac{4 C_{1}}{r^{2}}
$$

Combining this with the lower bound for $\mu_{1}$ in Lemma 2 gives the result.

Corollary. Let $M \subset \mathbb{E}^{3}$ be a complete minimal surface. If there exists $p \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_{B_{r}(P)}(-K)=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $M$ is not contained in a cylinder.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that $M \subset C_{R}$ for some $R, 0<R<\infty$. Let $r_{0}$ be a constant with $r_{0}^{2}>c_{1} R^{2}$ with $c_{1}$ as in Theorem III. Then any disc $B_{r_{0}} \subset M$ is unstable. By a result of Barbosa and DoCarmo [B-DC1] $\int_{B_{r_{0}}}(-K)>2 \pi$. Taking the sequence $r_{n}=n r_{0}$, one finds that since $B_{r_{n}}(p)$ contains at least $n$ disjoint geodesic balls of radius $r_{0}$,

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n}} \int_{B_{r_{n}}(P)}(-K)>\frac{2 \pi n}{n r_{0}}=\frac{2 \pi}{r_{0}} \gg 0
$$

giving a contradiction.
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