

FINITE LOOP SPACES WITH MAXIMAL TORI HAVE FINITE WEYL GROUPS

LARRY SMITH

(Communicated by Frederick R. Cohen)

ABSTRACT. A finite loop space X is said to have a *maximal torus* if there is a map $f: BT \rightarrow BX$ where T is a torus such that $\text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}(X)$ and the homotopy fibre of f has the homotopy type of a finite complex.

The *Weyl group* W_f of f is the set of homotopy classes $w: BT \rightarrow BT$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BT & \xrightarrow{w} & BT \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow f \\ & BX & \end{array}$$

homotopy commutes. In this note we prove that W_f is always finite.

By a *finite loop space* we understand a topological space X such that:

- X has the homotopy type of a finite complex,
- X has the homotopy type of a topological group.

N.B. It is not assumed both structures may be realized on the same space simultaneously.

A compact Lie group is a finite loop space, but there are well-known examples (see, e.g., the book of Richard Kane [2] and the references there) of finite loop spaces which are not Lie groups. An important idea, introduced by Rector [7] (see also [6, I, II, III; 5]) for the study of finite loop spaces is that of a *maximal torus*.

Definition. If X is a finite loop space, a *maximal torus* for X is a map $f: BT \rightarrow BX$ where $B(-)$ is the classifying space functor and T is a torus such that

- $\text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}(X)$ (see next page for definition of rank),
- the homotopy fibre F of f has the homotopy type of a finite complex.

A finite loop space need not have a maximal torus as Rector showed [7], and if X is a fake Lie group, then it has a maximal torus if and only if it is a Lie group [6, I–III; 5]. For a finite loop space with maximal torus, Rector adapted a further concept from Lie theory, namely, the *Weyl group*.

Received by the editors August 23, 1991.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 55F35.

Definition. If X is a finite loop space with a maximal torus $f: BT \rightarrow BX$, then the *Weyl group of f* , W_f , is the group of homotopy classes of maps $w: BT \rightarrow BT$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} BT & \xrightarrow{w} & BT \\ f \searrow & & \swarrow f \\ & BX & \end{array}$$

homotopy commutes.

Notice that $[BT, BT] \simeq \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{Z})$, where $n = \mathrm{rank}(T)$, so $W_f \leq \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{Z})$. If G is a compact connected Lie group and X a fake Lie group (e.g., $X = G$) of type G (see [6, I] for definitions) with a maximal torus $f: BT \rightarrow BX$ (e.g., $f = B_\rho$ where $\rho: T \hookrightarrow G$ is the inclusion of a maximal torus), then $W_f \simeq W_G$. However, for a general finite loop space there seems no reference for the fact that W_f is a *finite* group.[†] We rectify this in the following:

Theorem. *Let X be a connected finite loop space and suppose X has a maximal torus $f: BT \rightarrow BX$. Then the Weyl group W_f is finite, and $|W_f|$ is a divisor of $d = d_1 \cdots d_n$ where $(2d_1 - 1, \dots, 2d_n - 1)$ is the type of X .*

The *type* of a finite loop space X (or H -space) is defined following Hopf (see, e.g., [3]) who showed

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(S^{2d_1-1} \times \cdots \times S^{2d_n-1}; \mathbb{Q})$$

where the integers $2d_1 - 1, \dots, 2d_n - 1$ are called the *type* of X and n its rank. If X is a Lie group, then the rank as just defined coincides with its rank as a Lie group.

Proof. Let X have rank n and type $(2d_1 - 1, \dots, 2d_n - 1)$. By the Leray-Samelson theorem [3] it follows that for sufficiently large primes p or $p = 0$

$$H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq E(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

where $(\mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{F}_0 = \mathbb{Q})$

$$\deg u_i = 2d_i - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

From the Milnor-Moore spectral sequence [4] it then follows

$$H^*(BX, \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n]$$

where

$$\deg \rho_i = 2d_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let F denote the fibre of the maximal torus $f: BT \rightarrow BX$. Consider the Serre spectral sequence $\{E_r, d_r\}$

$$E_r \Rightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p),$$

$$E_2 = H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes H^*(F; \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Since F is finite, E_2^{**} is a finitely generated $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$ module. If $p = 0$, or p is sufficiently large, $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a noetherian ring, and hence an easy argument shows that $H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a finitely generated $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$ module. Recall

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p[t_1, \dots, t_n]$$

[†] In [8] Rector and Stasheff state that this is the case but give no proof.

where

$$\deg t_i = 2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore,

$$f^*: H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

must be monic for $H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$ to be finitely generated over $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$, and furthermore by Maccauley's theorem [9] $H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a free $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$ module.

From this point on assume that p is a prime that is sufficiently large and $p \nmid d = d_1 \cdots d_n$. Then according to Adams-Wilkerson [1] there exists an essentially unique embedding

$$\varphi: H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

and a finite group $W(p) \leq \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{F}_p)$ with

$$\varphi(H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)) = H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)^{W(p)}$$

and

$$|W(p)| = d.$$

The uniqueness of φ allows us to suppose that $\varphi = f^*$. By the very definition of W_f we have

$$H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)^{W(p)} \leq H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)^{W_f}.$$

Let $FF(-)$ denote the field of fractions functor, and set $H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p) = B$. Then

$$FF(B)^{W(p)} = FF(B^{W(p)}) \leq FF(B^{W_f}) \leq FF(B)^{W_f}$$

where the first equality results from [6, I.3.2]. By Galois theory it follows that the image of W_f under the reduction homomorphism

$$\rho: \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

is contained in $W(p)$.

Suppose that $|W_f| > d$. Let $1 = w_0, w_1, \dots, w_d \in W_f$ be $d+1$ distinct elements. Choose p even larger if necessary so that

$$p \nmid w_r(i, j) - w_s(i, j): \begin{cases} 0 \leq r, s \leq d, \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Then the mod p reduction of these elements would have to be distinct, contrary to the fact

$$\rho(W_f) \leq W(p), \quad |W(p)| = d.$$

Therefore, W_f is finite, and p is monic if p is large enough. \square

Remark. The referee has suggested an alternate proof. In outline the idea is as follows. Work over the rationals \mathbb{Q} instead of the finite field \mathbb{Z}/p . As above $A := H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$ is a finitely generated module over $B := H^*(BX; \mathbb{Q})$. (One must argue that $H^*(BX; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(BT; \mathbb{Q})$ is monic and:) Therefore $A \supseteq B$ is an integral ring extension. The canonical map $W \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(FF(A))$ of the Weyl group W into the automorphism group of the field of fractions of A is an injection. $FF(A) \supseteq FF(A)^W \supseteq FF(B)$ is a sequence of field extensions, where the first is a Galois extension. An argument using Poincaré series (e.g., T. Springer, *Invariant theory*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 585,

§2.5.6, Springer, New York) shows that the degree $[FF(A) : FF(B)]$ of the extension $FF(A) \supseteq FF(B)$ is given by $\prod d_i$. Hence, the order of W divides $\prod d_i$, and in particular, the order of W is finite.

N.B. The paper of Rector-Stasheff [8] was published in 1974 and the book of Springer was first published in 1977.

The preceding theorem answers yet one more question about the Weyl group of a maximal torus for a finite loop space but leaves open many more. In particular:

- Is the Weyl group of a finite loop space with maximal torus nontrivial?
- Is $H^*(BX; \mathbb{F}_p)$ the ring of invariants of W_f acting on $H^*(BT; \mathbb{F}_p)$?

Affirmative answers would classify the possible Weyl groups as the groups generated by reflections and answer in the affirmative the question of whether the type of a finite loop space with maximal torus must coincide with that of a Lie group.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank the members of the Yale Mathematics Department for their hospitality during my stay and to the Ministerium für Wissenschaft und Kultur in Hannover for relieving me of teaching responsibilities during the 1990 winter semester.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams and C. W. Wilkerson, *Polynomial algebras over the Steenrod algebra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1980), 96–143.
2. R. M. Kane, *Homology of Hopf spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
3. J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf Algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **81** (1965), 211–264.
4. J. C. Moore, *Algèbre homologique et homologie des espaces classifiant*, Seminar Cartan 1959/60 Exposé 7.
5. D. Notbohm, *Maps between classifying spaces and applications*, Math. Göttingensis, vol. 20, Springer, Berlin and New York, 1991.
6. D. Notbohm and L. Smith, *Fake Lie groups and maximal tori*. I, II, III, Math. Ann. **288** (1990), 637–661; Math. Ann. **288** (1990), 663–673; Math. Ann. **290** (1991), 629–642.
7. D. Rector, *Subgroups of finite dimensional topological groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **1** (1971), 253–273.
8. D. Rector and J. D. Stasheff, *Lie groups from a homotopy point of view*, Symposium on Algebraic Topology (Seattle), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 249, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1971, pp. 99–105.
9. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. II (Appendix 7), van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CONNECTICUT 06520

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, BUNSENSTRASSE 3/5, D34 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY