

# EVERY NORMAL BAND WITH $(REP)$ AND $(REP)^{op}$ IS AN AMALGAMATION BASE

KUNITAKA SHOJI

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

**ABSTRACT.** We shall prove that every normal band with the representation extension property and its dual is an amalgamation base in the class of all semigroups.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A semigroup  $S$  is called an *amalgamation base* in the class of all semigroups (simply called an amalgamation base), if for any semigroups  $T_1, T_2$  containing  $S$  as a subsemigroup the amalgam  $[T_1, T_2; S]$  is embedded into a semigroup. A semigroup  $S$  has the *representation extension property* (denoted by  $(REP)$ ) if for every embedding  $S \rightarrow T$  of semigroups and every right  $S$ -set  $X$ , the canonical map:  $X \rightarrow X \otimes T^1$  is injective (see [2, 6, 7]). The left-right dual of  $(REP)$  is denoted by  $(REP)^{op}$ . Hall [6] showed that any semigroup which is an amalgamation base always has  $(REP)$  and  $(REP)^{op}$ . The author [9] constructed an example of a monoid which has  $(REP)$  and  $(REP)^{op}$  but is not an amalgamation base. However, such an example of regular semigroups is still unknown. In this direction, Bulman-Fleming and McDowell [4] determined the structure of normal bands with  $(REP)$  and  $(REP)^{op}$  and, consequently, showed that every right (left) normal band with  $(REP)$  and  $(REP)^{op}$  is left (right) absolutely flat (see [3]) and hence is an amalgamation base. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following stronger result.

**Main Theorem.** *A normal band has both  $(REP)$  and  $(REP)^{op}$  if and only if it is an amalgamation base.*

Our method is to appeal the criterion for an amalgamation base given in [9], which is a modified version of Renshaw's Theorem [8, Theorem 6.11].

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, let  $S$  denote a semigroup and  $S^1$  the semigroup with the adjoined identity 1 whether  $S$  has an identity or not. Let  $\mathcal{J} [\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R}]$  denote Green's  $\mathcal{J}$ - [ $\mathcal{L}$ -,  $\mathcal{R}$ -] relation on a semigroup. We often use the notation

Received by the editors February 19, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20M10.

*Key words and phrases.* Representation extension property, amalgamation base, normal band.

Research supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 03640061).

and conventions from Clifford and Preston's book [5] for semigroup theory. Let  $S\text{-Ens}$  ( $\text{Ens-}S$ ,  $S\text{-Ens-}S$ ) denote the category of all left  $S$ -sets (right  $S$ -sets,  $S$ -bisets). Let  $X \in \text{Ens-}S$  and  $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$ . The *tensor product* over  $S$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  is denoted by  $X \otimes_S Y$  (simply,  $X \otimes Y$  if there is no confusion). Also, any element of  $X \otimes Y$  is written in a form  $x \otimes y$  ( $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ ). For brevity,  $X \supset Y$  ( $X, Y \in S\text{-Ens}$  ( $\text{Ens-}S$ ,  $S\text{-Ens-}S$ )) means that  $Y$  is a left  $S$ - (right  $S$ -,  $S$ -bi) subset of  $X$ .

We will use the following results in the sequel.

**Result 1** [9, Theorem 2.1]. *A semigroup  $S$  has (REP) if and only if, for each  $M \in S\text{-Ens}$  with  $M \supset S^1$  and each  $X \in \text{Ens-}S$ , the map:  $X \rightarrow X \otimes M$  ( $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$ ) is injective.*

**Result 2** [9, Theorem 2.2]. *A semigroup  $S$  is an amalgamation base if and only if for each  $X \in \text{Ens-}S$ ,  $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$ , and  $N \in S\text{-Ens-}S$  with  $N \supset S^1$ , the map:  $X \otimes Y \rightarrow X \otimes N \otimes Y$  ( $x \otimes y \mapsto x \otimes 1 \otimes y$ ) is injective.*

We recall that a *normal band* satisfies the identity  $xyzx = xzyx$  (equivalently,  $xyza = xzya$ ).

For a normal band  $S$ , let  $S = \bigcup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be the semilattice decomposition. In this case each  $S_\lambda$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -class of  $S$ . So by using the partial order  $\geq$  on  $\Lambda$ , we define a quasi-order  $\geq_{\mathcal{J}}$  on  $S$  by  $s \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t$  ( $s, t \in S$ ) if and only if  $\mathcal{J}_s \geq \mathcal{J}_t$ . Then, for convenience, we sometimes write  $t \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s$ . Also,  $s >_{\mathcal{J}} t$  means both  $\mathcal{J}_s \geq \mathcal{J}_t$  and  $\mathcal{J}_s \neq \mathcal{J}_t$ . If necessary, we extend the quasi order  $\geq_{\mathcal{J}}$  from  $S$  to  $S^1$ . Clearly,  $1 >_{\mathcal{J}} s$  in  $S^1$  for all  $s \in S$ .

**Result 3** [4, Theorem 1]. *A normal band  $S = \bigcup \{S_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  has (REP) and (REP)<sup>op</sup> if and only if  $S$  has the following:*

- (i)  $uau = vav$  for any  $u, v, a \in S$  with  $u \mathcal{J} v$ ,  $u >_{\mathcal{J}} a$ ;
- (ii)  $|S_\lambda| \leq 2$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ; and
- (iii) if  $|S_\lambda| = 2$  ( $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ) then  $\bigwedge S_\lambda$  does not exist with respect to the natural ordering  $\geq$  of  $S$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

To prove the main theorem, it suffices to prove the "only if" part. In this section, we let  $S$  be a normal band with (REP) and (REP)<sup>op</sup>. Then we shall show first the preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $S$  be as above, and  $a, u, v \in S$ . Let  $X \in \text{Ens-}S$ ,  $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$ ,  $x, x' \in X$ , and  $y, y' \in Y$ . Then:*

- (i)  $xu = x'v$  implies  $xuau = x'vav$ ; and
- (ii)  $uy = vy'$  implies  $uauy = vavy'$ .

*Proof.* (i) If  $uv >_{\mathcal{J}} uva$ , then  $vuauv = (vu)^2 a(uv)^2 = uv(vuauv)vu$  (by Result 3(i))  $= uvavu$ , so that  $xuau = xuvau = x(uvavu) = x'v(uvavu) = x'v(vuauv) = x'(vuav) = x'vav$ . If  $uv \mathcal{J} uva$ , then  $xuau = xuvau = x(uvu) = xu$ , and similarly  $x'vav = x'v$ . Hence (i) holds.

(ii) Similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 2** (cf. [1, Lemma 2]). *Let  $S, X, Y, x$ , and  $y$  be as above. Suppose that  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$  in  $X \otimes_S Y$ . Then there exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n \in S^1$ ,*

$x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ , and  $y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$  such that

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= x_1 s_1, & s_1 y &= t_1 y_2, \\ x_1 t_1 &= x_2 s_2, & s_2 y_2 &= t_2 y_3, \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} t_{n-1} &= x_n s_n, & s_n y_n &= t_n y', \\ x_n t_n &= x' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} s_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \geq_{\mathcal{J}} s_i \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_n \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_n \\ \text{(or } s_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} t_1 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \geq_{\mathcal{J}} s_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_{i+1} \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \dots \leq_{\mathcal{J}} s_n \leq_{\mathcal{J}} t_n) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\geq_{\mathcal{J}}$  is the quasi order of  $S^1$ .

According to [1], a set of equations (1) is called a scheme of length  $n$  over  $X$  and  $Y$  joining  $(x, y)$  to  $(x', y')$ . If a scheme satisfies (2), then we say that it is  $V$ -formed.

*Proof.* By [1, Lemma 2], there exists a scheme (1) joining  $(x, y)$  to  $(x', y')$ . By appropriate substitution of  $s_i, t_i$ , we will show that (2) is satisfied. Let us assume in (1) that

$$s_i \in S \quad (1 < i \leq n), \quad t_i \in S \quad (1 \leq i < n).$$

For if  $s_i = 1$  ( $1 < i \leq n$ ), then  $s_{i-1} y_{i-1} = t_{i-1} t_i y_{i+1}$ ,  $x_{i-1} t_{i-1} t_i = x_{i+1} s_{i+1}$ ; hence, the scheme gets shorter; similarly, if  $t_i = 1$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ).

Next, if  $t_i, s_{i+1}$  are incomparable with respect to  $\geq_{\mathcal{J}}$ , then one can insert new equations into the equations (1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i t_i &= x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_i s_{i+1}), & (s_{i+1} t_i s_{i+1}) y_{i+1} &= (s_{i+1} t_i s_{i+1}) y_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+1} (s_{i+1} t_i s_{i+1}) &= x_{i+1} s_{i+1}, & s_{i+1} y_{i+1} &= t_{i+1} y_{i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

(If  $s_i, t_i$  are incomparable with respect to  $\geq_{\mathcal{J}}$ , then

$$s_i y_i = (t_i s_i t_i) y_{i+1}, \quad x_i (t_i s_i t_i) = x_i (t_i s_i t_i), \quad (t_i s_i t_i) y_{i+1} = t_i y_{i+1}.)$$

By repeating such insertions, we may assume any adjacent two elements of the sequence  $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n$  are  $\mathcal{J}$ -comparable. If scheme (1) is not  $V$ -formed, then several of the following four cases may occur. In each case, we will convert a part of the scheme into a  $V$ -formed scheme as follows.

*Case 1.*  $s_i <_{\mathcal{J}} t_i \mathcal{J} \dots \mathcal{J} t_{j-1} \mathcal{J} s_j >_{\mathcal{J}} t_j$ . Then, by assumption, all  $s_i, t_i, \dots, t_{j-1}, s_j, t_j$  are in  $S$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} t'_k &= t_k s_i t_k, & s'_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} s_i s_{k+1}, \\ t''_k &= t_k t_j t_k, & s''_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} t_j s_{k+1}, \\ t^*_k &= t_k s_i s_j t_j t_k, & s^*_{k+1} &= s_{k+1} s_i s_j t_j s_{k+1} \quad (i \leq k \leq j-1). \end{aligned}$$

By Result 3(i), we have

$$t'_k = s'_l, \quad t''_k = s''_l, \quad t^*_k = s^*_l \quad (i \leq k < j, \quad i < l \leq j).$$

From (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} s_i y_i &= (s'_j y_j = s'_j s_j y_j = s'_j s_j t_j s_j y_j) = s^*_j y_j, \\ x_i s^*_j &= x_i t^*_i, & t^*_i y_i &= (t^*_i y_{i+1} = t_i t_j s_i t_i y_{i+1} = t_i t_j t_i y_{i+1}) = t''_i y_{i+1}, \\ x_i t^*_i &= x_j s''_j, & s''_j y_{j+1} &= (s''_j y_j = s_j y_j) = t_j y_{j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $s_i \geq_{\mathcal{F}} s_i^* \mathcal{F} t_i^* \leq_{\mathcal{F}} t_i'' \leq_{\mathcal{F}} t_j$ . This is a required scheme.

*Case 2.*  $t_i <_{\mathcal{F}} s_{i+1} \mathcal{F} \cdots \mathcal{F} t_{j-1} \mathcal{F} s_j >_{\mathcal{F}} t_j$ . Then by assumption, all  $t_i, s_{i+1}, \dots, t_{j-1}, s_j, t_j$  are in  $S$ .

Set

$$\begin{aligned} s'_k &= s_k t_i s_k, & t'_k &= t_k t_i t_k, \\ s''_k &= s_k t_j s_k, & t''_k &= t_k t_j t_k, \\ s^*_k &= s_k t_i s_j t_j s_k, & t^*_k &= t_k t_i s_j t_j t_k \quad (i+1 \leq k \leq j). \end{aligned}$$

By Result 3(i), we have

$$s'_k = t'_i, \quad s''_k = t''_i, \quad s^*_k = t^*_i \quad (i+1 \leq k \leq j, \quad i+1 \leq l < j).$$

From (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_i t_i (= x_{i+1} s'_{i+1}) &= x_j s'_j, & s'_j y_{i+1} (= s'_j y_j = s'_j s_j y_j = s'_j s_j t_j s_j y_j) &= s^*_j y_j, \\ x_j s^*_j (= x_{i+1} s^*_{i+1} = x_{i+1} s_{i+1} t_i s_j t_j s_{i+1} = x_{i+1} s_{i+1} s_j t_j s_{i+1} = x_{i+1} s_{i+1} t_j s_{i+1}) &= x_j s''_j, \\ s''_j y_j &= t_j y_{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

and  $t_i \geq_{\mathcal{F}} s'_j \geq_{\mathcal{F}} s^*_i \leq_{\mathcal{F}} s''_j \leq_{\mathcal{F}} t_j$ . We are done.

*Case 3.*  $s_i <_{\mathcal{F}} t_i \mathcal{F} \cdots \mathcal{F} t_{j-1} >_{\mathcal{F}} s_j$ . By reversely ordering the equations (1), it is just Case 2.

*Case 4.*  $t_i <_{\mathcal{F}} s_{i+1} \mathcal{F} \cdots \mathcal{F} t_{j-1} >_{\mathcal{F}} s_j$ . In a way similar to the above, this is Case 1.

Notice that the subband of  $S^1$  generated by all the  $s_i, t_i$  in (1) is finite (of course, it has finitely many  $\mathcal{F}$ -classes) and it contains all the elements  $s'_i, t'_i, s''_i, t''_i, s^*_i, t^*_i$  occurring in the substitutions above. Thus by finitely repeating those substitutions of parts of the scheme by  $V$ -formed one, scheme (1) becomes  $V$ -formed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $S, X, Y$  be as above and  $x, x' \in XS$  and  $y, y' \in SY$ .

- (i) If  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$  in  $X \otimes_S Y$ , then  $xa \otimes y = x'a \otimes y'$  in  $X \otimes_S Y$  for all  $a \in S$ .
- (ii) If  $xs \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ ,  $x \otimes y = x't \otimes y'$  in  $X \otimes_S Y$  for some  $s, t \in S$ , then  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ .

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 2, there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,  $y_2, \dots, y_n \in Y$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ , and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S^1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 s_1, & s_1 y &= t_1 y_2, \\ x_1 t_1 &= x_2 s_2, & s_2 y_2 &= t_2 y_3, \\ &\vdots & &\vdots \\ x_{n-1} t_{n-1} &= x_n s_n, & s_n y_n &= t_n y', \\ x_n t_n &= x'. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here we may assume that all  $s_i, t_i$  belong to  $S$ . For, if  $s_1 = 1$ , then  $s_1, t_1$  can be replaced by  $s, st_1$ , respectively, where  $s$  is any element of  $S$  with  $xs = x$ . Also if  $t_n = 1$ , then  $t_n$  can be also replaced by some element of  $S$ . Further if  $s_i = 1$  ( $2 \leq i$ ), then as seen in the proof of Lemma 2 the scheme gets shorter; similarly, if  $t_i = 1$  ( $1 \leq i < n$ ).

Note next that  $efy = efey$  ( $efy' = efey'$ ) for all  $e, f \in S$ . For, by assumption, we can write  $y = hy$  ( $h \in S$ ) and by normality of  $S$ ,  $efy = ef(hy) = (efh)y = (efeh)y = efey$ . (Similarly,  $efy' = efey'$ .)

Thus by using Lemma 1 and the note above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} xa &= x_1(s_1a), & (s_1a)y &= (s_1as_1)y = (t_2at_2)y_2 \\ ((s_nas_n)y_n &= (t_nat_n)y') = (t_na)y', & x_n(t_na) &= x'a). \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 1, we get a scheme joining  $(xa, y)$  to  $(x'a, y')$  by replacing  $s_i, t_i$  by  $s_ias_i, t_iat_i$  respectively. Then (i) holds.

(ii) This is an immediate consequence of (i).  $\square$

**Remarks.** 1. Lemma 3(i) is false without assumption that  $x, x' \in XS$  and  $y, y' \in SY$ . For instance, let  $S$  be a left zero semigroup. Then  $1 \otimes a = a \otimes a$  in  $S^1 \otimes S$ , but  $b \otimes a \neq aba \otimes a$ .

2. Given a scheme (3) of length  $n$  joining  $(x, y)$  to  $(x', y')$  (not necessarily,  $x, x' \in XS$ ), it is shown, in the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3, that it is possible to assume all the  $s_i, t_i$  except possibly  $s_1, t_n$  belong to  $S$  and that  $s_1$  is in  $S$  if  $x \in XS$  ( $t_n$  is in  $S$  if  $x' \in XS$ ). Under these assumptions, if  $x \in X - XS$  and  $y \in Y - SY$ , then  $s_1 = t_1 = 1$  and  $n = 1$ ; that is,  $x = x'$  and  $y = y'$ . Otherwise, one can find  $x'' \in XS$  and  $y'' \in SY$  such that  $x \otimes y = x'' \otimes y''$ .

**The proof of the "only if" part of the main theorem.** We will appeal to Result 2. Let  $S$  be a normal band with (REP) and (REP)<sup>op</sup>. Suppose

$$(4) \quad x \otimes (1 \otimes y) = x' \otimes (1 \otimes y') \quad \text{in } X \otimes (W \otimes Y)$$

where  $x, x' \in X$ ,  $y, y' \in Y$ ,  $S^1 \subset W$ ,  $X \in \text{Ens-}S$ ,  $W \in S\text{-Ens-}S$ , and  $Y \in S\text{-Ens}$ . Then we shall show that

$$(5) \quad x \otimes y = x' \otimes y' \quad \text{in } X \otimes Y.$$

By the remarks after Lemma 3, we may assume that  $x, x' \in XS$  and  $y, y' \in SY$ .

Here we may assume that  $W$  has the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad &aws \in S, \quad a\mathcal{R}b, \quad \text{and } a >_{\mathcal{J}} s \quad (a, b, s \in S, \quad w \in W) \\ &\text{implies} \\ &bws = bsbws \in S. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof of (6).** Let  $\xi$  be the congruence on  $W$  generated by the relation  $(bws, bsbws)$  and  $\xi|_S$  the restriction to  $S$  of  $\xi$ . Then we shall show that  $\xi|_S$  is an identity relation on  $S$ . For our purpose, it suffices to show that

$$(7) \quad ubwsv = u'bwsv' \quad (u, u', v, v' \in S) \quad \text{implies} \quad ubsbwsv = u'bsbwsv'.$$

If  $a = b$ , then, by assumption,  $bws \in S$  and so, by normality of  $S$ ,  $bws = b^2ws^3 = b(sbw)s$ . Hence (7) holds. Then we can assume that  $a \neq b$ . By Result 3(ii),  $\mathcal{J}_a = \mathcal{R}_a$ . If  $u, u' \geq_{\mathcal{J}} b$ , then  $ub = b$ ,  $u'b = b$  and, hence,

$$(ub)sbwsv = bsbwsv = bs(ub)wsv = bs(u'bwsv') = u'bsbwsv'$$

as required. If  $u \not\geq_{\mathcal{J}} b$  (or  $u' \not\geq_{\mathcal{J}} b$ ), then, by Result 3(i),

$$bub = b(bub)b = a(bub)a = aua$$

so that, by assumption,

$$ubwsv = (ubub)wsv = u(aua)wsv \in S.$$

Then, by normality of  $S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} ubwsv &= ub(bub)wsv = ub(aua)w(ssv) = ubs(aua)wsv \\ &= ubs(bub)wsv = ubsbwsv. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u'bwsv' \in S$ . Similarly,  $u'bwsv' = u'bsbwsv'$ . In any case, (7) holds. Therefore,  $\xi|_S$  is an identity relation on  $S$ . So  $S$  can be naturally embedded in  $W/\xi$ . Hence  $bws = bsbws = (asa)ws \in S$ , which proves (6).

Hereafter, by Result 1, we may identify  $y \in Y$  with  $1 \otimes y \in W \otimes Y$ .

By Lemma 2, we obtain a  $V$ -formed scheme of length  $n$  over  $X$  and  $W \otimes Y$  joining  $(x, 1 \otimes y)$  to  $(x', 1 \otimes y')$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \begin{array}{ll} x = x_1 a_1, & a_1(1 \otimes y) = b_1(w_2 \otimes y_2), \\ x_1 b_1 = x_2 a_2, & a_2(w_2 \otimes y_2) = b_2(w_3 \otimes y_3), \\ & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} b_{n-1} = x_n a_n, & a_n(w_n \otimes y_n) = b_n(1 \otimes y'), \\ & x_n b_n = x' \end{array} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i \in X$ ,  $w_i \in W$ ,  $y_i \in Y$ , and  $a_i, b_i \in S^1$ .

We are going to prove (5) by induction on the length  $n$  of scheme (8).

By the remarks after Lemma 3, we may assume, in (8),

all the  $a_i, b_i$  belong to  $S$ .

If  $n = 1$ , then, obviously,  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ . Assuming that (4) implies (5) when  $n \leq m$ , we proceed to the case where  $n = m + 1$ . First we may assume

$$(9) \quad a_1 \not\mathcal{R} b_1 \mathcal{R} a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 \neq a_2.$$

*Proof of (9).* If  $b_1 >_{\mathcal{F}} a_1$ , then we obtain the ascending chain

$$a_1 <_{\mathcal{F}} b_1 \leq_{\mathcal{F}} a_2 \leq_{\mathcal{F}} \cdots \leq_{\mathcal{F}} a_n \leq_{\mathcal{F}} b_n$$

since scheme (8) is  $V$ -formed. In this case, regarding scheme (8) as joining  $(x', y')$  to  $(x, y)$ , we can assume that  $a_1 \geq_{\mathcal{F}} b_1$ .

Next, if  $a_1 >_{\mathcal{F}} b_1$ , then  $a_1(1 \otimes y) = b_1(w_2 \otimes y_2) = (a_1 b_1 a_1)(1 \otimes y)$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 a_1, & a_1(1 \otimes y) &= (a_1 b_1 a_1)(1 \otimes y), \\ x_1(a_1 b_1 a_1) &= x(a_1 b_1 a_1), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$x \otimes y = x(a_1 b_1 a_1) \otimes y,$$

while

$$x(a_1 b_1 a_1) = x_1(a_1 b_1 a_1), \quad (a_1 b_1 a_1)(1 \otimes y) = b_2(w_2 \otimes y_2).$$

Therefore, we may assume that  $a_1 \not\mathcal{F} b_1$ .

If  $b_1 >_{\mathcal{J}} a_2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_1 a_1, & a_1(1 \otimes y) &= b_1(w_2 \otimes y_2) = b_1 a_1(1 \otimes y), \\ x_1(b_1 a_1) &= (x_2 a_2)(b_1 a_1) = (x_1 b_1 a_2)(b_1 a_1) \\ &= x_1(a_1 a_2 a_1) a_1 \quad [\text{by Result 3(i)}] = x(a_1 a_2 a_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x(a_1 a_2 a_1) &= x_1(a_1 a_2 a_1), & (a_1 a_2 a_1)(1 \otimes y) &= (b_1 a_2 b_1)(w_2 \otimes y_2), \\ x_1(b_1 a_2 b_1) &= x_2 a_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may assume that  $a_2 \geq_{\mathcal{J}} b_1$ .

If  $a_2 >_{\mathcal{J}} b_1$ , then, since scheme (8) is  $V$ -formed,

$$a_1 <_{\mathcal{J}} b_1 \leq_{\mathcal{J}} a_2 \leq_{\mathcal{J}} \cdots \leq_{\mathcal{J}} a_n \leq_{\mathcal{J}} b_n.$$

In this case, as shown above, we can reduce to the case that  $b_n \mathcal{J} a_n \mathcal{J} b_{n-1}$ . By renumbering reversely the equations (8), we may assume that  $a_1 \mathcal{J} b_1 \mathcal{J} a_2$ .

If  $\mathcal{J}_{a_1} = \mathcal{L}_{a_1}$ , then we can replace  $w_2 \otimes y_2$  by  $1 \otimes y$  in (8) and scheme (8) gets shorter. On the other hand, if  $\mathcal{J}_{a_1} = \mathcal{R}_{a_1}$  and  $b_1 = a_2$ , then  $x = x a_1 = x_1(b_1 a_1) = (x_2 a_2) a_1 = x_2 a_1$ . So we can remove  $x_1, w_2 \otimes y_2$  from scheme (8). Hence (9) may be assumed.

**Case 1.** There exists some  $2 \leq i < n$  such that all  $a_k, b_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq i$ ) belong to  $\mathcal{J}_{a_1}$  but  $a_1 >_{\mathcal{J}} a_{i+1}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_{a_1} = \mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ , by multiplying the equations (8) on the left by  $a_1$  from the right, we get

$$x = x a_1 = x_1 a_1 = x_2 a_1 = \cdots = x_i a_1 = x_{i+1} a_{i+1} a_1,$$

so that  $x = x_i(a_1 a_{i+1} a_1)$ , while, by Result 3(i),  $a_k a_{i+1} a_k = b_k a_{i+1} b_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq i$ . So from (8) we obtain a scheme of length  $\leq m$  joining  $(x, 1 \otimes y)$  to  $(x', 1 \otimes y')$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x_i(a_1 a_{i+1} a_1), & (a_1 a_{i+1} a_1)(1 \otimes y) &= (b_i a_{i+1} b_i)(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}), \\ x_i(b_i a_{i+1} b_i) &= x_{i+1} a_{i+1}, & a_{i+1}(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}) &= b_{i+1}(w_{i+2} \otimes y_{i+2}), \\ & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} b_{n-1} &= x_n a_n, & a_n(w_n \otimes y_n) &= b_n(1 \otimes y'), \\ x_n b_n &= x'. \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive assumption,  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ .

**Case 2.** There exists some  $1 \leq i < n$  such that all  $a_k, b_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq i$ ) belong to  $\mathcal{J}_{a_1}$  but  $a_1 \mathcal{J} a_{i+1} >_{\mathcal{J}} b_{i+1}$ . By applying Lemma 1(ii) to (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 b_{i+1} a_1) y &= (b_1 b_{i+1} b_1)(w_2 \otimes y_2) = \cdots = (b_i b_{i+1} b_i)(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}) \\ &= (a_{i+1} b_{i+1} a_{i+1})(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}) = a_{i+1}(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}) = b_{i+1}(w_{i+2} \otimes y_{i+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $x(a_1 b_{i+1} a_1) = x_{i+1}(a_1 b_{i+1} a_1)$ . Then there exists a scheme of length  $< n$  over  $X$  and  $W \otimes Y$  joining  $(x(a_1 b_{i+1} a_1), y)$  to  $(x', y')$ . Consequently, it follows from the inductive assumption that  $x(a_1 b_{i+1} a_1) \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ . We have to prove that  $x \otimes y = x \otimes (a_1 b_{i+1} a_1) y$ . Since  $a_{i+1}(w_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}) = a_1(a_1 b_{i+1} a_1 y_{i+1})$ ,  $x_{i+1} a_1 = x$ , this case can be reduced to the case for all  $a_j, b_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ). So we proceed to the next case.

Case 3. All  $a_i, b_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ . Then

$$(10) \quad x' = x = xs \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathcal{R}_{a_1}.$$

From Lemma 2, it follows that for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists a  $V$ -formed scheme of length  $n_i$  over  $W$  and  $Y$  joining  $(a_i w_i, y_i)$  to  $(b_i w_{i+1}, y_{i+1})$  as follows:

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ll} a_i w_i = w_{i1} s_{i1}, & s_{i1} y_i = t_{i1} y_{i2}, \\ w_{i1} t_{i1} = w_{i2} s_{i2}, & s_{i2} y_{i2} = t_{i2} y_{i3}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ w_{i, n_i-1} t_{i, n_i-1} = w_{in_i} s_{in_i}, & s_{in_i} y_{in_i} = t_{in_i} y_{i+1}, \\ w_{in_i} t_{in_i} = b_i w_{i+1} \end{array}$$

where  $w_i (w_1 = 1, w_{n+1} = 1)$ ,  $w_{i1}, \dots, w_{in_i} \in X$ ,  $y_i (y_1 = y, y_{n+1} = y')$ ,  $y_{i2}, \dots, y_{in_i} \in Y$ ,  $s_{i1}, \dots, s_{in_i}$ , and  $t_{i1}, \dots, t_{in_i} \in S^1$ .

Set  $s'_{ij} = s_{ij} a_1 s_{ij}$  and  $t'_{ij} = t_{ij} a_1 t_{ij}$ .

Subcase 3.1. There exist some of all the  $s'_{ij}, t'_{ij}$ , which are under  $a_1$  with respect to  $\geq_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Then we shall show that there exist  $u, v \in S$  such that  $a_1 > u$ ,  $a_1 > v$  and  $x \otimes y = xu \otimes y$ ,  $x' \otimes y = x'v \otimes y'$ . Suppose first that all  $s'_{pq}, t'_{pq}$  ( $2 \leq i$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq i-1$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq n_p$ ),  $s'_{iq}, t_{iq}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ ) belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ , but  $a_1 >_{\mathcal{F}} s'_{ir}$ .

Set  $u = a_1 s'_{ir} a_1$ . Since  $eu = u$  for all  $e \in S$  with  $e \geq_{\mathcal{F}} a_1$ , it follows from (11) that

$$a_p w_p u = b_p w_{p+1} u \quad (1 \leq p \leq i-1), \quad a_i w_i u = w_{ir-1} u.$$

By applying (6) to the equations just above, we obtain  $u = w_{ir-1} u$ , so that  $u = w_{ir-1} s_{ir-1} u = w_{ir} s'_{r1} u$ . By Result 3(iii),  $u$  is not the greatest lower bound of  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ , since by Result 3(ii) and (9)  $|\mathcal{R}_{a_1}| = 2$ . So there exists  $u' \in S$  such that  $u'$  is a lower bound of  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$  but  $u' \neq uu'$ . In the same way as above,  $u' = u' a_1 = w_{ir} s'_{ir} u'$ . Hence  $u' = uu'$ , which is a contradiction. Thus it must hold that all  $s'_{pq}, t'_{pq}$  ( $2 \leq i$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq i-1$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq n_p$ ),  $s'_{iq}, t'_{iq}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq r-1$ ), and  $s'_{ir}$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ , but  $a_1 >_{\mathcal{F}} t'_{ir}$ .

Then, by Result 3(i),  $a_k t'_{ij} a_k = b_k t'_{ij} b_k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ), say  $a^*$ . From equations (11) on the right, we have  $a^* y = a^* y_{k+1}$  ( $1 \leq k \leq i$ ), which, together with (10), yields  $x \otimes y = x \otimes a^* y$ . By the same way as above, we can find  $b^* \in S$  satisfying that  $b_n > b^*$  and  $x' \otimes y' = x' \otimes b^* y'$ , as required.

Moreover, by multiplying the right side of (8) by  $a^*, b^*$ , respectively that  $a^* y = a^* y'$  and  $b^* y = b^* y'$ . Hence,  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes a^* y'$  and  $x' \otimes y' = x \otimes b^* y$ . By Lemma 3(ii), we conclude that  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ .

Subcase 3.2. All the  $s'_{ij}, t'_{ij}$  belong to  $\mathcal{R}_{a_1}$ . By applying Lemma 1 to (11), we obtain schemes joining  $(x, y_i)$  to  $(x, y_{i+1})$  as follows:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ll} x = x s'_{i1}, & s'_{i1} y_i = t'_{i1} y_{i2}, \\ x t'_{i1} = x s'_{i2}, & s'_{i2} y_{i2} = t'_{i2} y_{i3}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x t'_{i, n_i-1} = x s'_{in_i}, & s'_{in_i} y_{in_i} = t'_{in_i} y_{i+1}, \\ x t'_{in_i} = x. \end{array}$$



From (10) and (12), it follows that  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$ . This completes the proof of the main theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Bulman-Fleming and K. McDowell, *Absolutely flat semigroups*, Pacific J. Math. **107** (1983), 319–333.
2. ———, *Flatness and amalgamation in semigroups*, Semigroup Forum **29** (1984), 337–342.
3. ———, *Left absolutely flat generalized inverse semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), 553–561.
4. ———, *Representation extension properties of normal bands*, Semigroup Forum **31** (1985), 257–264.
5. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*. I, II, Math. Surveys Monographs, vol. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1961, 1967.
6. T. E. Hall, *Representation extension and amalgamation for semigroups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **29** (1978), 309–334.
7. J. M. Howie, *Epimorphisms and amalgamations: A survey of recent progress*, Semigroups (Proc. Conf. Szeged, 1981) Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 39, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, pp. 63–82.
8. J. Renshaw, *Extension and amalgamation in monoids and semigroups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **52** (1986), 119–141.
9. K. Shoji, *Amalgamation bases for semigroups*, Math. Japon. **35** (1990), 473–483.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIMANE UNIVERSITY, MATSUE, SHIMANE, 690 JAPAN