

LOWER BOUNDS FOR RELATIVE CLASS NUMBERS OF CM-FIELDS

STÉPHANE LOUBOUTIN

(Communicated by William Adams)

ABSTRACT. Let K be a CM-field that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field k . Under a technical assumption, we show that the relative class number of K is large compared with the absolute value of the discriminant of K , provided that the Dedekind zeta function of k has a real zero s such that $0 < s < 1$. This result will enable us to get sharp upper bounds on conductors of totally imaginary abelian number fields with class number one or with prescribed ideal class groups.

Let K be a CM-field that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field k .

If the Dedekind zeta function of K is nonpositive at some s_0 that belongs to the interval $]0, 1[$, then it is well known that we can get good lower bounds for the residue at $s = 1$ of this zeta function and for the relative class number of K . In Proposition A, we give explicit forms of such a result. They will enable us to consider in Corollary *c* the class number one problem for cyclotomic fields in a more efficient way than those one can find in the literature (see [7, 12]).

Now, if the Dedekind zeta function of k has a zero in $]0, 1[$, then in Theorem 1 we give lower bounds for the relative class number of K . Our proof assumes the technical assumption $d(K) \geq 4^N d(k)^2$ where N is the degree of k and where $d(k)$ and $d(K)$ are the absolute values of the discriminants of k and K .

Let us stress that, under this previous technical assumption, one remarkable consequence of Theorem 1 is that the zeta function of k has no real zeros in the open interval $]0, 1[$ provided that the relative class number of K is less than or equal to 2 (or provided that this relative class number is not “too large”). If we can deduce from this that the zeta function of K is nonpositive on this interval, then from our previous lower bounds for the relative class numbers we may get very good upper bounds on the discriminants of K , provided that the relative class number of K is less than or equal to 2 (or provided that this relative class number is not “too large”).

Our main application of these techniques is the proof in Corollary *b* that the zeta function of the real quadratic subfield k of a totally imaginary cyclic

Received by the editors March 17, 1992 and, in revised form, June 5, 1992.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R42, 11R29.

Key words and phrases. Class number, CM-fields, zeta function.

© 1994 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/94 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

quartic number field \mathbf{K} with ideal class group of exponent ≤ 2 and discriminant $d(\mathbf{K})$ has no real zero in the interval $1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K})) \leq s < 1$. This is the result we needed in [5] in order to prove that there are exactly 33 such quartic number fields.

Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraic number field with class number $h(\mathbf{k})$ and regulator $\text{Reg}(\mathbf{k})$. Let $d(\mathbf{k})$ be the absolute value of the discriminant of \mathbf{k} . Set

$$[\mathbf{k} : \mathbf{Q}] = r_1 + 2r_2, \quad A = 2^{-r_2} d(\mathbf{k})^{1/2} \pi^{-(r_1+2r_2)/2},$$

$$\lambda(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{2^{r_1} h(\mathbf{k}) \text{Re } g(\mathbf{k})}{w(\mathbf{k})} \quad \text{where } w(\mathbf{k}) \text{ is the number of roots of unity of } \mathbf{k},$$

$$F_{\mathbf{k}}(s) = A^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{r_1} \Gamma(s)^{r_2} \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}(s),$$

so that $F_{\mathbf{k}}(s)$ has a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue equal to $\lambda(\mathbf{k})$.

Whenever $y \in (\mathbf{R}_+^*)^{r_1+r_2}$, we set

$$\|y\|_{\mathbf{k}} = (y_1 \cdots y_{r_1})(y_{r_1+1} \cdots y_{r_1+r_2})^2, \quad \text{so that } \|\lambda y\|_{\mathbf{k}} = \lambda^N \|y\|_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \lambda > 0;$$

$$Tr_{\mathbf{k}}(y) = y_1 + \cdots + y_{r_1} + 2(y_{r_1+1} + \cdots + y_{r_1+r_2}), \quad \text{so that } Tr_{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda y) = \lambda y.$$

It is well known (see [4]) that we have the integral representation

$$F_{\mathbf{k}}(s) = \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{k})}{s(s-1)} + I_{\mathbf{k}}(s)$$

with

$$(1) \quad I_{\mathbf{k}}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\|y\|_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 1} \exp(-\pi d(\mathbf{k})^{-1/N} N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N} Tr_{\mathbf{k}}(y)) [\|y\|_{\mathbf{k}}^{s/2} + \|y\|_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1-s)/2}] \frac{dy}{y},$$

where the sum is taken over all integral ideals $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ of \mathbf{k} .

From now on, we assume that s is a real number such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq s < 1$.

For $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in (\mathbf{R}_+^*)^N$ we set $Tr(y) = y_1 + \cdots + y_N$ and $\|y\| = y_1 \cdots y_N$.

If \mathbf{K} is a totally imaginary number field of degree $2N$ that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field \mathbf{k} of degree N , then $I_{\mathbf{K}}(s)$ and $I_{\mathbf{k}}(s)$ are integrals in $(\mathbf{R}_+^*)^N$ and we have:

$$Tr_{\mathbf{K}}(y) = 2Tr(y) \text{ and } \|y\|_{\mathbf{K}} = \|y\|^2, \quad \text{so that } \|y\|_{\mathbf{K}} \geq 1 \text{ if and only if } \|y\| \geq 1;$$

$$Tr_{\mathbf{k}}(y) = Tr(y) \text{ and } \|y\|_{\mathbf{k}} = \|y\|, \quad \text{so that } \|y\|_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 1 \text{ if and only if } \|y\| \geq 1.$$

Moreover, we have the natural injection map $i_{\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{k}}$ from the group of fractional ideals of \mathbf{k} in the group of fractional ideals of \mathbf{K} that satisfies

$$N_{\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{Q}}(i_{\mathbf{K}/\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{B}))^{2/2N} = N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N}$$

whenever \mathbf{B} is an integral ideal of \mathbf{k} . We thus get

$$(2) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}(s) \geq \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\|y\| \geq 1} \exp(-2\pi d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N} N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N} Tr(y)) [\|y\|^s + \|y\|^{1-s}] \frac{dy}{y}$$

and

$$(3) \quad I_{\mathbf{k}}(s) = \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\|y\| \geq 1} \exp(-\pi d(\mathbf{k})^{-1/N} N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N} Tr_{\mathbf{k}}(y)) [\|y\|^{s/2} + \|y\|^{(1-s)/2}] \frac{dy}{y},$$

where the sums are taken over all integral ideals $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ of \mathbf{k} .

Hence, if we assume that we have $d(\mathbf{K}) \geq 4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2$, noticing that we have $\|y\|^2 > \|y\|$ whenever $\|y\| > 1$, then (2) and (3) provide us with

$$(a) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}(s) > I_{\mathbf{k}}(s).$$

Moreover, from (2) we have

$$(4) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}(s) \geq \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\|y\| \geq 1} \exp(-2\pi d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N} N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N} \text{Tr}(y)) \|y\|^s \frac{dy}{y}$$

and from (3) we have

$$(5) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}(s) \leq 2 \sum_{\mathbf{B}} \int_{\|y\| \geq 1} \exp(-\pi d(\mathbf{k})^{-1/N} N_{\mathbf{k}/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{B})^{2/N} \text{Tr}(y)) \|y\|^{s/2} \frac{dy}{y},$$

where the sums are taken over all integral ideals $\mathbf{B} \neq 0$ of \mathbf{k} .

We change variables in (4), making the multiplicative translation $y = d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N} Y / 2d(\mathbf{k})^{1/N}$. We note that, under the hypothesis $d(\mathbf{K}) \geq 4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2$, the domain $\|Y\| \geq 1$ is included in the domain $\|Y\| \geq 2^N d(\mathbf{k}) / \sqrt{d(\mathbf{K})}$. Using $\|Y\|^s > \|Y\|^{s/2}$ whenever $\|Y\| > 1$, we get

$$(b) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) > \left(\frac{d(\mathbf{K})}{4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2}\right)^{1/4} I_{\mathbf{k}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$(c) \quad I_{\mathbf{K}}(s) > \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d(\mathbf{K})}{4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2}\right)^{s/2} I_{\mathbf{k}}(s), \quad \frac{1}{2} < s < 1.$$

Now, as \mathbf{K} is a CM-field of degree $2N$ that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field \mathbf{k} of degree N , it is well known that we have

$$\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{K})}{\lambda(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{h^*(\mathbf{K})}{Qw(\mathbf{K})},$$

where $h^*(\mathbf{K})$ is the relative class number of \mathbf{K} and where $Q = 1$ or 2 (see [12, Theorem 4.12]). Moreover, if $\frac{1}{2} \leq s_0 < 1$ is a real zero of $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$, then we have $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0) = 0$ since \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{k} is normal, so that $F_{\mathbf{k}}(s_0) = F_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0) = 0$, so that

$$\frac{\lambda(\mathbf{K})}{\lambda(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{I_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0)}{I_{\mathbf{k}}(s_0)}.$$

Hence, we get the following theorem whose assertion (b) is much more precise than the one given in [6] (note that as soon as the totally real number field \mathbf{k} is fixed, then there are only finitely many totally imaginary number fields \mathbf{K} that are quadratic extensions of \mathbf{k} and such that $d(\mathbf{K}) < 4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2$):

Theorem 1. *Let \mathbf{K} be a CM-field of degree $2N$ that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field \mathbf{k} of degree N . Let us suppose that we have $d(\mathbf{K}) \geq 4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2$.*

If the Dedekind zeta function of \mathbf{k} has a real zero s_0 such that $\frac{1}{2} \leq s_0 < 1$, then we have the three following lower bounds for the relative class number $h^(\mathbf{K})$*

of \mathbf{K} :

- (a) $h^*(\mathbf{K}) > Qw(\mathbf{K}) \geq 2$,
- (b) $h^*(\mathbf{K}) > \frac{Qw(\mathbf{K})}{\sqrt{2^N d(\mathbf{k})}} d(\mathbf{K})^{1/4}$ if $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$,
- (c) $h^*(\mathbf{K}) > \frac{1}{2} Qw(\mathbf{K}) \left(\frac{d(\mathbf{K})}{4^N d(\mathbf{k})^2} \right)^{s_0/2}$ if $\frac{1}{2} < s_0 < 1$.

Hence, the zeta function of \mathbf{k} has no real zero in the interval $1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K})) \leq s < 1$ provided that we have $h^*(\mathbf{K}) \leq \sqrt{d(\mathbf{K})}/e2^N d(\mathbf{k})$.

Remark. Theorem 1 does not apply to the class number one problem for cyclotomic fields, for $d(\mathbf{K}) = d(\mathbf{k})^2$ whenever $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_n)$ with n not a prime power, and $d(\mathbf{K}) = pd(\mathbf{k})^2$ whenever $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^a})$, with p an odd prime. Nevertheless, in Corollary c, we will manage to consider the class number one problem for cyclotomic fields (with prime powers conductors). Theorem 1 does not apply to the class number one problem for totally imaginary biquadratic abelian number fields with group $(\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z})^2$, for $d(\mathbf{K}) = d(\mathbf{k})^2$ whenever $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-p}, \sqrt{-q})$, p and q prime and congruent to 3 mod 4.

In fact, Theorem 1 applies nicely to class numbers problems for totally imaginary cyclic number fields with bounded degrees.

Theorem 2. Let \mathbf{K} be a CM-field of degree $2N$ that is a quadratic extension of a totally real number field \mathbf{k} of degree N . Let $\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{k}})$ be the residue at $s = 1$ of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ of \mathbf{k} . Let us suppose that the Dedekind zeta function $s \mapsto \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s)$ satisfies

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K}))) \leq 0.$$

Then, we have the following lower bounds for the relative class number of \mathbf{K} :

$$h^*(\mathbf{K}) \geq f(N, \mathbf{K}) \frac{1}{\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{k}})} \frac{2Qw(\mathbf{K})}{e(2\pi)^N} \frac{\sqrt{d(\mathbf{K})/d(\mathbf{k})}}{\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K}))},$$

with the two possible choices:

$$(a) \quad f(N, \mathbf{K}) = 1 - \frac{2\pi N e^{1/N}}{d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N}}$$

or

$$(b) \quad f(N, \mathbf{K}) = \frac{2}{5} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi N}{d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N}}\right), \quad \text{whenever } N \geq 2.$$

Proof. We get the desired result from Proposition A thanks to

$$\frac{\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})}{\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{k}})} = (2\pi)^N \sqrt{\frac{d(\mathbf{k})}{d(\mathbf{K})}} \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{K})}{\lambda(\mathbf{k})} = (2\pi)^N \frac{h^*(\mathbf{K})}{Qw(\mathbf{K})} \sqrt{\frac{d(\mathbf{k})}{d(\mathbf{K})}}.$$

Proposition A. Let \mathbf{K} be a totally imaginary number field of degree $2N$. If its Dedekind zeta function $s \mapsto \zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s)$ is such that $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0) \leq 0$ for some s_0 real in $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[$, then we have the following effective lower bounds for the residue at $s = 1$ of this zeta function:

$$(a) \quad \text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}) \geq (1 - s_0)d(\mathbf{K})^{(s_0-1)/2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2\pi N}{d(\mathbf{K})^{s_0/2N}} \right\};$$

$$(b) \quad \text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}) \geq \frac{2}{5}(1 - s_0)d(\mathbf{K})^{(s_0-1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi N}{d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N}}\right), \quad \text{whenever } N \geq 2.$$

Proof. From (1) where we use only the term of the sum corresponding to the ideal \mathbf{B} equal to the ring of algebraic integers of \mathbf{K} , and where we disregard the term with $\|y\|_{\mathbf{K}}^{(1-s)/2}$, we get

$$\frac{\sqrt{d(\mathbf{K})} \operatorname{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})}{(2\pi)^N s_0(1-s_0)} = \frac{\lambda(\mathbf{K})}{s_0(1-s_0)} \geq \int_{\|y\| \geq 1} \exp(-2\pi d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N} \operatorname{Tr}(y)) \|y\|^{s_0} \frac{dy}{y}.$$

Setting $y = d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N} Y$, we get

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\operatorname{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})}{(2\pi)^N} &\geq s_0(1-s_0) d(\mathbf{K})^{(s-1)/2} \int_{\|Y\| \geq d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2}} \exp(-2\pi \operatorname{Tr}(Y)) \|Y\|^{s_0} \frac{dY}{Y} \\ &= (1-s_0) d(\mathbf{K})^{(s_0-1)/2} \{f(s_0) - J_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0)\} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$f(s) = s \left[\frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \right]^N \quad \text{and} \quad J_{\mathbf{K}}(s) = s \int_{\|Y\| \leq d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2}} \exp(-2\pi \operatorname{Tr}(Y)) \|Y\|^s \frac{dY}{Y}.$$

Since $\{Y; \|Y\| \leq d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2}\}$ is included in $\{Y; \exists i \in \{1, \dots, N\} / Y_i \leq d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N}\}$, we have (using $e^{-2\pi y} \leq 1, y \geq 0$)

$$J_{\mathbf{K}}(s) \leq Ns \left[\frac{\Gamma(s)}{(2\pi)^s} \right]^{N-1} \int_0^{d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N}} e^{-2\pi y} y^s \frac{dy}{y} \leq Nf(s) \frac{(2\pi)^s}{s\Gamma(s)} d(\mathbf{K})^{-s/2N}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\operatorname{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{K}})}{(2\pi)^N} \geq (1-s_0) d(\mathbf{K})^{(s_0-1)/2} f(s_0) \left\{ 1 - N \frac{(2\pi)^{s_0}}{s_0 \Gamma(s_0)} d(\mathbf{K})^{-s_0/2N} \right\}.$$

Since $s \mapsto f(s)$ decreases on $]0, 1[$, we have $f(s_0) \geq f(1) = (1/2\pi)^N$. Since $s \mapsto (2\pi)^s/s\Gamma(s)$ increases on $]0, 1[$, we get the desired first result. In order to get the second desired result, we start from (6) and use the third point of the following lemma with $x = d(\mathbf{K})^{-1/2N}$ (so that from the Minkowski's lower bound $d(\mathbf{K})^{1/2N} \geq \pi N^2/((2N)!)^{1/N}$ we have $x \leq \frac{1}{2}, 2N \geq 4$):

Lemma. Set $P_N(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} t^n/n!$. Then,

(i)

$$\int_{\operatorname{Tr}(Y) \geq t} \exp(-\operatorname{Tr}(Y)) dY = P_N(t) e^{-t}, \quad N \geq 1.$$

(ii)

$$\int_{\operatorname{Tr}(Y) \leq t} \exp(-\operatorname{Tr}(Y)) dY = 1 - P_N(t) e^{-t}, \quad N \geq 1.$$

(iii)

$$\int_{1 \geq \|y\| \geq x^N} \exp(-2\pi \operatorname{Tr}(y)) dy = \frac{e^{-2\pi Nx}}{(2\pi)^N} (1 - P_N(2\pi N(1-x)) e^{-2\pi N(1-x)}).$$

(iv) $x_N = 1 - P_N(\pi N) e^{-\pi N}$ is an increasing sequence that converges towards 1, so that $x_N \geq \frac{4}{5}, N \geq 2$.

Proof. Part (iii) is proved from (ii) using the fact that the domain $\{y; y \in (\mathbf{R}_+^*)^N, y_i \geq x \text{ and } N \geq \operatorname{Tr}(y)\}$ is included in the domain $\{y; y \in (\mathbf{R}_+^*)^N \text{ and}$

$1 \geq \|y\| \geq x^N\}$ and changing variables making the translation $y_i = x + Y_i/2\pi$. Part (iv) follows from the inequality $P_{N+1}((N+1)\pi) \leq e^\pi P_N(N\pi)$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{N+1}((N+1)\pi) &= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(\pi N)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{N+1}{N}\right)^n \leq \left(\frac{N+1}{N}\right)^N \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(\pi N)^n}{n!} \\ &\leq e \left(P_N(N\pi) + \frac{(N\pi)^N}{N!} \right) = e \left(P_N(N\pi) + \pi \frac{(N\pi)^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} \right) \\ &\leq e(1+\pi)P_N(N\pi). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Theorems 1 and 2 apply nicely to the determination of CM-fields \mathbf{K} with “small” class numbers, provided that the fields \mathbf{K} are CM-fields that are quadratic extensions of totally real number fields \mathbf{k} such that $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}/\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is non-negative on $]0, 1[$. Indeed, Theorem 1 then implies that the zeta functions $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ have no real zero in the interval $1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K})) \leq s < 1$. Hence, $\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}(s_0) < 0$ and $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s_0) \leq 0$ with $s_0 = 1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K}))$, so that Theorem 2 provides us with good lower bounds for $h^*(\mathbf{K})$. Since we seek “small” class numbers, this will provide us with upper bounds on $d(\mathbf{K})$.

Let us point out that these assumptions “ $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}/\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ are nonnegative on $]0, 1[$ ” are satisfied as soon as the number fields \mathbf{K} are totally imaginary and cyclic over \mathbf{Q} and such that 4 divides $[\mathbf{K}:\mathbf{Q}] = 2N$, for $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}/\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}$ is then a product of L -functions that come in conjugate pairs.

For example, we first give the following corollary, which greatly improves upon the upper bounds given in [1] or [10]:

Corollary a. *Let \mathbf{K} be a cyclic quartic totally imaginary number field with conductor f and class number $h(\mathbf{K})$. If $h(\mathbf{K}) = 1$ then $f \leq 4500$. If $h(\mathbf{K}) = 2$ then $f \leq 10000$.*

Let \mathbf{K} be a cyclic octic totally imaginary number field with conductor f and class number $h(\mathbf{K})$. If $h(\mathbf{K}) = 1$ then $f = 32$ or f is prime and $f \leq 3000$.

Proof. We only prove the first point. Let \mathbf{k} be the real quadratic subfield of \mathbf{K} , let $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ be the conductor of \mathbf{k} , and let $L(s, \chi_{f_{\mathbf{k}}})$ be the L -function of \mathbf{k} . First, $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ divides f , so that we have $f_{\mathbf{k}} \leq f$. Moreover, $d(\mathbf{k}) = f_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $d(\mathbf{K}) = f_{\mathbf{k}}f^2$. Hence, $d(\mathbf{K})/4^2d(\mathbf{k})^2 = f^2/16f_{\mathbf{k}}$ is greater than or equal to 1 as soon as we have $f \geq 16$. Hence, from Theorem 1(a) we deduce that the Dedekind zeta function of \mathbf{k} has no zero on the interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 1[$ as soon as $h^*(\mathbf{K}) = 1$ or 2, provided that we have $f \geq 16$. Since the Dedekind zeta function of \mathbf{K} can be written $\zeta_{\mathbf{K}}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbf{k}}(s)|L(s, \chi_f)|^2$, $s \in]0, 1[$, we can apply Theorem 2. Since $\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}) = L(1, \chi_{f_{\mathbf{k}}}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(f_{\mathbf{k}}) + 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(f) + 1$ (see [8, Lemma 8.4]) and since $5f^2 \leq d(\mathbf{K}) \leq f^3$, Theorem 2 provides us with the following lower bound from which we get the desired results:

$$h(\mathbf{K}) \geq h^*(\mathbf{K}) \geq \frac{2}{3e\pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{4\pi e^{1/2}}{(5f^2)^{1/4}} \right) \frac{f}{(\text{Log}(f) + 2)\text{Log}(f)}. \quad \square$$

Corollary b. *Let \mathbf{K} be a cyclic quartic totally imaginary number field with conductor f . Then the Dedekind zeta function of the real quadratic subfield \mathbf{k} of \mathbf{K} has no zero in the interval $[1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K})), 1[$ provided that the ideal class group of \mathbf{K} is of exponent ≤ 2 .*

Proof. If the ideal class group of \mathbf{K} is of exponent ≤ 2 , then \mathbf{k} is principal and $f_{\mathbf{k}} = 8$ or $f_{\mathbf{k}}$ is prime and such that $f_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Conversely, if $f_{\mathbf{k}} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ is prime, if \mathbf{k} is principal, and if we define f_2 by means of $f = f_{\mathbf{k}} f_2$, then the ideal class group of \mathbf{K} has 2-rank $t-1$ where t is the number of prime ideals that ramify in the quadratic extension \mathbf{K}/\mathbf{k} . Hence, $t \leq 1 + 2\omega(f_2)$ where $\omega(f_2)$ is the number of prime divisors of f_2 (the proofs of these assertions can be found in [5]). Let us suppose that the Dedekind zeta function of \mathbf{k} had a real zero s_0 such that $1 - 2/\text{Log}(d(\mathbf{K})) \leq s_0 < 1$. Then, as $d(\mathbf{K}) = f_{\mathbf{k}} f^2 = f_{\mathbf{k}}^3 f_2^2$ and $d(\mathbf{k}) = f_{\mathbf{k}}$, Theorem 1 would imply $4^{\omega(f_2)} \geq h(\mathbf{K}) \geq h^*(\mathbf{K}) \geq \sqrt{f_{\mathbf{k}}} f_2 / 4e$.

Now, $f_{\mathbf{k}} \geq 211$ implies $\sqrt{f_{\mathbf{k}}}/4e > 4/3$, so that we would have $4^{\omega(f_2)} > 4f_2/3$. Since 4 divides f_2 as soon as f_2 is even, this inequality is never satisfied. On the other hand, if $5 \leq f_{\mathbf{k}} \leq 211$, then $s \mapsto L(s, \chi_{f_{\mathbf{k}}})$ has no zero on $]0, 1[$ (see [9]). Thus, we get the desired result. \square

Lower bounds for the relative class numbers of cyclotomic fields. Now, we would like to show that Theorem 2 applies to CM-fields with unbounded degrees. For example, we show that Theorem 2(b) enables us to get good upper bounds on the conductors of the cyclotomic fields (with prime-power conductors) with relative class numbers equal to 1. We first give a less tedious proof and more precise form of Lemma 11.5 of Washington [12]; i.e., we give an upper bound on $\text{Res}_1(\mathbf{k})$ with \mathbf{k} being the maximal totally real subfield of a cyclotomic field with prime power conductor.

We define $g(b) = b - 1 + H(b, 1)$, where

$$H(b, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{(n+b)^s} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^s} \right), \quad \begin{cases} \text{Re}(s) > 0, \\ b > 0. \end{cases}$$

Whenever $\chi: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a complex-valued function which is periodic mod m , such that $\chi(m) = 0$ and $\sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \chi(a) = 0$, we have

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \frac{1}{m^s} \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \chi(a) H\left(\frac{a}{m}, s\right).$$

Consequently, whenever χ_m is a nontrivial (not necessarily primitive) even Dirichlet character mod m we have $\sum_{a=1}^{m-1} a \chi_m(a) = 0$ and

$$L(1, \chi_m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \chi(a) g\left(\frac{a}{m}\right).$$

Lemma (i). $g(b) \geq 0$ and $g(b)^2 + g(b)g(1-b) \leq 1/b^2$, $0 < b < 1$.

Proof. Follows from the following two inequalities:

$$g(b) = b - 1 + \frac{1}{b} - 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{n+b} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \geq b + \frac{1}{b} - 2 = \frac{(1-b)^2}{b} \geq 0,$$

$$g(b) = b - 1 + \frac{1}{b} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b}{n(n+b)} \leq b - 1 + \frac{1}{b} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{b}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{b} - 1. \quad \square$$

Lemma (ii). $|\prod_{\chi_m \text{ even}, \chi_m \neq 1} L(1, \chi_m)| \leq (\pi^2/6)^{(\phi(m)-2)/4}$, where the product is over the (not necessarily primitive) even Dirichlet characters mod m .

Proof.

$$\sum_{\substack{\chi_m \text{ even} \\ \chi_m \neq 1}} \chi_m(a) \bar{\chi}_m(b) = \begin{cases} \phi(m)/2 - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv \pm b \pmod{m} \\ & \text{and } \text{GCD}(a, m) = 1, \\ 0 \text{ or } -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\prod_{\substack{\chi_m \text{ even} \\ \chi_m \neq 1}} |L(1, \chi_m)|^2 \right)^{2/(\phi(m)-2)} \\ & \leq \frac{2m^{-2}}{\phi(m)-2} \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} \sum_{b=1}^{m-1} g\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) g\left(\frac{b}{m}\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{\chi_m \text{ even} \\ \chi_m \neq 1}} \chi_m(a) \bar{\chi}_m(b) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, m)=1}}^{m-1} g\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)^2 + g\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) g\left(1 - \frac{a}{m}\right) \leq \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, m)=1}}^{m-1} \frac{1}{a^2} \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ (a, m)=1}}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p \text{ divides } m}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^2}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now, in order to apply Theorem 2 to the cyclotomic case, and thanks to the fact that the Dedekind zeta function of a CM cyclic number field factorises on $]0, 1[$ into a product of L -functions that come in conjugate pairs, apart from the two L -functions associated to the principal character and to some quadratic character, we must find an explicit zero-free region for an L -function associated to a quadratic character.

Lemma (iii). *Let χ be a quadratic nonprincipal character mod f . Set $N = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(f)$. Then, for $\sigma \geq 1/\text{Log}(3)$ we have*

$$|L'(\sigma, \chi)| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{N+2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma} \leq (N+2)^{1-\sigma} \sum_{n=2}^{N+2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n}.$$

Proof. We have

$$L'(\sigma, \chi) = -\chi(2) \frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2^\sigma} - \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(\sum_{n=kf+3}^{(k+1)f+2} \chi(n) \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma} \right).$$

Now, $n \mapsto \text{Log}(n)/n^\sigma$ decreases for $n \geq 3$ provided that we have $\sigma \geq 1/\text{Log}(3)$. Moreover, in each set of f consecutive integers there are N of them such that $\chi(n) = -1$ and N of them such that $\chi(n) = +1$. Hence, for each $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=kf+3}^{(k+1)f+2} \chi(n) \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma} \right| \leq u_k - v_k,$$

with

$$u_k = \sum_{n=kf+3}^{kf+N+2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad v_k = \sum_{n=(k+1)f+3-N}^{(k+1)f+2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma}.$$

Since $(u_k)_{k \geq 0}$ and $(v_k)_{k \geq 0}$ are decreasing sequences converging towards 0, and since $u_{k+1} \leq v_k$, $k \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L'(\sigma, \chi)| &\leq \frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2^\sigma} + u_0 - (v_0 - u_1) - (v_1 - u_2) + \cdots \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Log}(2)}{2^\sigma} + u_0 = \sum_{n=2}^{N+2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n^\sigma}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 (see [12, Lemma 11.10]). *Let χ be a primitive quadratic character of conductor f . Then*

$$L(\sigma, \chi) \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{cases} \sigma \geq \sigma_0 = 1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{f} \text{Log}(f)} & \text{if } \chi(-1) = +1, \\ \sigma \geq \sigma_0 = 1 - \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{f} \text{Log}^2(f)} & \text{if } \chi(-1) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $L(\sigma, \chi) \geq 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_1 = 1 - 2/(f-2) \text{Log}(f)$.

Proof. Since $\sigma \mapsto L(\sigma, \chi)$ has no real zero in the open interval $]0, 1[$ for $f \leq 24$ (see [9]), we may assume that we have $f \geq 24$. Let us first assume that χ is even, and let \mathbf{k}_2 be the real quadratic field with conductor f . Then $L(1, \chi) = 2h \text{Log}(\varepsilon_0)/\sqrt{f} \geq \text{Log}(f-4)/\sqrt{f}$ where $\varepsilon_0 \geq (\sqrt{f-4} + \sqrt{f})/2 \geq \sqrt{f-4}$ is the fundamental unit of \mathbf{k}_2 and where $h \geq 1$ is the class number of \mathbf{k}_2 . Let σ be such that $\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$. Then $L(\sigma, \chi) \geq 0$. Indeed, if we had $L(\sigma, \chi) < 0$, then from Lemma (iii) above and since we have $N \leq (f-1)/2$, we would get a contradiction from

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{Log}(f-4)}{\sqrt{f}} &\leq L(1, \chi) < L(1, \chi) - L(\sigma, \chi) \\ &\leq (1-\sigma) \max_{\sigma_0 \leq \sigma \leq 1} L'(\sigma, \chi) \\ &\leq (1-\sigma_0) \exp\left(\frac{2 \text{Log}((f+3)/2)}{\sqrt{f} \log(f)}\right) \sum_{2 \leq n \leq (f+3)/2} \frac{\text{Log}(n)}{n} \\ &\leq (1-\sigma_0) \frac{1}{2} \text{Log}(f-4) \text{Log}(f) = \frac{\text{Log}(f-4)}{\sqrt{f}} \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is valid for $f \geq 24$.

In the same way, we get the desired result if χ is odd using $L(1, \chi) \geq \pi/\sqrt{f}$, $f \geq 5$.

The third result follows from the first and second ones. \square

Corollary c (see [12, Corollary 11.17]). *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have the following lower bound for the relative class number $h^*(\mathbf{K})$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^a})$, $a \geq 1$, of degree $2N = [\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{Q}] = \phi(p^a)$:*

$$h^*(\mathbf{K}) \geq \frac{1}{76} \left(\frac{N}{39}\right)^{N/2} \frac{1}{\text{Log}(2N)},$$

so that $2N = \phi(p^a) \geq 100$ implies $h^*(\mathbf{K}) > 1$. Moreover, $p \geq 89$ implies $h^*(\mathbf{K}) > 1$.

Proof. Set $h(p) = (1 - 1/p)p^{1/(p-1)}$, so that we have $h(5) \geq h(p) \geq 1$. We note that

$$d(\mathbf{K}) = (2N/h(p))^{2N} \leq (2N)^{2N}, \quad w(\mathbf{K}) = 2p^a \geq 2N,$$

$$d(\mathbf{K})/d(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{pd(\mathbf{K})} = \sqrt{p}(2N/h(p))^N,$$

$$\text{Res}_1(\zeta_{\mathbf{k}}) \leq (\pi^2/6)^{(N-1)/2} \quad [\text{thanks to Lemma (ii)}].$$

Noticing that we have $d(\mathbf{K}) \geq p^{p-2}$, then thanks to Theorem 3 we may apply Theorem 2(b), so that we get the following lower bound from which we get the desired results:

$$h^*(\mathbf{K}) \geq \frac{2\pi\sqrt{6}}{15e} p^{1/4} e^{-\pi h(p)} \left(\frac{3N}{\pi^4 h(p)} \right)^{N/2} \frac{1}{\text{Log}(2N)}. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

1. K. Hardy, R. H. Hudson, D. Richman, and K. S. Williams, *Determination of all imaginary cyclic quartic fields with class number 2*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **311** (1989), 1–55.
2. J. Hoffstein, *Some analytic bounds for zeta functions and class numbers*, Invent. Math. **55** (1979), 37–47.
3. K. Horie and M. Horie, *On the exponent of the class group of CM-fields*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1434, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1990, pp. 143–148.
4. S. Lang, *Functional equation of the zeta function, Hecke's proof*, Algebraic Number Theory, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 110, Springer-Verlag, New York.
5. S. Louboutin, *Détermination des corps quartiques cycliques totalement imaginaires à groupes des classes d'idéaux d'exposant ≤ 2* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Sér. I Math. **315** (1992), 251–254; Manuscripta Math. **77** (1992), 385–404.
6. A. Mallik, *A note on Friedlander's paper "On the class numbers of certain quadratic extensions"*, Acta Arith. **35** (1976), 53–54.
7. M. Masley and H. L. Montgomery, *Cyclotomic fields with unique factorization*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **286/287** (1976), 248–256.
8. W. Narkiewicz, *Elementary and analytic theory of algebraic numbers*, PWN, Warsaw, 1976.
9. B. Rosser, *Real roots of real Dirichlet series*, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards **45** (1950), 505–514.
10. H. M. Stark, *Some effective cases of the Brauer-Siegel theorem*, Invent. Math. **23** (1974), 135–152.
11. K. Uchida, *Imaginary abelian number fields of degree 2^m with class number one*, Proc. Internat. Conf. on Class Numbers and Fundamental Units of Algebraic Number Fields, Kataka, Japan, 1986, pp. 151–170.
12. L. C. Washington, *Cyclotomic fields of class number one, Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE CAEN, U. F. R. SCIENCES, ESPLANADE DE LA PAIX, 14032 CAEN CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: loubouti@univ-caen.fr