AN ANSWER TO A CONJECTURE ON THE COUNTABLE PRODUCTS OF k -SPACES

HUAIPENG CHEN

(Communicated by James E. West)

ABSTRACT. In this paper the author shows:

Theorem (CH). *There is a k_ω -space X which is not locally compact but for which X^ω has a k -system.*

This answers a conjecture of Y. Tanaka

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a compact covering of a space X . Then X is said to have the weak topology with respect to $\mathcal{C}$ if $U \subset X$ is open (closed) in X whenever $U \cap C$ is open (closed) in C for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Following Arhangel'skii [1] such a covering is called a k -system. We recall that a space X is a k -space if it has the weak topology with respect to the cover consisting of all compact subsets of X . Then a space with a k -system is precisely a k -space. If $\mathcal{C}$ is point-countable (countable), X is said to have a point-countable k -system (be a k_ω -space). Recall a space X has countable tightness ($t(X) \leq \omega$) if whenever $x \in \bar{A}$, then $x \in \bar{C}$ for some countable $C \subset A$. Arhangel'skii [2] has shown that X^ω has a k -system if X is a locally compact space. Y. Tanaka has shown that if X has a point-countable k -system and $t(X) \leq \omega$, then X^ω has a k -system if and only if X is locally compact. In [3–5] Tanaka posed the following question: Let X have a (point-) countable k -system. Then is X locally compact if X^ω has a k -system? In this paper we give a negative answer under (CH). Namely, under (CH), there is a k_ω -space X which is not locally compact, but X^ω has a k -system.

2. RESULTS

For the ordinal $\omega_2 + 1$, let Z be the topological sum of countably many copies of $[0, \omega_2]$ with the order topology. Then let X be the quotient space obtained from Z by identifying all the ω_2 's. Let $f: Z \rightarrow X$ be a canonical projection. Then f is a closed mapping and X is a k_ω -space. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n = \bigcup_{i \leq n} [0, \omega_2]_i; n < \omega\}$; then $\mathcal{C}$ is a countable k -system of Z . It

Received by the editors September 20, 1989 and, in revised form, March 21, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 54D50; Secondary 54B10.

Key words and phrases. k -space, k -system, locally compact, ordinal.

© 1994 American Mathematical Society
0002-9939/94 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

will not cause confusion if we denote a k -system of X by $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathcal{E}^\omega = \{\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}; C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}\}$; then $\mathcal{E}^\omega$ is a k -system of the space Z^ω and $\mathcal{E}^\omega$ is a compact covering of the space X^ω . $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping, and so is $f^\omega = \prod_{i<\omega} f_i: Z^\omega \rightarrow X^\omega$, where $f_i = f$.

Lemma 1. Suppose C is a compact subset in X^ω or Z^ω . Then X^ω or Z^ω has a $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$ such that $C \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$.

Proof. By [4, Lemma 6].

Lemma 2. Let $f^\omega: Z^\omega \rightarrow X^\omega$ be a continuous mapping. For any $U \subset X$, $(f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z^ω iff $(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}) \cap U$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ for each $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$.

Proof. Suppose $(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}) \cap U$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ for each $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$ and $f^\omega|_{\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}}: \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ is continuous. Let

$$A = \left(f^\omega|_{\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}} \right)^{-1} \left[\left(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \right) \cap U \right] = (f^\omega)^{-1}(U) \cap \left(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \right);$$

then A is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} (\subset Z^\omega)$. Since Z^ω has a k -system $\mathcal{E}^\omega$ by Lemma 1, $(f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z^ω .

Now assume $(f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z^ω . Then A is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ for each $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$. Therefore $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} - A$ is compact in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$, and so is $f^\omega[\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} - A] = \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} [(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}) \cap U]$. Then $U \cap (\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i})$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$.

Theorem (CH). The space X is a k_ω -space which is not locally compact. But X^ω has a k -system.

Proof. By Lemma 1 it suffices to prove that given $U \subset X^\omega$, if $U \cap (\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i})$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ for each $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$, then U is open in X^ω . Let $x \in U$; the general proof follows from the next three cases.

(A) $x = (\alpha_i)$, $\alpha_i \neq \omega_2$, $i < \omega$. $(f^\omega)^{-1}(\alpha_i) = (\alpha_i) \in (f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$. By Lemma 2 $(f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$ is open in Z^ω . Then (α_i) has a neighborhood $W = [\delta_1, \alpha'_1] \times \cdots \times [\delta_n, \alpha'_n] \times Z \times Z \times \cdots$ in Z^ω such that $(\alpha_i) \in W \subset (f^\omega)^{-1}(U)$. Then $(\alpha_i) \in f^\omega(W) = [\delta_1, \alpha'_1] \times \cdots \times [\delta_n, \alpha'_n] \times X \times X \times \cdots \subset U$. Hence U is a neighborhood of the point x in X^ω .

(B) $x = (\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots) = (\omega_2)$. First we prove that there is an $N < \omega$ such that for each $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$, there is a $\delta \in [0, \omega_2)$ with $(\bigcup_{i \leq n_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times (\bigcup_{i \leq n_2} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i \leq n_N} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>N} C_{n_i} \subset U$.

Suppose that for each $n < \omega$ there is a $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$ such that for each $\delta \in [0, \omega_2)$, $[(\bigcup_{i \leq n_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i \leq n_n} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}] - U \neq \emptyset$.

Then there is a $y_\delta = (\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_n, x_{\delta 1}, x_{\delta 2}, \dots)$ such that

$$y_\delta \in \left[\left(\bigcup_{i \leq n_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\bigcup_{i \leq n_n} [\delta, \omega_2]_i \right) \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i} \right] - U.$$

Let $B_n = \{(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_n, x_{\delta 1}, x_{\delta 2}, \dots); \delta < \omega_2\}$. Then $B_n \cap U = \emptyset$ and $B_n \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$. We show $\overline{B_n} \cap (\{\omega_2\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \cdots \times \{\omega_2\} \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}) \neq \emptyset$. In fact, suppose $\overline{B_n} \cap (\{\omega_2\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \cdots \times \{\omega_2\} \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}) = \emptyset$. Since $\overline{B_n}$ and $\{\omega_2\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \cdots \times \{\omega_2\} \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}$ are compact in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$, $\{\omega_2\} \times \cdots \times \{\omega_2\} \times$

$\prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}$ has a neighborhood $W = (\bigcup_{i\leq n_1} [\delta_0, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i\leq n_n} [\delta_0, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>n} C_{n_i}$ in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ such that $W \cap \bar{B}_n = \emptyset$. On the other hand, we let

$$A_n = \{(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_n, x_{\delta 1}, x_{\delta 2}, \dots) \in B_n; \delta_0 \leq \delta < \omega_2\};$$

then $A_n \neq \emptyset$, $A_n \subset B_n$, and $A_n \subset W$. Therefore, $A_n = A_n \cap B_n \subset W \cap \bar{B}_n = \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Hence there is a $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots) \in \bar{B}_n$. Since $(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}) \cap U$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$, $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots) \in \bar{B}_n$ and $\bar{B}_n \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} - [(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}) \cap U]$; hence, $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots) \notin U$. Let

$$B = \{(\omega_2, x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots), (\omega_2, \omega_2, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots), \dots, (\omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n1}, \dots), \dots\};$$

then $B \cap U = \emptyset$. $\prod_1(B) = \{\omega_2\}$, $\prod_{i+1}(B) = \{\omega_2, x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For each $i < \omega$, $\prod_i(B)$ is finite in X , so there is a $C_{m_i} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $B \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}$. Since $U \cap (\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i})$ is open in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}$, we have $\bar{B} \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i} - [(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}) \cap U]$. This B is a sequence converging to $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots)$ in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}$. Indeed, take a neighborhood $W = (\bigcup_{i\leq m_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i\leq m_n} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>n} C_{m_i}$ of $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots)$ in $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}$. Then $\{(\omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n+1,1}, x_{n+1,2}, \dots), (\omega_2, \dots, \omega_2, x_{n+2,1}, x_{n+2,2}, \dots), \dots\} \subset W$. Therefore, $(\omega_2, \omega_2, \dots) \in \bar{B} \subset \prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i} - [(\prod_{i<\omega} C_{m_i}) \cap U]$, $(\omega_2) \notin U$. It is a contradiction with $(\omega_2) \in U$. Second we look for a neighborhood W of (ω_2) in X^ω such that $(\omega_2) \in W \subset U$. Let $\mathcal{E}^\omega = \{\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}; C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{C}\} = \{K_\alpha; \alpha < \omega_1\}$. By the previous arguments, there is an $N < \omega$ such that for each $K_\alpha = \prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i}$ there is a $\delta_\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $(\bigcup_{i\leq n_1} [\delta_\alpha, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i\leq n_N} [\delta_\alpha, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>N} C_{n_i} \subset U$. Let $\delta = \sup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \delta_\alpha$; then $\delta < \omega_2$ and $[(\bigcup_{i\leq n_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \cdots \times (\bigcup_{i\leq n_N} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i>N} C_{n_i}] \subset U$ for any $\prod_{i<\omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{E}^\omega$. Thus let $V = \bigcup_{i<\omega} [\delta, \omega_2]_i$ be a neighborhood of the point (ω_2) in X ; then

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \left[\left(\bigcup_{i \leq n_1} [\delta, \omega_2]_i \right) \times \cdots \times \left(\bigcup_{i \leq n_N} [\delta, \omega_2]_i \right) \times \prod_{i > N} C_{n_i} \right] = V \times \cdots \times V \times X \times X \times \cdots = W \subset U.$$

(C) $x = (\alpha_1, \omega_2, \alpha_2, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, \alpha_{n+1}, \omega_2, \dots)$, $\alpha_i \neq \omega_2$. First we show that there is an $N < \omega$ and a $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that for each $\delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, there is a $V \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\{\delta'_1\} \times V \times \{\delta'_2\} \times V \times \cdots \times \{\delta'_n\} \times V \times X \times X \times \cdots \subset U$, where $\mathcal{U} = \{V_\alpha = \bigcup_{i<\omega} [\alpha, \omega_2]_i; \alpha < \omega_2\}$. Suppose for each $n < \omega$ and each $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is a $\delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for each $V \in \mathcal{U}$, $(\{\delta'_1\} \times V \times \{\delta'_2\} \times V \times \cdots \times \{\delta'_n\} \times V \times X \times X \times \cdots) - U \neq \emptyset$. Take any $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i < \omega$. For each $n < \omega$ and each $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, there is a $\delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that for each $V \in \mathcal{U}$ there is an $x_v = (\delta_{n1}, \alpha_{v1}, \delta_{n2}, \alpha_{v2}, \dots, \delta_{nn}, \alpha_{vn}, x_{v1}, x_{v2}, \dots)$ such that $x_v \in (\{\delta'_1\} \times V \times \{\delta'_2\} \times V \times \cdots \times \{\delta'_n\} \times V \times X \times X \times \cdots) - U$. Let $B_n = \{x_v = (\delta_{n1}, \alpha_{v1}, \delta_{n2}, \alpha_{v2}, \dots, \delta_{nn}, \alpha_{vn}, x_{v1}, x_{v2}, \dots); V \in \mathcal{U}\}$. There are two possible cases.

Case 1. $|B_n| < \aleph_2$. By $|\mathcal{U}| = \aleph_2$ there is an $x_{v'}$ which repeats at least $\aleph_2$ times in B_n . Then the point $x_{v'} = (\beta_1, \omega_2, \beta_2, \omega_2, \dots, \beta_n, \omega_2, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots)$,

$x_{n_i} \in X$, $i < \omega$, and $\beta_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If some $2k$ th ($k \leq n$) coordinate of $x_{v'}$ is not ω_2 , then let this $2k$ th ($k \leq n$) coordinate be α and $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{V \in \mathcal{U}; V \supset V_{\alpha+1}\}$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is linearly ordered by inclusion, $|\mathcal{U}_1| \leq \aleph_1$; therefore, $x_{v'}$ repeats at most $\aleph_1$ times in $\{x_v \in B_n; V \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$. Hence there is a $\delta \geq \alpha + 1$ such that $x_{v_\delta} = x_{v'}$. On the other hand, if $\alpha \in V_\delta = \bigcup_{i < \omega} [\delta, \omega_2]_i$, then $\alpha \geq \delta \geq \alpha + 1$, which is a contradiction. By the $x_{v'} \in B_n$ and $B_n \cap U = \emptyset$, it follows that $x_{v'} \notin U$.

Case 2. $|B_n| = \aleph_2$. Since $|\{\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i}; C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{C}\}| = \aleph_1$, there is a $\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i} \in \mathcal{C}^\omega$ such that $|(\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i}) \cap B_n| = \aleph_2$. Let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{V \in \mathcal{U}; x_v \in B_n \cap (\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i})\}$; then $\mathcal{U}_1$ is confinal in $\mathcal{U}$. Let $A_n = \{x_v \in B_n \cap (\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i}); V \in \mathcal{U}_1\}$ (hence $A_n \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i}$) and $K = \{\alpha_1\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \{\alpha_2\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \dots \times \{\alpha_n\} \times \{\omega_2\} \times \prod_{i > 2n} C_{n_i}$; then we will show that $\bar{A}_n \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, suppose $\bar{A}_n \cap K = \emptyset$, take a neighborhood $W = [\beta'_1, \alpha'_1] \times (\bigcup_{i \leq n_2} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \dots \times [\beta'_n, \alpha'_n] \times (\bigcup_{i \leq n_{2n}} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i > 2n} C_{n_i}$ of K in $\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i}$ such that $W \cap \bar{A}_n = \emptyset$. Let $A_n^* = \{x_v \in A_n; V \subset V_\delta = \bigcup_{i < \omega} [\delta, \omega_2]_i\}$; then $A_n^* \subset W$ and $|A_n^*| = \aleph_2$. On the other hand, $A_n^* \subset A_n$, so $A_n^* = A_n^* \cap A_n \subset W \cap A_n = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus there is an $x_{n_i} \in C_{n_{2n+i}}$ ($i < \omega$) such that

$$(\alpha_1, \omega_2, \alpha_2, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots) \\ \in \bar{A}_n \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i} - \left[\left(\prod_{i < \omega} C_{n_i} \right) \cap U \right].$$

Hence $(\alpha_1, \omega_2, \alpha_2, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots) \notin U$. Therefore, for either Case 1 or 2, there is a $\delta_{n_i} \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$y_n = (\delta_{n_1}, \omega_2, \delta_{n_2}, \omega_2, \dots, \delta_{nn}, \omega_2, x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}, \dots) \notin U.$$

Let $B = \{y_n; n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\prod_1(B) = \{\delta_{1+i, 1}; i < \omega\}$, $\prod_2(B) = \{\omega_2\}$, $\prod_{2n+1}(B) = \{x_{1, 2n-1}, x_{2, 2n-3}, \dots, x_{n, 1}\} \cup \{\delta_{n+1+i, n+1}; i < \omega\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $\prod_{2n}(B) = \{x_{1, 2n-2}, x_{2, 2n-4}, \dots, x_{n-1, 2}\} \cup \{\omega_2\}$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$, and $B \cap U = \emptyset$. Obviously, for each $i < \omega$ there is a $C_{m_i} \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\prod_i(B) \subset C_{m_i}$; therefore, $B \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}$. Let $K = (\delta_1, \alpha_1] \times \{\omega_2\} \times \dots \times (\delta_n, \alpha_n] \times \{\omega_2\} \times (\delta_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+1}] \times \{\omega_2\} \times \dots$; then $K \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$. In fact, suppose $\bar{B} \cap K = \emptyset$, where we assume $K \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}$. Then there is a neighborhood $W = [B_1, \alpha_1] \times (\bigcup_{i \leq m_2} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \dots \times [\beta_n, \alpha_n] \times (\bigcup_{i \leq m_n} [\delta, \omega_2]_i) \times \prod_{i > n} C_{m_i}$ of K in $\prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}$ such that $W \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\emptyset \neq \{y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots\} \subset B \cap W = \emptyset$, a contradiction. Take any $x \in K \cap \bar{B} \neq \emptyset$, $x = (\delta'_1, \omega_2, \delta'_2, \omega_2, \dots, \delta'_n, \omega_2, \dots)$. By $U \cap (\prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i})$ being open in $\prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}$ and $B \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i} - [(\prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}) \cap U]$, it follows that $(\delta'_1, \omega_2, \dots, \delta'_n, \omega_2, \delta'_{n+1}, \omega_2, \dots) \in \bar{B} \subset \prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i} - [(\prod_{i < \omega} C_{m_i}) \cap U]$. Therefore, $(\delta'_1, \omega_2, \dots, \delta'_n, \omega_2, \delta'_{n+1}, \omega_2, \dots) \notin U$. Hence it is proven that for each $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i < \omega$, there is a $\delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i < \omega$, such that $(\delta'_1, \omega_2, \dots, \delta'_n, \omega_2, \delta'_{n+1}, \omega_2, \dots) \notin U$. Let

$$A = \{(\delta'_1, \omega_2, \dots, \delta'_n, \omega_2, \dots); \delta'_i \in (0, \alpha_i), \delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i], i < \omega\};$$

then $A \cap U = \emptyset$ and $A \subset K_1 = [0, \alpha_1] \times \{\omega_2\} \times \dots \times [0, \alpha_n] \times \{\omega_2\} \times [0, \alpha_{n+1}] \times \{\omega_2\} \times \dots$. By $U \cap K_1$ being open in K_1 , it follows that $(\alpha_1, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, \dots) \in \bar{A} \subset K_1 - (K_1 \cap U)$. This contradicts the fact

$(\alpha_1, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, \alpha_{n+1}, \omega_2, \dots) \in U$. Second, we look for a neighborhood W of $(\alpha_1, \omega_2, \dots, \alpha_n, \omega_2, \dots)$ in X^ω such that $W \subset U$. We already showed that there is an $N < \omega$ and a $\delta_i \in (0, \alpha_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, such that for each $\delta'_i \in (\delta_i, \alpha_i]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, there exists a $V \in \mathcal{U}$ with $\{\delta'_1\} \times V \times \{\delta'_2\} \times V \times \dots \times \{\delta'_N\} \times V \times X \times X \times \dots \subset U$. On the other hand,

$$|[0, \alpha_1] \times [0, \alpha_2] \times \dots \times [0, \alpha_n]| \leq \aleph_1 < \aleph_2.$$

Then for each $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_N) \in (\delta_1, \alpha_1] \times \dots \times (\delta_N, \alpha_N]$, there is an $\alpha < \omega_2$ such that $\{\delta'_1\} \times V_\alpha \times \dots \times \{\delta'_N\} \times V_\alpha \times X \times X \times \dots \subset U$. Let $\delta = \sup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \alpha$. Then $\delta < \omega_2$, $V_\delta \in \mathcal{U}$, and for each $(\delta'_1, \delta'_2, \dots, \delta'_N) \in (\delta_1, \alpha_1] \times \dots \times (\delta_N, \alpha_N]$, $\{\delta'_1\} \times V_\delta \times \dots \times \{\delta'_N\} \times V_\delta \times X \times X \times \dots \subset U$. Therefore, $(\delta_1, \alpha_1] \times V_\delta \times \dots \times (\delta_N, \alpha_N] \times V_\delta \times X \times X \times \dots \subset U$.

(D) In general, if $x \in U$, we can show that U is a neighborhood of x in X^ω by using the technique of (B) or (C).

Remark. In the previous theorem, we can replace “not locally compact” by “not even a k' -space”. Indeed, let $Z_0 = [1, \omega_0]$, $Z_n = [0, \omega_2]_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with order topology. Let Z be the topological sum of Z_n , $i < \omega$; then Z is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let Y be the quotient space obtained from Z by identifying each “ n ” in Z_0 and “ ω_2 ” in Z_n for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then Y is a k_ω -space but not a k' -space. In fact, $\omega_0 \in \overline{\bigcup_{i < \omega} [0, \omega_2)_i}$ but $\omega_0 \notin K \cap (\bigcup_{n < \omega} [0, \omega_2)_n)$ for each compact subset $K \subset Y$. Let $g: Y \rightarrow Y/[1, \omega_0] = X$ be a canonical projection. Then g is a perfect mapping, as is g^ω . Since X^ω has a k -system, so does Y^ω . Then Y has the desired property.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to thank Y. Tanaka for his suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Factor mapping of metric spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **5** (1964), 368–371.
2. ———, *Bicompact sets and the topology of spaces*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **13** (1965), 1–62.
3. Y. Tanaka, *Some necessary conditions for products of spaces*, Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. **30** (1978), 1–16.
4. ———, *Point-countable k -system and products of k -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **101** (1982), 199–208.
5. ———, *On the products of k -spaces question*, Q&A in General Topology **1** (1983).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QINGHAI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XINING, QINGHAI, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Current address: c/o Professor Takao Hoshina, Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305, Japan