STAR-SHAPED COMPLEXES AND EHRHART POLYNOMIALS

TAKAYUKI HIBI

(Communicated by Wolmer V. Vasconcelos)

ABSTRACT. We study Ehrhart polynomials of star-shaped triangulations of balls by means of Cohen-Macaulay rings and canonical modules.

A polyhedral complex Γ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is a finite set of convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that

- (1.1) if $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a face of $\mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{F} \in \Gamma$, and
- (1.2) if $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q} \in \Gamma$, then $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{Q}$ is a face of $\mathcal{P}$ and of $\mathcal{Q}$.

We are concerned with a polyhedral complex Γ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (2.1) every vertex α of $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ has integer coordinates, i.e., $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^N$, and
- (2.2) the underlying space $X := \bigcup_{\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma} \mathcal{P} (\subset \mathbb{R}^N)$ of Γ is homeomorphic to the d -ball.

Let ∂X denote the boundary of X ; thus ∂X is homeomorphic to the $(d-1)$ -sphere. Given an integer $n > 0$, write nX for $\{n\alpha; \alpha \in X\}$ and define $i(X, n)$ to be $\#(nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N)$, the cardinality of $nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. In other words, $i(X, n)$ is equal to the number of rational points $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in X$ with each $n\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is known that

(3.1) $i(X, n)$ is a polynomial in n of degree d , called the *Ehrhart polynomial* of X ,

(3.2) $i(X, 0) = 1$, and

(3.3) $(-1)^d i(X, -n) = \#[n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$ for every $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define the sequence $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \dots$ of integers by the formula

$$(1 - \lambda)^{d+1} \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i(X, n) \lambda^n \right] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \lambda^i.$$

Then

(4.1) $\delta_0 = 1$ and $\delta_1 = \#(X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) - (d+1)$,

(4.2) $\delta_i = 0$ for each $i > d$, and

(4.3) $\delta_d = \#[(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N]$.

We say that $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is the δ -vector of X . We refer the reader to, e.g., [6, Chapter IX], for geometric proofs of the above fundamental results

Received by the editors June 18, 1993; this paper was presented in the meeting "Combinatorial Convexity and Algebraic Geometry" held at Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, March 28–April 3, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13D40.

due to Ehrhart. Note that, even though X is not necessarily convex, the proofs in [6] are valid without modification since X is homeomorphic to the d -ball.

Some algebraic technique¹ is indispensable for the study of combinatorics on δ -vectors. Fix a field k , and let $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N, t$ be (commutative) indeterminates over k . If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N) \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, then we set $\xi^\alpha t^n = \xi_1^{\alpha_1} \xi_2^{\alpha_2} \dots \xi_N^{\alpha_N} t^n$. We write $[A_k(\Gamma)]_n$ for the vector space spanned by all monomials $\xi^\alpha t^n$ with $\alpha \in nX \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Thus, in particular, $\dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n = i(X, n)$. Let $A_k(\Gamma)$ denote $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} [A_k(\Gamma)]_n$ with $[A_k(\Gamma)]_0 = k$, and define multiplication $(\xi^\alpha t^n)(\xi^\beta t^m)$ of monomials $\xi^\alpha t^n$ and $\xi^\beta t^m$ in $A_k(\Gamma)$ as follows: $(\xi^\alpha t^n)(\xi^\beta t^m) = \xi^{\alpha+\beta} t^{n+m}$ if there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \Gamma$ with $\alpha \in n\mathcal{P}$ and $\beta \in m\mathcal{P}$; $(\xi^\alpha t^n)(\xi^\beta t^m) = 0$ otherwise. Then $A_k(\Gamma)$ is a noetherian (i.e., finitely generated) graded algebra over k and the Hilbert series $F(A_k(\Gamma), \lambda) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim_k [A_k(\Gamma)]_n \lambda^n$ is $(\delta_0 + \delta_1 \lambda + \delta_2 \lambda^2 + \dots + \delta_d \lambda^d) / (1 - \lambda)^{d+1}$. Let $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} [\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))]_n$ be the graded ideal of $A_k(\Gamma)$ which is generated by those monomials $\xi^\alpha t^n$ such that $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in n(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Since X is homeomorphic to the d -ball, $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Cohen-Macaulay [10, Lemma 4.6]. Thus, a well-known technique of commutative algebra enables us to obtain $\delta(X) \geq 0$, i.e., each $\delta_i \geq 0$ (cf. Stanley [8]). On the other hand, the same technique as in the proof of [2, Theorem (5.6.1)] enables us to show that $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$ is the canonical module of $A_k(\Gamma)$.

We say that X is “star-shaped” with respect to a point $\alpha \in X - \partial X$ if $t\alpha + (1-t)\beta \in X - \partial X$ for every point $\beta \in X$ and for each real number $0 < t < 1$.

Theorem. *We employ the same notation as used above. Suppose that the set $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is nonempty and that the underlying space X is star-shaped with respect to some $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$. Then the δ -vector $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of X satisfies the linear inequalities as follows:*

$$(5.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \dots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \dots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(5.2) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

Sketch of proof. First, recall that a simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is a polyhedral complex Δ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that every convex polytope belonging to Δ is a simplex in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Fix an arbitrary simplicial complex $\Delta(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with the vertex set $\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ whose underlying space is the boundary ∂X of X . Since X is star-shaped with respect to $v_1 \in (X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$, we can define the cone $\Delta(1)$ over $\Delta(0)$ with apex v_1 , i.e., $\Delta(1)$ is the simplicial complex in $\mathbb{R}^N$ which consists of those simplices σ such that either $\sigma \in \Delta(0)$ or σ is the convex hull of $\tau \cup \{v_1\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for some $\tau \in \Delta(0)$. The vertex set of $\Delta(1)$ is $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1\}$ and the underlying space of $\Delta(1)$ is X . Let $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_\ell\}$ and, for each $2 \leq j \leq \ell$, construct a simplicial complex $\Delta(j)$ with the vertex set $(\partial X \cap \mathbb{Z}^N) \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ and with the underlying space X by the same way as in [7]. We write Δ for $\Delta(\ell)$. Then the element $\theta = \xi^{v_1} t + \xi^{v_2} t + \dots + \xi^{v_\ell} t$ of $[\Omega(A_k(\Delta))]_1$ is a nonzero divisor on $A_k(\Delta)$. Hence, it follows from a standard technique of commutative algebra [11] (see also [4]) that $\sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \delta_j \leq \sum_{0 \leq j \leq i} \delta_{d-j}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq [d/2]$. On the other hand, let $h(\Delta) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_d, 0)$ be the h -vector (e.g., [9]) of the simplicial complex

¹ We refer to, e.g., [6, Chapter IV] for “Commutative Algebra for Combinatorialists”.

Δ . Then $h_1 \leq h_i$ for each $2 \leq i < d$ (cf. [7]). Also, $h_1 = \delta_1$. Since $h_i \leq \delta_i$, $0 \leq i \leq d$, by [1], we have $\delta_1 \leq \delta_i$ for each $2 \leq i < d$ as desired. Q.E.D.

Remark. (a) In the above sketch of proof, let $A_k(\Delta)^*$ denote the graded subalgebra of $A_k(\Delta)$ generated by $[A_k(\Delta)]_1$ over k . Then $A_k(\Delta)^*$ coincides with the Stanley-Reisner ring [9] of the simplicial complex Δ . Thus $A_k(\Delta)^*$ is Cohen-Macaulay with the Hilbert series

$$F(A_k(\Delta)^*, \lambda) = (h_0 + h_1\lambda + h_2\lambda^2 + \cdots + h_d\lambda^d)/(1 - \lambda)^{d+1}.$$

Moreover, $A_k(\Delta)$ is finitely generated as a module over $A_k(\Delta)^*$.

(b) By the similar method as in [3, Theorem (1.3)], without the hypothesis that $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is nonempty and X is star-shaped, we can prove that the δ -vector $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of X satisfies the linear inequality

$$\delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i} \leq \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i + \delta_{i+1}$$

for every $0 \leq i \leq [(d-1)/2]$.

Example. Let $N = d = 3$ and $X = \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$, where $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$) is the tetrahedron with the vertices $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(-1, -1, -1)$ (resp. $(1, 0, 0)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, $(0, 0, 1)$, $(1, 1, 0)$). Then $(X - \partial X) \cap \mathbb{Z}^3 = \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ and X is not star-shaped with respect to $(0, 0, 0)$. However, X is star-shaped with respect to, e.g., $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$. We have $\delta(X) = (1, 2, 1, 1)$ which fails to satisfy (5.1) for $i = 1$ and (5.2) for $i = 2$.

Corollary [3, 7, 11]. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an integral convex polytope of dimension d , and suppose that $(\mathcal{P} - \partial\mathcal{P}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^N$ is nonempty. Then the δ -vector $\delta(\mathcal{P}) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ of $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies the following linear inequalities:*

$$(6.1) \quad \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i \leq \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [d/2];$$

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_d + \delta_{d-1} + \cdots + \delta_{d-i} \leq \delta_0 + \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i + \delta_{i+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq [(d-1)/2];$$

$$(6.3) \quad \delta_1 \leq \delta_i, \quad 2 \leq i < d.$$

We conclude the paper with a remark about the question when $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Gorenstein. For a while, we assume that $N = d$ and the origin of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is contained in the interior of X . We say that $\delta(X) = (\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ is symmetric if $\delta_i = \delta_{d-i}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq d$. It follows from, e.g., [5] that X is star-shaped with respect to the origin if $\delta(X)$ is symmetric. On the other hand, $\delta(X)$ is symmetric if and only if there exists a polyhedral complex Γ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the underlying space X such that $A_k(\Gamma)$ is Gorenstein, i.e., the canonical module $\Omega(A_k(\Gamma))$ of $A_k(\Gamma)$ is generated by a single element of $A_k(\Gamma)$.

REFERENCES

1. U. Betke and P. McMullen, *Lattice points in lattice polytopes*, Monatsh. Math. **99** (1985), 253–265.
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, New York, and Sydney, 1993.
3. T. Hibi, *Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes, h -vectors of simplicial complexes, and nonsingular projective toric varieties*, Discrete and Computational Geometry (J. E. Goodman et al., eds.), DIMACS Ser. Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci., vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 165–177.
4. ———, *Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices*, Pacific J. Math. **154** (1992), 253–264.

5. ———, *Dual polytopes of rational convex polytopes*, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992), 237–240.
6. ———, *Algebraic combinatorics on convex polytopes*, Carlslaw, Sydney, 1992.
7. ———, *A lower bound theorem for Ehrhart polynomials of convex polytopes*, *Adv. Math.* **105** (1994), 162–165.
8. R. Stanley, *Decompositions of rational convex polytopes*, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980, pp. 333–342.
9. ———, *Combinatorics and commutative algebra*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, and Stuttgart, 1983.
10. ———, *Generalized h -vectors, intersection cohomology of toric varieties, and related results*, *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics* (M. Nagata and H. Matsumura, eds.), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, vol. 11, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987, pp. 187–213.
11. ———, *On the Hilbert function of a graded Cohen-Macaulay domain*, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **73** (1991), 307–314.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY, KITA-KU, SAPPORO 060, JAPAN

E-mail address: hibi@math.hokudai.ac.jp