

K-GROUPS OF SOLENOIDAL ALGEBRAS I

BERNDT BRENKEN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Multiplication by x determines an automorphism of the compact dual group of $\Lambda_g = \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]/(g)$ for $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. We determine the K -groups of the C^* -algebra associated with this dynamical system if g is irreducible and has degree one or two. Partial results are included if the degree of g is three.

To each $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ associate a C^* -algebra B_g , the crossed product C^* -algebra associated to the dynamical system $(\hat{\Lambda}_g, \alpha, \mathbb{Z})$. Here $\hat{\Lambda}_g$ is the dual group of the discrete abelian group $\Lambda_g = \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]/(g)$ where (g) is the principal ideal generated by g in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$. The automorphism α of $\hat{\Lambda}_g$ is that defined by multiplication by x on Λ_g . The dynamical systems $(\hat{\Lambda}_g, \alpha)$ are examples of (abelian) Markov groups ([8]), in particular they are generalized solenoids ([2]).

In this note the K -groups of B_g are computed for g nonconstant, irreducible, and of degree one or two. Partial results for g degree three are also obtained. This is accomplished by a straightforward (though involved) application of the Pimsner-Voiculescu six-term exact sequence ([7]). In addition, the range of any state on $K_0(B_g)$ arising from a tracial state on B_g is shown to be \mathbb{Z} for any (nonconstant, irreducible) g .

Although of little interest in their own right, these calculations do allow a comparison of the computed K -groups with the known (for degree g equal to one or two) $*$ (or anti- $*$)-isomorphism classes of these algebras [1]. This yields many examples of non- $*$ (or anti- $*$)-isomorphic algebras with isomorphic K -groups and, since both the tracial states on K_0 and (at least in degree one) the possible order structures on K_0 provide no additional information, one is left with the interesting (especially in light of the questions raised in [3]) possibility that the K -groups are of limited value in determining the isomorphism classes of this family of amenable algebras. The isomorphism classification arrived at in [1] (for degree g one or two) used a sequence of invariants related to the entropy of the underlying dynamical system. One can contrast this with the

Received by the editors August 10, 1993; presented at the Operator Theory Plains Seminar, September 25, 1992, University of Saskatchewan.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L35, 46L80.

The author acknowledges support, in connection with this research, from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

family of rotation algebras, for example, where K -theoretic means provide a classification and the entropy of each underlying dynamical system is zero.

Admittedly the algebras B_g are not simple, however, they do possess a separating family of finite-dimensional quotients. Although the algebras are not AF -algebras (K_1 is nonzero), they are finite and embeddable in AF -algebras. This follows from [5], since the finite periodic points in $\widehat{\Lambda}_g$ are dense ([2], [4]) and the dynamical system $(\widehat{\Lambda}_g, \alpha)$ is thus chain recurrent.

In the following, if $g = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $g(0) \neq 0$, then g^0 denotes the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^d a_{d-i} x^i$ and $\deg(g)$ denotes the degree of g . The content of g is written $\text{cont}(g)$. For $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{n, m\}$ is the least common multiple of n and m and (n, m) is the greatest common divisor of n and m . If φ is a \mathbb{Z} -module map, $\text{im } \varphi$ denotes the image of φ , $\ker \varphi$ denotes the kernel of φ and $\text{coker } \varphi$ denotes the cokernel of φ .

1

We compute the K -groups of the abelian C^* -algebra $C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g)$ for irreducible nonconstant $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and prove some preliminary algebraic results.

For $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ nonconstant, irreducible let $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ denote a root of g , so $\Lambda_g \simeq \mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}]$. The automorphism α of $\widehat{\Lambda}_g$ is dual to the \mathbb{Z} -module map M_a (multiplication by a) in the ring Λ_g . Note that Λ_g is torsion free if and only if $\text{cont}(g) = 1$. Thus, Λ_g is a discrete, torsion free, abelian, rank d group where $d = \deg(g)$. Although the group Λ_g is not finitely generated (unless both g and g^0 are monic), Λ_g is a direct limit of its finitely generated submodules. Since any finitely generated submodule of Λ_g is torsion free (and thus free), write Λ_g as a direct limit of submodules each isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^d . For example, let \mathcal{M}_n be the submodule of Λ_g generated by $\{a^{-n}, \dots, 1, a, \dots, a^{d+n}\}$ (identifying Λ_g with $\mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}]$). Then $\mathcal{M}_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\Lambda_g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathcal{M}_n, i_n)$ where $i_n: \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$ is the natural inclusion. The group $K_*(C^*(\mathcal{M}_n))$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=0}^d \wedge^j \mathbb{Z}^d$ (denoted by $\wedge \mathbb{Z}^d$) with K_0 corresponding to the even indices and K_1 to the odd indices. The induced map $(i_n)_*$ is $\bigoplus_{j=0}^d \wedge^j i_n$ (denoted $\wedge i_n$). Since Λ_g is discrete and abelian, it is straightforward to see that $C^*(\Lambda_g) \simeq \lim_n (C^*(\mathcal{M}_n))$. Since K_* commutes with \lim , and the wedge product of \mathbb{Z} -modules commutes with \lim , it follows that $K_*(C^*(\Lambda_g)) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^d \wedge^j \Lambda_g \simeq \bigoplus_{j=1}^d \wedge^j \mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}]$.

Proposition 1.1. *The automorphism α of $C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g)$ induces the map $\bigoplus_{j=0}^d \wedge^j M_a$ on $K_*(C^*(\Lambda_g))$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{M}_n be the submodules of Λ_g defined above and note that $M_a(\mathcal{M}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n+1}$. The maps $M_n = M_a|_{\mathcal{M}_n}^{\mathcal{M}_{n+1}}$ define a homomorphism of the directed system (\mathcal{M}_n, i_n) to $(\mathcal{M}_{n+1}, i_{n+1})$ and the homomorphism $\lim M_n$ of $\lim \mathcal{M}_n = \Lambda_g$ to $\lim \mathcal{M}_{n+1} = \Lambda_g$ is the map M_a . The corresponding maps $\widetilde{M}_n: C^*(\mathcal{M}_n) \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{M}_{n+1})$ on the C^* -algebra level form a map of the directed system $(C^*(\mathcal{M}_n), \widetilde{i}_n)$ to itself. The homomorphism $\lim \widetilde{M}_n$ of $\lim C^*(\mathcal{M}_n) = C^*(\Lambda_g)$ to itself is the map α .

The functor K_* commutes with direct limits, so the endomorphism α_* of the group $K_*(C^*(\Lambda_g))$ is the homomorphism $(\widetilde{M}_n)_*$ of the directed system

$(K_*(C^*(\mathcal{M}_n)), (\tilde{i}_n)_*)$ to $(K_*(C^*(\mathcal{M}_{n+1})), (\tilde{i}_{n+1})_*)$. However, $(\widetilde{M}_n)_*$ is the map $\bigoplus_{j=0}^d \wedge^j M_n$. Finally, note that \lim commutes with direct sums and with wedge products. \square

Since Λ_g is a limit of \mathbb{Z} -submodules isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^d , $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is isomorphic to a limit of \mathbb{Z} -submodules isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} . In particular, the elements $a^{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_{d-1}}$ (with $j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j_0 < \cdots < j_{d-1}$) generate $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Note also that $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is a limit of torsion free modules, so is torsion free.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$ (nonconstant, irreducible) and $l = \{a_0, a_d\}$. If e is the element $1 \wedge a \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{d-1}$ of $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$, then $l^{-1}e \in \wedge^d \Lambda_g$.*

Proof. Let $c_j = 1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{a}^j \wedge \cdots \wedge a^d$ and $b_j = a^{-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{a}^j \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{d-1}$ for $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. Since $g(a) = 0$, it follows that $a_d c_j = (-1)^{d-j} a_j e$ and $a_0 b_j = (-1)^{j+1} a_{j+1} e$ for $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. If $r = (a_0, a_d)$, then $lr = a_0 a_d$; so $a_j a_0 r^{-1} e = (-1)^{d-j} l c_j$ and $a_{j+1} a_d r^{-1} e = (-1)^{j+1} l b_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq d-1$. Since $a_d a_0 r^{-1} e = l e \in l \wedge^d \Lambda_g$ also, it follows that $a_j a_0 r^{-1} e$ and $a_{j+1} a_d r^{-1} e \in l \wedge^d \Lambda_g$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. Since $(a_0 r^{-1}, a_d r^{-1}) = 1$, we have $a_j e \in l \wedge^d \Lambda_g$ for $0 \leq j \leq d$. However, $\text{cont}(g) = 1$, so $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d) = 1$ and $e \in l \wedge^d \Lambda_g$. The result follows since $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is torsion free. \square

The elements $a^{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_{d-1}}$ of $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ are all contained in the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}[a_0^{-1}, a_d^{-1}]e = \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]e$, and since they generate $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module, $\wedge^d \Lambda_g \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]e$.

Proposition 1.3. *If g, e, l are as in the preceding lemma, then $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]e = \wedge^d \Lambda_g$.*

Proof. It is enough to show $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]e \subseteq \wedge^d \Lambda_g$. Since $l^{-1}e \in \wedge^d \Lambda_g$, the result will follow if $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is a commutative ring with unit e .

First, define a multiplication on the generators $a^{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_{d-1}}$, $j_0 < \cdots < j_{d-1}$, of $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$. Using the alternating d -multilinear map Δ of \mathbb{Q}^d (identified with $\mathbb{Q}[a]$) into \mathbb{Q} taking the value 1 on the basis $\{1, a, \dots, a^{d-1}\}$ of $\mathbb{Q}[a]$, we identify $\wedge^d \mathbb{Q}^d$ with \mathbb{Q} (e corresponding to 1). Let $\varphi_{j_0 \cdots j_{d-1}} = \varphi$ denote the \mathbb{Q} -linear map of $\mathbb{Q}[a]$ determined by mapping a^k to a^{j_k} , $0 \leq k \leq d-1$. This map is also \mathbb{Z} -linear and maps Λ_g to itself. We have $a^{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_{d-1}} = \wedge^d \varphi(e) = (\det \varphi)e$. Define the product of $a^{j_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{j_{d-1}}$ with $a^{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge a^{i_{d-1}}$ as $\wedge^d (\varphi_{j_0 \cdots j_{d-1}} \circ \varphi_{i_0 \cdots i_{d-1}})(e) = \det(\varphi_{j_0 \cdots j_{d-1}} \circ \varphi_{i_0 \cdots i_{d-1}})(e) = \det(\varphi_{j_0 \cdots j_{d-1}}) \cdot \det(\varphi_{i_0 \cdots i_{d-1}})e$ and extend this linearly to a product on $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ ($\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is a subring of \mathbb{Q}). \square

The proof of the above proposition shows $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is a ring isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$. The endomorphism $\wedge^d M_a$ of $\wedge^d \Lambda_g$ is multiplication by $\det(M_a) = (-1)^d a_0 a_d^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be nonconstant, irreducible. Then $\Lambda_g / (1 - M_a)\Lambda_g \simeq \mathbb{Z} / (\sum_{i=0}^d a_i)\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[x] / (1 - x)\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda_g / (1 - M_a)\Lambda_g \simeq \mathbb{Z} / \bar{g}\mathbb{Z}$ where $\bar{g} = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i$ is the class of g in R (cf. [2]). \square

Definition. If $n \neq 0$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, define $n : l = n(n, l^{m_0})^{-1}$ where m_0 is the maximum multiplicity of any prime dividing n . Thus, $n : l$ is formed by removing from n any prime also dividing l .

Lemma 1.5. *Let $n \neq 0$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]/n\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ where $t = n : l$.*

Proof. Let H_k be the subgroup of $Z_k \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ generated by n ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The map $\varphi_k: Z_k \rightarrow Z_{k+1}$ given by multiplication by l defines a map $\bar{\varphi}_k: Z_k/H_k \rightarrow Z_{k+1}/H_{k+1}$. We have $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \simeq \lim(Z_k, \varphi_k)$ and $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]/n\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \simeq \lim(Z_k/H_k, \bar{\varphi}_k)$. Each Z_k/H_k is isomorphic to the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\bar{\varphi}_k$ is multiplication by l . If b is a generator of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, then $l^m b$ has order $n(l^m, n)^{-1}$. For m large enough (m larger than the maximum multiplicity of any prime dividing n) $l^m b$ has order $t = n : l$. It follows that $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]/n\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \simeq \lim(\mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}, m_l) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ (where m_l is multiplication by l). \square

2

Let $\wedge^{\text{ev}} \Lambda_g$ denote $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{[d/2]} \wedge^{2j} \Lambda_g$ and $\wedge^{\text{odd}} \Lambda_g$ denote $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{[d/2]} \wedge^{2j+1} \Lambda_g$. The maps $\wedge^{\text{ev}} M_a$ and $\wedge^{\text{odd}} M_a$ are interpreted similarly. The Pimsner-Voiculescu six-term exact sequence for the K -groups of the crossed product C^* -algebra $B_g = C^*(\Lambda_g) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \wedge^{\text{ev}} \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{1 - \wedge^{\text{ev}} M_a} & \wedge^{\text{ev}} \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_0(B_g) \\ \uparrow \delta_1 & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(B_g) & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \wedge^{\text{odd}} \Lambda_g & \xleftarrow{1 - \wedge^{\text{odd}} M_a} & \wedge^{\text{odd}} \Lambda_g \end{array}$$

The cases $\deg(g) = 1, 2$, and 3 are dealt with separately.

The case $\deg(g) = 1$. The single root a of $g(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$ is $-a_0 a_1^{-1}$ and the six-term exact sequence is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_0(B_g) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(B_g) & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \Lambda_g & \xleftarrow{1 - M_a} & \Lambda_g \end{array}$$

If $a \neq 1$, the map $1 - M_a$ is injective (Λ_g is an integral domain) and $i_*: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_0(B_g)$ is an isomorphism. To compute $K_1(B_g)$, first note that $\ker \delta_1 = \text{im } i_* \simeq \text{coker}(1 - M_a)$. Also, $0 \rightarrow \ker \delta_1 \rightarrow K_1(B_g) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ splits since \mathbb{Z} is projective. Thus, $K_1(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker \delta_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(a_0 + a_1)\mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 1.4.

If $a = 1$, then $\Lambda_g = \mathbb{Z}$, $B_g = C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, $K_0(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, and $K_1(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$.

Proposition 2.1. *If $g = a_0 + a_1 x$ is a degree 1, irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, then*

$$K_0(B_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } a_0 + a_1 = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$K_1(B_g) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(a_0 + a_1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

The case $\deg(g) = 2$. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be irreducible with $a \in \mathbb{C}$ a root and $l = \{a_0, a_2\}$. The six-term exact sequence becomes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{0 \oplus M(1 - a_0 a_2^{-1})} & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_0(B_g) \\
\delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\
K_1(B_g) & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \Lambda_g & \xleftarrow{1 - M_a} & \Lambda_g
\end{array}$$

Since $a \neq 1$, the map $1 - M_a$ is injective and $\text{im } \delta_0 = 0$. Thus, i_* is surjective and $K_0(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]/(1 - a_0 a_2^{-1})\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$. To compute $K_1(B_g)$, note that $0 \rightarrow \ker \delta_1 \rightarrow K_1(B_g) \rightarrow \text{im } \delta_1 \rightarrow 0$ is exact and multiplication by $1 - a_0 a_2^{-1}$ is either injective (if $a_2 \neq a_0$) or zero (if $a_2 = a_0$). In the first case, $\text{im } \delta_1 = \mathbb{Z}$; in the second case, $\text{im } \delta_1 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$. Also note that $\ker \delta_1 = \text{im } i_* \simeq \text{coker}(1 - M_a) = \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i)\mathbb{Z}$ by Proposition 1.4. Thus, if $a_2 \neq a_0$, then $\text{im } \delta_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ is projective and $K_1(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i)\mathbb{Z}$.

If $a_2 = a_0$, then $K_1(B_g)$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ by $\mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i)\mathbb{Z}$. It is still possible to determine $K_1(B_g)$ by computing the abelian group $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}], \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ for m, l relatively prime in \mathbb{N} .

Proposition 2.2. *If $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(m, l) = 1$, then $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}], \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Z}_k \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (with unit e_k) for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and D the (free) submodule of the free \mathbb{Z} -module $P = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{Z}_k$ generated by the independent set $\{h_i | h_i = e_i - l e_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $\varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}_k, \mathcal{M}_l)$ (where \mathcal{M}_l denotes multiplication by l), we obtain the projective presentation $0 \rightarrow D \xrightarrow{\mu} P \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$. Thus, $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}], \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = \text{coker } \mu^*$ where $\mu^*: \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(D, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. We show μ^* is onto. Choose $\varphi = (\varphi_k) \in \text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ where $\varphi_k \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ may be identified with the element $\varphi_k(e_k)$ of $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The image of μ^* in $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}h_k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ consists of $\{\psi = (\psi_k) | \psi_k = \varphi_k - l\varphi_{k+1}, (\varphi_k) \in \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}\}$. Since l and m are relatively prime, multiplication by l maps $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ onto itself and the equations $\psi_k = \varphi_k - l\varphi_{k+1}$ can be solved for $\varphi_k \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ given $\psi = (\psi_k) \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}h_k, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, μ^* is onto and the result follows. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a degree 2 irreducible polynomial and $l = \{a_0, a_2\}$. If $a_2 \neq a_0$, then $K_0(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ with $t = (a_2 - a_0) : l$ and $K_1(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i)\mathbb{Z}$. If $a_2 = a_0$, then $K_0(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ and $K_1(B_g) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i)\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since a_2 and $a_2^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$, the ideal generated by $1 - a_0 a_2^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ is the same as that generated by $a_2 - a_0$. Thus, $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]/(1 - a_0 a_2^{-1})\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ with $t = (a_2 - a_0) : l$ by Lemma 1.5, and the result for K_0 follows. It remains to compute $K_1(B_g)$ when $a_2 = a_0$. In this case, $l = a_0$ and $(l, \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i) = (a_0, 2a_0 + a_1) = (a_0, a_1) = 1$ since $\text{cont}(g) = 1$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Ext} \left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}], \mathbb{Z} / \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i \right) \mathbb{Z} \right) \\
&= \text{Ext} \left(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} / \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i \right) \mathbb{Z} \right) \oplus \text{Ext} \left(\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}], \mathbb{Z} / \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i \right) \mathbb{Z} \right) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.2. The result for K_1 follows. \square

The case $\deg(g) = 3$. Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be irreducible, $a \in \mathbb{C}$ a root, and $l = \{a_0, a_3\}$. The six-term exact sequence is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \wedge^2 \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{0 \oplus (1 - \wedge^2 M_a)} & \mathbb{Z} \oplus \wedge^2 \Lambda_g & \xrightarrow{i_*} & K_0(B_g) \\ \delta_1 \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \delta_0 \\ K_1(B_g) & \xleftarrow{i_*} & \Lambda_g \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] & \xleftarrow{(1-M_a) \oplus (1+M_{a_0 a_3^{-1}})} & \Lambda_g \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \end{array}$$

Again $a \neq 1$, so $1 - M_a : \Lambda_g \rightarrow \Lambda_g$ is injective. Thus, $\text{im } \delta_0 = \ker M_{(1+a_0 a_3^{-1})}$ which is either 0 (if $a_3 + a_0 \neq 0$) or $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ (if $a_3 + a_0 = 0$). Since $\ker \delta_0 = \text{im } i_* \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{coker}(1 - \wedge^2 M_a)$, we have $K_0(B_g)$ is either $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{coker}(1 - \wedge^2 M_a)$ (if $a_3 + a_0 \neq 0$) or an extension of $\mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$ by $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{coker}(1 - \wedge^2 M_a)$ (if $a_3 + a_0 = 0$).

The group $K_1(B_g)$ is an extension of $\text{im } \delta_1 = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(1 - \wedge^2 M_a)$ by $\ker \delta_1$ where $\ker \delta_1 = \text{im } i_* \simeq \text{coker}(1 - M_a) \oplus \text{coker } M_{(1+a_0 a_3^{-1})} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{coker } M_{(1+a_0 a_3^{-1})}$. By Lemma 1.5, $\text{coker } M_{(1+a_0 a_3^{-1})} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z}$ with $t = (a_3 + a_0) : l$ if $a_3 + a_0 \neq 0$. If $a_3 + a_0 = 0$, then $\text{coker } M_{(1+a_0 a_3^{-1})} = \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}]$.

Proposition 2.4. Let $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i x^i \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a degree 3 irreducible polynomial and $l = \{a_0, a_3\}$.

If $a_3 + a_0 \neq 0$, then $K_0(B_g) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \wedge^2 \Lambda_g / (1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \wedge^2 \Lambda_g$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/t\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K_1(B_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \rightarrow 0$ where $t = (a_3 + a_0) : l$.

If $a_3 + a_0 = 0$, then $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \wedge^2 \Lambda_g / (1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \wedge^2 \Lambda_g \rightarrow K_0(B_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[l^{-1}] \rightarrow K_1(B_g) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ker(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \rightarrow 0$.

It is straightforward to compute these groups if we impose the restriction that both a_3 and $a_0 \in \{1, -1\}$ (so $l = 1$). In this case, Λ_g has a basis $e_i = a^i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^3 . Identifying $\wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^3$ with \mathbb{Z}^3 ($E_i = e_j \wedge e_k$ with $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ in cyclic order is a basis of $\wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^3$), the map $\wedge^2 M_a$ has matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 a_3^{-1} & a_2 a_3^{-1} & 1 \\ -a_0 a_3^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_0 a_3^{-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first, second, and third determinantal divisors of $1 - \wedge^2 M_a$, i.e., the invariants of the submodule $(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \wedge^2 \Lambda_g$ in $\wedge^2 \Lambda_g$, are 1, 1, and $a_0 a_2 - a_1 a_3^{-1}$ respectively. Thus, $\text{coker}(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) = \mathbb{Z}/(a_2 - a_1)\mathbb{Z}$ if $a_0 + a_3 \neq 0$, and $\text{coker}(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) = \mathbb{Z}/(a_2 + a_1)\mathbb{Z}$ if $a_0 + a_3 = 0$. We also have, if $a_0 + a_3 \neq 0$, that

$$\ker(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } a_1 = a_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $a_0 + a_3 = 0$, then

$$\ker(1 - \wedge^2 M_a) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i x^i$ be a degree 3 irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $|a_0| = |a_3| = 1$.

If $a_0 + a_3 \neq 0$, then

$$K_0(B_g) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(a_2 - a_1)\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$K_1(B_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } a_1 = a_2, \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $a_0 + a_3 = 0$, then

$$K_0(B_g) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(a_1 + a_2)\mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$K_1(B_g) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } a_1 + a_2 = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(\sum_{i=0}^3 a_i)\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3

Proposition 3.1. *Let $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be nonconstant and irreducible. If τ is a tracial state on B_g , then the range of τ on $K_0(B_g)$ is \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. Identify Λ_g with $\mathbb{Z}[a, a^{-1}]$ for $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ a root of g . If $a = 1$, then $B_g = C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $\tau(K_0(B_g)) = \mathbb{Z}$, so assume $a \neq 1$. The map $1 - \alpha_*$ of $K_1(C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g))$ restricts to $1 - M_a$ on $\Lambda_g = H^1(\widehat{\Lambda}_g, \mathbb{Z})$ (by viewing an element of Λ_g as an element of $\widehat{\Lambda}_g$ one obtains a unitary in $C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g)$). Since $a \neq 1$, $1 - M_a$ is injective on Λ_g and thus $\underline{\Delta}_\tau^\alpha(\ker(1 - \alpha_*)) = 0$ where $\underline{\Delta}_\tau^\alpha$ is the group homomorphism from $\ker(1 - \alpha_*)$ to $\mathbb{R}/\tau(K_0(C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g)))$ described in [6]. Thus $\tau(K_0(B_g)) = \tau(K_0(C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g)))$ ([6]). Since $\widehat{\Lambda}_g$ is compact and connected ($\text{cont}(g) = 1$), $\tau(K_0(C(\widehat{\Lambda}_g))) = \mathbb{Z}$. \square

We briefly consider how well the K -groups reflect the $*$ - or anti- $*$ -isomorphism classes of these algebras. Already, if $\deg(g) = 1$, there are many examples of non- $*$ - or anti- $*$ -isomorphic algebras with isomorphic K -groups (even if we view $K_0(B_g)$ as an ordered group). By results in [1], it is enough to find $g, h \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ irreducible of degree one with $|g(1)| = |h(1)| \neq 0$ and $g \neq \pm h$ and $g \neq \pm h^0$. There are also many examples if the degree of the polynomials are two. For example, it is enough to find $g = \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i x^i$ and $h = \sum_{i=0}^2 b_i x^i$ irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $a_0 \neq a_2$, $b_0 \neq b_2$, $|\sum_{i=0}^2 a_i| = |\sum_{i=0}^2 b_i|$ and $|a_2 - a_0| : \{a_0, a_2\} = |b_2 - b_0| : \{b_0, b_2\}$ but $g \neq \pm h$ and $g \neq \pm h^0$. Choose $a_0, a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a_0 + a_2 \neq 0$, $a_0 + a_1 + a_2 \neq 0$, and both $a_1^2 - 4a_0a_2$ and $(2(a_0 + a_2) + a_1)^2 - 4a_0a_2$ not squares. Letting $b_0 = a_0$, $b_2 = a_2$, and $b_1 = -2(a_0 + a_2) - a_1$ yields one example.

REFERENCES

1. B. Brenken, *Isomorphism classes of solenoidal algebras I*, Canad. Math. Bull. **36** (1993), 414–418.
2. B. Brenken and P. Jorgensen, *A family of dilation crossed product algebras*, J. Operator Theory **25** (1991), 299–308.
3. G. Elliott, *Are amenable C^* -algebras classifiable?*, Contemp. Math., vol. 145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 423–427.
4. B. Kitchens and K. Schmidt, *Automorphisms of compact groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **9** (1989), 691–735.

5. M. Pimsner, *Embedding some transformation group C^* -algebras into AF -algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems **3** (1983), 613–626.
6. ———, *Ranges of traces on K_0 of reduced crossed products by free groups*, Operator Algebras and their Connections with Topology and Ergodic Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1985, pp. 374–408.
7. M. Pimsner and D. Voiculescu, *Exact sequences for K -groups and Ext-groups of certain crossed product C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory **4** (1980), 93–118.
8. K. Schmidt, *Algebraic ideas in ergodic theory*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math., vol. 76, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1990, p. 94.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA T2N 1N4

E-mail address: `bbrenken@acs.ucalgary.ca`