# ON THE SOLUTIONS OF THE EQUATION $x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1$ IN A FINITE FIELD 

WEN-FONG KE AND HUBERT KIECHLE

(Communicated by William Adams)


#### Abstract

An explicit formula for the number of solutions of the equation in the title is given when a certain condition, depending only on $m$ and the characteristic of the field, holds.


## 1. Introduction

Let $(F,+, \cdot)$ be the Galois field of order $q=p^{s}$, where $p$ is a prime and $s>0$. Let $k \geq 2$. We say that the ordered pair ( $q, k$ ) is circular if $k \mid(q-1)$ and the subgroup $\Phi \leq F^{*}:=F \backslash\{0\}$ of order $k$ satisfies ${ }^{1}$

$$
|\Phi a+b \cap \Phi c+d| \leq 2
$$

for all $a, c \in F^{*}, b, d \in F$ with $\Phi a \neq \Phi c$ or $b \neq d$. Let $(q, k)$ be circular and put $m=(q-1) / k$. Denote the number of solutions of the equation

$$
x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1
$$

in $F$ by $N$. Also, let $N^{\prime}$ be the number of solutions with $x y z \neq 0$. The main purpose of this paper is to prove
Theorem 1. Let $(q, k)$ be circular.
(1) If $k$ is even, then

$$
N= \begin{cases}3(k-1) m^{3}+6 m^{2}+3 m & \text { if } 6 \mid k ; \\ 3(k-1) m^{3}+3 m^{2}+3 m & \text { if } p=3 \\ 3(k-1) m^{3}+3 m & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and $N^{\prime}=3(k-1) m^{3}$.
(2) If $k$ is odd, and if $(q, 2 k)$ is also circular, then $N=(2 k-1) m^{3}+2 m$ and $N^{\prime}=(2 k-1) m^{3}$.

Note that in case (1) $N$ is the number of solutions of $x^{m}+y^{m}+z^{m}=1$, too. In order to prove this theorem, we separate the solutions of the equation into two disjoint sets $S$ and $T$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\left\{(x, y, z) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1, x y z=0 \text { or } 1 \in\left\{x^{m}, y^{m}\right\}\right\}, \\
& S=\left\{(x, y, z) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1,(x, y, z) \notin T\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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${ }^{1}$ This definition has its origin in certain designs obtained from $F$ and $\Phi$. See [1, 2] for details.

We will compute $|S|$ in $\S 2$ as an application of results in [12]. The crucial step in $\S 2$ requires some knowledge of this paper and is therefore not self-contained. To find $|T|$, we essentially have to deal with problems in two variables, namely, to find

$$
t_{+}=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}=1\right\}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad t_{-}=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}-y^{m}=1\right\}\right| .
$$

In §3 we will show
Theorem 2. Let $(q, k)$ be circular.
(1) If $k$ is even, then

$$
t_{+}=t_{-}= \begin{cases}2 m^{2}+2 m & \text { if } 6 \mid k \\ m^{2}+2 m & \text { if } p=3 \\ 2 m & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(2) If $k$ is odd, and if $(q, 2 k)$ is also circular, then $t_{+}=2 m$ and $t_{-}=m$.

This proof also uses results from [12].
In a more general setting Hua-Vandiver [7] as well as Weil [18] give formulas for the number of solutions involving Jacobi sums (see also [9, Chapter 8, Theorem 5] for a comprehensive exposition and [10] for more literature). However, these formulas are hard to evaluate for large $m$. Explicit and simple formulas are only known for certain special cases, namely when $m$ is small $[5,13]$, when $k$ is small $[17,13]$, or when $2 \mid s$ and $m \mid(\sqrt{q}+1)([14,8,6]$ and, more general, [19]).

In fact $m$ is always large in the circular case. So, in a sense, we attack the problem from the top, while estimates derived from Hua-Vandiver's or Weil's theorems assume $q$ to be large enough. This will be discussed in more detail in §4.

For bookkeeping, we shall have $F$ and $k$ fixed such that $k \mid(q-1)$ and let $m=(q-1) / k$. Further, let $\zeta$ be a primitive element of $F, \varphi=\zeta^{m}$, and $\Phi=\langle\varphi\rangle$. Thus, $|\Phi|=k$. Also, let $\Lambda$ be the set of all $m$ th roots of unity, i.e., $\Lambda=\left\langle\zeta^{k}\right\rangle$. The letters $t_{+}$and $t_{-}$will keep their meaning, too.

## 2. Number of elements in $S$

For a triple $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z) \in\left(F^{*}\right)^{3}$ such that $x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1$, we define $b_{\mathbf{x}}=\left(x^{m}-1\right)(\varphi-1)^{-1}=\left(z^{m}-y^{m}\right)(\varphi-1)^{-1}$. In the following, we set up a correspondence between $S$ and the set of all $b_{\mathbf{x}}$, which then gives a way to count the number of elements in $S$.
(2.1) If $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z) \in S$, then $\left(\Phi+b_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \cap\left(\Phi+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}\right)=\{d, e\}$, where $d=$ $x^{m}+b_{\mathbf{x}}=1+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}$ and $e=z^{m}+b_{\mathbf{x}}=y^{m}+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}$, and $d \neq e$.
Proof. Let $x=\zeta^{r}, y=\zeta^{s}$, and $z=\zeta^{t}$. Then $x^{m}=\varphi^{r}, y^{m}=\varphi^{s}$, and $z^{m}=\varphi^{t}$, so $\{d, e\} \subseteq\left(\Phi+b_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \cap\left(\Phi+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}\right)$. Since $\mathbf{x} \in S$, we have $y^{m} \neq 1$; therefore, $d \neq e$.
(2.2) Let $b \in F^{*}$. If $|(\Phi+b) \cap(\Phi+\varphi b)|=2$, then $b \in \Phi b_{\mathbf{x}}$ for some $\mathbf{x} \in S$.

Proof. Suppose $(\Phi+b) \cap(\Phi+\varphi b)=\{d, e\}, d \neq e$, where $d=\varphi^{r}+b=\varphi^{u}+\varphi b$ and $e=\varphi^{t}+b=\varphi^{s}+\varphi b$. Then we have

$$
\varphi^{r}-\varphi^{u}=(\varphi-1) b=\varphi^{t}-\varphi^{s} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\varphi^{r-u}+\varphi^{s-u}-\varphi^{t-u}=1 .
$$

Let $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z)$, where $x=\zeta^{r-u}, y=\zeta^{s-u}$, and $z=\zeta^{t-u}$. Then certainly $x^{m}+y^{m}-z^{m}=1$ and $b=\varphi^{u} b_{\mathbf{x}}$. It remains to show that $\mathbf{x} \in S$. Of course, $0 \notin\{x, y, z\}$. If $x^{m}=1$, then $\varphi^{r-u}=\left(\zeta^{m}\right)^{r-u}=\left(\zeta^{r-u}\right)^{m}=1$, and so $\varphi^{r}=\varphi^{u}$. But then $\varphi=1$, a contradiction. Similarly, if $y^{m}=1$, then $\varphi^{s}=\varphi^{u}$, and so $d=e$, a contradiction again. Therefore, $\mathbf{x} \in S$. This completes the proof.

We now define an equivalence relation on $S$. Two elements $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z)$, $\mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right) \in S$ are equivalent, denoted by $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$, if there are $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3} \in$ $\Lambda$ such that $x^{\prime}=\lambda_{1} x, y^{\prime}=\lambda_{2} y$, and $z^{\prime}=\lambda_{3} z$. It is easy to see that each equivalence class $[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{x} \in S$, has $m^{3}$ elements.
(2.3) Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} \in S$. Then $b_{\mathbf{x}}=b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z), \mathbf{x}^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)$. First assume that $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$ and let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $x^{\prime}=\lambda x$. Then, by definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}} & =\left(x^{\prime m}-1\right)(\varphi-1)^{-1}=\left((\lambda x)^{m}-1\right)(\varphi-1)^{-1} \\
& =\left(x^{m}-1\right)(\varphi-1)^{-1}=b_{\mathbf{x}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Conversely, suppose $b_{\mathbf{x}}=b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$. From (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Phi+b_{\mathbf{x}}\right) \cap\left(\Phi+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}\right)=\{d, e\} \\
\left(\Phi+b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}\right) \cap\left(\Phi+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}\right)=\left\{d^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $d=x^{m}+b_{\mathbf{x}}=1+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}, e=z^{m}+b_{\mathbf{x}}=y^{m}+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}}, d^{\prime}=x^{\prime m}+b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}=1+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$, and $e^{\prime}=z^{\prime m}+b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}=y^{\prime m}+\varphi b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$. From $b_{\mathbf{x}}=b_{\mathbf{x}^{\prime}}$ and circularity we derive $\{d, e\}=\left\{d^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right\}$. We conclude that $d=d^{\prime}$ and $e=e^{\prime}$, since otherwise $y^{\prime m}=1$. Hence, $x^{m}=x^{\prime m}, y^{m}=y^{\prime m}$, and $z^{m}=z^{\prime m}$, and so $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{x}^{\prime}$.
(2.4) Let $(q, k)$ be circular.
(1) If $k$ is even, then $|S|=m^{3}(k-2)$.
(2) If $k$ is odd and $(q, 2 k)$ is also circular, then $S=\varnothing$.

Proof. Suppose $2 \mid k$. From (4.6) and (4.7) of [12] together with (2.2), there are exactly $2(k / 2-1)=k-2$ different $b_{\mathbf{x}}$. By (2.3), each $b_{\mathbf{x}}$ corresponds to exactly one equivalence class [x] in $S / \sim$. Since each [x] has $m^{3}$ elements, we get $|S|=m^{3}(k-2)$. This is (1).

Now, (2) follows from (2.2) and (2.3) together with [12, (4.9)].
Remarks. (1) from [12, (4.4)], it follows that if $\mathbf{x}=(x, y, z)$ runs through $S$, then $x^{m}$ runs through all of $\Phi \backslash\{-1,1\}$. Therefore all the $b_{\mathbf{x}}$ are easy to obtain. Using (2.1), one gets $e$, and then $y^{m}$ and $z^{m}$. Thus, the problem of finding all the elements in $S$ boils down to the problem of finding $m$ th roots in $F$.
(2) The condition that $(q, k)$ is circular cannot be dropped. For example, the pairs $(11,5),(13,6),(43,7),(31,10)$ are not circular, and $|S|$ is, in each case, different from the value computed using the formula in the theorem.

However, the theorem is valid for the pairs $(19,6)$ and $(71,10)$, although they are not circular either. (See also the remarks in §4.)

## 3. The equations $x^{m} \pm y^{m}=1$ and the number of elements in $T$

We remind the reader of the following notation

$$
t_{+}=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}=1\right\}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad t_{-}=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}-y^{m}=1\right\}\right| .
$$

We will also have use for

$$
t=|\Phi \cap \Phi+1| .
$$

The next theorem seems to be well known; essentials appear e.g. in [14; 4, Theorems 2 and 3]. However, we include a proof for completeness and due to the lack of a suitable reference.
(3.1) $t_{+}=t m^{2}+2 m$ and

$$
t_{-}= \begin{cases}t m^{2}+2 m & \text { if } 2 \mid k \\ t m^{2}+m & \text { if } 2 \nmid k\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Assume $x, y \in F^{*}$. We have $x^{m}+y^{m}=1$ if and only if $x^{-m}-$ $\left(y x^{-1}\right)^{m}=1$. This shows

$$
t^{\prime}:=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}=1, x y \neq 0\right\}\right|=\left|\left\{(x, y) \mid x^{m}-y^{m}=1, x y \neq 0\right\}\right|
$$

Since $x^{m}=y^{m}+1$ puts $x^{m} \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1$, and since there are $m$ different $x \in F^{*}$ with $x^{m}=\varphi$ for any given $\varphi \in \Phi$, we find $t^{\prime}=t m^{2}$.

The case $y=0$ leads to the $m$ solutions $(x, 0), x \in \Lambda$. There exists $u \in F^{*}$ such that $u^{m}=-1$ if and only if $2 \mid k$. Hence, if $x=0$, there is no solution for $-y^{m}=1$ in the case $2 \nmid k$, while all other cases have another $m$ solutions $(0, y), y \in u^{-1} \Lambda$.

By circularity, we have $t \in\{0,1,2\}$. Using results of [12] we can say more.
(3.2) Let $(q, k)$ be circular.
(1) Assume $2 \mid k$; then $t=1$ if and only if $2 \in \Phi$.
(2) If $2 \nmid k$ and $(q, 2 k)$ is also circular, then $t \in\{0,1\}$.

Proof. From both assumptions we have $2 \mid(q-1)$; therefore, $p \neq 2$. Thus there is an $h \in F$ with $2 h=1$ (" $h=1 / 2$ "), and

$$
t=|\Phi \cap \Phi+1|=|\Phi+(-1) h \cap \Phi+h| .
$$

(1) (4.3) and (4.4) of [12] applied to $E_{h}^{1}$ (notation from [12]) prove the assertion.
(2) Obviously, there is a subgroup $\Psi$ of order $2 k$ in $F^{*}$. Note that $\Phi \subset \Psi$. If $\Psi+(-1) h \cap \Psi+h=\{a, b\}$ and $a \in \Phi+h$, then we find from (4.4) of [12] that $b \notin \Phi+h$. From this the result follows.

We are now in a position to compute $t$.
(3.3) Let $(q, k)$ be circular.
(1) If $2 \mid k$, then

$$
t= \begin{cases}2 & \text { if } 6 \mid k \\ 1 & \text { if char } F=3 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(2) If $2 \nmid k$ and $(q, 2 k)$ is also circular, then $t=0$.

The proof utilizes the following two lemmas.
Lemma A. Assume $2 \mid k$, then $t=1 \Leftrightarrow$ char $F=3$. In this case, $\Phi \cap \Phi+1=$ $\{-1\}$.
Proof. From (3.2.1) we know $t=1 \Leftrightarrow 2 \in \Phi$. Let $t=1$. To see that char $F=3$, we note that $-1 \in \Phi$. Thus we obtain

$$
-1=-2+1 \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1
$$

and

$$
2=1+1 \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1
$$

Therefore, $2=-1$ in $F$ or, equivalently, char $F=3$. Conversely, if char $F=$ 3 , then $2=-1 \in \Phi$ since $2 \mid k$ by the hypothesis. This shows Lemma A.
Lemma B. $t=2 \Leftrightarrow 6 \mid k$. In this case, $\Phi \cap \Phi+1=\left\{\gamma, \gamma^{-1}\right\}$ with a primitive 6th root of unity $\gamma$.
Proof. Suppose $6 \mid k$. There is $\gamma \in \Phi$ of order 6 , thus $\gamma$ is a primitive 6 th root of unity, hence $\gamma^{2}-\gamma+1=0$. This implies

$$
\gamma=\gamma^{2}+1 \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1
$$

Also, we have

$$
\gamma^{5}=\gamma^{4} \gamma=\gamma^{4}\left(\gamma^{2}+1\right)=\gamma^{4}+1 \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1
$$

Since $\gamma \neq \gamma^{5}$, we conclude that $t \geq 2$. By circularity we have $t=2$.
For the converse, assume $t=2$. Then $2 \mid k$ by (3.2.2). Suppose

$$
\varphi^{s}=\varphi^{r}+1 \in \boldsymbol{\Phi} \cap \boldsymbol{\Phi}+1
$$

where $s, t \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$
-\varphi^{r}=-\varphi^{s}+1 \in \Phi \cap \Phi+1
$$

If $\varphi^{s}=-\varphi^{r}$, we have

$$
\varphi^{s-r}=-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi^{s-r}=\varphi^{-r}+1
$$

which puts $2=-\varphi^{-r} \in \Phi$, contracting Lemma A. So $\varphi^{s} \neq-\varphi^{r}$. From $\varphi^{s-r}=$ $\varphi^{-r}+1$ and circularity we must have $\varphi^{s-r}=-\varphi^{r}$, because $\varphi^{s-r}=\varphi^{s}$ leads to the contradiction $2 \in \Phi$ as before. Hence

$$
\varphi^{2 r}=-\varphi^{s} .
$$

This means $-\varphi^{r}=\varphi^{2 r}+1$; therefore, $\left(\varphi^{r}\right)^{3}=1$ and $\varphi^{r} \neq 1$. Now we can conclude $3 \mid k$ and so $6 \mid k$. This completes the proof for Lemma B.
Proof of (3.3). (1) follows directly from Lemma A and Lemma B.
(2) As in the proof of (3.2.2), we will need the subgroup $\Psi$ of $F^{*}$ of order $2 k$. Notice that $\Phi \subset \Psi$. In case $|\Psi \cap \Psi+1|=2$, we find from Lemma B that $\Phi \cap \Phi+1=\varnothing$ because $\Phi$ does not contain a primitive 6th root of unity, i.e., an element of order 6.

The case $|\Psi \cap \Psi+1|=1$ implies $\Psi \cap \Psi+1=\{-1\}$ by Lemma A, but -1 is not in $\Phi$, so $t=0$ in this case. If $\Psi \cap \Psi+1=\varnothing$, then $\Phi \cap \Phi+1=\varnothing$. Since ( $q, 2 k$ ) is circular, we have covered all the cases and have always found $t=0$.

The proof of Theorem 2 comes directly from (3.1) and (3.3).

To employ (3.1) in the proof of Theorem 1, we decompose $T$ into the disjoint subsets

$$
T_{0}=\{(x, y, z) \in T \mid x y z=0\}
$$

and

$$
T_{1}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in T \mid 1 \in\left\{x^{m}, y^{m}\right\}, x y z \neq 0\right\}=T \backslash T_{0} .
$$

(3.4) $\left|T_{1}\right|=(2 k-1) m^{3}$.

Proof. If $x^{m}=1$, then we are left with $y^{m}-z^{m}=0$, which has $m(q-1)$ solutions (each $y \in F^{*}$ gives $m z$ 's). Similarly, we find $m(q-1)$ solutions in the case $y^{m}=1$. If $x^{m}=y^{m}=1$, then we have $z^{m}=1$. Thus, we conclude that

$$
\left|T_{1}\right|=2 m^{2}(q-1)-m^{3}=m^{3}(2 k-1)
$$

since the $m^{3}$ triples $(x, y, z), x, y, z \in \Lambda$, have been counted twice.
Finding $\left|T_{0}\right|$ can be reduced to the problem discussed in (3.1).

$$
\left|T_{0}\right|= \begin{cases}3 t_{+}-3 m & \text { if } 2 \mid k  \tag{3.5}\\ 2 t_{-}+t_{+}-2 m & \text { if } 2 \nmid k .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
T_{x}=\left\{(0, y, z) \mid y^{m}-z^{m}=1\right\}, \quad T_{y}=\left\{(x, 0, z) \mid x^{m}-z^{m}=1\right\} \\
T_{z}=\left\{(x, y, 0) \mid x^{m}+y^{m}=1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then

$$
t_{+}=\left|T_{z}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad t_{-}=\left|T_{x}\right|=\left|T_{y}\right|
$$

If $2 \mid k$, then $t_{+}=t_{-}$by (3.1). Note that $T_{0}=T_{x} \cup T_{y} \cup T_{z}$.
Since $T_{x} \cap T_{y}=\left\{(0,0, z) \mid z^{m}=-1\right\}$, it follows that

$$
\left|T_{x} \cap T_{y}\right|= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } 2 \mid k \\ 0 & \text { if } 2 \nmid k .\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, we have $\left|T_{x} \cap T_{z}\right|=\left|T_{y} \cap T_{z}\right|=m$ and $T_{x} \cap T_{y} \cap T_{z}=\varnothing$. Now the assertion follows easily.

Putting these results together, we find

$$
|T|= \begin{cases}(2 k-1) m^{3}+3 t m^{2}+3 m & \text { if } 2 \mid k  \tag{3.6}\\ (2 k-1) m^{3}+3 t m^{2}+2 m & \text { if } 2 \nmid k\end{cases}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $N=|S|+|T|$ and $N^{\prime}=|S|+\left|T_{1}\right|$ in both cases, the result is an easy consequence of (2.4), (3.6), (3.4), and (3.3).

## 4. The exponents

It is easy to see that the condition $m \mid(q-1)$ puts no real restriction to the problem; see [10, (1.2.3)] for details. ${ }^{2}$

By a previous remark, the condition that $(q, k)$ is circular plays a crucial role in our argument. In this case, $k$ cannot be too large. In fact, Clay shows

[^0]that $k \leq(3+\sqrt{4 q-7}) / 2$ in [2, (5.6)]. From this we derive the following lower bound for $m$.
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \geq \frac{q-1}{q-4} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4 q-7}-3}{2}>\frac{\sqrt{4 q-7}-3}{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Proof. As mentioned above we have

$$
\frac{q-1}{m} \leq \frac{\sqrt{4 q-7}+3}{2}
$$

and so

$$
m \geq \frac{2(q-1)}{\sqrt{4 q-7}+3}=\frac{q-1}{q-4} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{4 q-7}-3}{2} .
$$

Since $(q-1) /(q-4)>1$, the second inequality is clear.
Remarks. (1) Clay's bound is reached if (and only if) $q=p^{2 s}, s>0$; then the bound is $p^{s}+1$, and ( $q, p^{s}+1$ ) is always circular (cf. [2, (5.7), and (5.9)]). From [3, (1.3)] one can derive that

$$
m \geq \frac{\sqrt{4 q-3}-1}{2}
$$

if Clay's bound is not reached for circular $(q, k)$.
(2) Modisett shows that the circularity of $(q, k)$, once $k \mid(q-1)$, depends only on $p$, and not on $s$ in $q=p^{s}$. Furthermore, for any $k \geq 2$, there are only finitely many $p$ 's (!) such that ( $q, k$ ) is not circular (cf. [2, (5.31); 16]). Last but not least, Modisett gives an algorithm to find the exceptional $p$ 's for any given $k$. For a list of exceptional primes when $k \leq 10$ see [ $2, \S 5, \mathrm{p} .73$ ] or [16].
(3) Modisett's algorithm may be modified (and is then quicker) to determine whether or not a given pair $(q, k)$ is circular. If $k \mid p-1$ for a prime $p$, [11] gives a fairly quick algorithm to determine the circularity of $(p, k)$, which is substantially different from Modisett's.

In [7, Theorem II] Hua and Vandiver give the following bound

$$
\frac{(q-1)^{3}}{q}-q^{-1 / 2}(1+(m-1) \sqrt{q})^{3} \leq N^{\prime} \quad \text { (our notation). }
$$

To make sure that $N^{\prime}$ is not 0 , we need

$$
q^{-1 / 2}(1+(m-1) \sqrt{q})^{3}<\frac{(q-1)^{3}}{q}
$$

This implies

$$
1+(m-1) \sqrt{q}<\frac{q-1}{q^{1 / 6}}
$$

and

$$
m<\frac{q-1}{q^{2 / 3}}-\frac{1}{q^{1 / 2}}+1<q^{1 / 3}+1 .
$$

The inequality $m<q^{1 / 3}+1$ follows from [18], too. Putting in our lower bound for $m$ given in (4.1), we find

$$
\sqrt{q-7 / 4}-3 / 2<q^{1 / 3}+1
$$

thus

$$
q-\frac{7}{4}<\left(q^{1 / 3}+\frac{5}{2}\right)^{2}=\left(q^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}+5 q^{1 / 3}+\frac{25}{4}
$$

and so

$$
q<\left(q^{1 / 3}\right)^{2}+5 q^{1 / 3}+8
$$

This is only possible for $q<36$. So for $q \geq 37$ and $2 \mid k$ our theorem shows the existence of solutions outside $T$ in the circular case, while the estimates of Hua and Vandiver as well as Weil do not work.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ The argument given in [13] is incorrect.

