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#### Abstract

We introduce the closed (resp. strongly closed) $\mu$-unbounded filter $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ ) for a regular cardinal $\mu \leq \kappa$, which is a $\mu$-complete analog of the closed (resp. strongly closed) unbounded filter on $P_{\kappa} \lambda$. We give their simple characterizations for the case $\mu=\omega_{1}$. We also study generators of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ ) over $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}\right)$ for the case $\omega<\mu<\nu$.


## 0 . Introduction

The closed unbounded filter on $P_{\kappa} \lambda$, denoted by $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$, was introduced by Jech [5] as a natural generalization of that on a regular cardinal $\kappa$. Its fundamental characterization as the minimal normal $\kappa$-complete filter was established by Carr [1]. At the same time, she reformulated a result of Menas [8] which indicates the complexty of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$ relative to $\kappa$, as the difference between $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ and the strongly closed unbounded filter $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ introduced by herself. This simple fact motivates our work in the present paper and [10].

In this note, we introduce their $\mu$-complete analogs for a regular cardinal $\mu \leq \kappa$, i.e., the closed $\mu$-unbounded filter $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ and the strongly closed $\mu$ unbounded filter $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$. Our main concern is to stress the difference between $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ and $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ through investigating the forms of their generators.

First we consider the case $\mu=\omega_{1}$. For their own purposes, several people [2, $4,6,9]$ have already considered similar problems for $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$, or more specifically for $C F_{\omega, \lambda}$. Among them, Matet [7] gave the simplest solutions to both cases. By a rather different argument from his, we show that $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ is generated by the sets of the form $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\}$, where $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$. This includes both his results as immediate corollaries. We also show that $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ can be characterized by two unary functions on $\lambda$ but not by one unary function, in contrast to $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$.

The same problem as above is meaningless for the case $\mu>\omega_{1}$. Instead we study generators of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ ) over $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ ) for
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Table 1


## $S C F^{\mu^{+}}$


$\omega<\mu<\nu$. For example, it has been known to people including authors of [2, 4] that the set $\{x: x \cap \kappa \in \kappa\}$ generates $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ over $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$. We investigate whether this statement would hold for other simply definable subsets of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$.

Table 1 summarizes our result. Subscripts $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ are dropped for notational simplicity. We also abbreviate by $A, B, C$, and $D$ the sets $\left\{x: \sigma_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} x \subset\right.$ $P x\},\left\{x: x \cap \mu^{+} \in \mu^{+}\right\},\{x: \mu \subset x\}$, and $\{x:|x| \geq \mu\}$ respectively, where $\omega_{1}<\mu<\kappa$.

## 1. Preliminaries

Fix a regular cardinal $\kappa>\omega$ and a cardinal $\lambda>\kappa$. We begin by recalling some basic notions on $P_{\kappa} \lambda=\{x \subset \lambda:|x|<\kappa\} . F \subsetneq P P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is called a filter if it is closed under intersections and supersets and is fine (i.e., $\{x: \alpha \in x\} \in F$ for any $\alpha<\lambda$ ). A subset of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is said to be closed (resp. strongly closed) if it is closed under unions of chains (resp. subsets) of cardinality $<\kappa$, and is said to be unbounded if it is cofinal in the partially ordered set ( $P_{\kappa} \lambda, \subset$ ). A filter $F$ is said to be $\mu$-complete if it is closed under intersections of subsets of cardinality $<\mu$ and is said to be normal if it is closed under diagonal intersections (i.e., $\Delta_{\alpha<\lambda} X_{\alpha}=\left\{x: \forall \alpha \in x x \in X_{\alpha}\right\} \in F$ for any $\left.\left\{X_{\alpha}: \alpha<\lambda\right\} \subset F\right) . \quad C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ ) is the filter generated by the closed (resp. strongly closed) and unbounded subsets of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$.

We use $\mu$ and $\nu$ (resp. $\xi$ and $\zeta$ ) to denote an infinite regular cardinal $\leq \kappa$ (resp. an uncountable cardinal $<\kappa$ ). We denote by $F+X$ the filter generated by $F \cup\{X\}$, where $X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is positive and copositive with respect to a filter $F$ (i.e., $P_{\kappa} \lambda-X, X \notin F$ ). $\alpha+\beta$ (resp. $\alpha \cdot \beta$ ) is the ordinal sum
(resp. product) of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. We fix a bijection $\pi: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda . \sigma: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ and $\sigma_{\xi}: \lambda \rightarrow[\lambda]^{\xi}$ denote the successor function and the function defined by $\sigma_{\xi}(\alpha)=\{\alpha+\beta: \beta<\xi\}$ respectively. By $\alpha \rightarrow[\beta]_{P_{\gamma} \delta}^{<\omega}$ (resp. $\alpha \rightarrow[\beta]_{\gamma,<\delta}^{<\omega}$ ), we mean that for any $f:[\alpha]^{<\omega} \rightarrow P_{\gamma} \delta$ (resp. $f:[\alpha]^{<\omega} \rightarrow \gamma$ ) there exists $x \in[\alpha]^{\beta}$ with $\bigcup f^{\prime \prime}[x]^{<\omega} \neq \delta$ (resp. $\left|f^{\prime \prime}[x]^{<\omega}\right|<\delta$ ), where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ are all cardinals.

Before introducing $\mu$-complete analogs of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ and $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}$, it is appropriate to recall the following characterizations of the original versions.
1.1. Proposition [1, 8]. $C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}$ ) is generated by the sets of the form $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$ ), where $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\kappa} \lambda$ (resp. $\left.f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\kappa} \lambda\right)$.

Definition. (1) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ ) is the filter generated by the sets of the form $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$ ), where $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ (resp. $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$.
(2) $X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is $\mu$-unbounded in $P_{\kappa} \lambda$ iff $X \cap P_{\mu} \lambda$ is unbounded in $P_{\mu} \lambda$.

The same argument as in [1, 2, 4, 8] works for the following (see [10] for a short proof of (2)).
1.2. Proposition. (1) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ ) is generated by the closed (resp. strongly closed) and $\mu$-unbounded subsets of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$.
(2) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}=S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\left\{x: \pi^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\}$.
(3) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ is the minimal normal $\mu$-complete filter on $P_{\kappa} \lambda$.
(4) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}+\{x: x \cap \mu \in \mu\}$, where $\omega_{1}<\mu$.

Proof. Let us give a combinatorial proof of (4), which is a prototype of later arguments.

Fix $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$. We define $h: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\omega} \lambda$ and show $\{x: x \cap \mu \in$ $\mu$ and $\left.h^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\} \subset\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$.

First define $g: \lambda^{3} \rightarrow \lambda$ by $g(\alpha, \beta, 0)=|f(\alpha, \beta)|,\{g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): 0<\gamma \leq$ $|f(\alpha, \beta)|\}=f(\alpha, \beta)$ and $g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=0$ for $\gamma>|f(\alpha, \beta)|$. We show $\left\{x: x \cap \mu \in \mu\right.$ and $\left.g^{\prime \prime} x^{3} \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $g$ and $x \cap \mu \in \mu$. Fix $\alpha, \beta \in x$. Then $0 \in x$, since $x \cap \mu \neq 0$ is an ordinal when $\alpha<\mu$ and since $0=g(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)$ when $\alpha \geq \mu$. Hence $|f(\alpha, \beta)|+1 \subset x$, since $|f(\alpha, \beta)|=g(\alpha, \beta, 0) \in x \cap \mu$ is an ordinal. Thus $f(\alpha, \beta) \subset\{g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): \gamma \leq|f(\alpha, \beta)|\} \subset x$.

Define $h: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\omega} \lambda$ by $h(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{\pi(\alpha, \beta), g\left(\alpha, \pi^{-1}(\beta)\right)\right\}$. Then $\{x:$ $\left.h^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\} \subset\left\{x: g^{\prime \prime} x^{3} \subset x\right\}$ by the standard argument and hence we are done.

Several people [2, 4, 6, 9] have already characterized $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ or $C F_{\omega_{1} \lambda}$ in their own ways. Let us summarize some of them.
1.3. Proposition. The following are equivalent for $X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$.
(1) $X \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$.
(2) $X \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$.
(3) $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{\kappa \lambda \omega} \subset x\right\} \subset X$ for some $f: \lambda^{<\omega} \rightarrow \lambda$.
(4) $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{<\omega} \subset P x\right\} \subset X$ for some $f: \lambda^{<\omega} \rightarrow P_{\omega_{1}} \lambda$.
(5) $\left.\left\{x: x<\left(\lambda ; f_{i}\right)_{i<\omega}\right)\right\} \subset X$ for some structure $\left(\lambda ; f_{i}\right)_{i<\omega}$ with the universe
$\lambda$ and finitary functions $\left\{f_{i}: i<\omega\right\}$ on $\lambda$, where " $<$ " denotes an elementary substructure.
Proof. We show only equivalence of (1) and (2). The other statements are equivalent to (2) by standard arguments.

Define $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\omega} \lambda$ by $f(\alpha)=\{0, \alpha+1\}$. Then $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \subset\{x:$ $\omega \subset x\} \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$. Hence $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$ is $\omega_{1}$-complete by the standard argument using normality of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$. Thus $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}} \subset C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$ by minimality of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$.

## 2. The case $\mu=\omega_{1}$

In this section, we try to find the simplest form of generators of $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ and $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$. It is easily seen by the methods in the last section that $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ can be characterized by two binary functions on $\lambda$. A closer coding yields that one binary function suffices.
2.1. Theorem. $X \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ iff $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$.

Proof. We show the only-if part.
Fix $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\omega} \lambda$. By Proposition 1.3, it suffices to define $p: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$ and show $\left\{x: p^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$.

First fix $\rho: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$ such that $\rho \mid\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \lambda^{2}: \alpha \neq \beta\right\}$ is a bijection to $\lambda-\{0\}, \rho(\alpha, \alpha)=0$ for $\alpha<\lambda, \rho(\alpha, 0)$ is a limit ordinal for $0<\alpha<\lambda$, and $\rho(\alpha, \beta) \geq \omega$ for $\alpha<\lambda$ and $\beta<\omega$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Define $g: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\omega} \lambda$ by $g(0)=f(0,0)$ and $g(\gamma)=f\left(\rho^{-1}(\gamma)\right) \cup f(\gamma, \gamma)$ for $\gamma>0$. We show $\left\{x: \rho^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right.$ and $\left.g^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \subset\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $\rho$ and $g$. Fix $\alpha, \beta \in x$. Then $f(\alpha, \beta) \subset x$, since $f(\alpha, \beta) \subset g(\rho(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, and since $f(\alpha, \alpha) \subset g(\alpha)$.
For $\alpha<\lambda$, we can fix $n_{\alpha}<\omega$ such that $n \neq \alpha$ and $\rho(\alpha, n) \notin g(\alpha)$ for any $n_{\alpha} \leq n<\omega$, since $\{n<\omega: \rho(\alpha, n) \in g(\alpha)\}$ is finite. Define $h: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$ by $h(\alpha, 0)=\alpha+1,\left\{h(\alpha, n): n_{\alpha} \leq n<n_{\alpha}+|g(\alpha)|\right\}=g(\alpha)$ and $h(\alpha, \beta)=0$ for $0<\beta<n_{\alpha}$ and $n_{\alpha}+|g(\alpha)| \leq \beta$. We show $\left\{x: h^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: g^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $h$. Fix $\alpha \in x$. Then $\omega \subset x$, since $0=h(\alpha, \alpha)$ for $\alpha>0$ and $n+1=h(n, 0)$ for $n<\omega$. Thus $g(\alpha) \subset\{h(\alpha, n): n<\omega\} \subset$ $x$.

Define $k: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ by $k(0)=h(0,0)$ and $k(\gamma)=h\left(\rho^{-1}(\gamma)\right)$ for $\gamma>0$. We show $\left\{x: \rho^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right.$ and $\left.k^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: h^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $\rho$ and $k$. Fix $\alpha, \beta \in x$. Then $h(\alpha, \beta) \in x$, since $h(\alpha, \beta)=k(\rho(\alpha, \beta))$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$, since $h(\alpha, \alpha)=\rho(\alpha, \alpha)=0$ for $\alpha>0$ and since $h(0,0)=k(0)$.

Define $p: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$ by $p(\alpha, \alpha)=k(\alpha)$ and $p(\alpha, \beta)=\rho(\alpha, \beta)$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. We show $\left\{x: p^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: \rho^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right.$ and $\left.k^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $p$. Fix $\alpha \in x$. Then $k(\alpha)=p(\alpha, \alpha) \in x$ and $\rho(\alpha, \beta)=p(\alpha, \beta) \in x$ for $\beta \in x$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. We show $\rho(\alpha, \alpha)=0 \in x$.

We can assume $\alpha>0$. Put $\delta=h(\beta, \gamma)$, where $\rho(\beta, \gamma)=\alpha$ and $\beta \neq \gamma$. Then $\delta=k(\alpha) \in x$ and $\delta \neq \alpha$, since $h(\beta, \gamma) \neq \rho(\beta, \gamma)$ by the definition of $h$ and $\rho$. Put $\eta=\rho(\delta, \alpha)$. Then $\eta>0$ and $\eta=p(\delta, \alpha) \in x$, since $\delta \neq \alpha$. Hence by the definition of $h, 0=h(\delta, \alpha)=k(\eta) \in x$ when $\alpha \geq \omega$. When $\alpha<\omega$, we have $\eta \geq \omega$ by the definition of $\rho$. Put $\theta=\rho(\alpha, \eta)$. Then $\theta>0$ and $\theta=p(\alpha, \eta) \in x$, since $\alpha \neq \eta$. Hence by the definition of $h$, $0=h(\alpha, \eta)=k(\theta) \in x$, since $\eta \geq \omega$.
2.2. Corollary [7]. (1) $X \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ iff $\left\{x: x \cap \mu \in \mu\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$.
(2) $X \in C F_{\omega_{1} \lambda}$ iff $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\omega_{1}} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow \lambda$.

We need to introduce the successor function $\sigma: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ to get an analog of Theorem 2.1 for $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$.
2.3. Theorem. $X \in S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}$ iff $\left\{x: \sigma^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$.
Proof. We show the only-if part.
Fix $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\omega_{1}} \lambda$. Define $g: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ by $g(\omega \cdot \alpha+n)=\omega \cdot \alpha$ for odd $n<\omega$ and $\{g(\omega \cdot \alpha+n): n<\omega$ is even $\}=\bigcup_{m<\omega} f(\omega \cdot \alpha+m)$. We show $\left\{x: \sigma^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right.$ and $\left.g^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \subset\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.

Let $x \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ be closed under $\sigma$ and $g$. Fix $\omega \cdot \alpha+l \in x$ with $l<\omega$. Then $\omega \cdot \alpha \in x$, since $\omega \cdot \alpha=g(\omega \cdot \alpha+l)$ or $g(\sigma(\omega \cdot \alpha+l))$ according to whether $l$ is odd or even. Hence $\{\omega \cdot \alpha+n: n<\omega\} \subset x$, since $x$ is closed under $\sigma$. Thus $f(\omega \cdot \alpha+l) \subset \bigcup_{m<\omega} f(\omega \cdot \alpha+m) \subset\{g(\omega \cdot \alpha+n): n<\omega\} \subset x$.
2.4. Corollary. (1) $X \in S C F_{\omega_{1} \lambda}$ iff $\left\{x: \sigma^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\omega_{1}} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$.
(2) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}=S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$.

A simple observation yields that Theorem 2.3 is optimal with respect to the number of characterizing functions.
2.5. Proposition. $\left\{x: f^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \not \subset\{x: \omega \subset x\}$ for any $f: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$.

Proof. Let $f: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ be a counterexample. Set $x=\left\{f^{m}(0): 0<m<\omega\right\}$, where $f^{m}: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$ is the $m$-fold iteration of $f$. Then $\omega \subset x$, since $x$ is closed under $f$ and by the choice of $f$. Pick $0<n<\omega$ with $f^{n}(0)=0$. Then $x=\left\{f^{m}(0): 0<m \leq n\right\}$ is finite, contradicting to $\omega \subset x$.

## 3. The case $\mu>\omega_{1}$

We have already seen in the last section that $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$ and $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega_{1}}=$ $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}$. This never happens for the pair $(\nu, \mu)$ in place of $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega\right)$. Instead we have four kinds of simply definable subsets of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$ which witness the difference between $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu}$ ) and $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ (resp. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ ) as a candidate for a generator of the former over the latter.

The same argument as in Theorem 2.3 settles the first case where $\nu=\xi^{+}$ and the candidate is $\left\{x: \sigma_{\xi}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.
3.1. Theorem. $X \in S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}}$iff $\left\{x: \sigma_{\xi}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset x\right\} \subset X \subset P_{\kappa} \lambda$ for some $f: \lambda \rightarrow \lambda$.
3.2. Corollary. (1) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}}=S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}+\left\{x: \sigma_{\xi}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.
(2) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}+\left\{x: \sigma_{\xi}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.

We have already seen in Proposition 1.2(4) the second case for $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ where the candidate is $\{x: x \cap \nu \in \nu\}$. An analog of Proposition 1.2(4) fails for $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ as in Corollary 3.4(4). We have in fact the following stronger result.
3.3. Theorem. $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu^{+}} \not \subset S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: x \cap \kappa \in \kappa\}$.

Proof. We show $\left\{x: \sigma_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \notin S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: x \cap \kappa \in \kappa\}$.
Otherwise pick $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\left\{x: x \cap \kappa \in \kappa\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \subset\{x:$ $\left.\sigma_{\mu}^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\}$.

Let $x \in[\lambda]^{\kappa}$ be the closure of $\kappa$ under $f$. Then we can pick $\beta=\mu \cdot \alpha<\lambda$ with $\sigma_{\mu}(\beta) \cap x=0$, since $\lambda$ is the disjoint union of $\left\{\sigma_{\mu}(\mu \cdot \alpha): \alpha<\lambda\right\}$. Let $y \in P_{\mu} \lambda$ be the closure of $\{\beta\}$ under $f$. Set $z=\bigcup_{n<\omega} z_{n}$, where $z_{n} \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is defined inductively by $z_{0}=\{\beta\}$ and $z_{n+1}=\sup \left(z_{n} \cap \kappa\right) \cup \bigcup f^{\prime \prime} z_{n}$. Then $\sigma_{\mu}(\beta) \subset z$, since $z \cap \kappa \in \kappa$ and $z$ is closed under $f$ and by the choice of $f$. On the other hand $z \subset x \cup y$, since $z_{n} \subset x \cup y$ by induction on $n<\omega$. Hence $\sigma_{\mu}(\beta) \subset y$, since $\sigma_{\mu}(\beta) \cap x=0$, contradicting to $y \in P_{\mu} \lambda$.
3.4. Corollary. Let $\mu^{+}<\nu$ in (1) and $\mu<\xi$ in (2) and (3).
(1) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu^{+}}+\{x: x \cap \nu \in \nu\} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: x \cap \nu \in \nu\}$.
(2) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu^{+}}+\{x: \xi \subset x\} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.
(3) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu^{+}}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$.
(4) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\nu} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: x \cap \nu \in \nu\}$.
(5) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.
(6) $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$.

The same argument as in Proposition 1.3 settles the third case for $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ where $\nu=\xi^{+}$and the candidate is $\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.
3.5. Proposition. $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\omega}+\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.

An analog of Proposition 3.5 fails for $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ as in Corollary 3.4(5). We have in fact the following stronger result.
3.6. Theorem. Let $\mu \leq \xi$. Then $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\left\{x: x \cap \xi^{+} \in \xi^{+}\right\} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: \xi \subset$ $x\}$.
Proof. We show $\left\{x: x \cap \xi^{+} \in \xi^{+}\right\} \notin S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.
Otherwise pick $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\left\{x: \xi \subset x\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \subset\left\{x: x \cap \xi^{+} \in\right.$ $\left.\xi^{+}\right\}$.

Let $x \in[\lambda]^{\xi}$ be the closure of $\xi$ under $f$. Set $\beta=x \cap \xi^{+} \in \xi^{+}$. Let $y \in P_{\mu} \lambda$ be the closure of $\{\beta+\xi\}$ under $f$. Then $\beta+\xi \in(x \cup y) \cap \xi^{+}$is an ordinal, since $\xi \subset x \cup y$ is closed under $f$ and by the choice of $f$. Hence $\beta+\xi \subset x \cup y$. Thus $\{\beta+\gamma: \gamma<\xi\} \subset y$, since $x \cap(\beta+\xi) \subset \beta$, contradicting to $y \in P_{\mu} \lambda$.

We need to generalize the notion of square bracket partition relations (see [3]) to consider the forth case for $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ where $\nu=\xi^{+}$and the candidate is $\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$.
3.7. Theorem. Let $\mu \leq \zeta \leq \xi$. Then $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\zeta^{+}} \subset C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$ iff $\lambda \nrightarrow[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \zeta}^{<\omega}$.
Proof. First observe that $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\zeta^{+}} \subset C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$ iff $\{x: \zeta \subset x\} \in C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+$ $\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$ iff there exists $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\left\{x:|x| \geq \nu\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x^{2} \subset P x\right\} \subset$ $\{x: \zeta \subset x\}$ iff there exists $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\zeta \subset x_{y}$ for any $y \in[\lambda]^{\xi}$, where
$x_{z} \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ is defined to be the closure of $z \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ under $f$ iff (*) there exists $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\cup g^{\prime \prime}[y]^{<\omega}=\zeta$ for any $y \in[\lambda]^{\xi}$, where $g:[\lambda]^{<\omega} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \zeta$ is defined by $g(s)=x_{s} \cap \zeta$.

The last equivalence follows from the fact that $x_{z}=\bigcup_{s \in[z]<\omega} x_{s}$ for any $z \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$, which we show.

Define $x_{z, n} \in P_{\kappa} \lambda$ inductively by $x_{z, 0}=z$ and $x_{z, n+1}=x_{z, n} \cup \bigcup f^{\prime \prime} x_{z, n}^{2}$. Then $x_{z}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} x_{z, n}$ and $x_{z, n} \subset \bigcup_{s \in[z\}^{<\omega}} x_{s}$ by induction on $n<\omega$.

Now we show that $(*)$ holds iff $\lambda \nrightarrow[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \zeta}^{<\omega}$.
If part. Let $h:[\lambda]^{<\omega} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \zeta$ witness $\lambda \nrightarrow[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \zeta}^{<\omega}$. Define $f: \lambda^{2} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ by $f(\alpha, \beta)=\{\pi(\alpha, \beta)\} \cup \bigcup h^{\prime \prime}\left[y_{\alpha}\right]^{<\omega}$, where $y_{\alpha} \in P_{\omega_{1}} \lambda$ is the closure of $\{\alpha\}$ under $\pi^{-1}$. We show that $h(s) \subset x_{s}$ for any $s \in[\lambda]^{<\omega}-\{0\}$, which immediately implies that $f$ witnesses ( $*$ ).

Fix $s=\left\{\alpha_{i}: i<n\right\} \in[\lambda]^{<\omega}$. Define $\beta_{i}<\lambda$ inductively for $i<n$ by $\beta_{0}=\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{i+1}=\pi\left(\beta_{i}, \alpha_{i+1}\right)$. Then $\beta_{i} \in x_{s}$ by induction on $i<n$, since $x_{s}$ is closed under $\pi$. Thus $h(s) \subset f\left(\beta_{n}, \beta_{n}\right) \subset x_{s}$, since $s \in\left[y_{\beta_{n}}\right]<\omega$.

Only-if part. $\quad g:[\lambda]^{<\omega} \rightarrow P_{\mu} \zeta$ defined as in (*) clearly witnesses $\lambda \nrightarrow$ $[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \zeta}^{<\omega}$.

It is easily seen that $\lambda \rightarrow[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \mu}^{<\omega}$ iff $\lambda \rightarrow[\xi]_{\mu,<\mu}^{<\omega}$ a general form of Chang's conjecture (see [3]).

### 3.8. Corollary. Let $\mu \leq \xi$. Then

(1) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu^{+}}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$ iff $\lambda \nrightarrow[\xi]_{\mu,<\mu}^{<\omega}$.
(2) $C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\xi^{+}}=C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$ iff $\lambda \nrightarrow[\xi]_{P_{\mu} \xi}^{<\omega}$.

An analog of Corollary 3.8(2) fails for $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}$ regardless of partition relations as in Corollary 3.4(6). We have in fact the following stronger result.
3.9. Theorem. Let $\mu \leq \xi$. Then $S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x: \xi \subset x\} \supsetneq S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$.

Proof. We show $\{x: \xi \subset x\} \notin S C F_{\kappa \lambda}^{\mu}+\{x:|x| \geq \xi\}$.
Otherwise pick $f: \lambda \rightarrow P_{\mu} \lambda$ with $\left\{x:|x| \geq \xi\right.$ and $\left.f^{\prime \prime} x \subset P x\right\} \subset\{x: \xi \subset x\}$.
Let $x_{\alpha} \in P_{\mu} \lambda$ be the closure of $\{\alpha\}$ under $f$ for $\alpha<\lambda$. Define $g: \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ by $g(\alpha)=\sup \left(x_{\alpha} \cap \mu\right)+1$. Pick $y \in[\lambda]^{\xi}$ and $\gamma<\mu$ with $g^{\prime \prime} y=\{\gamma\}$. Then $z=\bigcup_{\alpha \in y} x_{\alpha} \in[\lambda]^{\xi}$ is closed under $f$ and $z \cap \mu \subset \bigcup g^{\prime \prime} y \subset \gamma$, contradicting to the choice of $f$.

## References

1. D. M. Carr, The minimal normal filter on $P_{\kappa} \lambda$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 86 (1982), 316-320.
2. H.-D. Donder, P. Koepke, and J.-P. Levinski, Some stationary subsets of $P_{\kappa} \lambda$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988), 1000-1004.
3. P. Erdös, A. Hajnal, A. Máté, and R. Rado, Combinatorial set theory: partition relations for cardinals, Stud. Logic Found. Math., vol. 106, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
4. M. Foreman, M. Magidor, and S. Shelah, Martin's Maximum, saturated ideals and nonregular ultrafilters, part I, Ann. of Math. (2) 127 (1988), 1-47.
5. T. J. Jech, Some combinatorial problems concerning uncountable cardinals, Ann. Math. Logic 5 (1973), 165-198.
6. D. W. Kueker, Countable approximations and Löwenheim-Skolem theorems, Ann. Math. Logic 11 (1977), 57-103.
7. P. Matet, Concerning stationary subsets of $[\lambda]^{<\kappa}$, Set Theory and its Applications (J. Steprăns and S. Watson, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1401, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1989, pp. 119-127.
8. T. K. Menas, On strong compactness and supercompactness, Ann. Math. Logic 7 (1974), 327-359.
9. S. Shelah, Proper forcing, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 940, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1982.
10. M. Shioya, M., Weakly normal closures of filters on $P_{\kappa} \lambda$, J. Symbolic Logic 58 (1993), 55-63.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305 Japan
E-mail address: shioya@sakura.cc.tsukuba.ac.jp

