

## NON-SMIRNOV DOMAINS

KNUT ØYMA

(Communicated by Clifford J. Earle, Jr.)

**ABSTRACT.** If  $\Omega$  is a Jordan domain, a small perturbation of the boundary gives a non-Smirnov domain.

Let  $D$  be the open unit disc and  $T$  its boundary. A conformal mapping  $f(z)$  from  $D$  onto a Jordan domain  $\Omega$  extends to a homeomorphism between  $\overline{D}$  and  $\overline{\Omega}$  (the closures). This was proved by Osgood and Taylor and independently by Carathéodory (1913). The boundary of  $\Omega$ ,  $\partial\Omega$ , is rectifiable if and only if  $f'(z) \in H^1$ . See [1]. The  $H^p$  spaces are treated in [2] and [4]. The length of  $\partial\Omega$  is  $|\partial\Omega| = \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(e^{i\theta})| d\theta$ . Because  $f'(z)$  belongs to  $H^1$  we have  $f'(z) = S(z)F(z)$ , where  $S(z)$  is singular inner and  $F(z)$  is outer. There is no Blaschke factor since  $f(z)$  is univalent. If  $S(z) \equiv 1$ , then  $\Omega$  is called a Smirnov domain. In such domains function theory inherits nice properties from the unit disc. See Chapter 10 of [2]. Non-Smirnov domains exist. An elegant proof is due to Duren, Shapiro, and Shields [3]. Keldysh and Lavrentiev gave the first example in 1937. A detailed version appears in the book of Privalov [6].

In this paper we will use the idea of Keldysh and Lavrentiev to show that the shape of such domains can roughly be prescribed. In particular the non-Smirnov domains are dense in the simply connected domains in the sense of Carathéodory.

**Theorem.** *If  $f(z)$  is univalent in  $D$ ,  $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$ , then there exists a non-Smirnov domain  $\Delta$  such that  $f(|z| < r_1) \subset \Delta \subset f(|z| < r_2)$ . There exists a conformal mapping  $\varphi(z)$  of  $D$  onto  $\Delta$  such that  $|\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| = \text{constant a.e.}$*

The result has an interesting Brownian motion interpretation. Let  $I$  be a measurable subset of  $\partial\Delta$ . Consider a Brownian motion starting at  $\varphi(0)$ . The probability for the first exit from  $\Delta$  to take place on  $I$  equals  $|I|/|\partial\Delta|$ . We need five lemmas.

**Lemma 1 (Montel).** *Let  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$  be Jordan domains bounded by finitely many analytic arcs. Assume that  $f_1(z)$  maps  $\Omega_1$  conformally onto  $D$  and that  $f_1(z_0) = f_2(z_0)$  for some  $z_0 \in \Omega_1$ . If the open analytic arc  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\partial\Omega_1 \cap \partial\Omega_2$ , then  $|f_2'(z)| \geq |f_1'(z)|$  for every  $z \in \Gamma$ .*

Received by the editors June 9, 1990 and, in revised form, July 13, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C20; Secondary 30D55.

A proof is found in [6], p. 28.

Let  $I$  be a subarc of  $T$  of length  $2\theta < \frac{\pi}{3}$ . For  $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $C_\gamma$  is the part of a circle through the endpoints of  $I$  lying outside  $D$  making the angle  $\gamma\pi$  with  $I$ .  $D_\gamma$  is the domain bounded by  $(T \setminus I) \cup C_\gamma$ . Let  $h_\gamma(z)$  map  $D_\gamma$  conformally onto  $D$  such that  $h_\gamma(0) = 0$ . The midpoint of  $C_\gamma$  is  $z_0$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The minimum of  $|h'_\gamma(z)|$  for  $z \in C_\gamma$  is attained at  $z_0$ .*

$$|h'_\gamma(z_0)| = \frac{2}{1+\gamma} \cdot \frac{\tan \frac{\theta}{2(1+\gamma)} \cos^2 \frac{\theta+\gamma\pi}{2}}{\sin \theta}$$

A proof is in [6], p. 162.

We want to solve the equation  $|h'_\gamma(z_0)| = \mu < 1$  with respect to  $\gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that any solution  $\gamma$  of the equation  $|h'_\gamma(z_0)| = \mu < 1$  satisfies  $\gamma \geq c(1 - \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* By the mean value theorem we have

$$\mu - 1 = |h'_\gamma(z_0)| - |h'_0(z_0)| = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} |h'_\gamma(z_0)| \right)_{\gamma=t} \cdot \gamma$$

for some number  $t$  between 0 and  $\gamma$ .

Let  $M = \text{Max}\{|\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} |h'_\gamma(z_0)|| : 0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}, 2\theta \leq \pi/3\}$ .

Then  $1 - \mu \leq M \cdot \gamma$ . This proves the lemma with  $c = M^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There exists a strictly increasing function  $g(\gamma)$  satisfying  $g(0) = 1$  and  $|C_\gamma| \geq g(\gamma)|I|$ .*

The proof uses simple geometry and is omitted.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\varphi(z)$  be analytic in  $D$ . Assume that  $|\varphi'(z)| < 1$  and that  $\varphi'(0) \geq \delta > 0$ . If  $E$  is a measurable subset of  $T$  of length  $s > 0$ , then  $\int_E |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \geq K = K(s, \delta) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{e^{i\theta} : |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| < \varepsilon\}$  where  $\varepsilon$  satisfies  $\frac{2\pi \log \delta}{\log \varepsilon} = \frac{s}{2}$ . Since  $\varphi'(z) \in H^1$ , we have  $\log \delta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T \log |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} |A| \log \varepsilon$ . Therefore  $|A| \leq \frac{2\pi \log \delta}{\log \varepsilon} = \frac{s}{2}$ . Hence  $E(\varepsilon) = \{e^{i\theta} \in E : |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| \geq \varepsilon\}$  satisfies  $|E(\varepsilon)| \geq \frac{s}{2}$ . This proves the lemma since  $\int_E |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \geq \int_{E(\varepsilon)} |\varphi'(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \geq \frac{s}{2} \varepsilon = K(s, \delta)$ .

We now prove the theorem. The proof is technical and the reader should make a drawing. We will construct Jordan domains  $\Delta_n$  bounded by a finite number of analytic arcs such that  $\Delta_n \subset \Delta_{n+1}$ . The non-Smirnov domain will be the union of  $\Delta_n$ . By  $\varphi_n(z)$  we mean the conformal mapping from  $D$  onto  $\Delta_n$  such that  $\varphi_n(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi'_n(0) = \text{Re } \varphi'_n(0) > 0$ .

Since  $\Delta_n$  is bounded by finitely many analytic arcs,  $\varphi_n(z)$  has a univalent continuation to a domain  $D_n$  containing  $D$ . For  $n > 1$ ,  $\partial D_n$  meets  $T$  at a finite number of points. We define  $\varphi_n(D_n) = \Omega_n$ . These domains will satisfy  $\Omega_n \supset \Omega_{n+1}$ . For  $n > 1$ ,  $\partial \Omega_n$  will meet  $\partial \Delta_n$  at finitely many points. The inverse of  $\varphi_n(z)$  is denoted  $f_n(z)$ . We construct the domains inductively.

We define  $\Delta_1 = f(|z| < r_1)$ ,  $D_1 = \{|z| < \frac{r_2}{r_1}\}$  and  $\Omega_1 = f(|z| < r_2)$ . We may assume that  $f(0) = 0$  and that  $f'(0) = \text{Re } f'(0) > 0$ . Then  $\varphi_1(z) = f(r_1 z)$  is properly normalized. By dilation we may assume that  $|\varphi'_1(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in D$ .

Assume that  $\Delta_n$ ,  $D_n$ ,  $\Omega_n$  and  $\varphi_n(z)$  have been constructed and that  $|\varphi'_n(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in D$ . Define  $a_n$  and  $A_n$  by

$$\int_T |\varphi'_n(e^{i\theta})| d\theta = |\partial\Delta_n| = 2\pi - a_n,$$

$$A_n = \left\{ e^{i\theta} : e^{i\theta} \in D_n, |\varphi'_n(e^{i\theta})| < 1 - \frac{a_n}{20} \right\}.$$

This set satisfies  $\int_{T \setminus A_n} |\varphi'_n(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq 2\pi - a_n$ . Therefore we have  $(2\pi - |A_n|) \cdot (1 - \frac{a_n}{20}) \leq 2\pi - a_n$ . This leads to  $|A_n| \geq \frac{a_n}{2}$ .

Let  $\{I_k\} (= \{I_{k,n}\})$  be finitely many disjoint closed subarcs of  $A_n$ . Each  $I_k$  has length less than  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  and is contained in a closed disc  $O_k$  meeting the endpoints of  $I_k$  at an angle of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . The following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $O_k \subset D_n$ .
- (ii)  $\sum |I_k| > \frac{a_n}{4}$ .
- (iii)  $\sup_{z \in O_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| = \mu_k < 1 - \frac{a_n}{40}$ .
- (iv)  $\text{diam } O_k < b_n$ , where  $b_n$  is a small number to be chosen later.
- (v)  $(\inf_{z \in O_k} |\varphi'_n(z)|) / \mu_k > r_n$ , where  $r_n$  is a number close to one to be chosen later.

Replace  $I_k$  by a bubble as in Lemma 2 where  $\gamma_k$  satisfies  $|h'_{\gamma_k}(z_0)| = \mu_k$ . If no such  $\gamma_k$  exists, let  $\gamma_k = \frac{1}{2}$ . Recall the definition of  $D_{\gamma_k}$  and let  $D_n^* = \bigcup D_{\gamma_k}$ . Note that  $\varphi_n(z)$  is univalent in  $D_n^*$  and that  $\varphi_n(D_n^*) \subset \Omega_n$ . Let  $h_n(z)$  map  $D_n^*$  conformally onto  $D$  such that  $h_n(0) = 0$  and  $h'_n(0) > 0$ . If  $z \in \partial D_n^*$  and  $|z| > 1$ , then  $z \in C_{\gamma_k}$  for some  $k$ . Therefore  $|h'_n(z)| \geq |h'_{\gamma_k}(z)| \geq |h'_{\gamma_k}(z_0)| \geq \mu_k$ . The first inequality follows from Lemma 1, the second from Lemma 2, and the third inequality follows from the definition of  $\gamma_k$ .

We define  $\Delta_{n+1} = \varphi_n(D_n^*)$ . Then  $\Delta_n \subset \Delta_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$ . The boundary of  $\Delta_{n+1}$  is rectifiable and consists of a finite number of analytic arcs. To prove that  $|\varphi'_{n+1}(z)| \leq 1$  for  $z \in D$  it suffices to prove that  $|f'_{n+1}(z)| \geq 1$  a.e. on  $\partial\Delta_{n+1}$ . There are two cases. Assume that  $z \in \partial\Delta_{n+1} \cap \partial\Delta_n$  and that both  $f'_n(z)$  and  $f'_{n+1}(z)$  exist. This excludes only a finite number of points on  $\partial\Delta_{n+1} \cap \partial\Delta_n$ . By Lemma 1 we have that  $|f'_{n+1}(z)| \geq |f'_n(z)| \geq 1$ . If  $z \in \partial\Delta_{n+1} \setminus \partial\Delta_n$ , then  $f_n(z) \in C_{\gamma_k}$  for some  $k$ . Note that  $f_{n+1}(z) = h_n(f_n(z))$ . Therefore  $|f'_{n+1}(z)| = |h'_n(f_n(z))| \cdot |f'_n(z)| \geq \mu_k \cdot \frac{1}{|\varphi'_n(f_n(z))|} \geq \mu_k \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_k} = 1$  by (iii). This proves that  $|\varphi'_{n+1}(z)| \leq 1$  in  $D$  and that  $|\partial\Delta_{n+1}| \leq 2\pi$ . Recall that  $\Delta_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$  and that  $\partial\Omega_n$  meets  $\partial\Delta_{n+1}$  at a finite number of points. As before  $\varphi_{n+1}(z)$  has a univalent continuation to a domain  $D_{n+1}$  containing  $D$  such that  $(\partial D_{n+1} \cap T)$  is finite. If necessary we decrease  $D_{n+1}$  by choosing  $\partial D_{n+1}$  close to  $T$  to obtain:

- (1)  $\varphi_{n+1}(D_{n+1}) = \Omega_{n+1} \subset \Omega_n$ .
- (2) Any Jordan curve  $\Gamma$  in  $\overline{\Omega}_{n+1}$  surrounding  $\Delta_{n+1}$  must satisfy  $|\Gamma| \geq |\partial\Delta_{n+1}| - \frac{1}{n}$ .

We now prove that  $|\partial\Delta_n|$  is increasing. It follows from (v) that

$$\frac{\int_{C_{\gamma_k}} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds}{\int_{I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds} \geq \frac{|C_{\gamma_k}|}{|I_k|} r_n.$$

Note that  $\gamma_k \geq c(1 - \mu_k) \geq c\frac{a_n}{40}$  by Lemma 3 and (iii). By Lemma 4  $\frac{|C_{\gamma_k}|}{|I_k|} \geq g(c\frac{a_n}{40}) = \zeta' > 1$ . Combining these inequalities we obtain

$$\int_{C_{\gamma_k}} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds \geq \zeta' r_n \int_{I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds.$$

We now choose  $r_n$  such that  $\zeta' r_n = \frac{1+\zeta'}{2} = \zeta$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad |\partial\Delta_{n+1}| - |\partial\Delta_n| &= \sum_k \left( \int_{C_{\gamma_k}} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds - \int_{I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds \right) \\ &\geq (\zeta - 1) \sum_k \int_{I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds = \frac{g(c\frac{a_n}{40}) - 1}{2} \int_{\cup I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds \end{aligned}$$

this proves that  $|\partial\Delta_n|$  is increasing.

Since  $|\partial\Delta_n| \leq 2\pi$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\partial\Delta_n|$  exists. Assume that this limit equals  $2\pi - a$  where  $a > 0$ . Then  $a_n > a$  for all  $n$ . Subordination, a variant of Schwarz' lemma, proves that  $\varphi'_n(0) \geq \varphi'_1(0) = \delta > 0$ . For all  $n$  we have that  $|\cup I_k| > \frac{a}{40}$ . Apply Lemma 5 and (\*):

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial\Delta_{n+1}| - |\partial\Delta_n| &\geq \frac{g(c\frac{a}{40}) - 1}{2} \int_{\cup I_k} |\varphi'_n(z)| ds \\ &\geq \frac{g(c\frac{a}{40}) - 1}{2} K\left(\frac{a}{40}, \delta\right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, hence  $\lim |\partial\Delta_n| = 2\pi$ . Let  $\Delta = \cup \Delta_n$ . To prove that  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain recall that  $\Delta_n = \varphi_n(D)$  and that  $\Delta_{n+1} = \varphi_n(D_n^*)$ . By construction every point of  $D_n^*$  can be connected to a point in  $D$  by a line segment of length less than  $b_n$ . See (iv). Since  $|\varphi'_n(z)| < 1$  everywhere in  $D_n^*$ , every point in  $\Delta_{n+1}$  can be connected to a point in  $\Delta_n$  by a curve of length less than  $b_n$ . By induction every point in  $\Delta$  can be connected to a point in  $\Delta_N$  by a curve of length less than  $\sum_{n \geq N} b_n$ . A domain is a Jordan domain if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that any two points closer together than  $\delta$  lie in a connected subset of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ . We may assume that  $\delta < \varepsilon$ . For every  $N$ ,  $\Delta_N$  is a Jordan domain. If  $\varepsilon_N = \frac{1}{2^N}$  there exists  $\delta_N$  corresponding to  $\varepsilon_N$  that works for  $\Delta_N$ . Choose the numbers  $b_n$  in (iv) such that  $\sum_{n \geq N} b_n < \frac{1}{3}\delta_N$ . Let  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  be two points in  $\Delta$  such that  $|z_1 - z_2| < \frac{\delta_N}{3}$ . For  $i = 1, 2$  choose curves  $K_i$  of length less than  $\frac{\delta_N}{3}$  connecting  $z_i$  with  $w_i \in \Delta_N$ . Then  $|w_1 - w_2| < \delta_N$ . Choose a connected set  $E \subset \Delta_N$  of diameter less than  $\varepsilon_N$  such that  $w_i \in E$ . The set  $(E \cup K_1 \cup K_2)$  is connected, has diameter less than  $2\varepsilon_N$ , and contains  $z_i$ . Hence  $\Delta$  is a Jordan domain.

Let  $\varphi(z)$  be the conformal mapping of  $D$  onto  $\Delta$  normalized by  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi'(0) = \text{Re } \varphi'(0) > 0$ . Since  $\varphi'_n(z) \rightarrow \varphi'(z)$  uniformly on compact sets, we have that  $|\partial\Delta| \leq 2\pi$ . Condition (2) shows that  $|\partial\Delta| \geq |\partial\Delta_{n+1}| - \frac{1}{n}$ . Therefore  $|\partial\Delta| = 2\pi$  and the proof is complete unless  $\varphi'(z) \equiv 1$ . By the dilatation argument in the beginning of the proof we may assume that  $\Omega_1 \subset \{|z| < \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Since  $\Delta \subset \Omega_1$ , this cannot be the case.

## REFERENCES

1. E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater, *The theory of cluster sets*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge and New York, 1966.
2. P. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
3. P. Duren, H. S. Shapiro, and A. Shields, *Singular measures and domains not of Smirnov type*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 247–254.
4. J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
5. M. W. Keldysh and M. A. Lavrentiev, *Sur la représentation conforme des domaines limités par des courbes rectifiables*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **54** (1937), 1–38.
6. I. I. Privalov, *Eigenenschaften analytischer Funktionen*, Deutscher Verlag, Berlin, 1956.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AGDER COLLEGE, 4604 KRISTIANSAND, NORWAY