σ -WEAKLY CLOSED MODULES OF CERTAIN REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

CHEN PEIXIN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a completely distributive CSL algebra and let M be any σ -weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$ -module. We give characterizations of commutant $C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ modulo M and $\text{AlgLat } M$. Furthermore, we deal with the relations among $\mathcal{A}$, $C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ and $\text{AlgLat } M$.

1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Throughout this paper, H will denote a complex Hilbert space, and $L(H)$ will denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators from H into itself. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a commutative and completely distributive subspace lattice on H and let $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L} \subseteq L(H)$. A subspace $\mathcal{M}$ of $L(H)$ is said to be a $\mathcal{A}$ -module if $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Any σ -weakly closed $\mathcal{A}$ -module is denoted by M and the set of all V -generators of $\mathcal{L}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. The terminology and notation of this paper concerning reflexive operator algebras may be found in [1, 3, 4]. It is known from [3] that M has the form

$$M = \{T \in L(H) : TE \subseteq \tilde{E} \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{L}\}$$

where $E| \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ is some lattice homomorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ into itself. Thus we need only consider M determined by the homomorphism $E| \rightarrow \tilde{E}$.

2. COMMUTANTS RELATIVE TO σ -WEAKLY CLOSED $\mathcal{A}$ -MODULES

In [1] the authors found that $C(\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}, M) = \mathcal{E}_M \oplus M$ for a nest $\mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{E}_M$ is a weakly closed subspace (is also a subalgebra) of the core of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{N}$. A natural question is: Does this hold for $\mathcal{L}$? In this case when $M \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ Han Deguang proved $C(\mathcal{A}, M) = M$ [3]. Generally, we obtain $C(\mathcal{A}, M) = \mathcal{E}_M(G) \oplus M$, where $\mathcal{E}_M(G)$ is a weakly closed subspace of the core of $\mathcal{A}$.

We omit the proof of Lemma 2.1 as it follows by modification of the arguments in [4, p. 505].

Received by the editors June 25, 1993 and, in revised form, September 23, 1993.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D25, 47D15; Secondary 47B47.

Key words and phrases. $\mathcal{A}$ -module, reflexive operator algebra, commutant of $\mathcal{A}$ modulo module.

© 1995 American Mathematical Society
 0002-9939/95 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Lemma 2.1. If $T \in \mathcal{A}'$, then each $\vee$ -generator of $\mathcal{L}$ is contained in an eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue λ of T and $\|\lambda\| \leq \|T\|$.

Lemma 2.2. If $E \in \mathcal{L}$ and $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$, then

- (i) $(I - \tilde{E})TEG = \lambda_{TE}(G)(E - \tilde{E}E)G$ and $|\lambda_{TE}(G)| \leq \|T\|$, where G is any V -generator of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda_{TE}(G)$ is a number depending on T , E , and G ;
(ii) if $\tilde{E}E \leq \tilde{F}F < E < F$

$$\lambda_{TE}(G) = \lambda_{TF}(G),$$

where $F \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\lambda_{TE}(G)$ and $\lambda_{TF}(G)$ are as in (i).

Proof. (i) Since $E \in \mathcal{A}$, $I - \tilde{E} \in \mathcal{A}$, and $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$

$$(I - \tilde{E})(TE - ET)E = 0, \quad (I - \tilde{E})[T(I - \tilde{E}) - (I - \tilde{E})T]E = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(I - \tilde{E})T(E - \tilde{E}E) = (I - \tilde{E})TE = (E - \tilde{E}E)TE$$

and hence

$$(I - \tilde{E})TE = (E - \tilde{E}E)T(E - \tilde{E}E).$$

Now for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$(I - \tilde{E})(TA - AT)E = 0$$

and since $(E - \tilde{E}E)A(E - \tilde{E}E) \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \tilde{E})TE[(E - \tilde{E}E)A(E - \tilde{E}E)] - [(E - \tilde{E}E)A(E - \tilde{E}E)](I - \tilde{E})TE \\ = (I - \tilde{E})[T(E - \tilde{E}E)A(E - \tilde{E}E) - (E - \tilde{E}E)A(E - \tilde{E}E)T]E = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(I - \tilde{E})TE = (E - \tilde{E}E)T(E - \tilde{E}E) \in [(E - \tilde{E}E)\mathcal{A}(E - \tilde{E}E)]'$.

Apply Lemma 2.1 to the compression of $\mathcal{A}$ to the range of $E - \tilde{E}E$

$$\begin{aligned} (I - \tilde{E})TEG &= [(E - \tilde{E}E)T(E - \tilde{E}E)][(E - \tilde{E}E)G(E - \tilde{E}E)] \\ &= \lambda_{TE}(G)[(E - \tilde{E}E)G(E - \tilde{E}E)] \\ &= \lambda_{TE}(G)(E - \tilde{E}E)G, \end{aligned}$$

$$|\lambda_{TE}(G)| \leq \|(E - \tilde{E}E)T(E - \tilde{E}E)\| \leq \|T\|.$$

(ii) Observe

$$\begin{aligned} (E - \tilde{E}E)T(E - \tilde{E}E)G &= \lambda_{TE}(G)(E - \tilde{E}E)G, \\ (F - \tilde{F}F)T(F - \tilde{F}F)G &= \lambda_{TF}(G)(F - \tilde{F}F)G. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above on either side by $E - \tilde{F}F$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (E - \tilde{F}F)T(E - \tilde{F}F)G &= \lambda_{TE}(G)(E - \tilde{F}F)G, \\ (E - \tilde{F}F)T(E - \tilde{F}F)G &= \lambda_{TF}(G)(E - \tilde{F}F)G. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lambda_{TE}(G) = \lambda_{TF}(G),$$

and (ii) follows.

Remark 2.3. Lemma 2.2 shows that for $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $\lambda_{TE}(G)$ has a constant value on the intervals $(\tilde{E}_1 E_1, E_2]$ and $(\tilde{E}_2 E_2, E_1]$ if intervals $(\tilde{E}_1 E_1, E_1)$ and $(\tilde{E}_2 E_2, E_2)$ overlap. It follows easily that $\lambda_{TE}(G)$ is constant on each maximal connected component of $\bigcup\{(\tilde{E}E, E]: E \in \mathcal{L}\}$.

Definition. The element F of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\sim$ connected to E (notation $E \lesssim F$ or $F \gtrsim E$) if $E = F$ or $E < F$ and exists a finite chain $E_n < \cdots < E_1 < E_0 = F$ with $\tilde{E}_i E_i < E_{i+1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $\tilde{E}_n E < E$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_0 = \{E \in \mathcal{L} : \tilde{E}E < E\}$. For each $E \in \mathcal{L}_0$ we define the $\sim$ -component $\gamma(E)$ of E by

$$\gamma(E) = \{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \lesssim E\} \cup \{F \in \mathcal{L} : F \gtrsim E\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{L}_0$ is a disjoint union of $\sim$ -components and it is easy to see that $\sim$ -components are intervals. We may write

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \gamma_\omega$$

where Ω is some index set and $\{\gamma_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are pairwise disjoint intervals with left end point E_ω and right end point F_ω .

For fixed $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ and $F \in \mathcal{L}$, it is possible that $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $G_1 \neq G_2$ but $\lambda_{TF}(G_1) = \lambda_{TF}(G_2)$. Let $G_{TF}(\alpha)$ be the closed linear span of all $\vee$ -generators of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{TF}(\alpha)$ of $(I - \tilde{F})TF$, where $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and Λ is some index set. Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$G_{TE}(\alpha) = G_{TF}(\alpha)$$

for all $E, F \in \gamma_\omega$; we denote it by $G_{T\omega}(\alpha)$. Clearly, $G_{T\omega}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{L}$ and $I = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_{T\omega}(\alpha)$. If $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ are given and $E \in \gamma_\omega$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)G_{T\omega}(\beta) &= G_{T\omega}(\alpha)G_{T\omega}(\beta)(E - \tilde{E}E) \\ &= \begin{cases} (E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{E}_M(G)$ the weakly closed linear span generated by the projections $\{(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha) : T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M), \omega \in \Omega, \text{ and } \alpha \in \Lambda\}$.

Theorem 2.4. $C(\mathcal{A}, M) = \mathcal{E}_M(G) \oplus M$, where the sum is a direct sum of vector spaces.

Proof. Suppose $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, and $\alpha \in \Lambda$ are given. For any $E \in \mathcal{L}$, there is a $\sim$ -component γ_{ω_0} of $\mathcal{L}_0$ such that $E \in \gamma_{\omega_0}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, if $\omega_0 \neq \omega$,

$$(I - \tilde{E})[A(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha) - (F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)A]E = 0;$$

if $\omega_0 = \omega$, then for any $\beta \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} &(E - \tilde{E}E)[AG_{T\omega}(\alpha) - G_{T\omega}(\alpha)A](E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\beta) \\ &= (E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)G_{T\omega}(\beta)A(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)G_{T\omega}(\beta) \\ &\quad - (E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)G_{T\omega}(\beta)A(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\beta) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& (I - \tilde{E})[A(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha) - (F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha)A]E \\
&= (I - \tilde{E})A[(I - \tilde{E})(F_\omega - E_\omega)E]G_{T\omega}(\alpha) - [(I - \tilde{E})(F_\omega - E_\omega)E]G_{T\omega}(\alpha)AE \\
&= (E - \tilde{E}E)[AG_{T\omega}(\alpha) - G_{T\omega}(\alpha)A](E - \tilde{E}E) \\
&= (E - \tilde{E}E)[AG_{T\omega}(\alpha) - G_{T\omega}(\alpha)A](E - \tilde{E}E) \left(\bigvee_{\beta \in \Lambda} G_{T\omega}(\beta) \right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so for all $S \in \mathcal{E}_M(G)$

$$(I - \tilde{E})(AS - SA)E = 0.$$

This shows that $S \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$, and thus the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_M(G) + M \subseteq C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ follows.

Now suppose $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$; then it follows from Lemma 2.2 that if γ_{ω_0} is any $\sim$ -component of $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $E \in \gamma_{\omega_0}$, we have

$$(I - \tilde{E})TEG_{T\omega_0}(\alpha) = \lambda_{T\omega_0}(\alpha)(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega_0}(\alpha)$$

where $\lambda_{T\omega_0}(\alpha)$ is a number depending on T , γ_{ω_0} , and α . Define T_M by

$$T_M = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \sum_{\alpha \in A} \lambda_{T\omega}(\alpha)(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\alpha).$$

By Lemma 2.2, $|\lambda_{T\omega}(\alpha)| \leq \|T\|$; hence, the series converges in the strong operator topology and $T_M \in \mathcal{E}_M(G)$.

For any $\beta \in \Lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
(I - \tilde{E})(T - T_M)EG_{T\omega_0}(\beta) &= (I - \tilde{E})TEG_{T\omega_0}(\beta) - (I - \tilde{E})T_MEG_{T\omega_0}(\beta) \\
&= \lambda_{T\omega_0}(\beta)(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega_0}(\beta) - \lambda_{T\omega_0}(\beta)(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega_0}(\beta) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(I - \tilde{E})(T - T_M)E = (I - \tilde{E})(T - T_M)E \left(\bigvee_{\beta \in \Lambda} G_{T\omega_0}(\beta) \right) = 0$$

and $T - T_M \in M$. Therefore $T \in \mathcal{E}_M(G) + M$.

To prove that the sum is direct, if $T \in \mathcal{E}_M(G)$, then for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and any $\beta \in \Lambda$

$$T(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\beta) = \lambda_{T\omega}(\beta)(F_\omega - E_\omega)G_{T\omega}(\beta).$$

If also $T \in M$, choose $E \in \gamma_\omega$

$$0 = (I - \tilde{E})TEG_{T\omega}(\beta) = \lambda_{T\omega}(\beta)(E - \tilde{E}E)G_{T\omega}(\beta).$$

Thus $\lambda_{T\omega}(\beta) = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$ and each $\beta \in \Lambda$, and

$$T(F_\omega - E_\omega) = T(F_\omega - E_\omega) \left(\bigvee_{\beta \in \Lambda} G_{T\omega}(\beta) \right) = 0.$$

Therefore $T = 0$. The proof is completed.

3. THE OPERATOR ALGEBRA GENERATED BY A MODULE

In this section, first we prove that $\text{AlgLat } M = \mathcal{E}_M \oplus \langle M \rangle$, where $\langle M \rangle$ is the weakly closed algebra generated by M . Furthermore, we deal with the relations among $\text{AlgLat } M$, $C(\mathcal{A}, \langle M \rangle)$, and $\mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 3.1 is the analogue of Lemma 1.10 in [1], thus we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.1. *The weakly closed algebra generated by M is the module determined by $E| \rightarrow \tilde{E}$, where*

$$\tilde{E} = \begin{cases} \tilde{E} & \text{if } \tilde{E} \leq E, \\ \bigvee \{\tilde{E}^{(n)} : n \geq 0\} & \text{if } \tilde{E} \not\leq E. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2 [3]. *$P \in \text{Lat } M$ if and only if there exists some $E \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\tilde{E} \leq P \leq E$.*

Theorem 3.3. *$\text{AlgLat } M = \mathcal{E}_M \oplus \langle M \rangle$, where $\mathcal{E}_M$ is the weakly closed algebra generated by $\{F_\omega - E_\omega : \omega \in \Omega_0\}$. F_ω and E_ω are the end points of the $\sim$ -components of $\{E \in \mathcal{L} : \tilde{E} < E\}$, $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$, and $\langle M \rangle$ is the weakly closed algebra generated by M .*

Proof. Clearly $\text{AlgLat } M = \text{AlgLat} \langle M \rangle$. Since $\mathcal{E}_M$ depends only on the elements E of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\tilde{E} < E$, Lemma 3.1 shows that $\mathcal{E}_M = \mathcal{E}_{\langle M \rangle}$. Thus we need only prove the equation

$$\text{AlgLat} \langle M \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{\langle M \rangle} \oplus \langle M \rangle.$$

That $\text{AlgLat} \langle M \rangle \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{\langle M \rangle} + \langle M \rangle$ is obvious. Let $T \in \text{AlgLat} \langle M \rangle$. From Lemma 3.2 for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\tilde{E} \leq E$ and any $G \leq E - \tilde{E}$, $\tilde{E} \oplus G \in \text{Lat } T$. Thus $(E - \tilde{E})T(E - \tilde{E})$ leaves every subprojection of $E - \tilde{E}$ invariant. This means that

$$(E - \tilde{E})T(E - \tilde{E}) = \lambda_{TE}(E - \tilde{E})$$

for some scalar λ_{TE} . Note that $E \in \text{Lat} \langle M \rangle \subseteq \text{Lat } T$; we have

$$(I - \tilde{E})TE = (E - \tilde{E})T(E - \tilde{E}) = \lambda_{TE}(E - \tilde{E}).$$

If E and F are in the same $\sim$ -component it follows as in Lemma 2.2 that $\lambda_{TE} = \lambda_{TF}$. The proof is now completed by modification of the arguments in Theorem 2.4.

Definition. M is said to have property $(*)$ if for any $T \in C(\mathcal{A}, M)$, $E \in \mathcal{L}$, there is a number λ_{TE} such that

$$(E - \tilde{E})T(E - \tilde{E}) = \lambda_{TE}(E - \tilde{E}).$$

The following facts are easily seen.

- (i) $M \supseteq \mathcal{A}$ iff $\tilde{E} \geq E$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$;
- (ii) $M \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ iff $\tilde{E} \leq E$ for all $E \in \mathcal{L}$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{E}_M(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

We can prove the following results by the above facts and Theorems 2.4 and 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\langle M \rangle$ be the weakly closed algebra generated by M . Then*

- (i) $\text{AlgLat } M \subseteq C(\mathcal{A}, \langle M \rangle)$;
- (ii) if $M \supseteq \mathcal{A}$, then $\text{AlgLat } M = \langle M \rangle$ and $C(\mathcal{A}, M) = M$;
- (iii) if $M \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, then $\text{AlgLat } M \subseteq C(\mathcal{A}, M) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Furthermore, $\text{AlgLat } M = C(\mathcal{A}, M)$ iff M has property $(*)$; $C(\mathcal{A}, M) = \mathcal{A}$ iff $(E - \tilde{E})(A_1 A_2 - A_2 A_1)(E - \tilde{E}) = 0$ for all $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \in \mathcal{L}$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am grateful to vice professor Han Deguang for his help and the referee for many suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. J. A. Erdos and S. C. Power, *Weakly closed ideals of nest algebras*, J. Operator Theory **7** (1983), 219–235.
2. J. A. Erdos, *Reflexivity for subspace maps and linear spaces of operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **52** (1986), 582–600.
3. Han Deguang, *On $\mathcal{A}$ -submodules for reflexive operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), 1067–1070.
4. M. S. Lambrou, *Approximants commutants and double commutants in normed algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **25** (1982), 499–512.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM, DONGYING CITY, SHANDONG PROVINCE, 257062, PEOPLES REPUBLIC OF CHINA