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#### Abstract

In this paper we study into $\varepsilon$-isometries. We prove that if $\varphi$ is an $\varepsilon$-isometry from $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ (for some $p, 1<$ $p<\infty)$, then there is a linear operator $T: L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right) \mapsto L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that $\|T \circ \varphi(f)-f\| \leq 6 \varepsilon$ for each $f \in L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$. This forms a link between an into isometry result of Figiel and a surjective $\varepsilon$-isometry result of Gevirtz in the case of $L^{p}$ spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be real Banach spaces. For a fixed $\varepsilon>0$, a map $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ is called an $\varepsilon$-isometry if

$$
|\|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)\|-\|x-y\|| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text { for all } x, y \in X
$$

In 1945, Hyers and Ulam [7] raised the following question:
Does there exist a constant $M>0$ depending only on $X$ and $Y$ with the following property: For each $\varepsilon>0$ and each surjective $\varepsilon$-isometry $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ there is an isometry $\Phi: X \mapsto Y$ with $\|\varphi(x)-\Phi(x)\| \leq M \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$ ?

In 1983, Gevirtz [5], based on a body of previous partial results extending over 38 years, proved that the Hyers-Ulam question has a positive answer with $M=5$ independent of $X$ and $Y$. (For history on this topic, see [3].) Note that the assumption that the map $\varphi$ be surjective is necessary (cf. [7]).

In 1967, Figiel [4] proved the following non-surjective substitute for the Mazur-Ulam theorem [10]: for any isometry $\Phi$ from $X$ into $Y$ with $\Phi(0)=0$, there is a linear operator $T$ of norm one from $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \Phi(X)$ onto $X$ such that $T \circ \Phi$ is the identity on $X$. In light of Figiel's result, it is tempting to reformulate the Hyers-Ulam $\varepsilon$-isometry problem for into maps as:

Does there exist a constant $M>0$ depending only on $X$ and $Y$ with the following property: For each $\varepsilon>0$ and each into
(*) $\quad \varepsilon$-isometry $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ with $\varphi(0)=0$ there is a continuous linear operator $T$ from $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \varphi(X)$ onto $X$ such that $\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq M \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$ ?
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As the following example shows, however, such an $\varepsilon$-isometry reformulation is doomed in general.

Example 1. Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Let $X$ be an uncomplemented subspace of some separable Banach space $Y$. Let $\varphi_{0}$ be a 1-1 map from $X$ onto $B(Y)$, the closed unit ball of $Y$, with $\varphi_{0}(0)=0$. Define a map $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ by $\varphi(x)=x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \cdot \varphi_{0}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then $\varphi$ is an $\varepsilon$-isometry with $\varphi(0)=0$ and with $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \varphi(X)=Y$.

Suppose that there are an $M>0$ and a continuous linear operator $T$ from $\overline{\operatorname{span}} \varphi(X)$ onto $X$ such that $\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq M \varepsilon$ for each $x \in X$. Then $\left\|T x+\frac{\varepsilon}{2} T \circ \varphi_{0}(x)-x\right\| \leq M \varepsilon$ and hence $\|T(n x)-n x\| \leq M \varepsilon+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|T\|$ for each $x$ in $X$ and each positive integer $n$. Dividing by $n$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields that $T x=x$ for each $x \in X$. It follows that $T$ is a continuous linear projection from $Y$ onto $X$, which is impossible by choice of $X$.

Observe that $\varphi-\varphi_{0}$ is linear in the above example and hence is nicely behaved, while $\varphi_{0}$ itself is uncontrolled. As we shall see below, under a variety of special conditions on $X$ and $Y$, each into $\varepsilon$-isometry may be decomposed into a nicely behaved portion (corresponding to $\varphi-\varphi_{0}$ above) and one (corresponding to $\varphi_{0}$ ) whose deleterious effects may be successfully limited, leading ultimately to a positive answer to $(*)$ in such cases.

Throughout this paper, $\mathscr{U}$ always denotes a fixed nontrivial ultrafilter on the set $\mathscr{N}$ of positive integers. $\mathfrak{R}$ denotes the real line.

Say that $P: Y \mapsto Z$ is a CS projection (contractive surjective projection) provided $P$ is a linear projection of norm one from $Y$ onto the subspace $Z$ of $Y$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We first start with a generalization of a result of Figiel [4] which gives an affirmative answer to the question ( $*$ ) raised in $\S 1$ when the domain is one dimensional.

Lemma 2. If $\varphi: \mathfrak{R} \mapsto Y$ is an into $\varepsilon$-isometry with $\varphi(0)=0$, then there is an $F \in Y^{*}$ with $\|F\|=1$ such that

$$
|F \circ \varphi(t)-t| \leq 5 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } t \in \mathfrak{R} .
$$

Proof. We follow Figiel's idea. Let $n$ be a positive integer. The Hahn-Banach theorem guarantees the existence of an $F_{n} \in Y^{*}$ with $\left\|F_{n}\right\|=1$ such that

$$
F_{n}(\varphi(n)-\varphi(-n))=\|\varphi(n)-\varphi(-n)\| .
$$

For every $t \in[-n, n]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 n+2 \varepsilon & \geq\|\varphi(t)-\varphi(-n)\|+\|\varphi(n)-\varphi(t)\| \\
& \geq F_{n}(\varphi(t)-\varphi(-n))+\|\varphi(n)-\varphi(t)\| \\
& \geq F_{n}(\varphi(t)-\varphi(-n))+F_{n}(\varphi(n)-\varphi(t)) \\
& =F_{n}(\varphi(n)-\varphi(-n))=\|\varphi(n)-\varphi(-n)\| \geq 2 n-\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t+n-4 \varepsilon \leq F_{n}(\varphi(t)-\varphi(-n)) \leq t+n+\varepsilon . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $t=0$, (1) becomes $n-4 \varepsilon \leq F_{n}(-\varphi(-n)) \leq n+\varepsilon$, and thus the general form of (1) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
t-5 \varepsilon \leq F_{n}(\varphi(t)) \leq t+5 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } t \in[-n, n] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} \varphi\left(t_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{span} \varphi(\Re)$ and $m=\max \left\{\left|t_{i}\right|: 1 \leq i \leq k\right\}$. If $n \geq m$, then from (2)

$$
-\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|a_{i}\right|(m+5 \varepsilon) \leq F_{n}(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|a_{i}\right|(m+5 \varepsilon)
$$

Therefore for each fixed $x \in \operatorname{span} \varphi(\Re),\left\{F_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded. It follows that $\bar{F}(x)=\lim _{\mathscr{U}} F_{n}(x)$ exists for each $x \in \operatorname{span} \varphi(\mathfrak{R})$, and $\bar{F}$ is a linear functional defined on $\operatorname{span} \varphi(\mathfrak{R})$ with $\|\bar{F}\|=1$. Moreover, for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$,

$$
t-5 \varepsilon \leq \bar{F}(\varphi(t)) \leq t+5 \varepsilon
$$

Thus any norm-preserving extension $F \in Y^{*}$ of $\bar{F}$ has the properties:
(i) $\|F\|=1$; and
(ii) $|F \circ \varphi(t)-t| \leq 5 \varepsilon$ for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$.

This completes the proof.
One candidate isometric approximation of a given $\varepsilon$-isometry is the ultrafilter limit of that $\varepsilon$-isometry as defined below.
Definition 3. Given a map $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ and a subsequence $\{k(n)\}$ of $\{n\}$, define a map $\hat{\varphi}: X \mapsto Y$ provided the following limit exists for each $x \in X$ :

$$
\hat{\varphi}(x)=\lim _{\mathscr{U}} \frac{\varphi(k(n) x)}{k(n)} \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$

(Note that the possible dependence of $\hat{\varphi}$ on $\{k(n)\}$ is suppressed. This will never cause confusion in the sequel.)

Property $(\mathrm{Q})$ defined below is the key to controlling the "badly behaved" part of an into $\varepsilon$-isometry.
Definition 4. Let $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ be a map. Then $\varphi$ has Property (Q) provided
(i) $\varphi(0)=0$;
(ii) there is a fixed subsequence $\{k(n)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $\hat{\varphi}: X \mapsto Y$ as defined in Definition 3 exists; and
(iii) if we let $Z=\overline{\operatorname{span}} \hat{\varphi}(X)$, then there is a CS projection $P_{Z}: Y \mapsto Z$.

## 3. Main results

There are several choices for $X$ and $Y$ for which a positive answer to the question $(*)$ stated in $\S 1$ is obtained. Each is a consequence of the following theorem.
Theorem 5. Let $Y$ be a smooth Banach space. Let $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ be an into $\varepsilon$-isometry with Property $(\mathrm{Q})$. Set $Z=\overline{\operatorname{span}} \hat{\varphi}(X)$, and denote by $P_{Z}: Y \mapsto Z$ the associated CS projection guaranteed by Property $(\mathrm{Q})$. Suppose further that there is a closed linear subspace $X^{\prime} \subset Z$ with the following properties:
(i) There is a CS projection $P_{X^{\prime}}: Z \mapsto X^{\prime}$; and
(ii) $X^{\prime}$ is linearly isometric to $X$ under the map $P_{X^{\prime}} \circ \hat{\varphi}$.

Then there is a linear operator $T: Y \mapsto X$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$

Proof. If $X$ is one dimensional, Lemma 2 provides an even stronger conclusion under weaker hypotheses. Thus assume $\operatorname{dim} X \geq 2$ in the remainder of the proof.

Let $U=P_{X^{\prime}} \circ \hat{\varphi}$. Thus $U: X \mapsto X^{\prime}$ is a linear isometry by assumption. Let $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}=\left(I-P_{X^{\prime}}\right)(Z)$ and $Z^{\perp}=\left(I-P_{Z}\right)(Y)$. Then $Z=X^{\prime} \oplus\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}$ and $Y=X^{\prime} \oplus\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \oplus Z^{\perp}=Z \oplus Z^{\perp}$. Thus it is possible to uniquely decompose $\varphi$ as $\varphi(x)=\varphi_{X^{\prime}}(x)+\varphi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}(x)+\varphi_{Z^{\perp}}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Note that Range $(\hat{\varphi}) \subset Z$ guarantees that $\widehat{\varphi_{Z^{\perp}}}=0$, and hence $\hat{\varphi}=\widehat{\varphi_{X^{\prime}}}+\widehat{\varphi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}}$. Moreover, $U=\widehat{\varphi_{X^{\prime}}}$ by the definition of $U$. It will be shown below that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{X^{\prime}}(x)-U(x)\right\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once done, the proof of Theorem 5 is direct. Indeed, assuming (3), let $T=$ $U^{-1} \circ P_{X^{\prime}} \circ P_{Z}$. Then $\|T\|=1$ and for each $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| & =\left\|U^{-1} \circ P_{X^{\prime}} \circ P_{Z} \circ \varphi(x)-x\right\| \\
& =\left\|P_{X^{\prime}} \circ P_{Z} \circ \varphi(x)-U(x)\right\|=\left\|\varphi_{X^{\prime}}(x)-U(x)\right\| \leq 6 \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes the theorem. The remainder of the argument is given to a proof of (3).

Define $\psi: X^{\prime} \mapsto Y$ by $\psi(y)=\varphi\left(U^{-1}(y)\right)$ for each $y \in X^{\prime}$. Since $U$ is an isometry, $\psi$ is an $\varepsilon$-isometry. Set $\psi_{X^{\prime}}=P_{X^{\prime}} \circ P_{Z} \circ \psi, \psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}=\left(I-P_{X^{\prime}}\right) \circ P_{Z} \circ \psi$, and $\psi_{(Z)^{\perp}}=\left(I-P_{Z}\right) \circ \psi$. Then both $\psi=\psi_{X^{\prime}}+\psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}+\psi_{Z^{\perp}}$ and $\hat{\psi}=$ $\widehat{\psi_{X^{\prime}}}+\widehat{\psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}}$. Moreover, from the definition of $\psi$ it follows that $\widehat{\psi_{X^{\prime}}}=\mathrm{id}_{X^{\prime}}$.

Fix $x \in X$ and let $y=U(x)$. If $y=\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)$, then obviously $\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\| \leq$ $6 \varepsilon$. The work comes in establishing this same inequality when $y \neq \psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)$ and it helps to define $z$ in this case by $z=\left(y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right) /\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\|$.

It follows from Lemma 2 that there is an $F \in Y^{*}$ such that $\|F\|=1$ and
$|F \circ \psi(t z)-t| \leq 5 \varepsilon \quad$ for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$.
Hence $F \circ \hat{\psi}(t z)=t$ for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$. Now write

$$
\hat{\psi}(t z)=\hat{\psi}_{X^{\prime}}(t z)+\widehat{\psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}}(t z)=t z+\widehat{\psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}}(t z)
$$

for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$. Let $G \in\left(\operatorname{span}\{z\} \oplus\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \oplus Z^{\perp}\right)^{*}$ be defined by

$$
G\left(s z+z_{2}\right)=s \quad \text { for each } s \in \mathfrak{R} \text { and } z_{2} \in\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \oplus Z^{\perp} .
$$

Then $\|G\|=1$ and $G \circ \hat{\psi}(z)=1$. Since $\hat{\psi}(z)$ is a smooth point in $Y$, we have $F=G$ on $\operatorname{span}\{z\} \oplus\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \oplus Z^{\perp}$. In particular, then, $F(z)=1$ and $\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp} \oplus Z^{\perp} \subseteq F^{-1}(0)$. By (4), for each $t \in \mathfrak{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(t z)-t\right| & =\left|F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(t z)+F \circ \psi_{\left(X^{\prime}\right)^{\perp}}(t z)+F \circ \psi_{Z^{\perp}}(t z)-t\right| \\
& =|F \circ \psi(t z)-t| \leq 5 \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest of the proof, we make use of some ideas in [6]. Choose $u \in$ $F^{-1}(0) \cap X^{\prime}$ with $\|u\|=1$ such that $\left\{y, \psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\} \subset \operatorname{span}\{z, u\}$. Hence, there exist numbers $\beta$ and $\gamma$ such that $\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)=\beta z+\gamma u$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\|t z-y\| & \geq\|\psi(t z)-\psi(y)\|-\varepsilon \geq F \circ P_{X^{\prime}}(\psi(t z)-\psi(y))-\varepsilon \\
& =F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(t z)-F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)-\varepsilon \geq t-5 \varepsilon-\beta-\varepsilon  \tag{5}\\
& =t-\beta-6 \varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha=\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\|+\beta$. Then $y=\alpha z+\gamma u$. Since $z$ is a smooth point with support functional $F,\|\cdot\|$ is Gateaux differentiable at $z$ with the Gateaux derivative $F$. Thus $\|z+t u\|=1+o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|t z-y\|=\|t z-\alpha z-\gamma u\|=(t-\alpha)+o(1) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (5) and (6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha-\beta \leq 6 \varepsilon \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $z=\left(y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right) /\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\|$ and $F(z)=1$, it follows that

$$
F(y)-F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)=\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\| .
$$

But from the description of $\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)$ and $y$ in terms of $z$ and $u$ it is immediate that

$$
F(y)-F \circ \psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)=\alpha-\beta .
$$

Hence (7) implies that

$$
\left\|y-\psi_{X^{\prime}}(y)\right\| \leq 6 \varepsilon
$$

That is,

$$
\left\|U(x)-\varphi_{X^{\prime}}(x)\right\| \leq 6 \varepsilon
$$

as was to be shown. This completes the proof.
The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.
Theorem 6. Let $Y$ be strictly convex and smooth. Suppose that $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ is an into $\varepsilon$-isometry satisfying Property $(\mathrm{Q})$. Then there is a continuous linear operator $T$ from $Y$ onto $X$ such that $\|T\|=1$ and

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$

Proof. Note that $\hat{\psi}$ is a linear isometry since $Y$ is strictly convex. Hence $Z=\overline{\operatorname{span}} \hat{\varphi}(X)=\hat{\psi}(X)$. Now the identity map $\mathrm{id}_{Z}: Z \mapsto Z$ plays the role of $P_{X^{\prime}}$ in Theorem 5, which may be applied directly to complete the proof.

Specializing Theorem 6 to the $L^{p}$ spaces leads to the next result.
Theorem 7. Let $\left(\Omega_{i}, \Sigma_{i}, \mu_{i}\right), i=1,2$, be any two measure spaces, and fix $p, 1<p<\infty$. Assume that $\varphi$ is an $\varepsilon$-isometry of $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ into $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ with $\varphi(0)=0$. Then there is a continuous linear operator $T: L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right) \mapsto L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)
$$

Proof. First we need to recall the following two results:
(I) [8, p. 162] Let ( $\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}$ ) and ( $\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}$ ) be measure spaces and $1<p<\infty$. Suppose that $Y$ is a closed subspace of $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ which is linearly isometric to $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$. Then there is a CS projection of $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$ onto $Y$.
(II) (Bourgin [2]) Fix $p, 1<p<\infty$, and let $\varphi$ be an $\varepsilon$-isometry of $X$ into $L^{p}(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ with $\varphi(0)=0$. Then $\hat{\varphi}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{n}$ exists for each $x \in X$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ is a linear isometry. (The special case $p=2$ was established by Hyers and Ulam [7].)

By (I) and (II) above, $\hat{\varphi}(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(2^{n} x\right) / 2^{n}$ exists for each $x \in$ $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)$, and $\hat{\varphi}\left(L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}, \Sigma_{1}, \mu_{1}\right)\right)$ is the range of a CS projection on $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Sigma_{2}, \mu_{2}\right)$. Hence the theorem follows from Theorem 6.

The next theorem is concerned with $\varepsilon$-isometries whose ranges are Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathscr{H}$ be a Hilbert space and $X$ any Banach space. Let $\varphi: X \mapsto$ $\mathscr{H}$ be an into $\varepsilon$-isometry with $\varphi(0)=0$. Then there is a continuous linear operator $T: \mathscr{H} \mapsto X$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$

The last result involves $\varepsilon$-isometries with Property $(\mathrm{Q})$ whose ranges have the RNP.

Theorem 9. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces with $X$ separable and $Y$ both smooth and with the RNP. Suppose that $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ is an into e-isometry satisfying property $(\mathrm{Q})$. Then there is a linear operator $T: X \mapsto Y$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$

Proof. The map $\hat{\varphi}$ is an isometry of $X$ into $Y$. By Figiel's theorem [4], there is a norm-one linear operator $T: Z=\overline{\operatorname{span}} \hat{\varphi}(X) \mapsto X$ such that $T \circ \hat{\varphi}=\mathrm{id}_{X}$. Since $Y$ has the RNP and $X$ is separable, the operator $D \hat{\varphi}(a)$ exists for some $a \in X$ ([9] or [1]). Then $D \hat{\varphi}(a)$ is a linear isometry of $X$ onto the subspace $X^{\prime}=[D \hat{\varphi}(a)](X) \subset Y$. Define $P_{X^{\prime}}=D \hat{\varphi}(a) \circ T$. Then $P_{X^{\prime}}$ is a CS projection of $Z$ onto $X^{\prime}$ and $P_{X^{\prime}} \circ \hat{\varphi}=D \hat{\varphi}(a)$. Thus an application of Theorem 5 completes the proof.

Remark 10. Separability of $X$ guarantees that $\hat{\varphi}$ is Gateaux differentiable at some point in $X$. It is unknown whether the theorem remains true without separability of $X$.

Not surprisingly, when finite-dimensional Banach spaces were considered, correspondingly stronger results may be established. Indeed, let $Y$ be a finitedimensional Banach space and $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ an into $\varepsilon$-isometry. Then $\hat{\varphi}(x)=$ $\lim _{\mathscr{C}} \frac{\varphi(n x)}{n}$ exists for each $x \in X$. As a consequence of this observation, the following result is a special case of Theorem 9.

Corollary 11. Let $Y$ be a smooth finite-dimensional Banach space. Suppose that $\varphi: X \mapsto Y$ is an into $\varepsilon$-isometry with $\varphi(0)=0$ for which there is a CS projection of $Y$ onto span $\hat{\varphi}(X)$. Then there is a linear operator $T: X \mapsto Y$ with $\|T\|=1$ such that

$$
\|T \circ \varphi(x)-x\| \leq 6 \varepsilon \quad \text { for each } x \in X
$$
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