PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 125, Number 2, February 1997, Pages 417–425 S 0002-9939(97)03477-1

# STABILITY OF THE LOCAL SPECTRUM

#### TERESA BERMÚDEZ, MANUEL GONZÁLEZ, AND ANTONIO MARTINÓN

(Communicated by Palle E. T. Jorgensen)

ABSTRACT. We give some conditions implying the equality of local spectra

$$\sigma(x,T) = \sigma(f[T]x,T),$$

where  $T: X \longrightarrow X$  is a (bounded linear) operator on a complex Banach space X, and f[T]x is defined by means of a local functional calculus. Moreover, we give conditions implying the stability of the local spectrum for the holomorphic and the meromorphic functional calculi.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex Banach space and let T be a (bounded linear) operator defined on X. For every  $x \in X$ , the operator T has a local spectrum  $\sigma(x, T)$  which is a useful tool in the study of the structure of the spectrum and the invariant subspaces of T.

The problem we address is the detection of vectors y which have the same local spectrum as a fixed vector x, namely

(1) 
$$\sigma(x,T) = \sigma(y,T).$$

This problem has deserved the attention of several authors. In [2], Erdelyi and Lange prove that if T is an operator satisfying the Single Valued Extension Property (hereafter referred to as SVEP) and  $\hat{x}_T$  is the local resolvent function of T in x, then

(2) 
$$\sigma(\widehat{x}_T(\lambda), T) = \sigma(x, T)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(x,T)$ . Moreover, if A is an operator which commutes with an operator T satisfying the SVEP, then

(3) 
$$\sigma(Ax,T) \subset \sigma(x,T),$$

for all  $x \in X$ . In particular, if A has an inverse, then the expression (3) turns into an equality. It also follows, from the results derived by Bartle [1], that given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

(4) 
$$\sigma((\lambda - T)^n x, T) \subset \sigma(x, T) \subset \sigma((\lambda - T)^n x, T) \cup \{\lambda\}.$$

©1997 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors May 18, 1995.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A11, 47A60.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$  Local spectrum, holomorphic functional calculus, meromorphic functional calculus.

Supported in part by DGICYT Grant PB 91-0307 (Spain).

Hence if  $\lambda \notin \sigma(x,T)$ , then

$$\sigma(x,T) = \sigma((\lambda - T)^n x, T).$$

Finally McGuire [7] shows that if T is an operator in a complex separable Hilbert space H with an empty point spectrum, and f is an analytic function on an open set  $\Delta(f)$  containing  $\sigma(x,T)$ , not identically zero on any component of  $\Delta(f)$ , then

$$\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T),$$

where f[T]x is defined by using the "Cauchy formula" with the local resolvent of T in x (see below).

In this paper we give conditions implying the equality

$$\sigma(x,T) = \sigma(Ax,T)$$

for certain operators A obtained from T using the meromorphic functional calculus or the local functional calculus. Our results include those of [1], [2] and [7].

### 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a complex Banach space. We denote by L(X) the class of all (bounded linear) operators on X, and by C(X) the class of all closed operators T with *domain* D(T) and *range* R(T) in X.

Given  $T \in C(X)$ , we have that  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\rho(T)$ , the resolvent set of T, if there exists  $(\lambda - T)^{-1} \in L(X)$  such that  $R((\lambda - T)^{-1}) = D(T)$  and for every  $x \in X$  we have

$$(\lambda - T)(\lambda - T)^{-1}x = x.$$

We denote by  $\sigma(T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T)$  the spectrum set of T. Note that the set  $\rho(T)$  is open and the resolvent function  $\lambda \longrightarrow (\lambda - T)^{-1}$  is analytic in  $\rho(T)$ .

Likewise, for every  $x \in X$  the local spectral theory is defined as follows. We say that  $\lambda \in \rho(x, T)$ , the *local resolvent set* of T in x, if there exists an analytic function  $w: U \longrightarrow X$  defined on a neighborhood U of  $\lambda$ , which satisfies the equation

$$(\mu - T)w(\mu) = x,$$

for every  $\mu \in U$ . We denote by  $\sigma(x,T) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(x,T)$  the *local spectrum set* of T in x. Since w is not necessarily unique, a property is introduced to avoid this problem.

A closed linear operator  $T: D(T) \subset X \longrightarrow X$  satisfies the SVEP if for every analytic function  $h: \triangle(h) \longrightarrow X$  defined on an open set  $\triangle(h) \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the condition  $(\lambda - T)h(\lambda) \equiv 0$  implies  $h \equiv 0$ . If T satisfies the SVEP, then for every  $x \in X$  there exists a unique maximal analytic function  $\hat{x}_T: \rho(x,T) \longrightarrow X$  such that

$$(\lambda I - T)\widehat{x}_T(\lambda) = x,$$

for every  $\lambda \in \rho(x, T)$ . The function  $\hat{x}_T$  is called the *local resolvent function* of T at x. See [2], [3] and [6] for further details.

In the following proposition we recall from [2] some basic properties for operators satisfying the SVEP.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  satisfy the SVEP and let  $x \in X$ . Then the following assertions hold:

(i) If  $\lambda \in \rho(x,T)$ , then  $\sigma(\hat{x}_T(\lambda),T) = \sigma(x,T)$ .

(ii) If  $S \in L(X)$  commutes with T and y = Sx, then  $S\hat{x}_T(\lambda) = \hat{y}_T(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \rho(x,T)$ . In particular,  $\sigma(Sx,T) \subset \sigma(x,T)$ .

For  $T \in L(X)$ , the holomorphic functional calculus is defined as follows [9]. Let f be an analytic function defined on an open set  $\Delta(f)$  containing  $\sigma(T)$ . The operator  $f(T) \in L(X)$  is defined by the "Cauchy formula"

$$f(T) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, T) d\lambda,$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of a Cauchy domain D such that  $\sigma(T) \subset D \subset \Delta(f)$ .

This definition may be extended to meromorphic functions. Let f be a meromorphic function in an open set  $\Delta(f)$  containing  $\sigma(T)$ , such that the poles of f are not in the point spectrum  $\sigma_p(T)$ , and let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$  be the poles of f in  $\sigma(T)$ , with multiplicity  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ , respectively. We consider the polynomial p given by

$$p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha_i - \lambda)^{n_i}.$$

Note that  $g(\lambda) := f(\lambda)p(\lambda)$  is an analytic function. In [4], Gindler defines a meromorphic functional calculus by

$$f\{T\} := g(T)p(T)^{-1}.$$

In this way he obtains an operator  $f\{T\} \in C(X)$ . Clearly, the meromorphic calculus is an extension of the holomorphic calculus.

### 3. The local functional calculus

Let f be an analytic function defined on an open set  $\triangle(f)$ . For H a Hilbert space and  $T \in L(H)$  an operator with empty point spectrum, McGuire [7] introduces a *local functional calculus* in which he defines f[T]x, for  $x \in H$  with  $\sigma(x,T) \subset \triangle(f)$ , by

(5) 
$$f[T]x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) \widehat{x}_T(\lambda) d\lambda$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the boundary of a Cauchy domain D such that  $\sigma(x,T) \subset D \subset \Delta(f)$ .

Using this idea, for any  $T \in L(X)$  satisfying the SVEP we define an operator

$$f[T]: D(f[T]) \subset X \longrightarrow X$$

with domain

$$D(f[T]) := \{ x \in X : \sigma(x, T) \subset \Delta(f) \}$$

and f[T]x given by (5) for  $x \in D(f[T])$ .

**Proposition 2.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  satisfy the SVEP and let f be an analytic function in  $\Delta(f)$ . Then D(f[T]) is a linear subspace of X and f[T] is a linear operator.

*Proof.* It follows from  $\sigma(x+y,T) \subset \sigma(x,T) \cup \sigma(y,T)$  [2, Proposition 1.5] and the definition.

Next, we prove some results concerning the local functional calculus.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  satisfy the SVEP and let f be an analytic function in  $\Delta(f)$ . Then the following assertions hold:

- (i) If  $S \in L(X)$  commutes with T, then S commutes with f[T]; i.e.,  $SD(f[T]) \subset D(f[T])$  and Sf[T]x = f[T]Sx for all  $x \in D(f[T])$ .
- (ii) If  $x \in D(f[T])$  and y := f[T]x, then  $f[T]\hat{x}_T = \hat{y}_T$  in  $\rho(x, T)$ , hence  $\sigma(f[T]x, T) \subset \sigma(x, T)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $x \in D(f[T])$ . By Proposition 1 we have  $\sigma(Sx,T) \subset \sigma(x,T)$ , and so  $Sx \in D(f[T])$ . Moreover,  $S\hat{x}_T$  is a restriction of the local resolvent of Sx. Then we have

$$Sf[T]x = S\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)\widehat{x}_{T}(\lambda)d\lambda\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)S\widehat{x}_{T}(\lambda)d\lambda$$
$$= f[T]Sx.$$

(ii) We prove that  $f[T]\hat{x}_T$  is analytic at every point  $\lambda \in \rho(x, T)$ . By Proposition 1 and using the expression for the local resolvent of  $\hat{x}_T(\lambda)$  in [2, Proposition 1.5] we have

$$f[T]\widehat{x}_T(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\mu) \left(\frac{\widehat{x}_T(\mu) - \widehat{x}_T(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu}\right) d\mu$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\mu)\widehat{x}_T(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\mu)\widehat{x}_T(\lambda)}{\lambda - \mu} d\mu.$$

For every  $x^* \in X^*$ , the first integral satisfies

$$x^*\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{f(\mu)\widehat{x}_T(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}d\mu\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}\frac{f(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}x^*(\widehat{x}_T(\mu))d\mu.$$

So it is analytic by [8, Theorem 10.7]. The second integral is analytic by Cauchy's Theorem. Consequently  $f[T]\hat{x}_T$  is analytic in  $\rho(x,T)$ .

Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$ , and let f, g be analytic functions such that  $x \in D(f[T]) \cap D(g[T])$ . Clearly we have  $(\alpha f + \beta g)[T]x = \alpha f[T]x + \beta g[T]x$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Proposition 4.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$  and let f, g be analytic functions in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(x, T)$ . Then

(6) 
$$(fg)[T]x = f[T]g[T]x = g[T]f[T]x$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of the corresponding result for the holomorphic functional calculus [9].

First, taking into account part (ii) of Proposition 3, we obtain  $\sigma(f[T]x,T) \subset \sigma(x,T) \subset \Delta(g)$ , hence  $f[T]x \in D(g[T])$ , and analogously  $g[T]x \in D(f[T])$ . Moreover  $\widehat{g[T]x_T} = g[T]\widehat{x_T}$ .

Now if  $D_1, D_2$  are bounded Cauchy domains such that  $\sigma(x,T) \subset D_1, \overline{D_1} \subset D_2$ and  $\overline{D_2} \subset \Delta(f) \cap \Delta(g)$ , then we express f[T](g[T]x) as an integral with respect to  $\lambda$  over  $\Gamma_1$ . Moreover we can write

$$f[T]g[T]x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda) (\widehat{g[T]x})_T(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda)g[T]\widehat{x}_T(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Now expressing  $g[T]\hat{x}_T(\lambda)$  as an integral over  $\Gamma_2$  and using the expression for the local resolvent of  $\hat{x}_T(\lambda)$  in [2, Proposition 1.5], we obtain

$$f[T]g[T]x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda) \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} g(\eta) \left( \frac{\widehat{x}_T(\lambda) - \widehat{x}_T(\eta)}{\eta - \lambda} \right) d\eta \right\} d\lambda$$
$$= -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda) \widehat{x}_T(\lambda) \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{g(\eta)}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta d\lambda + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda) \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{\widehat{x}_T(\eta)g(\eta)}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta d\lambda.$$

Since  $\lambda \in D_2$  and  $\eta \notin \overline{D_1}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{g(\eta)}{\eta - \lambda} d\eta = g(\lambda), \qquad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{f(\lambda)}{\eta - \lambda} d\lambda = 0.$$

Hence we obtain the desired result

$$f[T]g[T]x = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} f(\lambda)g(\lambda)\widehat{x}_T(\lambda)d\lambda = (fg)[T]x.$$

Remark 1. Sometimes the results of evaluating f[T]g[T]x and (fg)[T]x are different, as it is shown by the following example: Let T be the operator on the Hilbert space  $\ell_2(\mathbb{N})$  defined by  $T(x_n) = (\frac{1}{n}x_n)$ . It is clear that T satisfies the SVEP, since T is a self-adjoint operator. For  $x = (x_n) \in \ell_2(\mathbb{N})$  we have

$$\sigma(x,T) = \overline{\left\{\frac{1}{n} : x_n \neq 0\right\}}.$$

We take  $x =: (1, 1, 0, ...), f(\lambda) := \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$  and  $g(\lambda) := 1 - \lambda$ . It is easy to show that  $\sigma(x, T) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\}$  and  $\sigma((I - T)x, T) = \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ , hence  $x \notin D(f[T])$  and  $(I - T)x \in D(f[T])$ . Using the local functional calculus f[T](I - T)x = (0, 1, 0, ...) and (fg)[T]x = x.

Note that  $x \notin D(f[T])$ . So we cannot define g[T]f[T]x.

McGuire proved in [7] the equality (6) in the case X is a complex separable Hilbert space and T has empty point spectrum.

## 4. Stability under the action of polynomials

Our first result provides a characterization for the equality  $\sigma(p(T)x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$ when p is a polynomial.

**Theorem 1.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$  and let

$$p(\lambda) = (\alpha_1 - \lambda)^{n_1} \dots (\alpha_p - \lambda)^{n_p}$$

be a polynomial with  $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . We have

$$\sigma(p(T)x,T) = \sigma(x,T)$$

if and only if there is no  $i \in \{1, ..., p\}$  so that  $\alpha_i$  is a pole of  $\hat{x}_T$  of order  $\leq n_i$ . Consequently,  $\sigma(p(T)x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$  if no  $\alpha_i$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(x, T)$ .

*Proof.* Assume first  $p(\lambda) = (\alpha - \lambda)^n$ . We shall prove that

(7) 
$$\sigma((\alpha - T)^n x, T) \neq \sigma(x, T)$$

if and only if  $\alpha$  is a pole of  $\hat{x}_T$  of order  $\leq n$ .

Taking into account

$$\sigma((\alpha - T)x, T) \subset \sigma(x, T) \subset \sigma((\alpha - T)x, T) \cup \{\alpha\},\$$

(7) is equivalent to  $\alpha \in \sigma(x,T) \cap \rho((\alpha - T)^n x,T)$ . We have that  $(\alpha - T)^n \hat{x}_T$  is the local resolvent of T at  $(\alpha - T)^n x$  in a punctured neighborhood of  $\alpha$ . Hence  $\alpha \in \rho((\alpha - T)^n x,T)$  if and only if the function  $(\alpha - T)^n \hat{x}_T$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ .

Considering the binomial expansion

$$(\alpha - T)^n = (\alpha - \mu + \mu - T)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (\alpha - \mu)^r (\mu - T)^{n-r},$$

and denoting

422

$$A(\mu, \alpha) := \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{r} (\alpha - \mu)^r (\mu - T)^{n-r},$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\alpha - T)^n \widehat{x}_T(\mu) = \lim_{\mu \to \alpha} \left[ (\alpha - \mu)^n \widehat{x}_T(\mu) + A(\mu, \alpha) \widehat{x}_T(\mu) + (\mu - T)^n \widehat{x}_T(\mu) \right]$$
$$= \lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\alpha - \mu)^n \widehat{x}_T(\mu) + (\alpha - T)^{n-1} x.$$

Taking into account this equality, it is clear that the function  $(\alpha - T)^n \hat{x}_T$  is continuous at  $\alpha$  if and only if  $\hat{x}_T$  have a pole at  $\alpha$  of order  $\leq n$ .

In the general case, an iterated application of the previous process yields  $\sigma(p(T)x,T) = \sigma(x,T)$ .

**Corollary 1.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $p(\lambda)$  be a polynomial having no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T)$ . Then

$$\label{eq:starseq} \begin{split} \sigma(p(T)x,T) &= \sigma(x,T), \mbox{ for all } x \in X. \\ Consequently, \mbox{ if } y \in D(p(T)^{-1}) = R(P(T)), \mbox{ then} \\ \sigma(p(T)^{-1}y,T) &= \sigma(y,T). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Assume that there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\sigma(p(T)x,T) \neq \sigma(x,T)$ . By Theorem 1, there is a zero  $\alpha$  of the polynomial p with order n which is a pole of  $\hat{x}_T$  of order  $k \leq n$ , that is  $y := \lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\mu - \alpha)^k \hat{x}_T(\mu) \neq 0$ , but  $\lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\mu - \alpha)^{k+1} \hat{x}_T(\mu) = 0$ . Then

$$(\alpha - T)y = (\alpha - T)\lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\mu - \alpha)^k \widehat{x}_T(\mu)$$
$$= \lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\alpha - \mu)(\mu - \alpha)^k \widehat{x}_T(\mu) + \lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\mu - T)(\alpha - \mu)^k \widehat{x}_T(\mu)$$
$$= -\lim_{\mu \to \alpha} (\mu - \alpha)^{k+1} x = 0.$$

Hence  $\alpha \in \sigma_p(T)$ . Consequently,  $\sigma(p(T)x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$  if p has no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T)$ . Hence, it is enough to apply the equality  $\sigma(p(T)x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$  to  $x \in X$  such that y = p(T)x.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $T \in L(X)$  satisfy the SVEP and let  $x \in X$ . If  $p(\lambda)$  is a polynomial having no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T) \cap \sigma(x,T)$ , then

$$\sigma(p(T)x,T) = \sigma(x,T).$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma(x,T) \neq \sigma(p(T)x,T)$ , then there is a zero  $\alpha$  of p which is a pole of  $\hat{x}_T$  of order n. Moreover by Corollary 1,  $\alpha \in \sigma_p(T)$  hence  $\alpha \in \sigma(x,T) \cap \sigma_p(T)$ .

In general, the converse of the above corollary is not true, as the following example shows.

**Example 1.** Let B([0,1]) denote the Banach space of all bounded functions from [0,1] into  $\mathbb{C}$ , with the supremum norm. For  $u \in B([0,1])$  we define (Tu)(s) = su(s) for all  $s \in [0,1]$ . If x(t) is given by

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ 1, & \frac{1}{2} < t \le 1, \end{cases}$$

then  $\sigma(x,T) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2},1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $1 \in \sigma(x,T) \cap \sigma_p(T)$ . However for  $p(\lambda) := 1 - \lambda$ , we have

$$(I-T)x(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \le t \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ (1-t), & \frac{1}{2} < t \le 1, \end{cases}$$

hence  $\sigma((I - T)x, T) = [\frac{1}{2}, 1] = \sigma(x, T).$ 

Remark 2. The results of this section have been obtained for  $T \in L(X)$  satisfying the SVEP. If T does not satisfy the SVEP, then Corollary 2 and the necessary condition for the equality  $\sigma(p(T)x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$  of Theorem 1 are also true.

5. Stability under the action of analytic and meromorphic functions

The following proposition provides a sufficient condition for the equality

$$\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T),$$

where f is a function of the local functional calculus.

**Proposition 5.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$  and let f be an analytic function in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(x,T)$ . If f has no zeroes in  $\sigma(x,T)$ , then

 $\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T).$ 

Proof. By part (ii) of Proposition 3, it is enough to show that  $\rho(f[T]x, T) \subset \rho(x, T)$ . We denote  $h(\lambda) := f(\lambda)^{-1}$  and y := f[T]x. By part (ii) of Proposition 3 we have that  $h[T]\hat{y}_T(\lambda)$  is an analytic function on  $\rho(y, T)$ . Moreover, since  $\hat{x}_T(\mu) \in D(g[T]) \cap D(f[T])$ , using Proposition 4 we obtain

$$(\mu - T)h[T]\widehat{y}_T(\mu) = (\mu - T)h[T]f[T]\widehat{x}_T(\mu) = x$$
  
for all  $\mu \in \rho(y, T)$ ; hence  $\rho(y, T) \subset \rho(x, T)$ .

**Theorem 2** (Stability of the local spectrum). Assume that  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$  and let f be a function analytic in a neighborhood of  $\sigma(x,T)$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_p$  be the zeroes of f in  $\sigma(x,T)$  with multiplicities  $n_1, \ldots, n_p$ , respectively. Then

$$\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T)$$

if and only if there is no  $i \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$  so that  $\alpha_i$  is a pole of  $\hat{x}_T$  of order  $\leq n_i$ .

*Proof.* We write  $f(\lambda) = p(\lambda)g(\lambda)$ , where  $g \neq 0$  in  $\sigma(x,T)$  and

$$p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i - \lambda)^{n_i}.$$

By part (ii) of Proposition 1, we obtain that  $\sigma(p(T)x,T) \subset \sigma(x,T)$ ; hence g has no zeroes in  $\sigma(p(T)x,T)$ . Applying Proposition 5 to the function g we obtain

$$\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(g[T]p(T)x,T) = \sigma(p(T)x,T),$$

and using Theorem 1 we conclude the proof.

The result [7, Theorem 1.5] of McGuire may be readily derived from the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let  $x \in X$ , and let f be an analytic function in  $\sigma(x,T)$ . If f has no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T) \cap \sigma(x,T)$ , then  $\sigma(x,T) = \sigma(f[T]x,T)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear by Proposition 5 and Corollary 2.

The following corollary provides characterizations of when an analytic function f satisfies the equality

$$\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T), \text{ for all } x \in D(f[T]).$$

**Corollary 4.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. If f is an analytic function which is not identically zero on any component of  $\Delta(f)$  intersecting  $\sigma(T)$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) f has no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T) \cap \sigma(x,T)$ , for all  $x \in D(f[T])$ .

(ii)  $\sigma(f[T]x,T) = \sigma(x,T)$ , for all  $x \in D(f[T])$ .

(iii) f[T] is injective.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) It is clear by Corollary 3.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It is enough to note that  $\sigma(x,T) = \emptyset$  if and only if x = 0.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose that f has a zero  $\alpha$  belonging to  $\sigma_p(T) \cap \sigma(x,T)$ , with multiplicity k. We may write  $f(\lambda) = g(\lambda)(\alpha - \lambda)^k$ .

Then there exists a non-zero vector  $y \in X$  such that  $(\alpha - T)y = 0$  and  $\sigma(y, T) = \{\alpha\}$ . Hence  $y \in D(f[T])$  and  $f[T]y = g[T](\alpha - T)^k y = 0$ .

In the following corollary we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of the local spectrum by the meromorphic calculus.

Notice that the result holds for all  $x \in D(f\{T\})$ , which in general includes properly D(f[T]).

**Corollary 5.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP. Let f be a meromorphic function in an open set containing  $\sigma(T)$ , such that the poles of f are outside the point spectrum of T and f is identically zero in no component of  $\Delta(f)$ .

Then  $\sigma(f{T}x,T) = \sigma(x,T)$  for all  $x \in D(f{T})$  if and only if f has no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T)$ .

*Proof.* First we assume f has no zeroes in  $\sigma_p(T)$ . As in the definition of the meromorphic calculus, we write  $f\{T\} = g(T)p(T)^{-1}$ , where g is an analytic function in  $\sigma(T)$  and p is a polynomial such that the zeros of p are not in  $\sigma_p(T)$ . By Proposition 5 we have

$$\sigma(g(T)p(T)^{-1}x,T) = \sigma(p(T)^{-1}x,T),$$

and by Corollary 1

$$\sigma(p(T)^{-1}x,T) = \sigma(x,T),$$

hence  $\sigma(f{T}x, T) = \sigma(x, T)$ .

For the converse, note that if f has a zero  $\alpha$  in  $\sigma_p(T)$ , then there exists a non-zero vector  $x \in X$  such that  $(\alpha - T)x = 0$ , hence  $f\{T\}x = 0$ .

Finally our aim is to provide a property similar to (4) for the operator f[T].

**Proposition 6.** Assume  $T \in L(X)$  satisfies the SVEP, and let f be an analytic function in  $\sigma(x,T)$ . Then

$$\sigma(x,T) \subset \sigma(f[T]x,T) \cup Z_x(f,T),$$

where  $Z_x(f,T)$  denotes the set of all zeros of f in  $\sigma(x,T)$ .

*Proof.* We can write  $f(\mu) = p(\mu)g(\mu)$ , with p representing the zeroes of f in  $\sigma(x, T)$  and g having no zeroes in  $\sigma(x, T)$ .

Note that f[T]x = p(T)g[T]x. By Proposition 5 we have  $\sigma(x,T) = \sigma(g[T]x,T)$ , and it is clear that  $\sigma(x,T) \subset \sigma(g[T]x,T) \cup Z_x(p,T) = \sigma(p(T)g[T]x,T) \cup Z_x(p,T)$ . So the result is proved.

#### References

- R. G. Bartle, Spectral decomposition of operators in Banach spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 20 (1970) 438-450. MR 41:7464
- 2. I. Erdelyi, R. Lange, Spectral decompositions of Banach spaces, Springer, 1977. MR 58:2432
- I. Erdelyi, Wang Shengwang, A local spectral theory for closed operators, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985. MR 87g:47059
- 4. H. Gindler, An operational calculus for meromorphic functions, Nagoya Math. J., 26 (1966), 31-38. MR **33:**6394
- M. González, V. M. Onieva, On the meromorphic and Schechter-Shapiro operational calculi, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 116 (1986) 363-377. MR 87j:47025
- R. Lange, S. Wang, New approaches in spectral decomposition, American Mathematical Society, 1992. MR 93i:47039
- P. McGuire, A local functional calculus, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 9 (1986) 218-236. MR 88c:47025
- 8. W. Rudin, Real and Complex Analysis (Third edition). McGraw-Hill, 1987. MR 88k:00002
- A. E. Taylor, D.C. Lay, Introduction to functional analysis (2nd edition), Wiley, 1980. MR 81b:46001

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, LA LAGUNA, SPAIN *E-mail address*: tbermudez@ull.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CANTABRIA, SANTANDER, SPAIN

DEPARTAMENTO DE ANÁLISIS MATEMÁTICO, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA LAGUNA, LA LAGUNA, SPAIN