K -REGULAR WITT RINGS

ROBERT W. FITZGERALD

(Communicated by Lance W. Small)

ABSTRACT. We improve Kula's bounds on the size of possible k -regular Witt rings.

(R, G, q) will denote an abstract Witt ring in the sense of [4]. Nearly all examples of interest are Witt rings of non-singular quadratic forms over a field of characteristic not two; however, using abstract Witt rings does simplify some proofs. The Witt ring is k -regular if there exists a 2-power k such that for all $1 \neq x \in G$ we have $|D(1, -x)| = k$. Such Witt rings were first studied in [1] primarily because the block design counting arguments there were perfectly suited to k -regular rings. However they remain unclassified.

We will always assume that G is finite; set $g = |G|$. If $k = g$, then R is totally degenerate and so classified by [4]. If $k = g/2$, then R is of local type [2] which is again classified in [4]. If $k = 2$, then R is a group ring extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_4$. If $2 < k < g/2$, then R is not of elementary type and no examples are known or even expected. We will always assume that $2 < k < g/2$ and call such k -regular Witt rings *exceptional*.

It was shown in [1] that exceptional k -regular Witt rings satisfy $8 \leq k$ and $2k^2 \leq g$. Kula [3] improved both bounds and added an upper bound, showing:

$$\begin{aligned} 16 &\leq k, \\ 8k^2 &\leq g \leq k^4/4 \quad \text{if } k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 8k^2 &\leq g \leq k^4/8 \quad \text{if } k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we show that $k^3 \leq g$ and that if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

We fix some notation, which will agree with Kula's. G^* denotes $G \setminus \{1\}$. We set $e = \log_2 k$. For $a \in G^*$ and $i \geq 0$ set:

$$X_i(a) = \{x \in G: x \neq 1, a \text{ and } |Q(a) \cap Q(x)| = 2^i\},$$

where $Q(x) = \{q(x, y): y \in G\}$. Now for $x \neq a$,

$$|Q(a) \cap Q(x)| = |D\langle 1, -ax \rangle|/|D\langle 1 - a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle| = k/|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle|.$$

Thus we also have that:

$$X_i(a) = \{x \in G: x \neq 1, a \text{ and } |D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -x \rangle| = 2^{e-i}\}.$$

Received by the editors November 21, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11E81.

Key words and phrases. Witt ring.

In particular, we may assume $0 \leq i \leq e$. We further set $n_i(a) = |X_i(a)|$ and write $X(a)$ for $X_e(a)$. For a 2-fold Pfister form ρ we let ρ' denote the pure part of ρ .

We will use various equations derived by Kula:

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{e-1} (2^{e-i} - 1)n_i(a) = k^2 - 3k + 2,$$

$$(2) \quad g + \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in Q(a)} |D(\rho')| = 1 + \frac{g}{k} + \sum_{i=0}^e 2^i n_i(a),$$

$$(3) \quad |X(a) \cap X(b)| \geq g - 2k^2 + 6k - 7 \geq g - 2k^2,$$

where $a \neq b$ in G^* for (3). Equation (1) is [3, 4.3b], (2) is equation (4.5.2) in [3, p. 45] and the first inequality of (3) is equation (4.3.1) in [3, p. 43]. The second inequality of (3) follows from our assumption that $k > 2$.

We will also use two simple equations:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=0}^e n_i(a) = g - 2,$$

$$(5) \quad |D(\rho')| < k^2 \quad (\text{if } \rho \neq 1).$$

Both (4) and (5) appear in [3] but direct proofs are quick. (4) follows from $G \setminus \{1, a\}$ being the union of the $X_i(a)$. For (5), suppose $\rho' = \langle a, b, ab \rangle$. Then

$$D(\rho') = a \cdot \bigcup_{x \in D\langle 1, a \rangle} D\langle 1, bx \rangle.$$

Since 1 occurs in each $D\langle 1, bx \rangle$ we have that $|D(\rho')| < |D\langle 1, a \rangle| \cdot k = k^2$.

Using equation (4) to find $n_e(a)$ and equation (1) to find $n_{e-1}(a)$, equation (2) may be re-written (see [3, pp. 45–46]) as:

$$(6) \quad g + \sum_{1 \neq \rho \in Q(a)} |D(\rho')| = 1 + \frac{g}{k} + gk - \frac{k^3}{2} + \frac{3k^2}{2} - 3k + \sum_{i=0}^{e-2} 2^i (2^{e-i-1} - 1)(2^{e-i} - 1)n_i(a).$$

Proposition 1. *If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof. We may pick an $a \in G^*$ with $\langle\langle 1, 1 \rangle\rangle \notin Q(a) \setminus \{1\}$ (otherwise $-G^* \subset D\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ while $|D\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle| < k^2$ by (5) and $|G^*| \geq 8k^2 - 1$ by [3, 4.4]). Then for each anisotropic $\rho \in Q(a)$ we have that $|D(\rho')| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ by [3, 2.9]. Also, since for each i , in equation (6) one of $e - i - 1$ or $e - i$ is even, we have that one of $2^{e-i-1} - 1$ or $2^{e-i} - 1$ is divisible by 3. Assuming $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, equation (6) gives:

$$g \equiv g + 1 + g - 2 \pmod{3},$$

and so $1 \equiv g \pmod{3}$. □

Theorem 1. $g \geq k^3$.

Proof. Suppose there exists an exceptional k -regular Witt ring (R, G) with $g < k^3$. Among all such Witt rings, choose one with minimal $h \equiv g/k^2$. Let a and b be distinct elements of G^* . Choose $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$, which is possible by equation (3) and the fact that $g \geq 8k^2$ [3, 4.4]. We use the equation (4.3.2) from [3, p. 43]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 hk = g/k = |Q(x)| &\geq |(Q(x) \cap Q(a))(Q(x) \cap Q(b))| \\
 &= \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} \\
 &\geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = k|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle|.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

A simple consequence of (7) is that $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \leq h$. Pick a minimal $s \geq 0$ so that there exist distinct a and b in G^* with $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| = h/2^s$. Set $2^t = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)|$. Then we have:

$$g \geq 2^{s+2}k^2 \quad \text{and} \quad t - s \geq 1. \tag{8}$$

Namely, if the first inequality failed, then $h = g/k^2 \leq 2^{s+1}$. But then $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \leq 2$ for all distinct a and b in G^* , while as noted in the first sentence of [3, p. 44] we can always find distinct a and b in G^* with $|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle| \geq 4$. For the second inequality of (8) note that:

$$2^t = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)| = \frac{k}{|D\langle 1, -a \rangle \cap D\langle 1, -b \rangle|} = \frac{2^s k}{h}.$$

Thus $2^{t-s}h = k$. By the assumption that $g = hk^2 < k^3$ we have $2h \leq k$ and so $t - s \geq 1$.

For each $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ we can rewrite (7) as:

$$hk \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = \frac{hk}{2^s}.$$

Then

$$|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)| \geq 2^{t-s}$$

since otherwise $|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)| < 2^{t-s} = |Q(a) \cap Q(b)|/2^s$ and equation (9) becomes:

$$hk \geq \frac{k^2}{|Q(x) \cap Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} > \frac{2^s k^2}{|Q(a) \cap Q(b)|} = hk.$$

List the elements of $Q(a) \cap Q(b)$ as $1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_{2^t}$. We have for each $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ that $2^{t-s} - 1$ of the ρ_i 's lie in $Q(x)$, or equivalently, satisfy $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. Set T_x equal to the number of i 's, $2 \leq i \leq 2^t$, such that $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. Then:

$$\sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x \geq (2^{t-s} - 1)|X(a) \cap X(b)|. \tag{10}$$

Now this sum counts the number of pairs (i, x) with $2 \leq i \leq 2^t$, $x \in X(a) \cap X(b)$ and $-x \in D(\rho'_i)$. We can also count the number of such pairs by first fixing i . Namely:

$$\sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x = \sum_{i=2}^{2^t} |D(\rho'_i) \cap -(X(a) \cap X(b))|. \tag{11}$$

Now (11) implies that there exists an i , $2 \leq i \leq 2^t$, such that:

$$|D(\rho'_i) \cap -(X(a) \cap X(b))| \geq \frac{1}{2^t - 1} \sum_{x \in X(a) \cap X(b)} T_x$$

and hence when combined with (9):

$$|D(\rho'_i)| \geq \frac{2^{t-s} - 1}{2^t - 1} |X(a) \cap X(b)|.$$

Applying equations (5) and (3) yields:

$$(12) \quad k^2 > \frac{2^{t-s} - 1}{2^t - 1} (g - 2k^2).$$

If $s = 0$, then (12) becomes $k^2 > g - 2k^2$ which is impossible as $g \geq 8k^2$ [3, 4.4]. Suppose then that $s \geq 1$. (12) is then:

$$(2^t + 2^{t-s+1} - 3)k^2 > (2^{t-s} - 1)g.$$

Use $g \geq 2^{s+2}k^2$ from the first part of (8) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} (2^t + 2^{t-s+1} - 3)k^2 &> (2^{t+2} - 2^{s+2})k^2, \\ 2^{s+2} + 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 3 \cdot 2^t. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, using $t - 1 \geq s$ from the second part of (8) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{t+1} + 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 3 \cdot 2^t, \\ 2^{t-s+1} - 3 &> 2^t, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible for $s \geq 1$. This contradiction shows $g \geq k^3$. $\square$

We combine these results with Kula's upper bound on g and bound on k .

Corollary 1. *For an exceptional k -regular Witt ring (R, G) with $g = |G|$: $k \geq 16$ and*

(1) *if $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $g \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and*

$$k^3 \leq g \leq \frac{1}{4}k^4,$$

(2) *if $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, then*

$$k^3 \leq g \leq \frac{1}{8}k^4. \quad \square$$

We note that the first open case is $k = 16$ and $g = 16^3 = 4096$.

Kula has shown that an exceptional k -regular Witt ring is non-formally real [3, Remark, p. 41] so that $I^n R = 0$ for some n . We have:

Corollary 2. *If (R, G) is an exceptional k -regular Witt ring, then $I^3 R \neq 0$. In fact, for any anisotropic 2-fold Pfister form ρ , $D(\rho) \neq G$.*

Proof. $D(\rho) = \bigcup_{b \in D(\rho')} D(1, b)$ so that $|D(\rho)| \leq k|D(\rho')| < k^3$ by equation (5). Thus $D(\rho) \neq G$ by Theorem 1. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. R. Fitzgerald and J. Yucas, *Combinatorial techniques and abstract Witt rings I*, J. Algebra **114** (1988), 40–52. MR **89c**:11058
2. I. Kaplansky, *Fröhlich's local quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **239** (1969), 74–77. MR **41**:1644
3. M. Kula, *Finitely Generated Witt Rings*, Uniwersytet Śląski, Katowice, 1991. MR **93b**:11049
4. M. Marshall, *Abstract Witt Rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Math., No. 57, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1980. MR **84b**:10032

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, CARBONDALE, ILLINOIS 62901-4408

E-mail address: rfitzg@math.siu.edu