ON THE CONCEPT OF Π_1^1 -COMPLETENESS

ALEXANDER S. KECHRIS

(Communicated by Andreas R. Blass)

ABSTRACT. It is shown that two natural notions of completeness for co-analytic sets in Polish spaces, one in terms of continuous reductions and the other in terms of Borel reductions, coincide. The proof uses methods of effective descriptive set theory.

The concept of completeness of sets in various classes in the projective and other hierarchies plays a central role in descriptive set theory. A complete set in a given class is a universal object that encapsulates the complexity of the class. The concept of completeness depends a priori on the choice of a collection of “reducing” maps and the main purpose of this paper is to show that, in certain standard cases, it is actually independent of such a choice and therefore has an intrinsic character. We explain below the precise results.

Consider sets in Polish (separable completely metrizable topological) spaces. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a class of functions between such spaces, like, for example, continuous, Borel, etc. A set $A \subseteq X$, X a Polish space, is called $\mathcal{F}\Pi_1^1$ -hard if for every zero-dimensional (i.e., having a basis consisting of clopen sets) Polish space Y and every Π_1^1 -set $B \subseteq Y$ there is a function $f : Y \rightarrow X$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, with $B = f^{-1}[A]$. (Such an f is said to *reduce* B to A .) If A is also Π_1^1 , we call A $\mathcal{F}\Pi_1^1$ -complete. For the importance of these notions in descriptive set theory we refer to [2]. There are two natural extremes for a choice of $\mathcal{F}$ in this context, namely $\mathcal{F}_0 =$ continuous and $\mathcal{F}_1 =$ Borel. In the first case we simply use the terms Π_1^1 -hard, Π_1^1 -complete and in the second the terms Borel Π_1^1 -hard and Borel Π_1^1 -complete. Clearly any set which is Π_1^1 -hard (complete) is also Borel Π_1^1 -hard (complete). The question of whether the converse also holds is a natural question that often comes up. It was brought to our attention by R. Dougherty. We show here, using the method of Harrington-Kechris [1], that this is indeed the case, and therefore the notion of Π_1^1 -completeness has an intrinsic character independent of the choice of the class of reducing functions. It is perhaps worth pointing out that the method of [1] makes use of effective descriptive set theory, while the result proved deals purely with classical notions. We do not know a proof of this result using only classical methods.

Theorem. *Let X be a Polish space and $A \subseteq X$. Then A is Π_1^1 -hard (resp., complete) iff A is Borel Π_1^1 -hard (resp., complete).*

Received by the editors October 2, 1995 and, in revised form, January 15, 1996.
 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 03E15, 04A15, 28A05, 54H05.
 The author's research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-9317509.

Proof. First we argue that it is enough to prove the result for $X = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ = the Baire space. Indeed, assume the result has been proved in this case. Let X be any Polish space and $A \subseteq X$ a Borel Π_1^1 -hard set. Since X is Polish, fix a closed set $F \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a continuous bijection $\pi : F \rightarrow X$. Let ρ be the inverse of X . Then ρ is Borel. Let σ be a continuous retraction from $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to F , and let $A' = (\pi \circ \sigma)^{-1}[A] \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Since A is Borel Π_1^1 -hard, then (using ρ) A' is Borel Π_1^1 -hard, so by our assumption A' is Π_1^1 -hard, so (using $\pi \circ \sigma$) A is Π_1^1 -hard. (We thank the referee for suggesting this argument.)

So assume $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is Borel Π_1^1 -hard. Let $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a Π_1^1 -set. We will find a continuous $f : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $f^{-1}[A] = B$. Since every zero-dimensional Polish space is a closed subspace of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ (see [2, 7.8]) this shows that A is Π_1^1 -hard.

We first review some concepts and facts from [1].

A *coding system* for perfect binary trees is a set $P \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a surjection $\mathcal{P} : P \rightarrow \{T : T \text{ is a perfect binary tree}\}$. For $a \in P$, let $\mathcal{P}(a) \equiv \mathcal{P}_a$ (= the tree coded by a). For $c \in \mathcal{P}_a$ let $a^*(c) =$ the element of $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponding to c under the canonical homeomorphism $a^* : [\mathcal{P}_a] \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ (here $[T]$ is the set of infinite branches through T). Call $\langle a, c \rangle$ *good* if $a \in P$ & $c \in [\mathcal{P}_a]$. We call a coding system $\langle P, \mathcal{P} \rangle$ *nice* if:

(i) For any $H : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ Borel, there is $a \in P$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall c \in [\mathcal{P}_a](H(a, c) = n).$$

(ii) P is Π_1^1 and for $a \in P$ the relation

$$\mathcal{P}(s, a) \Leftrightarrow s \in \mathcal{P}_a$$

is Δ_1^1 , i.e., there are relations Π, Σ in Π_1^1, Σ_1^1 resp., such that for $a \in P$:

$$\mathcal{P}(s, a) \Leftrightarrow \Pi(s, a) \Leftrightarrow \Sigma(s, a).$$

Lemma ([1, 1.4.2]). *There exists a nice coding system.*

Proof. Apply 1.4.2 of [1] with $W = \mathbb{N}$, $\sim$ the equality relation on $\mathbb{N}$.

Fix such a system $(P, \mathcal{P})$ from now on.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be recursive homeomorphisms of $2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 2^{\mathbb{N}} \times 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $2^{\mathbb{N}}$. For any given $\langle a^0, c^0 \rangle$ inductively define $\langle m_i, a^{i+1}, c^{i+1} \rangle$ ($i \geq 0$) as follows: If $\langle a^i, c^i \rangle$ is given and is good, let $\langle x_i, a^{i+1}, c^{i+1} \rangle = (a^i)^*(c_i)$. Put $m_i =$ the location of the first 0 in x_i , if such exists; else $m_i = 0$. If $\langle a^i, c^i \rangle$ is not good, put $\langle m_i, a^{i+1}, c^{i+1} \rangle = \langle 0, \bar{0}, \bar{0} \rangle$, where $\bar{0} = (0, 0, \dots)$.

Now define $B^* \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows:

$$\langle a^0, c^0 \rangle \in B^* \Leftrightarrow \forall i (\langle a^i, c^i \rangle \text{ is good}) \& (m_i) \in B.$$

Clearly B^* is Π_1^1 . So there is $G : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ Borel such that

$$\langle a^0, c^0 \rangle \in B^* \Leftrightarrow G(\langle a^0, c^0 \rangle) \in A.$$

Next we will find $F : \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow (2^{\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that

(i) $t \subseteq t' \Rightarrow F(t) \subseteq F(t')$;

(ii) $\text{length}(F(t)) = \text{length}(t) + 1$;

(iii) if $F(\emptyset) = (a^0, k_0)$, then $a^0 \in P$ and for every $c^0 \in [\mathcal{P}_{a^0}]$, $G(\langle a^0, c^0 \rangle)(0) = k_0$; if $F(m_0, \dots, m_n) = (a^0, k_0, a^1, k_1, \dots, a^{n+1}, k_{n+1})$, then

(a) $\forall i \leq n+1 (a^i \in P)$;

(ii) Denote the class of Δ_{2n+1}^1 functions by D_{2n+1}^1 . Then the preceding proof generalizes in a straightforward fashion to show that, assuming Δ_{2n}^1 -determinacy,

a subset of a Polish space is Π^1_{2n+1} -hard (resp., complete) iff it is $D^1_{2n+1}\Pi^1_{2n+1}$ -hard (resp., complete). Similarly for Σ^1_{2n+1} .

REFERENCES

1. L. Harrington and A. S. Kechris, *On the determinacy of games on ordinals*, Ann. Math. Logic **20** (1981), 109–154. MR **83c**:03044
2. A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 253-37, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CALIFORNIA 91125

E-mail address: kechris@math.caltech.edu