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#### Abstract

Let $h$ be a smooth function on the ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ whose gradient has length less than or equal to 1 . We show that if $h$ is uniformly near an analytic function on every complex affine one-dimensional slice then it must be near some function analytic on the whole ball. We use this to show the following: a singularity set over the ball which is near the graph of a function $h$ with $|\nabla h| \leq 1$ must be near the graph of some analytic function over the ball.


Let $B_{2}$ be the open unit ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$, let $S=\partial B_{2}$ and let $K$ be a compact subset of $\bar{B}_{2} \times \mathbf{C}$. We say that $K$ is a singularity set if $\left(B_{2} \times \mathbf{C}\right) \backslash K$ is pseudoconvex. This implies that there exists an $f$ analytic on $\left(B_{2} \times \mathbf{C}\right) \backslash K$ which is singular at each point of the boundary of $K$ in $B_{2} \times \mathbf{C}$. If we let $\Delta$ denote the closed unit disk in $\mathbf{C}$ then we can make a similar definition of a singularity set in $($ int $\Delta) \times \mathbf{C}$. Singularity sets were studied as early as 1909 by Hartogs [3] and later by Oka [5] and Nishino [4]. One issue that has been studied is the question of whether such sets possess analytic structure, i.e., whether they contain analytic varieties. Wermer [9] and Słodkowski [8] showed that such an expectation is reasonable in general by proving a maximum modulus principle for singularity sets $K$ projecting onto $\Delta$; in particular, the following holds:

Proposition. If $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in K$ then for every polynomial $Q$,

$$
\left|Q\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sup _{(z, w) \in K \cap\{|z|=1\}}|Q(z, w)| .
$$

(See (1) on p. 264 of [1].) In [1], Alexander and Wermer showed that a singularity set projecting onto the disk which is reasonably "thin" must be near an analytic graph. More precisely, they showed

Theorem of [1]. Let $\lambda \rightarrow a(\lambda)$ be a continuous function defined for $|\lambda| \leq 1$ with $|a(\lambda)| \leq 1$ for all $\lambda$. Fix $r>0$. Suppose that there exists a singularity set $X$ projecting onto $\Delta$ such that $X$ is contained in the tube $\{(\lambda, w)||w-a(\lambda)|<r\}$. Then there exists an analytic function $\lambda \rightarrow f(\lambda)$ such that $|f(\lambda)-a(\lambda)| \leq 4 r$ for each $\lambda$ in the unit disk.

[^0]We shall make use of Alexander's and Wermer's technique in the proof of Theorem 2.

If $h: \mathbf{C}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a smooth function then $|\nabla h|$ shall denote the Euclidean length of the vector

$$
\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_{2}}\right)
$$

where $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2} i, y_{1}+y_{2} i\right)$. For $K$ a singularity set over the ball we shall prove the following.

Theorem 2. Let $h$ be a $C^{1}$ function in a neighborhood of the closed ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with $|\nabla h| \leq 1$ on $\bar{B}_{2}$. Suppose $K$ is a singularity set projecting onto $\bar{B}_{2}$ such that $K$ is contained in the tube

$$
T=\left\{(z, w) \epsilon \bar{B}_{2} \times \mathbf{C}| | w-h(z) \mid<\epsilon\right\}
$$

Then there exists an analytic polynomial $F$ in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ such that

$$
|F(z)-h(z)|<26 \sqrt{\epsilon} \text { on } \bar{B}_{2} .
$$

Suppose that $L$ is a complex affine subspace of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ of complex dimension 1 which meets $B_{2}$ and let $p$ be the point on $L$ nearest to $(0,0)$. Then the points on $L$ which meet $S$ form a circle in $L$ with center $p$ and $L \cap \bar{B}_{2}$ is a disk embedded complex affinely in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$. We shall write $\Delta=L \cap \bar{B}_{2}$ and refer to $\Delta$ as a complex affine slice of $\bar{B}_{2}$. Our technique shall be to use the fact that $h$ is uniformly near an analytic function on every complex affine slice of $\bar{B}_{2}$ (from the results over the disk) and try to prove that $h$ is uniformly near an analytic function on $B_{2}$ as a function of two variables. We let $C\left(\bar{B}_{2}\right)$ denote the space of continuous complex-valued functions on $\bar{B}_{2}$ with supremum norm and $A\left(B_{2}\right)$ the subspace of functions which are analytic on $B_{2}$.

Theorem 1. Suppose $h$ is $C^{1}$ in a neighborhood of the closed ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2},|\nabla h| \leq 1$ on $\bar{B}_{2}$ and that given any complex affine slice $\Delta$ ( $a$ disk), there exists a polynomial $g_{\Delta}$ on $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h-g_{\Delta}\right|<\epsilon \text { on } \Delta . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then in $C\left(\bar{B}_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(h, A\left(B_{2}\right)\right)<13 \sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First we note that the theorem is trivial if $\epsilon \geq 1$, because then the fact that $|\nabla h| \leq 1$ means that $h$ is uniformly within 1 of the function which is constantly $h(0)$, and $1<13 \sqrt{1}$. Thus we assume that $\epsilon<1$.

We shall show that $C[h]$, the Cauchy integral of $h$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.C[h]\right|_{\Delta},\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right)<\sqrt{5} \epsilon \text { in } L^{2}(\Delta) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta=$ a diametrical complex linear slice of $\bar{B}_{2}, \nu_{1}=$ normalized area measure on $\Delta$ and $L^{2}(\Delta)=$ the set of functions on $\Delta$ which are square integrable with respect to $\nu_{1}$. We shall also define $A^{2}(\Delta)=\left\{f\right.$ analytic in int $\left.\Delta \mid f \in L^{2}(\Delta)\right\}$. Suppose first that $\Delta=\bar{B}_{2} \cap\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mid z_{2}=0\right\}$. Let $\sigma=$ normalized volume measure
on $S$. Using a technique used by Rudin in [6],

$$
\begin{aligned}
C[h]\left(z_{1}, 0\right) & =\int_{\partial B_{2}} \frac{h\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)}{\left(1-\left\langle z_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2}} d \sigma\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{B_{1}} \frac{1}{\left(1-\left\langle z_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2} e^{i \theta}\right) d \theta\right) d \nu_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(See [6], pp. 15, 39.) For fixed $\zeta_{1}$ define $k\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)$ to be the harmonic extension of $h\left(\zeta_{1}, x\right),|x|=\sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}}$, to the region where $|x| \leq \sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}}$. Then the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C[h]\left(z_{1}, 0\right)=\int_{B_{1}} \frac{k\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)}{\left(1-\left\langle z_{1}, \zeta_{1}\right\rangle\right)^{2}} d \nu_{1}\left(\zeta_{1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We check that $k$ is continuous when restricted to $\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}$. We have $k\left(z_{1}, 0\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h\left(z_{1}, e^{i \theta} \sqrt{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right) d \theta$; for $z_{1}^{\prime}$ near $z_{1}, h\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, e^{i \theta} \sqrt{1-\left|z_{1}^{\prime}\right|^{2}}\right)$ is uniformly close to $h\left(z_{1}, e^{i \theta} \sqrt{1-\left|z_{1}\right|^{2}}\right)$ so $k\left(z_{1}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ is close to $k\left(z_{1}, 0\right)$.
Claim. For $\zeta_{1} \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)-k\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)\right|<2 \epsilon \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Claim. From (1), we get that for fixed $\zeta_{1}$, there exists a polynomial $f_{\zeta_{1}}$ in $\zeta_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)-f_{\zeta_{1}}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)\right|<\epsilon \text { for }\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)-k\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)\right| & \leq\left|h\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)-f_{\zeta_{1}}(0)\right|+\left|f_{\zeta_{1}}(0)-k\left(\zeta_{1}, 0\right)\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\sup _{\left|\zeta_{2}\right|=\sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}}}\left|f_{\zeta_{1}}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-k\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \left(\text { since } f_{\zeta_{1}} \text { and } k \text { are harmonic in } \zeta_{2}\right) \\
& =\epsilon+\sup _{\left|\zeta_{2}\right|=\sqrt{1-\left|\zeta_{1}\right|^{2}}}\left|f_{\zeta_{1}}\left(\zeta_{2}\right)-h\left(\zeta_{1}, \zeta_{2}\right)\right| \\
& <2 \epsilon,
\end{aligned}
$$

as claimed.
Let $\Pi: L^{2}(\Delta) \longrightarrow A^{2}(\Delta)$ be orthogonal projection. From what we know about the Bergman kernel, (4) is the orthogonal projection of $k$ to $A^{2}(\Delta)$, as a function of $z_{1}$. This means that $\Pi\left(\left.k\right|_{\Delta}\right)=\left.C[h]\right|_{\Delta}$. Then in $L^{2}(\Delta)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta},\left.C[h]\right|_{\Delta}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, \Pi\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right)\right)^{2}+\operatorname{dist}\left(\Pi\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right),\left.C[h]\right|_{\Delta}\right)^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the Pythagorean theorem.
Since $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, A(\Delta)\right)<\epsilon$ in $C(\Delta), \operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, A^{2}(\Delta)\right)<\epsilon$ in $L^{2}(\Delta)$, so we have $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, \Pi\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right)\right)<\epsilon$ in $L^{2}(\Delta)$. Thus (6) is now

$$
\begin{gathered}
<\epsilon^{2}+\operatorname{dist}\left(\Pi\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right), \Pi\left(\left.k\right|_{\Delta}\right)\right)^{2} \\
\leq \epsilon^{2}+\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta},\left.k\right|_{\Delta}\right)^{2} \\
\leq \epsilon^{2}+(2 \epsilon)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

from (5)

$$
=5 \epsilon^{2}
$$

Thus (3) holds for $\Delta=\bar{B}_{2} \cap\left\{\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mid z_{2}=0\right\}$.
Now if $\Delta$ is an arbitrary complex linear diametrical slice of $\bar{B}_{2}$, let $U$ be a unitary transformation such that $U\left(\left\{z_{2}=0\right\} \cap \bar{B}_{2}\right)=\Delta$. Then $h \circ U$ satisfies the original hypotheses of the theorem, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h \circ U\right|_{\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}},\left.C[h \circ U]\right|_{\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}}\right)<\sqrt{5} \epsilon \text { in } L^{2}\left(B_{2} \cap\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}\right) \\
\Rightarrow & \operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h \circ U\right|_{\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}},\left.C[h] \circ U\right|_{\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}}\right)<\sqrt{5} \epsilon \text { in } L^{2}\left(B_{2} \cap\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(since the Cauchy integral operator commutes with unitary transformations). Since $U\left(\left\{z_{2}=0\right\} \cap \bar{B}_{2}\right)=\Delta$ and $U$ induces a natural isometry from $L^{2}(\Delta)$ to $L^{2}\left(B_{2} \cap\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}\right)$, we have (3).

Now let $f=C[h]$. Fix any diametrical slice $\Delta$. By (1) we can choose a polynomial $g_{\Delta} \epsilon A(\Delta)$ such that $\left|h-g_{\Delta}\right|<\epsilon$ on $\Delta$. Then $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, g_{\Delta}\right)<\epsilon$ in $L^{2}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}\left(\left.f\right|_{\Delta}, g_{\Delta}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(f,\left.h\right|_{\Delta}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta}, g_{\Delta}\right)$ in $L^{2}(\Delta)<\sqrt{5} \epsilon+\epsilon$ from (3) $=(1+\sqrt{5}) \epsilon \equiv a \epsilon$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.f\right|_{\Delta}, g_{\Delta}\right)<a \epsilon \text { in } A^{2}(\Delta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{r}\left(z e^{i \theta}\right) & =f\left(z r e^{i \theta}\right), z \in \operatorname{int} \Delta \\
g_{\Delta}^{r}\left(z e^{i \theta}\right) & =g_{\Delta}\left(z r e^{i \theta}\right), z \in \Delta \\
h^{r}\left(z e^{i \theta}\right) & =h\left(z r e^{i \theta}\right), z \in \Delta
\end{aligned}
$$

Claim. For $r \leq 1-\sqrt{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{2} \leq a \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \text { in } H^{2}(\Delta \cap S) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of claim. Suppose not. Note that $\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{2}$ is increasing in $r$ since $f^{r}$ and $g_{\Delta}^{r}$ are analytic. Thus

$$
\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{H^{2}} \geq a \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \text { for } r>1-\sqrt{\epsilon}
$$

Using polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta}\left|f-g_{\Delta}\right|^{2} d \nu_{1} & =2 \int_{0}^{1} r d r \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|f^{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)-g_{\Delta}^{r}\left(e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{1} r\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} d r \\
& >2 \int_{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1} r\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} d r \\
& \geq 2 \int_{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1} r a^{2} \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} d r, \text { from }(8) \\
& =2 a^{2} \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \int_{1-\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{1} r d r \geq a^{2} \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}(2 \sqrt{\epsilon}-\epsilon) \\
& \geq a^{2} \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}(2 \sqrt{\epsilon}-\sqrt{\epsilon})=a^{2} \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\epsilon}=a^{2} \epsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(recall $\epsilon<1$ ) so $\left\|f-g_{\Delta}\right\|_{2}>a \epsilon$ in $A^{2}(\Delta)$, a contradiction of (7). Thus the claim (8) holds. This means that for $r \leq 1-\sqrt{\epsilon},\left\|f^{r}-h^{r}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Delta \cap S)} \leq\left\|f^{r}-g_{\Delta}^{r}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Delta \cap S)}$
$+\left\|g_{\Delta}^{r}-h^{r}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Delta \cap S)}<a \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}}+\epsilon($ from (1) and $(8)) \leq(2+\sqrt{5}) \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}}$ and we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{r}-h^{r}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Delta \cap S)} \leq(2+\sqrt{5}) \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \text { for } r \leq 1-\sqrt{\epsilon} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the conclusion holds for all diametrical slices $\Delta$.
Now define $F: B_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $F(z)=\int_{R} f\left(z e^{-i t}\right) \phi(t) d t$ where $\phi \epsilon C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}), \phi \geq$ $0, \operatorname{spt} \phi \subset[-\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\epsilon}], \int \phi d x=1$, and $\phi \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \epsilon}}$. Also define $H(z)=\int_{R} h\left(z e^{-i t}\right) \phi(t) d t$. Since $f$ and $\phi$ are smooth in $B_{2}, f$ analytic, $F$ is analytic in $B_{2}$ by differentiation under the integral sign. Then for $|z| \leq 1-\sqrt{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|F(z)-H(z)| & \leq\left|\int_{R}\left(f\left(z e^{-i t}\right)-h\left(z e^{-i t}\right)\right) \phi(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \sqrt{\int_{-\sqrt{\epsilon}}^{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\left|f\left(z e^{-i t}\right)-h\left(z e^{-i t}\right)\right|^{2} d t} \sqrt{\int \phi(t)^{2} d t} \\
& \leq(\sqrt{2 \pi})(2+\sqrt{5}) \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{2 \sqrt{\epsilon} \sup _{t \in R}|\phi(t)|^{2}}, \text { from }(9)  \tag{10}\\
& \leq(\sqrt{2 \pi})(2+\sqrt{5}) \epsilon^{\frac{3}{4}} \sqrt{2 \sqrt{\epsilon} \frac{1}{2 \epsilon}} \\
& \leq(\sqrt{2 \pi})(2+\sqrt{5}) \sqrt{\epsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $z \in B_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|H(z)-h(z)| & =\left|\int_{R}\left(h\left(z e^{-i t}\right)-h(z)\right) \phi(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{t \in s p t \phi}\left|h\left(z e^{-i t}\right)-h(z)\right|\|\phi\|_{1}  \tag{11}\\
& \leq \sqrt{\epsilon}(\text { since }|\nabla h| \leq 1)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for $|z| \leq 1-\sqrt{\epsilon}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
|F(z)-h(z)| & \leq|F(z)-H(z)|+|H(z)-h(z)| \\
& \leq(\sqrt{2 \pi})(2+\sqrt{5}) \sqrt{\epsilon}+\sqrt{\epsilon}, \text { from (10) and }(11)  \tag{12}\\
& <12 \sqrt{\epsilon}
\end{align*}
$$

Lastly define $J: \bar{B}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by $J(z)=F(z(1-\sqrt{\epsilon}))$. Then $J \in A\left(B_{2}\right)$. For $z \in \bar{B}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|J(z)-h(z)| & =|F(z(1-\sqrt{\epsilon}))-h(z)| \\
& \leq|F(z(1-\sqrt{\epsilon}))-h(z(1-\sqrt{\epsilon}))|+|h(z(1-\sqrt{\epsilon}))-h(z)| \\
& <12 \sqrt{\epsilon}+\sqrt{\epsilon}, \text { from }(12) \text { and since }|\nabla h| \leq 1 \\
& \leq 13 \sqrt{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{dist}\left(h, A\left(B_{2}\right)\right)<13 \sqrt{\epsilon}$ in $C\left(\bar{B}_{2}\right)$, which is (2).
We now prove Theorem 2, which we state again for the reader's convenience.
Theorem 2. Let $h$ be a $C^{1}$ function in a neighborhood of the closed ball in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ with $|\nabla h| \leq 1$ on $\bar{B}_{2}$. Suppose $K$ is a singularity set projecting onto $\bar{B}_{2}$ such that $K$ is contained in the tube

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left\{(z, w) \epsilon \bar{B}_{2} \times \mathbf{C}| | w-h(z) \mid<\epsilon\right\} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists an analytic polynomial $F$ in $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(z)-h(z)|<26 \sqrt{\epsilon} \text { on } \bar{B}_{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Our main goal is to show that on every complex affine slice $\Delta$ of $\bar{B}_{2}$, there exists a polynomial $g_{\Delta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h-g_{\Delta}\right|<4 \epsilon \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can then apply Theorem 1 with (1) replaced by (15) and conclude (14) since $13 \sqrt{4}=26$. Let us now fix such a $\Delta$.

To prove (15), we can follow a method used by Alexander and Wermer in [2] to show that $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta \cap S}, A(\Delta)\right)<2 \epsilon$. Let $P: \bar{B}_{2} \times \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \bar{B}_{2}$ be projection and let $K^{\prime}$ be the set $\left\{(z, w)\left||w-h(z)| \leq \epsilon, z \in \bar{B}_{2}\right\}\right.$. We claim that: some element of the polynomial convex hull of $K^{\prime} \cap P^{-1}(\partial \Delta)$ lies over int $\Delta$. To see this, we first note that $K \cap P^{-1}($ int $\Delta)$ is a singularity set in $P^{-1}(\Delta)$ since the intersection of a pseudoconvex set with an affine subspace is pseudoconvex in that affine subspace. Then by the Proposition, the elements of $K \cap P^{-1}(i n t \Delta)$ (which is nonempty by assumption) are in the polynomial hull of $K \cap P^{-1}(\partial \Delta)$. Since $K^{\prime} \supset K$, the claim holds. Then by Theorem 1 of [2], there exists $\phi \in H^{\infty}(\Delta)$ such that $(z, \phi(z)) \in K^{\prime}$ for a.e. $z \in \Delta \cap S$. This means that $\|h-\phi\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon<2 \epsilon$. Then we conclude that since $h$ is continuous, $\operatorname{dist}\left(\left.h\right|_{\Delta \cap S}, A(\Delta)\right)<2 \epsilon$. Choose a polynomial $g_{\Delta}$ on $\Delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h-g_{\Delta}\right|<2 \epsilon \text { on } \Delta \cap S \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the polynomial $w-g_{\Delta}$ on the set $K \cap(\Delta \times \mathbf{C})$. Let $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right) \in K \cap$ $(\Delta \times \mathbf{C})$. Since $\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right)$ is in the polynomial hull of $K \cap P^{-1}(\partial \Delta)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{0}-g_{\Delta}\left(z_{0}, w_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sup _{(z, w) \in K, z \in \Delta \cap S}\left|w-g_{\Delta}(z, w)\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{z \in \Delta}\left|h(z)-g_{\Delta}(z)\right| & \leq \sup _{(z, w) \in(K \cap(\Delta \times C))}|h(z)-w|+\sup _{(z, w) \in(K \cap(\Delta \times C))}\left|w-g_{\Delta}(z)\right| \\
& \leq \epsilon+\sup _{(z, w) \in K, z \in \Delta \cap S}\left|w-g_{\Delta}(z)\right|, \text { from }(13) \text { and }(17) \\
& \leq \epsilon+\sup _{(z, w) \in K, z \in \Delta \cap S}|w-h(z)|+\sup _{(z, w) \in K, z \in \Delta \cap S}\left|h(z)-g_{\Delta}(z)\right| \\
& <\epsilon+\epsilon+2 \epsilon, \text { from }(13) \text { and (16) } \\
& \leq 4 \epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (15) holds, so the theorem is proven.
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