COMPUTING CONGRUENCE LATTICES OF FINITE LATTICES

RALPH FREESE

(Communicated by Lance W. Small)

ABSTRACT. An inequality between the number of coverings in the ordered set $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ of join irreducible congruences on a lattice $\mathbf{L}$ and the size of $\mathbf{L}$ is given. Using this inequality it is shown that this ordered set can be computed in time $O(n^2 \log_2 n)$, where $n = |L|$.

This paper is motivated by the problem of efficiently calculating and representing the congruence lattice $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$ of a finite lattice $\mathbf{L}$. Of course $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$ can be exponential in the size of $\mathbf{L}$; for example, when $\mathbf{L}$ is a chain of length n , $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$ has 2^n elements. However, since $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$ is a distributive lattice, it can be recovered easily from the ordered set of its join irreducible elements $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$. Indeed any finite distributive lattice $\mathbf{D}$ is isomorphic to the lattice of order ideals of $J(\mathbf{D})$ and this lattice is in turn isomorphic to the lattice of all antichains of $J(\mathbf{D})$, where the antichains are ordered by $A \ll B$, i.e., for each $a \in A$ there is a $b \in B$ with $a \leq b$. If $\mathbf{P}$ is an ordered set of size n which has N order ideals, then there are straightforward algorithms to find the order ideals of $\mathbf{P}$ which run in time $O(nN)$; see, for example, [5]. In [10] Medina and Nourine give an algorithm which runs in time $O(dN)$, where d is the maximum number of covers of any element of $\mathbf{P}$. Thus we will concentrate on the problem of efficiently finding $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper $\mathbf{L}$ denotes a finite lattice. $J(\mathbf{L})$ denotes the set of nonzero join irreducible elements and $M(\mathbf{L})$ the set of nonunit meet irreducible elements. These sets are ordered by the induced order from $\mathbf{L}$. If $a \in J(\mathbf{L})$, then it has a unique lower cover in $\mathbf{L}$ which we denote by a_* , and similarly if $q \in M(\mathbf{L})$, then q^* is the unique upper cover of q . The cover relation is denoted by $a \prec b$; $\text{Cg}(x, y)$ is the smallest congruence identifying x and y . Throughout the paper we let

$$(1) \quad n = |L| \quad m = |J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})|.$$

For an ordered set $\mathbf{P}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\leq} &= \{ \langle a, b \rangle : a \leq b \}, & e_{\leq} &= |E_{\leq}|, \\ E_{\prec} &= \{ \langle a, b \rangle : a \prec b \}, & e_{\prec} &= |E_{\prec}|. \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors June 11, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 06B10, 06B05, 06B15.

Key words and phrases. Congruence lattice, algorithm.

This research was partially supported by NSF grant no. DMS-9500752.

For clarity we sometimes write $E_{\leq}(\mathbf{P})$, etc. Of course $\mathbf{P}$ is determined from its underlying set P and any ‘edge’ set E with

$$(2) \quad E_{\prec} \subseteq E \subseteq E_{\leq}.$$

The transitive, reflexive closure of any such E is $E_{\leq}$, and $E_{\prec}$ is the smallest set whose transitive, reflexive closure is $E_{\leq}$. $E_{\prec}$ is alternatively known as the *covering relation*, the *transitive reduct*, and the *Hasse diagram* of $\mathbf{P}$. We are most interested in the case $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ and in this paper $E_{\leq} = E_{\leq}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}))$, etc.

Chapter XI of [5] contains a discussion of algorithms for lattice theory including algorithms for calculating the congruence lattice of a finite lattice. It shows there is an algorithm which computes the set $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ and an edge set E satisfying (2) in time $O(n^2)$. Of course $\mathbf{L}$ is simple exactly when $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ has only one element, and it is subdirectly irreducible if it has only one minimal element. Since the minimal elements can be found quickly from any E satisfying (2), this gives $O(n^2)$ algorithms for testing the simplicity and subdirect irreducibility of $\mathbf{L}$. However, it does not give the full transitive, reflexive closure, $E_{\leq}$, which is needed for other purposes.

By Theorem 11.2 of [5] we can calculate $E_{\leq}$ from any E satisfying (2) (not just $E_{\prec}$) in time $O(m^2 + me_{\prec} + e_{\leq})$. It follows from part 3 of Lemma 1 below that $m \leq n$ and thus $E_{\leq}$ can be found in time $O(n^3)$. The main purpose of this paper is to improve this bound. We will show that $E_{\leq}$ can be found in time $O(n^2 \log_2 n)$.

In order to do this we explore the connection between $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ more carefully. Namely, given a number $e_{\prec}$, we would like to know how small $\mathbf{L}$ can be with $e_{\prec} = e_{\prec}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}))$. In [8] and [9] Grätzer, Lakser, Rival, Schmidt, and Zaguia investigate the relation between m and n . As mentioned above, $m \leq n$. In [8] it is shown that for any ordered set $\mathbf{P}$ of size m there is a lattice $\mathbf{L}$ of size $O(m^2)$ with $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{P}$. In [9] examples are given showing that no smaller power of m will work.

For our purposes we need a universal lower bound on the size of $\mathbf{L}$ in terms of $e_{\prec}$. What we show is that given an ordered set $\mathbf{P}$ with $e_{\prec}$ covers, any n -element lattice $\mathbf{L}$ with $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{P}$ has

$$e_{\prec} \leq 2n \log_2 n.$$

We give examples showing that in a certain sense this is the best possible and we show how to derive the result of [9] as a corollary.

2. THE INEQUALITY

We begin with some lemmas. The first is elementary and well known; the second is new; the third is from [9].

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a finite lattice.*

1. *If $a \prec b$ in $\mathbf{L}$, then $\text{Cg}(a, b)$ is join irreducible.*
2. *If $\theta \in \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$, then there exist $a \in \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})$ and $q \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})$ with $\theta = \text{Cg}(a, a_*) = \text{Cg}(q, q^*)$.*
3. *The function $a \mapsto \text{Cg}(a, a_*)$ maps $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})$ onto $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$.*

Proof. The first statement follows easily from Dilworth’s characterization of lattice congruences, Theorem 10.2 of [1], and does not require that $\mathbf{L}$ be finite. Again by Dilworth’s theorem any (completely) join irreducible congruence θ has the form $\text{Cg}(x, y)$ for some $x > y$. Since $\mathbf{L}$ is finite, there is a finite maximal chain from x

down to y , and since θ is join irreducible, one of the links of the chain must generate θ . So we may assume $x \succ y$ and if we choose q to be maximal above y but not x , then q is meet irreducible and $\theta = \text{Cg}(q, q^*)$, as desired. The third statement follows from the second. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Suppose $\theta \prec \phi$ in $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$. Then one of the following holds.*

1. *There is an $a \in \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})$ and $x \in L$ with $a \succ a_* > x$ such that $\text{Cg}(a, a_*) = \theta$ and $\text{Cg}(a_*, x) = \phi$.*
2. *There is a $q \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})$ and $x \in L$ with $q \prec q^* < x$ such that $\text{Cg}(q, q^*) = \theta$ and $\text{Cg}(q^*, x) = \phi$.*

Proof. For $a, b \in \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})$ and $q \in \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})$ we define two relations by

$$(3) \quad a \nearrow q \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a \leq q^* \text{ and } a \not\leq q,$$

$$(4) \quad q \searrow b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad q \geq b_* \text{ and } q \not\geq b.$$

Note that $a \nearrow q$ if and only if $a \vee q = q^*$, and $q \searrow b$ if and only if $q \wedge b = b_*$. Define $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L}) = \langle V, \rightarrow \rangle$ to be the directed graph whose vertex set V is the disjoint union of (copies of) $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})$ and $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})$ and whose relation $\rightarrow$ is the union of $\nearrow$ and $\searrow$. Of course this graph determines a quasiorder. Let $\equiv$ be the equivalence relation for this quasiorder: $x \equiv y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow^* y$ and $y \rightarrow^* x$, where $\rightarrow^*$ represents a sequence, possibly of length 0, of edges. We let $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})/\equiv$ denote the induced ordered set on the equivalence classes. By Lemma 11.11 of [5] $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})/\equiv$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$.

Since $\phi \succ \theta$, there must be an edge of $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})$ going from an element in the $\equiv$ -class corresponding to θ to an element in the class corresponding to ϕ . Suppose this edge has the form (3). This situation is diagrammed in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1

If $a \wedge q = a_*$, then we would have $\theta = \text{Cg}(a, a_*) = \text{Cg}(q, q^*) = \phi$, a contradiction. Thus $a \wedge q < a_*$ and the first statement of the lemma holds with $x = a \wedge q$. Of course if the edge has the form (4), then the second statement of the lemma will hold. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a lattice, let $A \subseteq L$, and let $b \in L$ be a lower bound of A in $\mathbf{L}$. Assume that $\text{Cg}(b, a)$ is join irreducible and that $\text{Cg}(b, a)$ and $\text{Cg}(b, a')$ are incomparable for each $a \neq a'$ in A . Then A is join irredundant.*

Proof. This is proved in [9]. $\square$

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a finite lattice. Then*

$$e_{\prec}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})) \leq (|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})| + |\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})|) \log_2 |L| \leq 2|L| \log_2 |L|.$$

Proof. Let $r = |J(\mathbf{L})| + |M(\mathbf{L})|$ and $e_{\prec} = e_{\prec}(J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}))$ and $t = \lceil e_{\prec}/r \rceil$. By Lemma 2, associated with each cover in $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ is an element of L which is either join or meet irreducible. Hence there is either a join irreducible element a satisfying the first possible conclusion of that lemma or a meet irreducible one satisfying the second. Thus by duality we may assume that there is a meet irreducible element q and elements $x_1, \dots, x_t$ with $q^* < x_i$ such that $\phi_i = \text{Cg}(x_i, q^*)$ covers $\theta = \text{Cg}(q, q^*)$. Thus $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_t$ form an antichain. So by Lemma 3 $x_1, \dots, x_t$ are join irreducible. Thus $2^t \leq |L|$ and so $e_{\prec}/r \leq \log_2 |L|$, and the theorem follows. $\square$

Corollary 5. *If $\mathbf{L}$ is a lattice with n elements, then one can determine the ordered set $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ and its order relation in time $O(n^2 \log_2 n)$.*

Proof. As we noted before we can find $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ and its order relation in time $O(m^2 + me_{\prec} + e_{\leq})$. Since $e_{\prec} \leq 2n \log_2 n$ and $m \leq n$, the result follows. $\square$

Since the function $x/\log_2 x$ is increasing for $x \geq e$ (Euler's constant), we get the following corollary to Theorem 4.

Corollary 6. *Let $\mathbf{L}$ be a finite lattice and let $e_{\prec} = e_{\prec}(J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}))$. If $e_{\prec} \geq 3$, then*

$$|L| \geq \frac{e_{\prec}}{2 \log_2 e_{\prec}}.$$

3. EXAMPLES

In this section we give some examples showing that the inequality of Theorem 4 cannot be improved. We also show that this theorem easily gives the result of [9].

Example 7. Let r_1, r_2 , and s be positive integers and let $\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{2}^{r_i-1}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Of course $\mathbf{K}_1$ has a filter isomorphic to the three element chain $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{K}_2$ has an ideal isomorphic to $\mathbf{3}$. Using the gluing construction of Dilworth and Hall [3, 4], we can identify these two copies of $\mathbf{3}$ and use the natural order to obtain a lattice $\mathbf{K}$. Let x denote the middle element of this three element chain in $\mathbf{K}$. Replace x with $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_s$ and order the resulting set by saying $z < x_i < y$ whenever $z < x < y$ in $\mathbf{K}$. Let $\mathbf{L}$ denote the resulting lattice. Note $\mathbf{L}$ is obtained from $\mathbf{K}$ by s applications of Alan Day's doubling construction [2]. The diagram of $\mathbf{L}$ is given in Figure 2 when $r_1 = r_2 = 3$ and $s = 3$.

Con $\mathbf{L}$ is the lattice obtained by placing the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{2}^r$ on top of the the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{2}^s$, where $r = r_1 + r_2$. $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ is the complete bipartite ordered set with r top elements and s bottom elements. So

$$\begin{aligned} |L| &= s + 3 \cdot 2^{r_1-1} + 3 \cdot 2^{r_2-1} - 3, \\ e_{\prec}(J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})) &= rs, \\ |J(\mathbf{L})| + |M(\mathbf{L})| &= 2r + 2s. \end{aligned}$$

Let $e_{\prec}$ be an integer such that both $\log_2 e_{\prec}$ and $e_{\prec}/\log e_{\prec}$ are integers and let $r_1 = r_2 = \log_2 e_{\prec}$ (and so $r = 2 \log_2 e_{\prec}$) and $s = e_{\prec}/r$. (This means $e_{\prec}$ has the

FIGURE 2. $\mathbf{L}$ when $r_1 = r_2 = 3$ and $s = 3$.

form 2^{2^k} .) Then we have by Theorem 4

$$1 \leq \frac{(2e_{\prec}/2 \log_2 e_{\prec} + 4 \log_2 e_{\prec}) \log_2 (6 \cdot 2^{\log_2 e_{\prec}-1} - 3 + e_{\prec}/2 \log_2 e_{\prec})}{e_{\prec}}$$

$$\leq \frac{(2e_{\prec}/2 \log_2 e_{\prec} + 4 \log_2 e_{\prec}) \log_2 (3e_{\prec} + e_{\prec}/2 \log_2 e_{\prec})}{e_{\prec}}.$$

But the latter expression tends to 1 as $e_{\prec}$ tends to ∞ . It follows that Theorem 4 cannot be improved to state

$$e_{\prec}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})) \leq c(|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L})| + |\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L})|) \log_2 |L|$$

for any $c < 1$.

If we take $r_1 = r_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log_2 e_{\prec}$, then $|L| = 6 \cdot 2^{\frac{1}{2} \log_2 e_{\prec}-1} + e_{\prec}/\log_2 e_{\prec} - 3 \leq 3\sqrt{e_{\prec}} + e_{\prec}/\log_2 e_{\prec}$. Thus $|L|/e_{\prec} \rightarrow 0$ for these lattices, showing that an inequality of the form $|L| \geq c e_{\prec}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}))$ cannot hold for any $c > 0$. Moreover, these parameters also show that

$$|\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})| \cdot e_{\prec}(\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})) \leq c \cdot |L|^2$$

fails for all c .

Finally, if m is even, let $\mathbf{P}$ be the height 1 ordered set with $m/2$ minimal elements, $m/2$ maximal elements, and each minimal element below each maximal element. Then $e_{\prec} = m^2/4$ and Corollary 6 shows that if $\mathbf{L}$ is a lattice with $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{P}$, then

$$|L| \geq \frac{m^2}{16 \log_2(m/2)}$$

and it follows that there is no α such that the class of all such $\mathbf{P}$'s can be represented as $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$ with the size of $\mathbf{L}$ in $O(m^{2-\alpha})$, as was shown in [9].

4. CALCULATING THE CONGRUENCE LATTICE AND AN APPLICATION

To actually compute $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$ we form the graph $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})$ and use Tarjan's linear time, depth first algorithm [11] to find the $\equiv$ -classes and an edge set E satisfying

$E_{\prec} \subseteq E \subseteq E_{\leq}$. We then find the transitive, reflexive closure, $E_{\leq}$, of E . If there are k classes of $\equiv$, we represent congruences as bit vectors of length k . Of course the components of such a bit vector correspond to elements of $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L})$, since $J(\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}) \cong \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{L})/\equiv$. A congruence θ is represented by the vector which is 1 in those components corresponding to join irreducible congruences below θ . For each $a \in J(\mathbf{L})$ we calculate the bit vector for $\text{Cg}(a, a_*)$. (This is not just the vector with a 1 only in the component for $\text{Cg}(a, a_*)$; in fact it is here that we need $E_{\leq}$.)

This representation is obviously compact. Meet and join are just the bitwise *and* and *or*. One can also decide if $x \theta y$ and compute $\mathbf{L}/\theta$ easily. For the latter we find

$$S_{\theta} = \{a \in J(\mathbf{L}) : \langle a, a_* \rangle \notin \theta\}.$$

The join closure of this set is a join subsemilattice of $\mathbf{L}$ which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}/\theta$ under the induced order from $\mathbf{L}$. The details are given in [5].

W. Geyer [6, 7] conjectured that, if $\mathbf{L}$ is finite and a bounded homomorphic image of a free lattice, then $|L| \leq |\text{Con } \mathbf{L}|$. He tested this conjecture on several examples and noted that when, in addition, $\mathbf{L}$ was subdirectly irreducible, equality held in all his examples. He asked me to use my computer program to try to find a counterexample. Associated with each completely join irreducible element of a free lattice is a finite, subdirectly irreducible lattice which is a bounded homomorphic image of a free lattice. Running the program on a wide selection of such elements produced a subdirectly irreducible lattice which is a bounded image of a free lattice with 53 elements whose congruence lattice had 52 elements. With this example in hand, it was not hard to construct a smaller example. An example with $|L| = 12$ and $|\text{Con } \mathbf{L}| = 11$ is given in Figure 3.

FIGURE 3. $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{Con} \mathbf{L}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Crawley and R. P. Dilworth, *Algebraic Theory of Lattices*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1973.
- [2] A. Day, *Doubling constructions in lattice theory*, Canad. J. Math. **44** (1992), 252–269. MR **93f**:06008
- [3] R. P. Dilworth, *The arithmetical theory of Birkhoff lattices*, Duke Math. J. **8** (1941), 286–299. MR **3**:100a
- [4] R. P. Dilworth and M. Hall, *The embedding theorem for modular lattices*, Ann. of Math. **45** (1944), 450–456. MR **6**:33c
- [5] R. Freese, J. Ježek, and J. B. Nation, *Free Lattices*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1995, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 42. MR **96c**:06013
- [6] W. Geyer, *The class of lattices with $|L| = |\text{Con}(L)|$* , General algebra and application, Heldermann, Berlin, 1993, pp. 86–88. MR **94c**:06012
- [7] W. Geyer, *On Tamari lattices*, Discrete Math. **133** (1994), 99–122. MR **95m**:06021

- [8] G. Grätzer, H. Lakser, and E. T. Schmidt, *Congruence lattices of small planar lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 2619–2623. MR **95k**:06017a
- [9] G. Grätzer, I. Rival, and N. Zaguia, *Small representations of finite distributive lattices as congruence lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123** (1995), 1959–1961; Correction, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear. MR **95k**:06017b
- [10] R. Medina and L. Nourine, *Algorithme efficace de génération des idéaux d'un ensemble ordonné*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **319** (1994), 1115–1120. MR **95i**:06006
- [11] R. E. Tarjan, *Depth first searches and linear graph algorithms*, SIAM J. of Computing **1** (1972), 146–160. MR **46**:3313

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822
E-mail address: **ralph@math.hawaii.edu**