ON SELF-ADJOINTNESS OF A SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR ON DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

MAXIM BRAVERMAN

(Communicated by Jeffrey B. Rauch)

ABSTRACT. Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $\Omega^\bullet(M)$ denote the space of differential forms on M . Let $d : \Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}(M)$ be the exterior differential operator and let $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ be the Laplacian. We establish a sufficient condition for the Schrödinger operator $H = \Delta + V(x)$ (where the potential $V(x) : \Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(M)$ is a zero order differential operator) to be self-adjoint. Our result generalizes a theorem by I. Oleinik about self-adjointness of a Schrödinger operator which acts on the space of scalar valued functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose M is a complete Riemannian non-compact manifold. We will assume that M is oriented and connected. Let T^*M denote the cotangent bundle to M and let $\bigwedge^\bullet(T^*M) = \bigoplus_i \bigwedge^i(T^*M)$ denote the exterior algebra of T^*M . We denote by $L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$ the space of square integrable complex valued differential forms on M , i.e. the space of sections of $\bigwedge^\bullet(T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which are square integrable with respect to the scalar product

$$(1) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_M \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in L^2\Omega^\bullet(M).$$

Here $*$ denotes the Hodge operator associated to the Riemannian metric on M . Note that $L^2\Omega^0(M)$ is just the space of square integrable complex valued functions on M .

Let $d : L^2\Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^{\bullet+1}(M)$ denote the exterior differential and let d^* be the operator formally adjoint to d with respect to the scalar product (1).

Let $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ be the Laplacian and consider the Schrödinger operator

$$(2) \quad H = \Delta + V(x) : L^2\Omega^\bullet(M) \rightarrow L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$$

where the *potential* $V(x)$ is a measurable section of the bundle $\text{End}(\bigwedge^\bullet(T^*M))$ of endomorphisms of $\bigwedge^\bullet(T^*M)$ which belongs to the class L^∞_{loc} (i.e. such that for any compact set $K \subset M$ there exists a constant $C_K > 0$ such that $|V(x)| \leq C_K$ for almost all $x \in K$).

Received by the editors August 19, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58G25; Secondary 35P05.

The research was supported by US - Israel Binational Science Foundation grant No. 9400299.

We denote by H_0 the restriction of H on the space $\Omega_c^\bullet(M)$ of smooth differential forms with compact support. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a sufficient condition on the potential $V(x)$ for operator H_0 to be self-adjoint.

2. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

For $x, y \in M$ let $\text{dist}(x, y)$ denote the Riemannian distance between x and y . Fix a point $p \in M$ and set $r(x) = \text{dist}(x, p)$.

Fix $x \in M$. The Riemannian metric on M defines a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ on the fiber $\bigwedge^\bullet(T_x^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ of the vector bundle $\bigwedge^\bullet(T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. As usual, we write $V(x) \geq C$ if

$$(3) \quad \langle V(x) \xi, \xi \rangle_x \geq C \langle \xi, \xi \rangle_x$$

for any $\xi \in \bigwedge^\bullet(T_x^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$. Note that it follows from (3) that $V(x)$ is a self-adjoint endomorphism of $\bigwedge^\bullet(T_x^*M)$.

Theorem A. *Assume that for almost all $x \in M$ the potential $V(x)$ of the operator (2) satisfies the estimate*

$$(4) \quad V(x) \geq -Q(x),$$

where $1 \leq Q(x) \leq \infty$ and $Q^{-1/2}(x)$ is a Lipschitz function on M such that

$$(5) \quad |Q^{-1/2}(x) - Q^{-1/2}(y)| \leq K \text{dist}(x, y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in M.$$

If for any piecewise smooth curve $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow M$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(\gamma(t)) = \infty$ the integral

$$(6) \quad \int_\gamma Q^{-1/2}(x) d\gamma = \infty$$

then the operator H_0 is essentially self-adjoint.

For the case of a Schrödinger operator acting on scalar valued functions this theorem was established by I. Oleinik [O2]. Note that $Q(x)$ may be equal to infinity on a set of positive measure.

As a simple consequence of Theorem A we obtain the following

Theorem B. *Suppose that for almost all $x \in M$ the potential $V(x)$ satisfies the estimate $V(x) \geq -q(r(x))$, where $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $q^{-1/2}(t)$ is a Lipschitz function on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\int_0^\infty q^{-1/2}(t) dt = \infty$. Then the operator H_0 is essentially self-adjoint.*

In particular, if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $V(x) \geq -C|x|^2$ then the operator H_0 is essentially self-adjoint.

Remark. Theorem A remains true if we replace $L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$ by the space of square integrable forms on M with values in a flat Hermitian vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ over M , provided that the Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{F}$ is flat. In this case the differential d should be replaced by the covariant differential associated to the flat structure on $\mathcal{F}$. The proof is a verbatim repetition of the proof for the scalar case, cf. below. However the notation in the vector valued case is more complicated.

3. HISTORICAL REMARKS

An analogue of Theorem B for the case $M = \mathbb{R}^1$ was established by Sears [Se]. B. Levitan [Le] proved the Sears theorem for the Schrödinger operator acting on scalar valued functions on $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. F. Roze-Beketov [RB] extended these results to the case where the potential $V(x)$ cannot be estimated by a function depending only on $\text{dist}(x, p)$. Many results and references about the essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators on $\mathbb{R}^n$ may be found in [RS].

I. Oleinik [O1], [O2] established Theorem A for the Schrödinger operator acting on scalar valued functions on a complete Riemannian manifold.

Essential self-adjointness of a pure Laplacian (without lower order terms) on differential forms on a complete Riemannian manifold was first stated and proved by M. P. Gaffney [Ga1], [Ga2]. A much more general statement was proven by P. Chernoff [Ch]. A number of related results may be found in [Sh].

4. THE DOMAIN OF $\mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$

Let H_0^* denote the operator adjoint to H_0 . The domain $\mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ of H_0^* consists of forms $\alpha \in L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$ such that $H\alpha$ understood in the sense of distributions also belongs to $L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$.

The operator H_0 is symmetric. Hence, to show that its closure is self-adjoint it is enough to show that the adjoint operator H_0^* is symmetric. In other words we have to prove that

$$(7) \quad \int_M (H\alpha \wedge *\bar{\beta} - \alpha \wedge *\overline{H\beta}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*).$$

To prove (7) we need some information about the behavior of differential forms from $\mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$. The main result of this section is the following lemma, which provides us with this information.

Lemma 1. *If $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ then the forms $Q^{-1/2}d\alpha, Q^{-1/2}d^*\alpha$ are square integrable.*

Remark. 1. By the standard theory of elliptic operators any $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ belongs to the Sobolev space H_{loc}^2 . Hence, $d\alpha, d^*\alpha$ are locally square integrable. Thus the lemma provides us with information about the behavior of the forms from $\mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ at infinity.

2. For the Schrödinger operator on scalar valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ an analogous lemma was established in [RB]. The proof was adopted in [O1], [O2] to the case of a Riemannian manifold. In our proof we follow rather closely the lines of [O2]. However, the fact that we deal with differential forms rather than with scalar valued functions demands a more careful analysis.

Proof. Recall that we fixed a point $p \in M$ and that for any $x \in M$ we denoted by $r(x)$ the Riemannian distance between x and p .

It is shown in [O2, Proof of Lemma 1] that for any $R > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ there exist smooth functions $r_{R,\varepsilon}(x), F_{R,\varepsilon}(x)$ on M which approximate the Lipschitz functions $r(x), Q^{-1/2}(x)$ in the sense that

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} |r_{R,\varepsilon}(x) - r(x)| &< \varepsilon, & Q^{-1/2}(x) - \varepsilon &< F_{R,\varepsilon}(x) < (1 + \varepsilon)Q^{-1/2}(x), \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |dr_{R,\varepsilon}(x)| &\leq 1, & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |dF_{R,\varepsilon}(x)| &\leq K, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in r_{R,\varepsilon}^{-1}([0, R + 1])$. Here K is the same constant as in (5).

Let $\Psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function which is equal to one when $t \leq 1/2$ and which is equal to zero when $t \geq 1$. Set

$$(9) \quad \psi_{R,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \Psi\left(\frac{r_{R,\varepsilon}(x)}{R}\right) F_{R,\varepsilon}(x) & \text{if } r_{R,\varepsilon}(x) \leq R; \\ 0 & \text{outside of the set } r_{R,\varepsilon}(x) \leq R. \end{cases}$$

For any $R > 0$ the functions $\psi_{R,\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon < 1$ vanish outside of the compact set $r^{-1}([0, R+1])$. Hence, it follows from (8) and (4) that there exist a constant $K_1 > 0$ not depending on R and a number $\varepsilon_R > 0$ (which does depend on R) such that

$$(10) \quad |d\psi_{R,\varepsilon}(x)| \leq K_1, \quad \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2(x) \leq 2, \quad \left| \int_M \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 \alpha \wedge *V\alpha \right| \leq 2\|\alpha\|^2,$$

for any $x \in M$, $R > 1$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_R$, $\alpha \in L^2\Omega^\bullet(M)$. Here $\|\alpha\| = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ denotes the L^2 -norm of the form α .

Functions $\psi_{R,\varepsilon}$ have compact support. Hence, in view of Remark 1 after the statement of the lemma, the forms $\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha$ and $\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha$ are square integrable. Assume that $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ is a real valued form and set

$$(11) \quad J_{R,\varepsilon}^2 = \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha\|^2 + \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha\|^2 = \int_M \psi_{R,\varepsilon}(x)^2 (d\alpha \wedge *d\alpha + d^*\alpha \wedge *d^*\alpha).$$

It follows from (8), (9) that to prove the lemma it is enough to show that

$$(12) \quad \overline{\lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{R,\varepsilon} < \infty.$$

Let us first rewrite the integrand in (11) in a more convenient form. In the calculations bellow we use the equality (cf. [Wa, §6.1]) $d^*\alpha = (-1)^{|\alpha|} *^{-1} d * \alpha$ where $|\alpha|$ denotes the degree of the differential form α .

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d\alpha \wedge *d\alpha &= d(\psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 \alpha \wedge *d\alpha) - 2\psi_{R,\varepsilon} d\psi_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge \alpha \wedge *d\alpha + \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 \alpha \wedge *d^*\alpha, \\ \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*\alpha \wedge *d^*\alpha &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*\alpha \wedge d * \alpha \\ &= -d(\psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*\alpha \wedge * \alpha) + 2\psi_{R,\varepsilon} d\psi_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge d^*\alpha \wedge * \alpha + \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 dd^*\alpha \wedge * \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows now from (13), (10) and from the Stokes theorem that, if $R > 1$, $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha\|^2 &= \int_M \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d\alpha \wedge *d\alpha = -2\langle d\psi_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge \alpha, \psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha \rangle + \langle \alpha, \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*d\alpha \rangle \\ &\leq 2K_1\|\alpha\| \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha\| + \langle \alpha, \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*d\alpha \rangle, \\ \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha\|^2 &= \int_M \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 d^*\alpha \wedge *d^*\alpha = 2\langle d\psi_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge \psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha, \alpha \rangle + \langle \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 dd^*\alpha, \alpha \rangle \\ &\leq 2K_1\|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha\| \|\alpha\| + \langle \alpha, \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 dd^*\alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing these two equations we obtain

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{R,\varepsilon}^2 &\leq 2K_1\|\alpha\| (\|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d\alpha\| + \|\psi_{R,\varepsilon}d^*\alpha\|) + \langle \alpha, \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 \Delta \alpha \rangle \\ &\leq 4K_1\|\alpha\| J_{R,\varepsilon} + \int_M \psi_{R,\varepsilon}^2 (\alpha \wedge *H\alpha - \alpha \wedge *V\alpha) \\ &\leq 4K_1\|\alpha\| J_{R,\varepsilon} + 2\|\alpha\| \|H\alpha\| + 2\|\alpha\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here the last inequality follows from (10).

It follows from (14) that the set $\{J_{R,\varepsilon} : R > 1, \varepsilon < \varepsilon_R\}$ is bounded from above. Hence (12) holds. The proof of the lemma is completed. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM A

We apply a modification of the method used in [RB] suggested by I. Oleinik [O2]. The quantity

$$(15) \quad \tilde{\rho}(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \int_{\gamma} Q^{-1/2}(x) d\gamma,$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise smooth curves connecting the points $x, y \in M$, is called *generalized distance* between x and y . It is a symmetric function in x, y which satisfies the triangular inequality. The first metric axiom is not valid in general. Note, however, that (6) implies that the sets $P^{-1}([0, R])$ are compact for any $R > 0$.

Recall that in Section 2 we have fixed a point $p \in M$. Set $P(x) = \tilde{\rho}(x, p)$. Then (cf. [O2, Lemma 2])

$$(16) \quad |P(x) - P(y)| \leq Q^{-1/2}(x) \text{dist}(x, y) + \frac{K}{2}(\text{dist}(x, y))^2$$

for any $x, y \in M$. It follows (cf. [O2]) that for any $R > 0, \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a smooth function $\tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x)$ which approximates $P(x)$ in the sense that

$$(17) \quad |\tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x) - P(x)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |d\tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x)| \leq Q^{-1/2}(x),$$

for any $x \in P^{-1}([0, R+1])$.

Assume that $\varepsilon < 1$ so that $\tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}^{-1}([0, R]) \subset P^{-1}([0, R+1])$. Let us define a piecewise smooth function $P_{R,\varepsilon}(x)$ on M by the formula

$$(18) \quad P_{R,\varepsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x) & \text{if } \tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x) \leq R; \\ R & \text{outside the set } \tilde{P}_{R,\varepsilon}(x) \leq R. \end{cases}$$

By (17), the inequality

$$(19) \quad \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |dP_{R,\varepsilon}(x)| \leq Q^{-1/2}(x)$$

holds almost everywhere on M .

Recall from Section 4 that the statement of Theorem A is equivalent to equality (7). Fix $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$ and consider the following approximation of the integral (7):

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{R,\varepsilon} &= \int_M \left(1 - \frac{P_{R,\varepsilon}}{R}\right) (H\alpha \wedge *\bar{\beta} - \alpha \wedge *\overline{H\beta}) \\ &= \int_M \left(1 - \frac{P_{R,\varepsilon}}{R}\right) (\Delta\alpha \wedge *\bar{\beta} - \alpha \wedge *\overline{\Delta\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

By the Fatou theorem ([RS, Theorem I.17]), it is enough to show that

$$(21) \quad \overline{\lim}_{R \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{R,\varepsilon} = 0.$$

We will need the following “integration by parts” lemma.¹

¹I learned this lemma from M. Shubin.

Lemma 2. *Let $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function with compact support. Then*

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_M \phi \Delta \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} \\ &= \int_M \phi (d\alpha \wedge * d\bar{\beta} + d^* \alpha \wedge * d^* \bar{\beta}) + \int_M d\phi \wedge (\bar{\beta} \wedge * d\alpha - d^* \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}(H_0^*)$.

Note that, by Remark 1 after the statement of Lemma 1, all the integrals in (22) make sense.

Proof. Recall that $d^* u = (-1)^{|u|} *^{-1} d * u$ where $|u|$ denotes the degree of the differential form u . Hence, if $|u| = |v| - 1$, then

$$(23) \quad \phi du \wedge * v = \phi u \wedge * d^* v - d\phi \wedge u \wedge * v + d(\phi u \wedge * v).$$

Substituting into (23) first $u = d^* \alpha, v = \bar{\beta}$ and then $u = \bar{\beta}, v = d\alpha$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi dd^* \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} &= -d\phi \wedge d^* \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} + \phi d^* \alpha \wedge * d^* \bar{\beta} + d(\phi d^* \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta}), \\ \phi d^* d\alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} &= \phi \bar{\beta} \wedge * d^* d\alpha = d\phi \wedge \bar{\beta} \wedge d\alpha + \phi d\alpha \wedge * d\bar{\beta} - d(\phi \bar{\beta} \wedge * d\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we used that $u \wedge * v = v \wedge * u$ for any differential forms u, v of the same degree. Summing the above equations, integrating over M and using the Stokes theorem we get (22). $\square$

Using definition (20) of $I_{R,\varepsilon}$ and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$(24) \quad I_{R,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{R} \int_M dP_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge (\bar{\beta} \wedge * d\alpha - d^* \alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta} - \alpha \wedge * d\bar{\beta} + d^* \bar{\beta} \wedge * \alpha).$$

Let $d\mu(x)$ denote the Riemannian density on M . For any $\xi \in \bigwedge^k(T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $|\xi|$ its norm with respect to the scalar product on $\bigwedge^k(T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ induced by the Riemannian structure on M . Then

$$(25) \quad |\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle| \leq \int_M |\alpha \wedge * \bar{\beta}| d\mu(x) \leq \int_M |\alpha| |\beta| d\mu(x) \leq \|\alpha\| \|\beta\|$$

for any $\alpha, \beta \in L^2 \Omega^\bullet(M)$.

Let us estimate the behavior of the right-hand side of (24) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For the first term we obtain

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{1}{R} \int_M dP_{R,\varepsilon} \wedge \bar{\beta} \wedge * d\alpha \right| &\leq \frac{1}{R} \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_M |dP_{R,\varepsilon}| |d^* \alpha| |\bar{\beta}| d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R} \int_M |Q^{-1/2} d^* \alpha| |\bar{\beta}| d\mu(x) \leq \frac{\|Q^{-1/2} d^* \alpha\| \|\beta\|}{R}. \end{aligned}$$

In the second inequality in (26) we used the estimate (19). The last inequality in (26) follows from Lemma 1.

Analogously, one can estimate the other terms in the right-hand side of (24). That proves (21) and Theorem A.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to thank M. Shubin for posing the problem and for essential help.

I am very grateful to I. Oleinik for pointing out a gap in a preliminary version of the paper and for drawing my attention to the paper [O2].

I am also thankful to M. Farber and V. Matsaev for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [BS] F. A. Berezin, M. A. Shubin, *The Schrödinger equation*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1991. MR **93i**:81001
- [Ch] P. R. Chernoff, *Essential self-adjointness of powers of generators of hyperbolic equations*, J. of Functional Analysis **12** (1973), 401–414. MR **51**:6119
- [Ga1] M. P. Gaffney, *The harmonic operators for exterior differential forms*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **37** (1951), 48–50. MR **13**:987b
- [Ga2] M. P. Gaffney, *A special Stokes’s theorem for complete Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 140–145. MR **15**:986d
- [Le] B. M. Levitan, *On a theorem of Titchmarsh and Sears*, Usp. Math. Nauk **16** (1961), 175–178. MR **24**:A2133
- [O1] I. M. Oleinik, *On the essential self-adjointness of the Schrödinger operator on a complete Riemannian manifold*, Mathematical Notes **54** (1993), 934–939. MR **94m**:58226
- [O2] I. M. Oleinik, *On the connection of the classical and quantum mechanical completeness of a potential at infinity on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Mathematical Notes **55** (1994), 380–386. MR **95h**:35051
- [RB] F. S. Rofe-Beketov, *Self-adjointness conditions for the Schrödinger operator*, Mat. Zametki **8** (1970), 741–751. MR **43**:743
- [RS] M. Reed, B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, Vol. I, II*, Academic Press, London, 1972, 1975. MR **58**:12429a; MR **58**:12429b
- [Se] D. B. Sears, *Note on the uniqueness of Green’s functions associated with certain differential equations*, Canadian J. Math. **2** (1950), 314–325. MR **12**:102h
- [Sh] M. A. Shubin, *Spectral theory of elliptic operators on non-compact manifolds*, Astérisque **207** (1992), 37–108. MR **94h**:58175
- [Wa] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New-York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983. MR **84k**:58001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210

E-mail address: `maxim@math.ohio-state.edu`

Current address: Department of Mathematics, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel