HANKEL OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN SPACE OF THE UNIT BALL

MARIA NOWAK

(Communicated by Theodore W. Gamelin)

ABSTRACT. We characterize the bounded holomorphic functions f, g in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$ for which the operator $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact. For $n = 1$ the result was obtained by Axler and Gorkin in 1988 and by Zheng in 1989.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B_n be the open unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with dv the normalized volume measure on B_n . When $n = 1$ we will denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$. The Bergman space $L_a^2(B_n)$ is the closed subspace of $L^2(B_n, dv)$ consisting of holomorphic functions. Let P be the orthogonal projection of $L^2(B_n, dv)$ onto $L_a^2(B_n)$. For $f \in L^2(B_n, dv)$ the Toeplitz operator $T_f : L_a^2(B_n) \rightarrow L_a^2(B_n)$ and the Hankel operator $H_f : L_a^2(B_n) \rightarrow (L_a^2(B_n))^\perp$ are defined by

$$T_f(g) = P(fg) \quad \text{and} \quad H_f(g) = fg - P(fg),$$

respectively. In fact, these operators are densely defined for bounded holomorphic functions and extended to all functions in $L_a^2(B_n)$.

In 1986 S. Axler ([4], [5]) proved that for $f \in L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ the Hankel operator $H_{\bar{f}} : L_a^2(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow (L_a^2(\mathbb{D}))^\perp$ is bounded if and only if f is a Bloch function. Moreover, $H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact if and only if f is in the little Bloch space. Many generalizations of these results have been found since then (see e.g. [3], [7], [8]).

In view of the relation

$$(1) \quad T_f^* T_g - T_g T_f^* = H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}} \quad \text{for } f, g \in L_a^2(B)$$

the problem of characterization of the functions f, g for which the operator $H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact seems natural. In the case of the unit disc the problem was proposed by S. Axler [4] and solved by S. Axler and P. Gorkin [6] and independently by D. Zheng [14]. They have proved the following

Received by the editors December 6, 1995 and, in revised form, December 10, 1996.
 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30H05, 47B38.
 This work was supported in part by KBN grant No. 2 PO3A- 002-08.

Theorem A. *Let f, g be bounded holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact ,
- (b) $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |z|^2) \min\{|f'(z)|, |g'(z)|\} = 0$,
- (c) $\lim_{|w| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z) - f(w)| |g(z) - g(w)| dv(z) = 0$,
- (d) *either f or g is constant on each Gleason part (except $\mathbb{D}$) of the maximal ideal space of $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

It was also noticed that each of the conditions (b), (c) implies the compactness of the operator $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ under the weaker and more natural assumption that f, g are Bloch functions. Moreover, these sufficient conditions for compactness of $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ are still valid if $\mathbb{D}$ is replaced by the unit ball $B_n, n > 1$.

Here we show that in the case of $\mathbb{D}$ (b) ((c)) is also a necessary condition for compactness of $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ under the assumption that f, g are Bloch functions. The main result of this paper is a characterization of the functions f, g bounded and holomorphic on B_n for which the operator $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact.

The author wishes to express her thanks to J. Arazy for pointing out the reference [1] and for helpful conversations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\langle z, w \rangle$ denote the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \bar{w}_j, \quad z = (z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad w = (w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

Let $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ be the group of all biholomorphic maps of B_n into B_n . It is known that $\text{Aut}(B_n)$ is generated by the unitary operators on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and the involutions φ_a of the form

$$(2) \quad \varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a z - (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2} Q_a z}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle},$$

where $a \in B_n$, P_a is the orthogonal projection into the space spanned by a , i.e.

$$P_a z = \frac{\langle z, a \rangle a}{|a|^2}, \quad |a|^2 = \langle a, a \rangle, \\ P_0 z = 0$$

and $Q_a = I - P_a$.

For fixed $a \in B_n$ and $r, 0 < r < 1$, define

$$E_n(a, r) = \varphi_a(r B_n).$$

Since φ_a is an involution, $z \in E_n(a, r)$ if and only if $|\varphi_a(z)| < r$.

As in [11] we say that a function $f \in C^2(B_n)$ is $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic in B_n if $\tilde{\Delta} f(z) = 0$ for every $z \in B_n$. The operator $\tilde{\Delta}$ is defined by

$$(\tilde{\Delta} f)(z) = \Delta(f \circ \varphi_z)(0),$$

where Δ is the ordinary Laplacian. We will need to use the following recent results on $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic functions.

Theorem B ([2]). Suppose that f and g are nonconstant holomorphic functions in B_n , and that $f\bar{g}$ is $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic.

- (a) When $n = 2$, this cannot happen.
- (b) When $n \geq 3$, then there exist
 - (i) an integer m , $2 \leq m \leq n - 1$,
 - (ii) entire functions $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\psi : \mathbb{C}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that

$$f(Uz) = \varphi\left(\frac{z_2}{1-z_1}, \dots, \frac{z_m}{1-z_1}\right), \quad g(Uz) = \psi\left(\frac{z_{m+1}}{1-z_1}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{1-z_1}\right).$$

Moreover, $f(B_n) = \varphi(\mathbb{C}^{m-1})$, $g(B_n) = \psi(\mathbb{C}^{n-m})$, and $(f\bar{g})(B_n) = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. (The latter case occurs only when both φ and ψ omit the value 0.)

Theorem C ([1]). Assume that for $f \in L^1(B_n, dv)$ the linear operator T_0 is defined by

$$(T_0 f)(z) = \int_{B_n} (f \circ \varphi_z) dv, \quad z \in B_n.$$

- (a) If $f \in L^\infty(B_n)$ and $T_0 f = f$ then $\tilde{\Delta} f = 0$.
- (b) If $f \in L^1(B_n, dv)$, $n < 12$ and $T_0 f = f$, then $\tilde{\Delta} f = 0$; this fails for all $n \geq 12$.

We say that a holomorphic function f is a Bloch function if

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_B = \sup\{|\nabla_z f|(1 - |z|^2); z \in B_n\} < \infty.$$

Bloch functions on bounded homogeneous domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ were defined by R. Timoney [12] and studied by many authors. (e.g. [9], [11], [12]). One of the most important properties of the space of Bloch functions on B_n , $n \geq 2$, is that (3) is equivalent to the following conditions (for some $K \geq 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nabla_z f, \bar{z} \rangle| (1 - |z|^2) &\leq K, \\ |\langle \nabla_z f, \bar{x} \rangle| (1 - |z|^2) &\leq K \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ satisfying } |x| = 1 \text{ and } \langle x, \bar{z} \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in B_n$. This means that f is a Bloch function on B_n if and only if the radial derivative of f is $O(1/(1 - |z|^2))$ and the directional derivatives of f in directions perpendicular to the radial direction are $O(1/(1 - |z|^2)^{1/2})$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

We start with the following

Lemma 1. Let f, g be bounded holomorphic functions on B_n . If the operator $H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact then

$$(5) \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |a|^2) \min\{|\nabla_a f|, |\nabla_a g|\} = 0.$$

Proof. For $a \in B_n$ define

$$k_a(z) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(n+1)/2}}{(1 - \langle z, a \rangle)^{n+1}}, \quad z \in B_n.$$

Because for $f \in L_a^2(B_n)$

$$\langle f, k_a \rangle = (1 - |a|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \int_{B_n} \frac{f(z)}{(1 - \langle a, z \rangle)^{n+1}} dv(z) = (1 - |a|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} f(a)$$

and holomorphic bounded functions are dense in $L_a^2(B_n)$, k_a tends weakly to zero in L_a^2 for $|a| \rightarrow 1^-$. Hence the compactness of $H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \langle H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}} k_a, k_a \rangle = \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \langle H_{\bar{f}} k_a, H_{\bar{g}} k_a \rangle \\ &= \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{B_n} (\bar{f} \circ \varphi_a - \bar{f}(a))(g \circ \varphi_a - g(a)) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that (5) does not hold. Then there exists a sequence $\{a_m\}$ in B such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a_m| = 1$ and

$$(6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |a_m|^2) |\nabla_{a_m} f| = a > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |a_m|^2) |\nabla_{a_m} g| = b > 0.$$

Since the families of functions

$$\{f \circ \varphi_a - f(a); a \in B_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{g \circ \varphi_a - g(a); a \in B_n\}$$

are compact, there exists a subsequence of $\{a_m\}$ (which will be denoted also by $\{a_m\}$) and holomorphic functions F, G such that

$$f \circ \varphi_{a_m} - f(a_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} F \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ \varphi_{a_m} - g(a_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} G$$

uniformly on compact subsets of B_n .

Now let $w \in B_n$ be fixed. Then also

$$f \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w - f(a_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} F \circ \varphi_w \quad \text{and} \quad g \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w - g(a_m) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} G \circ \varphi_w$$

uniformly on compacta.

Let $a'_m = \varphi_{a_m}(w)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a unique unitary transformation U_m for which $\varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w = \varphi_{a'_m} \circ U_m$ [12, p.29].

It follows from the relation [12, p.26]

$$(7) \quad 1 - |\varphi_a(w)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |w|^2)}{|1 - \langle w, a \rangle|^2}$$

that $|a'_m| \rightarrow 1^-$ if $|a_m| \rightarrow 1^-$. Thus by the compactness of $H_{\bar{g}}^* H_{\bar{f}}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_n} (\bar{f} \circ \varphi_{a'_m} - \bar{f}(a'_m))(g \circ \varphi_{a'_m} - g(a'_m)) dv \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_n} (\bar{f} \circ \varphi_{a'_m} \circ U - \bar{f}(a'_m))(g \circ \varphi_{a'_m} \circ U - g(a'_m)) dv \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_n} (\bar{f} \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w - \bar{f}(\varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(0)))(g \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w - g(\varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(0))) dv \end{aligned}$$

In view of boundedness of f, g and uniform convergence on compacta we get

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_n} [(\bar{f} \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(z) - \bar{f}(a_m)) - (\bar{f}(\varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(0)) - \bar{f}(a_m))] \\ & \times [(g \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(z) - g(a_m)) - (g(\varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_w(0)) - g(a_m))] dv(z) \\ &= \int_{B_n} (\bar{F} \circ \varphi_w(z) - \bar{F} \circ \varphi_w(0))(G \circ \varphi_w(z) - G \circ \varphi_w(0)) dv(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality can be rewritten in the form

$$\int_{B_n} \bar{F} \circ \varphi_w(z) G \circ \varphi_w(z) dv(z) = \bar{F}(w) G(w).$$

Now Theorem C implies that $\bar{F}G$ is $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic. Because F, G are bounded the Ahern-Rudin theorem (Theorem B) and Liouville's theorem imply that either F or G is constant on B_n . Suppose that F is constant. Then by the chain rule and the symmetry of the matrix $\varphi'_a(0) = (1 - |a|^2)P_a - (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2}Q_a$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= |\nabla_0 F|^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla_0(f \circ \varphi_{a_m})|^2 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla_{a_m} f \varphi'_{a_m}(0), \nabla_{a_m} f \varphi'_{a_m}(0) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi'_{a_m}(0) \nabla_{a_m} f, \varphi'_{a_m}(0) \nabla_{a_m} f \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} ((1 - |a_m|^2)^2 |P_{\bar{a}_m} \nabla_{a_m} f|^2 + (1 - |a_m|^2) |Q_{\bar{a}_m} \nabla_{a_m} f|^2) \\ &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |a_m|^2)^2 |\nabla_{a_m} f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Because the sequence $(1 - |a_m|^2) |\nabla_{a_m} g|$ is bounded for bounded and holomorphic g , the last inequality implies that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - |a_m|^2)^2 |\nabla_{a_m} g| |\nabla_{a_m} f| = 0$$

which contradicts (6). $\square$

Note that for the unit disc the same proof still goes under the assumption that f, g are Bloch functions. In this case the equality (8) follows from the Lebesgue's dominated theorem. In fact, if f is a Bloch function on $\mathbb{D}$ then [4, p.320]

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f \circ \varphi_{a_m}(z) - f(a_m)| dv(z) \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\ln(1 - |z|)| dv(z) \leq c \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Thus we have

Lemma 2. *If f, g are Bloch functions on $\mathbb{D}$ such that the operator $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact then*

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |a|^2) \min\{|f'(a)|, |g'(z)|\} = 0.$$

Analyzing the proof of Lemma 1 one can easily get

Lemma 3. *If f, g are bounded holomorphic functions on B_n and the operator $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact then*

$$(9) \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |a|^2) \min\{|P_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a f|, |P_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a g|\} = 0$$

and

$$(9') \quad \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1^-} (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2} \min\{|Q_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a f|, |Q_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a g|\} = 0.$$

Our main result is the following

Theorem. Let f, g be bounded holomorphic functions on B_n and $0 < r < 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent

- (a) $H_g^* H_{\bar{f}}$ is compact ;
- (b) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |a|^2) \min\{|P_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a f|, |P_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a g|\}$
 $= \lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2} \min\{|Q_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a f|, |Q_{\bar{a}} \nabla_a g|\} = 0$;
- (c) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{rB_n} |f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)| |g \circ \varphi_a - g(a)| dv = 0$;
- (d) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{|E_n(a, r)|} \int_{E_n(a, r)} |f - f(a)| |g - g(a)| dv = 0$;
- (e) $\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \int_{B_n} |f \circ \varphi_a - f(a)| |g \circ \varphi_a - g(a)| dv = 0$.

Proof. (a) $\implies$ (b). By Lemma 3.

(b) $\implies$ (c). Suppose f and g are bounded holomorphic functions on B_n for which statement (b) holds. Let $\{a_m\}$ be a sequence of points in B_n such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a_m| = 1$ and

$$F = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (f \circ \varphi_{a_m} - f(a_m)) \quad \text{and} \quad G = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (g \circ \varphi_{a_m} - g(a_m)) ,$$

where the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of B_n and F, G are holomorphic functions on B_n . We will show that

$$(10) \quad \int_{rB_n} |\nabla_z F| |\nabla_z G| dv(z) = 0 .$$

Applying the definitions of F, G and changing the variables $w = \varphi_{a_m}(z)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{rB_n} |\nabla_z F| |\nabla_z G| dv(z) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{rB_n} |\nabla_{\varphi_{a_m}(z)} f \varphi'_{a_m}(z)| |\nabla_{\varphi_{a_m}(z)} g \varphi'_{a_m}(z)| dv(z) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |\nabla_w f \varphi'_{a_m}(\varphi_{a_m}(w))| |\nabla_w g \varphi'_{a_m}(\varphi_{a_m}(w))| \frac{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle w, a_m \rangle|^{2n+2}} dv(w) . \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_{a_m}(w) = \zeta_m$. To calculate $\varphi'_{a_m}(\zeta_m)$ notice that for fixed w and a_m the biholomorphic mapping $\varphi_w \circ \varphi_{a_m} \circ \varphi_{\zeta_m}$ is a unitary operator, say U_m [12, p.29]. Hence

$$\varphi'_{a_m}(\zeta_m) = \varphi'_w(0) U_m \varphi'_{\zeta_m}(\zeta_m) .$$

Let $\|A\|$ denote the standard norm of the linear operator $A : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Because for every $z \in B_n$

$$\varphi'_z(z) = -(1 - |z|^2)^{-1} P_z - (1 - |z|^2)^{-1/2} Q_z ,$$

we have the following estimate:

$$\|\varphi'_{\zeta_m}(\zeta_m)\| \leq \frac{2}{1 - |\zeta_m|^2} .$$

Moreover, in view of (7) we get

$$\|\varphi'_{\zeta_m}(\zeta_m)\| \leq \frac{2|1 - \langle w, a_m \rangle|^2}{(1 - |a_m|^2)(1 - |w|^2)} .$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{rB_n} |\nabla_z F| |\nabla_z G| dv(z) \\
& \leq 4 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-2} |\varphi'_{\bar{w}}(0) \nabla_w f| |\varphi'_{\bar{w}}(0) \nabla_w g| \frac{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{n-1}}{|1 - \langle w, a_m \rangle|^{2n-2}} dv(w) \\
& \leq 4 \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-2} \left| (1 - |w|^2) P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f + (1 - |w|^2)^{1/2} Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f \right| \\
& \quad \times \left| (1 - |w|^2) P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g + (1 - |w|^2)^{1/2} Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g \right| \frac{(1 - |a_m|^2)^{n-1}}{|1 - \langle w, a_m \rangle|^{2n-2}} dv(w) \\
& \leq 2^{n+1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| (1 - |w|^2)^{-n+1} dv(w) \\
& \quad + 2^{n+1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| (1 - |w|^2)^{-n+1/2} dv(w) \\
& \quad + 2^{n+1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| (1 - |w|^2)^{-n+1/2} dv(w) \\
& \quad + 2^{n+1} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |Q_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| (1 - |w|^2)^{-n} dv(w) .
\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof of (10) it is enough to show that each of the four limits on the right-hand side of the last inequality is zero. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| (1 - |w|^2)^{-n+1} dv(w) \\
& \leq \sup_{w \in E_n(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^2 |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| \int_{E_n(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^{-n-1} dv(w) \\
& = \frac{r^{2n}}{(1 - r^2)^{n+1}} \sup_{w \in E_n(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^2 |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| .
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{\zeta_m\}$ be the sequence in B_n such that: $\zeta_m \in E(a_m, r)$ and

$$\sup_{w \in E(a_m, r)} (1 - |w|^2)^2 |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w f| |P_{\bar{w}} \nabla_w g| = (1 - |\zeta_m|^2)^2 |P_{\bar{\zeta}_m} \nabla_{\zeta_m} f| |P_{\bar{\zeta}_m} \nabla_{\zeta_m} g| .$$

Then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\zeta_m| = 1$ and (b) implies that the last expression tends to 0. The same reasoning applies to the remaining cases.

Now (10) implies that at least one of the functions F, G must be identically 0 on rB_n . Hence

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{rB_n} |f \circ \varphi_{a_m} - f(a_m)| |g \circ \varphi_{a_m} - g(a_m)| dv = \int_{rB_n} |FG| dv = 0 .$$

(c) $\iff$ (d) A change-of-variables yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_n(a, r)} |f - f(a)| |g - g(a)| dv \\
& = \int_{rB_n} |f \circ \varphi_a(z) - f(a)| |g \circ \varphi_a(z) - g(a)| \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{n+1}}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^{2(n+1)}} dv .
\end{aligned}$$

Because

$$|E_n(a, r)| = \left(\frac{1 - |a|^2}{1 - r^2|a|^2} \right)^{n+1}$$

the desired equivalence follows from the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{r^{2n}(1+r^2)^{2(n+1)}} \leq \frac{1}{|E_n(a, r)|} \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^{(n+1)}}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^{2(n+1)}} \leq \frac{1}{r^{2n}(1-r^2)^{n+1}}, \quad z \in rB_n.$$

(c) $\implies$ (e) Let $\{a_m\}$ be a sequence such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |a_m| = 1$ and F, G be as in the proof of the implication (b) $\implies$ (c). Then (c) implies that

$$\int_{rB_n} |F||G| dv = 0.$$

This in turn implies that either F or G is identically zero on rB_n , and hence on B_n .

(e) $\implies$ (a) It is enough to proceed analogously to the proof of Theorem 2 of [14]. $\square$

Remark. Notice that the functions f, g defined in assertion (ii) of Theorem B cannot be Bloch functions. Hence in view of Theorem C, when $n < 12$ our theorem holds for Bloch functions instead of bounded holomorphic functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.Ahern, M.Flores, and W.Rudin, *An Invariant Volume-Mean-Value Property*, J. Funct. Anal. **111** (1993), 380-397. MR **94b**:31002
- [2] P.Ahern and W.Rudin, *$\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic product*, Indag. Mathem., N.S., **2** (2) (1991), 141-147. MR **92g**:32013
- [3] J. Arazy, S.Fisher and J Peetre, *Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Amer.J. Math. **110** (1988), 989-1054. MR **90a**:47067
- [4] S.Axler, *The Bergman space, Bloch space, and the commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 315-332. MR **87m**:47064
- [5] S.Axler, *Bergman spaces and their operators*, *Surveys of some recent results on operator theory*, Pitman research notes in mathematics series, **No 171** (1988), 1-50. MR **90b**:47048
- [6] S.Axler and P.Gorkin, *Algebras on the disk and doubly commuting multiplication operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), 711-723. MR **90a**:46133
- [7] D.Békollé, C.A. Berger, L.A.Coburn, and Kehe Zhu, *BMO in the Bergman metric on bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **93** (1990), 310-350. MR **91j**:32034
- [8] Huiping Li and D.H. Luecking, *BMO on strongly pseudoconvex domains: Hankel operators, Duality and $\bar{\partial}$ -estimates*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **346**(2) (1994). MR **95b**:47027
- [9] M.Jevtić and M.Pavlović, *On $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic Bloch space*, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. **123** (5) (1995), 1385- 1392. MR **95i**:32009
- [11] Z.J.Lou, *Characterizations of Bloch functions on the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Kodai Math. J. **16** (1993), 74-78. MR **94b**:32007
- [10] W.Rudin, *Function Theory in the Unit Ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, 1980. MR **82i**:32002
- [12] R.M. Timoney, *Bloch functions in several complex variables*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 241-267. MR **83b**:32004
- [13] R.M. Timoney, *Bloch functions in several complex variables, II*, J.Reine Angew. Math. **319** (1980), 1-22. MR **83b**:32005
- [14] D.Zheng, *Hankel operators and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman Space*, J. Funct. Anal. **83** (1989), 89-120. MR **91b**:47057