COMPLETE POSITIVITY OF ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

LI JIANKUI

(Communicated by Dale E. Alspach)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that if $\mathcal{S}$ is an n -dimensional subspace of $L(H)$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{n}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive, where $[\frac{n}{2}]$ denotes the greatest integer not larger than $\frac{n}{2}$. By the result, we show that if $\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(\cdot)B_i$ is an elementary operator on a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then Φ is completely positive if and only if Φ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive.

In this paper, let H denote a complex Hilbert space. Let $H^{(n)}$ denote the direct sum of n copies of H . For $T \in L(H)$, we write $T^{(n)}$ for the operator on $H^{(n)}$ which is the direct sum of n copies of T ; the notation is extended to a subset of $L(H)$ by $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} \equiv \{T^{(n)} \in L(H^{(n)}) : T \in \mathcal{S}\}$. If $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $L(H)$, $\mathcal{S}$ is called n -reflexive if $\mathcal{S}^{(n)} = \text{ref}(\mathcal{S}^{(n)}) \equiv \{T^{(n)} \in L(H^{(n)}) : T^{(n)}x \in [\mathcal{S}^{(n)}x], \text{ for all } x \in H^{(n)}\}$, where $[\cdot]$ denotes norm closed linear span. By the definition, we have that if $\mathcal{S}$ is m -reflexive, then $\mathcal{S}$ is n -reflexive for $n \geq m$. A separating vector for a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $L(H)$ is a vector $x \in H$ such that $T \mapsto Tx, T \in \mathcal{S}$, is an injective map. For $x, y \in H$, let $x \otimes y$ denote the rank-one operator $u \mapsto (u, x)y$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote a C^* -algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called primitive, if $\mathcal{A}$ has a faithful irreducible representation on some Hilbert space. An elementary operator Ψ on $\mathcal{A}$ is a linear mapping of the form $\Psi : T \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n A_i T B_i$, where $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are subsets of $\mathcal{A}$. In this paper, we assume that all elementary operators are nonzero. A linear map Φ on $\mathcal{A}$ is positive (resp. hermitian-preserving) if $\Phi(T)$ is positive (resp. hermitian) for all positive (resp. hermitian) T in $\mathcal{A}$. We define $\Phi_n = \Phi \otimes I_n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A})$ by $\Phi \otimes I_n((T_{ij})_{n \times n}) = (\Phi(T_{ij}))_{n \times n}$. Φ is said to be n -positive if $\Phi \otimes I_n$ is positive. If Φ is n -positive for all n , then Φ is said to be completely positive.

In [4], Magajna states the following problem:

For each positive integer r determine the smallest $k = k(r)$ such that all r -dimensional subspaces of $L(H)$ are k -reflexive.

In [4], Magajna proves $k \leq r$. In this paper, we prove that if $\mathcal{S}$ is an n -dimensional subspace of $L(H)$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{n}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive. Also by this result, we study complete positivity of elementary operators on a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. We prove that if $\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(\cdot)B_i$ is an elementary operator on a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then Φ is

Received by the editors July 8, 1996 and, in revised form, May 14, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B47, 47B49; Secondary 46L05.

Key words and phrases. Reflexivity, elementary operator, complete positivity.

completely positive if and only if Φ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive. This result improves Theorem 2 [6].

Lemma 1. *If the operators $A_j = a_j \otimes c$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) form a basis of a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of $L(H)$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is reflexive.*

Proof. Let $T \in L(H)$ be such that for any $x \in H, Tx \in [\mathcal{S}x]$, we have $T = b \otimes c$. If x is orthogonal to all a_j , then $A_j x = 0$ for all j . Thus $Tx = 0$ and x must be orthogonal to b . This implies that $b \in \text{span}\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$, hence $T \in \mathcal{S}$. $\square$

The following result is given in [2].

Lemma 2. *Let $A, B \in L(H)$. Then Ax and Bx are linearly dependent for every x in $L(H)$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *A and B are linearly dependent;*
- (ii) *there exist $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in H$ with $A = x_1 \otimes x_0$ and $B = x_2 \otimes x_0$.*

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{S}$ is a subspace of $L(H)$ and $\dim \mathcal{S} = n$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{n}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive.*

Proof. If $n = 1, 2$, by Magajna's result [4], then the theorem is true.

Suppose now, inductively, that the theorem is true for any subspace of $L(H)$ of dimension at most $n - 1$, where $n \geq 3$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be an n -dimensional subspace of $L(H)$. Let $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{S}$ and define

$$k = \max\{\dim \text{span}\{A_1 x, \dots, A_n x\} : x \in H\},$$

then $1 \leq k \leq n$.

If $k = 1$, by Lemmas 1 and 2, we have that the theorem is true.

If $k = n$, then $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ has a separating vector x_0 . In the following, we prove that $\text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ is 2-reflexive. Suppose

$$T^{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in [\mathcal{S}^{(2)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}]$$

for every pair x, y of vectors in H . Then for each $y \in H$, there is a $T_y \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying $T_y x_0 = T x_0, T_y y = T y$. Since x_0 is separating for $\mathcal{S}$, T_y must be independent of y , so $T \in \mathcal{S}$. By $n \geq 3$, we have $([\frac{n}{2}] + 1) \geq 2$. Hence $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{n}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive.

If $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, we may assume, by reordering the A_i if necessary, that there exists a vector x_0 such that $\{A_i x_0\}_{i=1}^k$ is linearly independent. Hence there is a unique $k \times (n - k)$ complex matrix (a_{ij}) such that

$$(1) \quad A_{k+j} x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij} A_i x_0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - k.$$

In the following, let $l = [\frac{n}{2}] + 1$. If $A \in L(H)$, for any $x \in H^{(l)}$, satisfies $A^{(l)} x \in [\mathcal{S}^{(l)} x]$, then for any $x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}$ in H , there exist scalars $t_1, \dots, t_n$ such that

$$(2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} Ax_0 \\ \vdots \\ Ax_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} = t_1 \begin{pmatrix} A_1 x_0 \\ \vdots \\ A_1 x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + t_n \begin{pmatrix} A_n x_0 \\ \vdots \\ A_n x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $Ax_0 \in \text{span}\{A_1x_0, \dots, A_nx_0\} = \text{span}\{A_1x_0, \dots, A_kx_0\}$, there exist scalars $v_1, \dots, v_k$ such that

$$(3) \quad Ax_0 = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i A_i x_0.$$

By (1), (2) and (3), we have that

$$(4) \quad Ax_g = \sum_{i=1}^k (v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} t_{j+k} a_{ij}) A_i x_g + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} t_{j+k} A_{k+j} x_g, \quad g = 1, \dots, l-1.$$

Let

$$(5) \quad C = A - \sum_{i=1}^k v_i A_i \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = A_{k+j} - \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij} A_i, \quad j = 1, \dots, n-k.$$

For any $x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}$, by (2), (4) and (5), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} Cx_1 \\ \vdots \\ Cx_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} = t_{k+1} \begin{pmatrix} B_1x_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_1x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix} + \dots + t_n \begin{pmatrix} B_{n-k}x_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_{n-k}x_{l-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $n-k \leq n-2$, we have $l-1 \geq [\frac{n-k}{2}] + 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, we have $C \in \text{span}\{B_1, \dots, B_{n-k}\}$, hence $A \in \text{span}\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$. $\square$

Corollary 4. *Let $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Theorem 5. If $\dim \mathcal{S}_F = m$, where $\mathcal{S}_F$ denotes all finite-rank operators in $\mathcal{S}$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{m}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.6 [3], we have that $\text{ref}(\mathcal{S}^{(n)}) = \mathcal{S}^{(n)} + \text{ref}(\mathcal{S}_F^{(n)})$. Hence $\mathcal{S}^{(n)}$ is reflexive if and only if $\mathcal{S}_F^{(n)}$ is reflexive. By Theorem 3, it follows that $\mathcal{S}$ is $([\frac{m}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive. $\square$

Remark. By Theorem 3, a routine modification of the proof of Proposition 4.3 [4], we may prove that for any r -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{S}$ of a countably generated von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ on a Hilbert space H the space $\overline{\mathcal{R}'\mathcal{S}}$ is $([\frac{r}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive (relative to $L(H)$), and the space $\varepsilon\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ is $([\frac{r}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive relative to $\mathcal{R}$ where ε is the center of $\mathcal{R}$.

Lemma 5. *If $\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(\cdot)B_i$ is an elementary operator on $L(H)$, then Φ is completely positive if and only if Φ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive.*

Proof. The necessity is obvious, we only need to prove the sufficiency.

We may assume that $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$ and $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ are linearly independent. Since Φ is positive, it follows that Φ is a hermitian-preserving elementary operator. By Corollary 4.9 [5], we have

$$(6) \quad \Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^k D_i(\cdot)D_i^* - \sum_{i=k+1}^n D_i(\cdot)D_i^*,$$

where $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is linearly independent.

To prove that Φ is completely positive, it suffices to prove $k = n$. If $n = 1$, by (6), since Φ is positive, then the lemma is true. In the following, let $m = [\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1$.

If $n > 1$ and $k \leq n - 1$, since Φ is m -positive, for any vectors x and y in $H^{(m)}$, we have

$$(7) \quad \langle (\Phi_m(x \otimes x))y, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^k |\langle D_i^{(m)}x, y \rangle|^2 - \sum_{i=k+1}^n |\langle D_i^{(m)}x, y \rangle|^2 \geq 0.$$

By (7), we have that

$$(8) \quad D_j^{(m)}x \in \text{span}\{D_1^{(m)}x, \dots, D_k^{(m)}x\}, \quad k+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Since $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, by Theorem 3, we have that $\text{span}\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ is $([\frac{k}{2}] + 1)$ -reflexive. Since $[\frac{k}{2}] + 1 \leq [\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1$, we have that $\text{span}\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ is m -reflexive. By (8), we have that $D_{k+1}, \dots, D_n$ belongs to $\text{span}\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$. Since $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is linearly independent, this is a contradiction. $\square$

Theorem 6. If $\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i(\cdot)B_i$ is an elementary operator on a C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, then Φ is completely positive if and only if Φ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive.

Lemma 7. Theorem 6 holds when $\mathcal{A}$ is primitive.

Proof. The necessity is trivial, so we have only to prove the sufficiency.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is primitive, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}$ acts irreducibly on the Hilbert space H . By Φ , we may induce an elementary operator $\tilde{\Phi}$ on $L(H)$ defined by $\tilde{\Phi}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i T B_i$ for any T in $L(H)$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible, we have that $\mathcal{A}$ is strongly dense in $L(H)$. Hence Φ is m -positive on $\mathcal{A}$ if and only if $\tilde{\Phi}$ is m -positive on $L(H)$. Since Φ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive, we have that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is $([\frac{n-1}{2}] + 1)$ -positive. By Lemma 5, we have that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is completely positive. Hence Φ is completely positive. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6. The forward implication is obvious.

Conversely, let π be an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on H . Then Φ induces an elementary operator $\pi\Phi(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n \pi(A_i)(\cdot)\pi(B_i)$ on $L(H)$. By Lemma 7, we have that $\pi\Phi$ is completely positive. Let $\pi_a = \bigoplus_{t \in \hat{A}} \pi_t$ be the reduced atomic representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $H_a = \bigoplus_{t \in \hat{A}} H_t$. Then π_a is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on H_a . Since $\pi_t\Phi$ is completely positive on $L(H_t)$, we have $\pi_a\Phi$ is completely positive on $\prod_{t \in \hat{A}} L(H_t)$. Since π_a is a faithful representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on $L(H_a)$, we have that Φ is completely positive. $\square$

Remark. Theorem 6 improves Theorem 2 [6] which gives that if Φ and $\mathcal{A}$ are as in Theorem 6, then Φ is n -positive if and only if Φ is completely positive.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

Recently Z. Pan and the author resolved Magajna's problem [4]. We prove that if $\mathcal{S}$ is an n -dimensional subspace of $L(H)$, then $\mathcal{S}$ is $[\sqrt{2n}]$ -reflexive and $k(n) = [\sqrt{2n}]$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank the referee for useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. J. Hou, *On operator inequalities and combinations of operators*, Linear Alg. Appl. **153** (1991), 35–51. MR **92e**:47060
2. J. Hou, *Linear interpolations and elementary operators on $B(H)$* , Sci. China, Ser. A **36** (1993), 1025–1035. MR **95f**:47034
3. D. R. Larson, *Reflexivity, algebraic reflexivity and linear interpolation*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 283–299. MR **89d**:47096
4. B. Magajna, *On the relative reflexivity of finitely generated modules of operators*, Tran. Amer. Math. Soc. **327** (1991), 221–249. MR **91m**:47064
5. M. Mathieu, *Elementary operators on prime C^* -algebras I*, Math. Ann. **284** (1989), 223–244. MR **90h**:46092
6. M. Mathieu, *How to use primeness to describe properties of elementary operators*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. part 2, **51** (1990), 195–199. CMP 91:03
7. G. K. Pederson, *C^* -Algebras and their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA, HUNAN 410081,
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New
Hampshire 03824

E-mail address: jkli@spicerack.sr.unh.edu