PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 127, Number 5, Pages 1331–1335 S 0002-9939(99)05210-7 Article electronically published on January 28, 1999

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A PERIODIC DELAY PREDATOR-PREY SYSTEM

#### LI YONGKUN

(Communicated by Suncica Canic)

ABSTRACT. The existence of a positive periodic solution for

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}H(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = r(t)H(t)\left[1 - \frac{H(t-\tau(t))}{K(t)}\right] - \alpha(t)H(t)P(t),\\ \frac{\mathrm{d}P(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -b(t)P(t) + \beta(t)P(t)H(t-\sigma(t)) \end{cases}$$

is established, where r, K,  $\alpha$ , b,  $\beta$  are positive periodic continuous functions with period  $\omega > 0$ , and  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  are periodic continuous functions with period  $\omega$ .

#### 1. Introduction

As pointed out by Freedman and Wu [1] and Kuang [2], it would be of interest to study the global existence of periodic solutions for systems with periodic delays, representing predator-prey or competition systems. The purpose of this article is to consider the following periodic delay predator-prey model:

(1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}H(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = r(t)H(t)\left[1 - \frac{H(t - \tau(t))}{K(t)}\right] - \alpha(t)H(t)P(t), \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}P(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -b(t)P(t) + \beta(t)P(t)H(t - \sigma(t)), \end{cases}$$

where r, K,  $\alpha$ , b,  $\beta$  are positive periodic continuous functions with period  $\omega > 0$ , and  $\tau$ ,  $\sigma$  are periodic continuous functions with period  $\omega > 0$ . The system (1.1) was introduced by May in [3, p. 103].

In Section 2, we will use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, which was proposed in [4] by Gaines and Mawhin, to establish the existence of at least one positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of system (1.1).

First, consider an abstract equation in a Banach space X,

$$(1.2) Lx = \lambda Nx, \lambda \in (0,1),$$

where  $L \colon \operatorname{Dom} L \cap X \to X$  is a linear operator and  $\lambda$  is a parameter. Let P and Q denote two projectors,

$$P: X \cap \text{Dom } L \to \text{Ker } L \text{ and } Q: X \to X/\text{Im } L.$$

Received by the editors March 5, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34K15, 34K20, 92A15.

Key words and phrases. Delay equation, predator-prey system, periodic solution.

The author was partially supported by the ABF of Yunnan Province of China.

For convenience we introduce a continuation theorem [4, p. 40] as follows.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let X be a Banach space and L a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Assume that  $N \colon \overline{\Omega} \to X$  is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  with  $\Omega$  open bounded in X. Furthermore assume:

(a) for each  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ ,  $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Dom } L$ ,

$$Lx \neq Nx;$$

(b) for each  $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L$ ,

$$QNx \neq 0$$

and

$$deg\{QNx, \ \Omega \cap Ker L, \ 0\} \neq 0.$$

Then Lx = Nx has at least one solution in  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

## 2. Main result

In what follows, we use the following notation:

$$\bar{u} = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^{\omega} u(t) dt$$
,  $(u)_M = \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |u(t)|$ ,  $(u)_m = \min_{t \in [0,\omega]} |u(t)|$ ,

where u is a periodic continuous function with period  $\omega$ .

Now we state our fundamental theorem about the existence of a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of system (1.1).

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume the following:

- (i)  $(b/\beta)_M e^{2\bar{r}\omega} < (K)_m$ ;
- (ii)  $\bar{r} > (\overline{r/K})\bar{b}/\bar{\beta}$ .

Then system (1.1) has at least one positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution.

Proof. Consider the system

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = r(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(t-\tau(t))}}{K(t)} \right] - \alpha(t)e^{y(t)}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}y(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = -b(t) + \beta(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))}, \end{cases}$$

where  $r, K, \alpha, b, \beta, \tau, \sigma$  are the same as those in system (1.1). It is easy to see that if the system (2.1) has an  $\omega$ -periodic solution  $(x^*(t), y^*(t))$ , then  $(e^{x^*(t)}, e^{y^*(t)})$  is a positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution of system (1.1). Therefore, for (1.1) to have at least one positive  $\omega$ -periodic solution it is sufficient that (2.1) has at least one  $\omega$ -periodic solution. In order to apply Lemma 1.1 to system (2.1), we take

$$X = \{(x(t), y(t))^T \in C(R, R^2) : x(t + \omega) = x(t), \ y(t + \omega) = y(t)\}\$$

and

$$||(x,y)^T|| = \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |x(t)| + \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} |y(t)|.$$

With this norm, X is a Banach space. Let

$$\begin{split} N \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} r(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(t-\tau(t))}}{K(t)} \right] - \alpha(t) e^{y(t)} \\ -b(t) + \beta(t) e^{x(t-\sigma(t))} \end{bmatrix}, \\ L \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = Q \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega x(t) \mathrm{d}t \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega y(t) \mathrm{d}t \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in X. \end{split}$$

Since  $\operatorname{Ker} L = R^2$  and  $\operatorname{Im} L$  is closed in X, L is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, we have that N is L-compact on  $\overline{\Omega}$  [4]; here  $\Omega$  is any open bounded set in X.

Corresponding to equation (1.2), we have

(2.2) 
$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}x(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \lambda \left\{ r(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(t-\tau(t))}}{K(t)} \right] - \alpha(t)e^{y(t)} \right\}, \\ \frac{\mathrm{d}y(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} &= \lambda [-b(t) + \beta(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))}]. \end{aligned} \right.$$

Suppose that  $(x(t), y(t))^T \in X$  is a solution of system (2.2) for a certain  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . By integrating (2.2) over the interval  $[0, \omega]$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^{\omega} \left\{ r(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(t-\tau(t))}}{K(t)} \right] - \alpha(t)e^{y(t)} \right\} dt = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^{\omega} \left[ -b(t) + \beta(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))} \right] \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Thus

(2.3) 
$$\int_0^\omega \left[ \frac{r(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))}}{K(t)} + \alpha(t)e^{y(t)} \right] dt = \int_0^\omega r(t)dt$$

and

(2.4) 
$$\int_0^\omega \beta(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))} dt = \int_0^\omega b(t)dt.$$

From (2.2)–(2.4), it follows that

$$\int_0^\omega |\dot{x}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \le \lambda \int_0^\omega \left| r(t) \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(t-\sigma(t))}}{K(t)} \right] - \alpha(t) e^{y(t)} \right| \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$< \int_0^\omega r(t) \, \mathrm{d}t + \int_0^\omega \left[ \frac{r(t) e^{x(t-\tau(t))}}{K(t)} + \alpha(t) e^{y(t)} \right] \, \mathrm{d}t$$

$$= 2 \int_0^\omega r(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 2\bar{r}\omega$$

and

$$\int_0^\omega |\dot{y}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \le \lambda \int_0^\omega |-b(t)+\beta(t)e^{x(t-\sigma(t))}| \, \mathrm{d}t < 2\bar{b}\omega.$$

That is,

(2.5) 
$$\int_0^\omega |\dot{x}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t < 2\bar{r}\omega$$

and

(2.6) 
$$\int_0^\omega |\dot{y}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t < 2\bar{b}\omega.$$

Moreover, (2.4) implies that there exists a point  $\xi_1 \in [0, \omega]$  such that

$$x(\xi_1 - \sigma(\xi_1)) = \log \frac{b(\xi_1)}{\beta(\xi_1)} \le \log \left(\frac{b}{\beta}\right)_M$$

hence

$$|x(\xi_1 - \sigma(\xi_1))| \le \max_{t \in [0,\omega]} \left| \log \frac{b(t)}{\beta(t)} \right| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_1.$$

Denote  $\xi_1 + \sigma(\xi_1) = t_1 + n_1\omega$ ,  $t_1 \in [0, \omega]$ , and  $n_1$  is an integer; then

$$x(t_1) \le \log\left(\frac{b}{\beta}\right)_M$$
 and  $|x(t_1)| \le M_1$ .

In view of this and (2.5), we have

(2.7) 
$$x(t) \le x(t_1) + \int_0^\omega |\dot{x}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$\le \log \left(\frac{b}{\beta}\right)_M + 2\bar{r}\omega$$

and

$$|x(t)| \le |x(t_1)| + \int_0^\omega |\dot{x}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t$$
$$< M_1 + 2\bar{r}\omega \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} M_2.$$

By (2.3), (2.7) and assumption (i), we find that there exists a point  $\xi_2 \in [0, \omega]$  such that

$$\frac{r(\xi_2)e^{x(\xi_2-\tau(\xi_2))}}{K(\xi_2)} + \alpha(\xi_2)e^{y(\xi_2)} = r(\xi_2),$$

which implies that

$$e^{y(\xi_2)} < \frac{r(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \le \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)_M$$

and

$$e^{y(\xi_2)} = \frac{r(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{e^{x(\xi_2 - \tau(\xi_2))}}{K(\xi_2)} \right]$$

$$\geq \frac{r(\xi_2)}{\alpha(\xi_2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{(b/\beta)_M e^{2\bar{\tau}\omega}}{K(\xi_2)} \right]$$

$$\geq \left( \frac{r}{\alpha} \right)_m \left[ 1 - \frac{(b/\beta)_M e^{2\bar{\tau}\omega}}{(K)_m} \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_3 > 0.$$

Thus,

$$|y(\xi_2)| < \max\left\{\left|\log\left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)_M\right|, |\log M_3|\right\} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_4.$$

In view of this and (2.6), we obtain that

$$|y(t)| \le y(\xi_2)| + \int_0^{\omega} |\dot{y}(t)| \, \mathrm{d}t < M_4 + 2\bar{b}\omega \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} M_5.$$

Clearly,  $M_i$  (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) are independent of  $\lambda$ , and under the assumption (ii) of the theorem, the system of algebraic equations

(2.8) 
$$\begin{cases} \bar{r} - \overline{\left(\frac{r}{K}\right)} u - \bar{\alpha}v = 0, \\ -\bar{b} + \bar{\beta}u = 0 \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $(u^*, v^*)$  which satisfies  $u^* > 0$  and  $v^* > 0$ . Denote  $M = M_2 + M_5 + C$ , where C > 0 is taken sufficiently large so that the unique solution of system (2.8) satisfies  $||(u^*, v^*)^T|| = |u^*| + |v^*| < M$ . Now we take  $\Omega = \{(x(t), y(t))^T \in X : ||(x, y)^T|| < M\}$ . This satisfies condition (a) of Lemma 1.1. When  $(x, y)^T \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial\Omega \cap R^2$ ,  $(x, y)^T$  is a constant vector in  $R^2$  with |x| + |y| = M. Then

$$QN \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{r} - \overline{\left(\frac{r}{K}\right)}e^x - \bar{\alpha}e^y \\ -\bar{b} + \bar{\beta}e^x \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, it can easily be seen that

$$\operatorname{deg}\{QN(x,y)^T, \ \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, \ (0,0)^T\} = \operatorname{sign}[\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}u^*v^*] \neq 0.$$

By now we know that  $\Omega$  verifies all the requirements of Lemma 1.1 and then (2.1) has at least one  $\omega$ -periodic solution. This completes the proof.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading of the manuscript and his/her suggestions for improving the presentation of its contents.

## References

- H. I. Freedman and J. Wu, Periodic solutions of single-species models with periodic delay, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 23 (1992), 689-701. MR 93e:92012
- Y. Kuang, Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1993. MR 94f:34001
- R. M. May, Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree and and Non-linear Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin, 1977. MR 58:30551

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YUNNAN UNIVERSITY, KUNMING, YUNNAN 650091, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: yklie@ynu.edu.cn