EXAMPLES OF VECTOR BUNDLES ADMITTING UNIQUE ASD CONNECTIONS ON QUATERNION-KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

YASUYUKI NAGATOMO

(Communicated by Ronald A. Fintushel)

ABSTRACT. We prove a series of rigidity theorems. Examples of higher rank ASD vector bundles are given on quaternion-Kähler manifolds, which admit the one and only ASD connections modulo the gauge equivalence.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we are interested in anti-self-dual (ASD) connections on complex vector bundles over positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds. By definition, a quaternion-Kähler manifold is a $4n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold for which the linear holonomy group can be reduced to $Sp(1) \cdot Sp(n)$. A positive quaternion-Kähler manifold means a compact quaternion-Kähler manifold with a positive scalar curvature. (This definition is followed by LeBrun and Salamon [4].) Anti-self-duality can be defined over quaternion-Kähler manifolds in the same way as in the 4-dimensional case (for example, Mamone Capria and Salamon [5]). For brevity, a vector bundle with an ASD connection is called an ASD bundle.

We will prove a series of rigidity theorems for ASD connections. As an easy consequence of Salamon [10] and LeBrun-Salamon [4], we obtain the *classification* of ASD connections on complex *line* bundles over positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds (see §4 Appendix). Lemma 4.1 asserts that the moduli space of ASD connections on a line bundle is one point as a set. However, in the case of higher dimensional quaternion-Kähler manifolds, there exists a vector bundle of rank > 1 which admits *only one* ASD connection up to the gauge equivalence.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (E_p, ∇) be an irreducible ASD bundle of rank r with a unitary structure over $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$, where p is an integer. If the first Chern class of E is $(rp+1)(x-y)$ and the second Chern class is $\frac{p}{2}(r-1)(rp+2)(x-y)^2 + (x^2 - xy + y^2)$, then E_p is a homogeneous bundle and the rank r equals to n . The ASD connection ∇ is gauge equivalent to the canonical connection. (The cohomology classes x and y are defined in §2.)*

Remark 1.2. If the dual bundle E_p^* is also taken into account ($c_1(E_p^*) = (rp+1)(-x+y)$), we obtain the same conclusion.

Received by the editors October 15, 1997 and, in revised form, December 17, 1997.
 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C07.

To prove Theorem 1.1 in the case $p = 0$, we employ the theory of monads. (For a full detail of the theory of monads, we recommend the book [9].) The theory of monads usually has the advantage of providing a deformation of holomorphic structures. In our case, we make use of monads to show that there exists *only one* holomorphic structure on the given bundle.

Using this rigidity theorem ($p = 0$) and Lemma 4.1, we make a proof of Theorem 1.1 complete. A calculation of the Chern classes reduces the general cases to the first one.

If we take the Whitney sum of a homogeneous line bundle of degree $-x + y$ and E_0 on $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$, the solution space to the ASD equation modulo the gauge equivalence on the direct sum of vector bundles is no longer one point and is identified with an open cone over $P(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ [8]. Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.1 assure that the vertex of the moduli space is *really* one point and represents the canonical connection.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a quaternion-Kähler manifold and E be a complex vector bundle on M . A connection on E is called an anti-self-dual (ASD) connection if its curvature 2-form is invariant under the $Sp(1)$ action of the quaternion structure. (See, for example, [5].)

A quaternion-Kähler manifold M has the Salamon twistor space Z [10]. If M is a positive quaternion-Kähler manifold, the twistor space is a Fano manifold which is a projective algebraic manifold and has the positive first Chern class [10]. An ASD bundle pulls back to a holomorphic vector bundle on Z with the induced connection (for example, [5]).

In the present paper, we need the theory of monads on the twistor space F^{2n+1} of 2-plane complex Grassmannian $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. Hence we review the topology of the generalized flag manifold F^{2n+1} :

$$F^{2n+1} = \frac{SU(n+2)}{S(U(1) \times U(n) \times U(1))}.$$

In other words, F^{2n+1} is represented as follows:

$$F^{2n+1} = \{(l, V) \mid 0 \in l \subset V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}, \dim l = 1 \text{ and } \dim V = n+1\}.$$

Then the twistor fibration $\pi : F \rightarrow Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ is

$$\pi((l, V)) = l \oplus V^\perp.$$

So, note that this is *not* a holomorphic fibration [10]. (When no confusion can arise, the dimension $2n+1$ will be omitted.) Now, we describe the ring structure of the cohomology of F . The twistor space F^{2n+1} has double holomorphic fibrations to $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{n+1*}$ such that

$$p_1 : (l, V) \longrightarrow [l] \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 : (l, V) \longrightarrow [V].$$

We denote by x and y pull-back elements of $H^2(F^{2n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ of the standard positive generators of $H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n+1}, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{P}^{n+1*}, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively. Then, by the result of [1], the cohomology ring $H^*(F^{2n+1}, \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by x and y . More precisely, it is isomorphic to the quotient ring by an ideal generated by x^{n+2} , y^{n+2}

and $x^{n+1} - x^n y + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} y^{n+1}$. On the other hand, using the twistor fibration, $H^*(Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}), \mathbb{Q})$ is regarded as a subring of $H^*(F, \mathbb{Q})$ [1]. Consequently, an element of $H^*(Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}), \mathbb{Q})$ may be written with x and y .

Since F is a Fano manifold, the Picard group is isomorphic to $H^2(F^{2n+1}, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, we denote by $\mathcal{O}(p, q)$ the line bundle on F for which the first Chern class is $px + qy$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. RIGIDITY THEOREMS

Throughout this section, we do not distinguish between an ASD bundle E_p on $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ and its pull-back on the twistor space F^{2n+1} , and we use the same symbol E_p for both.

Proposition 3.1. *Let E_0 be a vector bundle of rank r as in Theorem 1.1. Then the pull-back bundle E_0 on F is a cohomology bundle of the monad*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \underline{V} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{O}(0, 1),$$

where $\underline{V}$ is a trivial bundle $F \times V$ of rank $r + 2$.

Proof. Our proof is done in the same way as in a proof of Main Theorem 2 in [8]. More precisely, we use only induction in the dimension of the base manifold and some vanishing theorems. In the case of $n = 1$ ($\mathbb{CP}^2$), Buchdahl [2] shows our result. (Note that $c_2(E_0) = 0$ in this case.)

By induction, vanishing theorems [8] imply that $H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0(0, -1))$ and $H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0^*(-1, 0))$ are one-dimensional vector spaces. Using the identities of $End(H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0(0, -1)))$ and $End(H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0^*(-1, 0)))$, we define a monad

$$W_2(-1, 0) \longrightarrow \underline{V} \longrightarrow W_1^*(0, 1),$$

where $W_1 = H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0(0, -1))^*$ and $W_2 = H^1(F^{2n+1}, E_0^*(-1, 0))^*$. The similar method in proofs of Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.7 in [8] yields that $\underline{V}$ is a trivial vector bundle of rank $r + 2$. $\square$

To describe homomorphisms in the monad (3.1), we make use of the expression of F^{2n+1} as a homogeneous space. For brevity, $SU(n + 2)$ is denoted by G and $S(U(1) \times U(n) \times U(1))$ is denoted by K_Z . Let $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ be the standard representation space of G with a G -invariant hermitian inner product h . Now we denote by e (resp. f) the highest (resp. lowest) weight vector with the norm 1 in $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. Then, by the restriction of the action of G to K_Z , we have two irreducible representation spaces $\mathbb{C}e$ and $\mathbb{C}f$ of K_Z . Under this notation, we have

$$\mathcal{O}(-1, 0) = G \times_{K_Z} \mathbb{C}e, \text{ and } \mathcal{O}(0, 1) = G \times_{K_Z} \mathbb{C}f.$$

Hence, for example, an element of $\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)$ is denoted by $[g, ce]$, where c is a complex number and $[g, ce]$ is the coset represented by $(g, ce) \in G \times \mathbb{C}e$.

We can prove the following proposition in the same way as in proofs of Proposition 5.1 and Lemmas 5.2 and 5.4 in [8].

Proposition 3.2. *Let α and β be homomorphisms in the monad (3.1). Then, there exist $A \in Hom(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, V)$ and $B \in Hom(V, \mathbb{C}^{n+2})$ such that*

$$\alpha([g, ce]) = ([g], cAe), \quad \beta([g], v) = [g, h(Bv, gf)f],$$

where $g \in SU(n + 2)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $v \in V$. Moreover, A is injective, B is surjective and the composition $B \circ A$ is the identity of $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ up to non-zero constant multiple.

Corollary 3.3. *The rank r of E_0 is greater than or equal to n .*

Proof. From Proposition 3.2, the dimension of V must be greater than or equal to $n + 2$. $\square$

Theorem 3.4. *Let E_0 be a vector bundle satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.1. If E_0 has an irreducible ASD connection, then the rank of E_0 is equal to n and we have an identification between V and $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$.*

Proof. First, we assume that $H^0(E_0) \neq 0$. In general, a holomorphic section $s \in H^0(Z, E)$ corresponds to a covariant constant section of E_0 over M , where Z is the twistor space of a quaternion-Kähler manifold M and E is an ASD bundle on M . (See, for example, [11, p. 422] or [6]. A direct computation in [6] shows this fact.) This implies that E_0 has a trivial subbundle with a flat connection. This is a contradiction with the irreducibility and so, $H^0(E_0) = 0$.

The first row of the display of the monad (3.1) and the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem yield that $H^i(\text{Ker } \beta) \cong H^i(E_0)$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2n + 1$. Consequently, $H^0(\text{Ker } \beta) = 0$. By the first column of the display of the monad (3.1), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}(0, 1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\text{Ker } \beta) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Using again the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem, we have $H^0(\mathcal{O}(0, 1)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ as the representation space of G . Hence, $\dim H^1(E_0) = \dim H^1(\text{Ker } \beta) = n + 2 - \dim V$ and so, $\dim V \leq n + 2$. Since $\text{rank } E_0 = \dim V - 2$, we obtain $\text{rank } E_0 \leq n$. However, Corollary 3.3 asserts that $\text{rank } E_0 \geq n$. Consequently we have $\text{rank } E_0 = n$ and $H^1(E_0) = 0$. Then, (3.2) yields that $V \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}(0, 1)) \cong \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. $\square$

Hence we assume that the rank of E_0 is n and V in the monad (3.1) is the standard representation space $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ of $SU(n + 2)$.

It is easy to see that isomorphic monads induce isomorphic cohomology bundles. Now we focus our attention on the isomorphic classes of monads (3.1).

Theorem 3.5. *For any choice of homomorphisms α and β in the monad (3.1), we have the isomorphic cohomology bundle.*

Proof. We assume that monads (MI) and (MI') are isomorphic to each other; in other words, the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{(MI)} : \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+2}} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1) \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow C & & \downarrow q \\ \text{(MI')} : \mathcal{O}(-1, 0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+2}} & \xrightarrow{\beta'} & \mathcal{O}(0, 1), \end{array}$$

where C is an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$, p and q are automorphisms of $\mathcal{O}(-1, 0)$ and $\mathcal{O}(0, 1)$, respectively. The Bott-Borel-Weil theorem implies that $H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}(-1, 0))) \cong H^0(\text{End}(\mathcal{O}(0, 1))) \cong \mathbb{C}$; we also have the identification between $H^0(\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}))$ and $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. Consequently p and q can be regarded as non-zero constants and the automorphism C is identified with an element in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. Then the commutative diagram and Proposition 3.2 yield that

$$([g], CAg) = p([g], A'ge) \quad \text{and} \quad qh(Bv, gf) = h(B'Cv, gf)$$

for arbitrary $g \in G$ and $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. From the irreducibility of the standard representation of G , we have $CA = pA'$ and $qB = B'C$.

However, using again Proposition 3.2, there exist non-zero constants c and c' such that $BA = cId$ and $B'A' = c'Id$, where Id is the identity of $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. Consequently, if we put $C = cA'A^{-1}$, $p = c$ and $q = c'$, we obtain the desired isomorphism. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Under the identification in Proposition 3.2, we can regard homomorphisms α and β in the monad (3.1) as the identities of $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ from Theorem 3.5. This is the standard monad induced by the standard representation of $SU(n+2)$. (This terminology is defined in [7, Definition 4.4].) Hence the cohomology bundle is identified with a homogeneous bundle $G \times_{K^Z} \mathbb{C}^n$ with the holomorphic structure induced by the canonical connection, where $\mathbb{C}^n$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C}e \oplus \mathbb{C}f$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$. Then Theorem 3.4 in [7] implies the desired result.

Finally we take account of the case that $p \neq 0$. An easy computation shows that

$$c_1(E_p(-p, p)) = x - y, \quad c_2(E_p(-p, p)) = x^2 - xy + y^2.$$

The proof of Lemma 4.1 in §4 and Theorem 3.4 in [7] yield that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(-p, p)$ is nothing but the pull-back of a homogeneous ASD line bundles over $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. Consequently, $E_p(-p, p)$ is also the pull-back of an ASD bundle. Our theorem in the case $p = 0$ implies that $E_p(-p, p)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of E_0 and a trivial vector bundle as a holomorphic vector bundle and so, under the hypothesis of irreducibility, we obtain $E_p \cong E_0(p, -p)$. Hence E_p is a homogeneous vector bundle of rank n and the holomorphic structure of E_p is induced by the canonical connection. We may use again Theorem 3.4 in [7]. $\square$

4. APPENDIX

In this section, we give the *classification* of ASD connections on complex *line* bundles over positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds. Now M is a $4n$ -dimensional positive quaternion-Kähler manifold.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (L, ∇) be an ASD complex line bundle with a hermitian metric over a positive quaternion-Kähler manifold M . Then L is a trivial line bundle and ∇ is a trivial connection, unless $M = Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. If M is a complex Grassmannian $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$, then L is a homogeneous line bundle and ∇ is gauge equivalent to the canonical connection.*

Proof. A positive quaternion-Kähler manifold M is simply connected from Salamon [10]. Let M not be a complex Grassmannian $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$. Then LeBrun and Salamon [4] showed that the second homotopy group $\pi_2(M)$ is finite. Consequently, the second cohomology group $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes and so $c_1(L) = 0$. In the case of a line bundle L , the Yang-Mills functional is bounded from below by $c \int_M c_1(L)^2 \wedge \Omega^{n-1}$ [5, 3], where c is a positive constant and Ω is the fundamental 4-form on M . In particular, ASD connections minimize the Yang-Mills functional and attain the minima $c \int_M c_1(L)^2 \wedge \Omega^{n-1}$ [5, 3]. Hence the connection ∇ is flat. Using again the fact that $\pi_1(M) = 0$, we obtain the desired result.

If M is $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$, then we take account of the pull-back bundle on the twistor space F . The pull-back bundle is a holomorphic line bundle (for example [5]), which is denoted by $\tilde{L}$. Since F is Fano, the Kodaira vanishing theorem implies that the holomorphic structure of a line bundle is uniquely determined by its first Chern class. On the other hand, the standard theory of a compact simply-connected homogeneous Kähler manifold shows that for every integral cohomology class α on F , there exists a homogeneous line bundle of which the first Chern class is α and

the canonical connection induces the holomorphic structure on this line bundle. Therefore $\tilde{L}$ is a homogeneous line bundle with the canonical connection up to the gauge equivalence. Then Theorem 3.4 in [7] yields that L is also a homogeneous bundle and ∇ is gauge equivalent to the canonical connection. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] I.N.Bernstein, I.M.Gelfand and S.I.Gelfand, Schubert cells and the cohomology of the spaces G/P , L.M.S.Lecture Notes **69** (1982), Cambridge University Press, 115-140
- [2] N.P.Buchdahl, *Instantons on $\mathbb{C}P^2$* , J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), 19-52 MR **88b**:32066
- [3] K.Galicki and Y.S.Poon, *Duality and Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991), 1263-1268 MR **92i**:53024
- [4] C.LeBrun and S.M.Salamon, *Strong rigidity of positive quaternion-Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), 109-132 MR **95k**:53059
- [5] M.Mamone Capria and S.M.Salamon, *Yang-Mills fields on quaternionic spaces*, Nonlinearity **1** (1988), 517-530 MR **89k**:58064
- [6] Y.Nagatomo, *Vanishing theorem for cohomology groups of c_2 -self-dual bundles on quaternionic Kähler Manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **5** (1995), 79-97 MR **95m**:32041
- [7] Y.Nagatomo, *Representation theory and ADHM-construction on quaternionic symmetric spaces*, a preprint
- [8] Y.Nagatomo, *Another type of instanton bundles on $Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^{n+2})$* , to appear in Tokyo J. Math.
- [9] C.Okonek, M.Schneider and H.Spindler, "Vector bundles on complex projective spaces", Progress in Math. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1980) MR **81b**:14001
- [10] S.M.Salamon, *Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 143-171 MR **83k**:53054
- [11] R.S.Ward and R.O.Wells Jr, "Twistor Geometry and Field Theory", Cambridge monographs on Math. Physics, Cambridge University Press (1989) MR **91b**:32034

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA-SHI, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN

E-mail address: nagatomo@math.tsukuba.ac.jp