GROUP RINGS WHOSE SYMMETRIC ELEMENTS ARE LIE NILPOTENT

GREGORY T. LEE

(Communicated by Ronald M. Solomon)

ABSTRACT. Let FG be the group ring of a group G over a field F , with characteristic different from 2. Let $*$ denote the natural involution on FG sending each group element to its inverse. Denote by $(FG)^+$ the set of symmetric elements with respect to this involution. A paper of Giambruno and Sehgal showed that provided G has no 2-elements, if $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, then so is FG . In this paper, we determine when $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, if G does contain 2-elements.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we will let G be a group and F a field with $\text{char } F = p \neq 2$. The group ring FG has a natural involution given by $(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g)^* = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}$. We will let $(FG)^+$ denote the set of symmetric elements in FG . That is, $(FG)^+ = \{\omega \in FG : \omega^* = \omega\}$. Similarly, $(FG)^-$ will denote the set of skew elements; viz. $(FG)^- = \{\omega \in FG : \omega^* = -\omega\}$.

In any ring R , we define the Lie bracket on R via $[x, y] = xy - yx$. Inductively, let $[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] = [[x_1, \dots, x_n], x_{n+1}]$. A subset Λ of R is said to be Lie nilpotent if, for some positive integer n , $[x_1, \dots, x_n] = 0$ for any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \Lambda$. The least such n is called the index of nilpotence of Λ . In [4], Passi, Passman and Sehgal showed that FG is Lie nilpotent if and only if G is nilpotent and p -abelian, where $\text{char } F = p$. (Recall that G is p -abelian if G' is a finite p -group, and 0-abelian will be taken to mean abelian.) In [1], Giambruno and Sehgal showed that if G contains no 2-elements, then $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if FG is Lie nilpotent.

We cannot expect this to remain true if G has 2-elements. For instance, let $Q_8 = \langle g, h | g^4 = 1, h^2 = g^2, h^{-1}gh = g^{-1} \rangle$ be the group of quaternions. It is easy to verify that the symmetric elements of FQ_8 are commutative for any field F , since $(FQ_8)^+$ is generated (as an F -module) by the elements $x + x^{-1}$, $x \in Q_8$ and the central elements 1 and g^2 . However, if $\text{char } F \neq 2$, then FQ_8 itself is not Lie nilpotent, by [5, Theorem V.4.4]. Nevertheless, we will show that if $Q_8 \not\subseteq G$, then $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if FG is Lie nilpotent. Then, if $Q_8 \subseteq G$, we will classify the groups G for which $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent.

We thank the referee for the following observation, which ties our result in with representation theory. Suppose G is finite and its order is not divisible by the

Received by the editors January 26, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20C07, 16S34, 17B30.

The author is supported in part by a Province of Alberta Graduate Fellowship.

characteristic of F . It is easy to see that enlarging the field will not affect the Lie nilpotency of $(FG)^+$, so we may assume, without loss of generality, that F is algebraically closed. Then, suppose $\tau : FG \rightarrow M_n(F)$ is an irreducible representation of G , where $M_n(F)$ is the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over F . We may define the contragredient representation $\mu : FG \rightarrow M_n(F)$ via $\mu(x) = (\tau(x)^{-1})^T$ for all $x \in G$. We know that μ is an irreducible representation. Hence, if τ and μ are not equivalent, then $\tau \oplus \mu : FG \rightarrow M_n(F) \oplus M_n(F)$ is an epimorphism. It is simple to verify that for any $\alpha \in FG$, if $(\tau \oplus \mu)(\alpha) = (A, B)$, then $(\tau \oplus \mu)(\alpha^*) = (B^T, A^T)$. Thus, if $B = A^T$, then $(\tau \oplus \mu)(\alpha) = (\tau \oplus \mu)(\alpha^*)$, and therefore $(\tau \oplus \mu)(\frac{\alpha + \alpha^*}{2}) = (\tau \oplus \mu)(\alpha)$. Since $\tau \oplus \mu$ is surjective, it follows that $(FG)^+$ maps homomorphically onto the set $\{(A, A^T) : A \in M_n(F)\}$. Thus, $\tau((FG)^+) = M_n(F)$. Therefore, if $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, then $M_n(F)$ is Lie nilpotent. By [5, Lemma V.2.2], $n = 1$. Thus, if τ is any nonlinear irreducible representation of FG , then τ is equivalent to its contragredient. If, for instance, F is the field of complex numbers, then the character of τ will have to be real. This eliminates many groups from consideration. However, we wish to allow G to be infinite and FG to be modular. We will use direct computations to solve the problem.

2. SOME LEMMATA

For any group G , we will let ζ (or $\zeta(G)$) denote its centre. Let us begin with

Lemma 1. *If $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, and $\text{char } F \neq 2$, then every element of order 2 in G is central.*

Proof. Let us assume that the characteristic of F is $p > 2$. Take $x, y \in G$ such that $o(x) = o(y) = 2$. Then choosing m such that p^m is larger than the index of Lie nilpotence of $(FG)^+$, we have $0 = [x, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p^m \text{ times}}] = [x, y^{p^m}]$. Since p is odd, $y^{p^m} = y$,

and x commutes with y .

Now, suppose $x, y \in G$, and $o(y) = 2$. Then

$$0 = [x + x^{-1}, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{p^m \text{ times}}] = [x + x^{-1}, y^{p^m}]$$

for some m . Once again, this gives us $[x + x^{-1}, y] = 0$. Therefore, $xy = x^{-1}y$, yx , or yx^{-1} . If $xy = x^{-1}y$, then $x^2 = 1$, and the first case completes the proof. If $xy = yx$, there is nothing to do. If $xy = yx^{-1}$, then $(xy)^2 = xyxy^{-1} = 1$ and by the first case, y and xy commute. Therefore, $xyx = xy^2$, and $yx = xy$, as required.

If the characteristic of F is zero, then $\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq FG$. Thus, $(\mathbb{Z}G)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, and therefore so is $((\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})G)^+$. Using the result we have just proved, all elements of order 2 are central in G . $\square$

We will make use of the following observation several times.

Lemma 2. *Let F be a field with $\text{char } F \neq 2$, and let G be a group such that $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent. If, for some $a, b \in G$, we have $[a + a^{-1}, b + b^{-1}] = 0$, then ab is equal to one of the following: ba , $b^{-1}a$, ba^{-1} or $b^{-1}a^{-1}$.*

Proof. In the expression

$$0 = [a + a^{-1}, b + b^{-1}] = ab + ab^{-1} + a^{-1}b + a^{-1}b^{-1} - ba - ba^{-1} - b^{-1}a - b^{-1}a^{-1}$$

the term ab must be cancelled. For this to happen, it can either agree with one of the subtracted terms, or it can agree with at least two of the added terms (since the characteristic is not 2). In the latter case, we will either get $ab = a^{-1}b$ (in which case $a^2 = 1$) or $ab = ab^{-1}$ (in which case $b^2 = 1$), or possibly both. By Lemma 1, this means that a or b is central, and $ab = ba$. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, with $b^{-1}ab = a^{-1}$, and $\text{char } F \neq 2$. If $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, then either $a^2 = 1$ (and G is abelian) or $o(a) = 4$, $o(b) = 4n$, where n is an odd number, and $\langle a, b^n \rangle \simeq Q_8$.*

Proof. If $a^2 = 1$, there is nothing to do, so let us assume $a^2 \neq 1$. We begin by noting that, for any integer i , $a^i \in \zeta$ if and only if $a^{2i} = 1$. Also, $b^2 \in \zeta$, but $b \notin \zeta$. Let the characteristic of F be $p > 2$. Suppose $o(b) = \infty$. Then $|\zeta^2| = \infty$, and the corollary in [1] tells us that FG is Lie nilpotent. Thus by [5, Theorem V.4.4], G is nilpotent, and G' is a finite p -group. Therefore, $\langle a^2 \rangle$ contains a nontrivial central element. But $a^{-2} = b^{-1}aba^{-1} \in G'$, hence $o(a^2) = p^m$, for some $m \geq 0$. Thus, if a^{2i} is central for some i , then $a^{4i} = 1$, and since a^2 has odd order, $a^{2i} = 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, b has finite order.

Now, since $b^2 \in \zeta$, but $b \notin \zeta$, b has order $2k$ for some positive integer k . If k is odd, then b^k is central by Lemma 1, and since b^2 is central, b is central. This is impossible. Therefore, 4 divides $o(b)$. Let us write $o(b) = 2^r n$, where n is odd and $r \geq 2$. Then choosing m such that p^m exceeds the index of nilpotence of $(FG)^+$, we obtain

$$0 = [ab^n + b^{-n}a^{-1}, \underbrace{b^n + b^{-n}, \dots, b^n + b^{-n}}_{p^m \text{ times}}] = [ab^n + b^{-n}a^{-1}, b^{p^m n} + b^{-p^m n}].$$

By Lemma 2, we have four cases to consider.

- (1) $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = b^{np^m}ab^n$. Then $ab^{np^m} = b^{np^m}a$. Since np^m is odd, $b^{np^m} \in \zeta$ implies $b \in \zeta$, and this is false.
- (2) $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = b^{n(p^m-1)}a^{-1}$. Since $b^{n(p^m-1)}$ is central, we may deduce that $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = a^{-1}b^{n(p^m-1)}$. Hence $a^2 = b^{-2n}$.
- (3) $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = b^{-np^m}ab^n$. Because

$$b^{-np^m}ab^n = b^{-np^m}ab^{np^m}b^{n(1-p^m)} = a^{-1}b^{n(1-p^m)},$$

we get $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = a^{-1}b^{n(1-p^m)}$. Hence $a^2 = b^{-2np^m}$.

- (4) $ab^{n(1+p^m)} = b^{-n(p^m+1)}a^{-1}$. Here, $ab^{n(1+p^m)}$ has order 2 and is central. Since $b^{n(1+p^m)}$ is central, a is central, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $a^2 = b^{-2n}$ or b^{-2np^m} . Either way, $a^2 \in \zeta$, thus $o(a) = 4$. Then $b^{4np^m} = 1$ and since $o(b) = 2^r n$, $o(b) = 4n$. We have $o(a) = o(b^n) = 4$, $a^2 = b^{-2tn}$ for some odd number t (so $a^2 = b^{2n}$), and $b^{-n}ab^n = a^{-1}$. Therefore, $\langle a, b^n \rangle \simeq Q_8$.

The characteristic zero case is handled just as in Lemma 1. $\square$

3. THE CASE WITHOUT QUATERNIONS

If $Q_8 \not\subseteq G$, then our proof is quite similar to that of [1].

Lemma 4. *Let $G = \langle a, b \rangle$, and suppose $[a + a^{-1}, b + b^{-1}] = 0$. If $Q_8 \not\subseteq G$, and $\text{char } F \neq 2$, then $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if G is abelian.*

Proof. Suppose $[a, b] \neq 0$. By Lemma 2, $[a + a^{-1}, b + b^{-1}] = 0$ yields $ab = ba$, ba^{-1} , $b^{-1}a$ or $b^{-1}a^{-1}$. The first case is contrary to our assumption. If $ab = ba^{-1}$

or $b^{-1}a$, then Lemma 3 gives us a contradiction. In the final case, ab has order 2 and is therefore central. Hence $a^2b = aba$, and therefore $ab = ba$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 5. *Suppose $Q_8 \not\subseteq G$ and $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent. Then*

- (1) *if $\text{char } F = p > 2$, then $G^{p^m} \subseteq \zeta$, for some m ; and,*
- (2) *if $\text{char } F = 0$, then G is abelian.*

Proof. Let us prove (1). Choose m such that p^m is greater than the index of nilpotence of $(FG)^+$. Take $a, b \in G$. Then

$$0 = [a + a^{-1}, \underbrace{b + b^{-1}, \dots, b + b^{-1}}_{p^m \text{ times}}] = [a + a^{-1}, b^{p^m} + b^{-p^m}].$$

By Lemma 4, $ab^{p^m} = b^{p^m}a$. Therefore $b^{p^m} \in \zeta$.

To obtain (2), we note that for all odd primes q , since $(\mathbb{Z}G)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, $((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})G)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, hence $G^{q^m} \subseteq \zeta$ for some m . Since this holds for different primes q , G is abelian. $\square$

Now, we are in a position to complete the case in which G does not contain the quaternions. A little terminology is required. Let $F\{x_1, x_1^*, x_2, x_2^*, \dots\}$ be the free algebra with involution. Then $0 \neq f(x_1, x_1^*, \dots, x_n, x_n^*) \in F\{x_1, x_1^*, \dots\}$ is called a $*$ -polynomial identity for FG if $f(a_1, a_1^*, \dots, a_n, a_n^*) = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in FG$. Similarly, let $F\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be the free algebra over F . Then $0 \neq f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in F\{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ is called a polynomial identity for FG if $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0$ for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in FG$. Also, let ϕ denote the FC -subgroup of a group G . Finally, we define $(a, b) = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ and inductively, $(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = ((a_1, \dots, a_n), a_{n+1})$. We have

Theorem 1. *Suppose $Q_8 \not\subseteq G$ and $\text{char } F = p \neq 2$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *$(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent;*
- (2) *FG is Lie nilpotent; and,*
- (3) *G is nilpotent and p -abelian.*

Proof. Since (2) certainly implies (1), and the equivalence of (2) and (3) is given by [5, Theorem V.4.4], it will suffice if we can show that (1) implies (2).

If F has characteristic zero, there is nothing left to do. Suppose $\text{char } F = p > 2$. We know that $[x_1 + x_1^*, \dots, x_r + x_r^*] = 0$ is a $*$ -polynomial identity for FG , for some r . By [3, p. 196], FG satisfies a polynomial identity. By [5, Theorem V.1.1], $(G : \phi) < \infty$ and $|\phi'| < \infty$. Since $G^{p^t} \subseteq \zeta$, for some t , by the last lemma, and $\zeta \subseteq \phi$, G/ϕ is a finite p -group.

To show that G is nilpotent, it will suffice to show that $H = G/\zeta$ is nilpotent. Let $N = \phi/\zeta$. Then, since $G^{p^t} \subseteq \zeta$, we have $H^{p^t} = 1$. Since G/ϕ is a finite p -group, so is H/N . Also, since ϕ' is finite, so is N' .

It is easy to see that H/N' acts as a finite p -group of automorphisms of N/N' . Since $N^{p^t} = 1$, [5, Lemma V.4.1] tells us that $(N/N', H/N', \dots, H/N') = 1$. That is, $(N, H, \dots, H) \subseteq N'$. Since N'/N'' is finite and H is a p -group, H/N'' acts as a finite p -group of automorphisms of N'/N'' . Again, by [5, Lemma V.4.1], $(N', H, \dots, H) \subseteq N''$. Repeating this argument, we will eventually conclude that $(N, H, \dots, H) = 1$ (since N' is nilpotent, being a finite p -group). But H/N is also

nilpotent, so $(H, \dots, H) \subseteq N$, and we conclude that $(H, \dots, H) = 1$. Thus, H is nilpotent.

Now, since G is nilpotent, and G/ζ is a p -group of bounded exponent by Lemma 5, it follows from [5, Corollary I.4.3] that G' is a p -group. Suppose G contains an element x of infinite order. By Lemma 5, some positive power of x is central, and therefore $|\zeta^2| = \infty$. By the corollary in [1], we are done. Thus, we may assume that G is a torsion nilpotent group. Then G is the direct product $\prod_{\text{primes } q} P_q$, where each P_q is the unique Sylow q -subgroup. Since G' is a p -group, $G' = P'_p$. Since P_p has no 2-elements and $(FP_p)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, the main result of [1] tells us that P'_p is finite. By [5, Theorem V.4.4], FG is Lie nilpotent. $\square$

4. THE CASE WITH QUATERNIONS

We shall now determine what happens if $Q_8 \subseteq G$.

Lemma 6. *Assume $\text{char } F = p > 2$, and suppose $(F(Q_8 \times C))^+$ is Lie nilpotent, where C is cyclic. Then C has finite order p^m or $2p^m$ for some $m \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let us write $Q_8 = \langle g, h \rangle$, and $C = \langle c \rangle$. Then choosing p^m to exceed the Lie nilpotency class, we obtain (in the usual manner)

$$0 = [gc + g^{-1}c^{-1}, h^{p^m}c^{p^m} + h^{-p^m}c^{-p^m}].$$

By Lemma 2, we have four cases to consider.

- (1) $gh^{p^m}c^{p^m+1} = h^{p^m}gc^{p^m+1}$. Then $gh^{p^m} = h^{p^m}g$. But p^m is odd, hence h^{p^m} is not central in Q_8 , which is a contradiction.
- (2) $gh^{p^m}c^{p^m+1} = h^{p^m}g^{-1}c^{p^m-1}$. Then $c^2 = 1$.
- (3) $gh^{p^m}c^{p^m+1} = h^{-p^m}gc^{1-p^m}$. Then $c^{2p^m} = 1$.
- (4) $gh^{p^m}c^{p^m+1} = h^{-p^m}g^{-1}c^{-p^m-1}$. Then $(gh^{p^m})^2 = 1$, but neither gh nor gh^{-1} is central in Q_8 .

We are done. $\square$

We can now show that if an element does not have order $4p^m$, then it must commute with the quaternions.

Lemma 7. *Suppose $Q_8 = \langle g, h \rangle \subseteq G$, $\text{char } F = p > 2$, and $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent. If $b \in G$ and b does not centralize $\langle g, h \rangle$, then $o(b) = 4p^m$ for some $m \geq 0$, and each of $\langle b^{p^m}, g \rangle$ and $\langle b^{p^m}, h \rangle$ is either abelian or isomorphic to Q_8 .*

Proof. Choosing p^k larger than the Lie nilpotency index of $(FG)^+$, we obtain $0 = [b + b^{-1}, g^{p^k} + g^{-p^k}] = [b + b^{-1}, g + g^{-1}]$, since g has order 4. Once again, Lemma 2 reduces the problem to four cases.

- (1) $bg = gb$.
- (2) $bg = gb^{-1}$. Then $g^{-1}bg = b^{-1}$. By Lemma 3, either $b^2 = 1$ and b is central, or $o(b) = 4$ and $\langle b, g \rangle \simeq Q_8$. This is the assertion with $m = 0$.
- (3) $bg = g^{-1}b$. Then $b^{-1}gb = g^{-1}$. Since $o(g) = 4$, Lemma 3 tells us that $o(b) = 4n$ where n is odd, and $\langle g, b^n \rangle \simeq Q_8$. Furthermore, b^4 clearly centralizes $\langle g, b^n \rangle$, and $o(b^4) = n$. Therefore, $\langle b^4 \rangle \cap \langle g, b^n \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. Thus, $\langle b, g \rangle = \langle g, b^n \rangle \times \langle b^4 \rangle \simeq Q_8 \times C_n$. By Lemma 6, since n is odd, $n = p^m$ for some m .
- (4) $bg = g^{-1}b^{-1}$. Then $(bg)^2 = 1$ and bg is central. Hence $b^2g = bgb$, and $bg = gb$.

Thus, either $bg = gb$ or $o(b) = 4p^m$ for some $m \geq 0$ and $\langle b^{p^m}, g \rangle$ is either abelian or Q_8 . The same can be said if we replace g with h . $\square$

The next two results narrow the possibilities down to $H \times P$, where H is a Hamiltonian 2-group and P is a p -group. Recall that a group is said to be Hamiltonian if it is non-abelian, but every subgroup is normal.

Lemma 8. *Suppose $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, where $\text{char } F = p > 2$. If $Q_8 \subseteq G$, then the 2-elements of G form a normal subgroup which is a Hamiltonian 2-group.*

Proof. Take $x, y \in G$ such that $o(x) = 2^r$ and $o(y) = 2^t$ for some $r, t \geq 0$. Choosing m such that p^m exceeds the nilpotency index of $(FG)^+$ and $p^m \equiv 1 \pmod{2^t}$, we obtain $0 = [x + x^{-1}, y^{p^m} + y^{-p^m}] = [x + x^{-1}, y + y^{-1}]$. Lemma 2 provides four cases.

- (1) $xy = yx$.
- (2) $xy = yx^{-1}$. Here, $y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}$ and by Lemma 3, either x and y commute or $\langle x, y \rangle \simeq Q_8$.
- (3) $xy = y^{-1}x$. Then $x^{-1}yx = y^{-1}$, and either x and y commute or $\langle x, y \rangle \simeq Q_8$.
- (4) $xy = y^{-1}x^{-1}$. In this case, xy has order 2 and is therefore central. We get $yxy = xy^2$, hence $yx = xy$.

Thus, either x and y commute or the group they generate is isomorphic to Q_8 . We conclude that $y^{-1}xy = x^{\pm 1}$ and $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a 2-group. Therefore, the 2-elements form a subgroup H whose every cyclic subgroup is normal in H . Since H is not abelian, it is Hamiltonian. Clearly H is normal in G . $\square$

Lemma 9. *Suppose F has characteristic $p > 2$, $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, and $Q_8 = \langle g, h \rangle \subseteq G$. Then $G \simeq Q_8 \times E \times P$, where $E^2 = 1$ and P is a p -group.*

Proof. Suppose x is an element of G whose order is either infinity or an odd prime different from p . By Lemma 7, x centralizes $\langle g, h \rangle$ and certainly $\langle x \rangle \cap \langle g, h \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. Thus $Q_8 \times \langle x \rangle \subseteq G$. By Lemma 6, we have a contradiction. By [2, Theorem 12.5.4], the Hamiltonian 2-groups are of the form $Q_8 \times E$, where $E^2 = 1$. Thus, in view of Lemma 8, it remains only to show that the p -elements form a subgroup. We know that every element has order $2^r p^t$ and since the Sylow 2-subgroup is $Q_8 \times E$, $r = 0, 1$ or 2 . Let x and y be p -elements of G , and suppose $\langle x, y \rangle$ contains a 2-element. By Lemma 7, x and y commute with $\langle g, h \rangle$, but no element of order 4 in $Q_8 \times E$ commutes with $\langle g, h \rangle$. Thus, the only 2-elements in $\langle x, y \rangle$ have order 1 or 2. In particular, Q_8 does not lie in $\langle x, y \rangle$. But $(F\langle x, y \rangle)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, and Theorem 1 tells us that $F\langle x, y \rangle$ is Lie nilpotent. Therefore, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is nilpotent and since x and y are p -elements, $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a p -group. $\square$

Finally, we have

Theorem 2. *Suppose $Q_8 \subseteq G$, and the characteristic of F is not 2. Then $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent if and only if either*

- (1) $\text{char } F = p > 2$ and $G \simeq Q_8 \times E \times P$, where $E^2 = 1$ and P is a finite p -group;
or,
- (2) $\text{char } F = 0$ and $G \simeq Q_8 \times E$, where $E^2 = 1$.

Proof. Let us prove (1). Assume $(FG)^+$ is Lie nilpotent. By Lemma 9, $G \simeq Q_8 \times E \times P$ where $E^2 = 1$ and P is a p -group. Suppose P is infinite. Then since $(FP)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, Theorem 1 says that P' is finite. Thus P/P' is an infinite abelian p -group. Let $H = Q_8 \times E \times (P/P')$. Then $(FH)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, and $\zeta(H)^2$ is infinite. By the corollary in [1], FH is Lie nilpotent. This is a contradiction to [5, Theorem V.4.4], since H' is not a p -group.

Conversely, suppose $G \simeq Q_8 \times E \times P$, where $E^2 = 1$ and $|P| = p^m$, with $m \geq 0$. We claim that for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m+1}} \in (FG)^+$, $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m+1}}] = 0$. Our proof is by induction on m . If $m = 0$, then $G \simeq Q_8 \times E$. In this case, $(F(Q_8 \times E))^+ = ((F(E))Q_8)^+$, and it is straightforward to verify that the symmetric elements commute. Suppose $m \geq 1$. Take $z \in \zeta(P)$ such that $o(z) = p$. By our inductive assumption, working in $F(Q_8 \times E \times (P/\langle z \rangle))$, we obtain $[\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{p^{m-1}+1}] = 0$. That is, $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+1}] \in \Delta(G, \langle z \rangle)$, where $\Delta(G, \langle z \rangle)$ is the kernel of the natural homomorphism $FG \rightarrow F(G/\langle z \rangle)$. But $\Delta(G, \langle z \rangle) = (z^2 - 1)FG = (z - z^{-1})FG$. Thus, $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+1}] = (z - z^{-1})\omega$, for some $\omega \in FG$. It is easy to see that $[(FG)^+, (FG)^+] \subseteq (FG)^-$ (the set of skew elements in FG), and $[(FG)^-, (FG)^+] \subseteq (FG)^+$. Thus, since $p^{m-1} + 1$ is even, $(z - z^{-1})\omega = [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+1}] \in (FG)^-$. Therefore, $((z - z^{-1})\omega)^* = -(z - z^{-1})\omega$. Since $z - z^{-1}$ is both skew and central, we also have $((z - z^{-1})\omega)^* = -(z - z^{-1})\omega^*$. Therefore, $(z - z^{-1})\omega = (z - z^{-1})\omega^*$, and it follows that $(z - z^{-1})\omega = (z - z^{-1})\beta_1$, where $\beta_1 = \frac{\omega + \omega^*}{2}$ is symmetric. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2p^{m-1}+1}] &= [(z - z^{-1})\beta_1, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+2}, \dots, \alpha_{2p^{m-1}+1}] \\ &= (z - z^{-1})[\beta_1, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+2}, \dots, \alpha_{2p^{m-1}+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

As we have just observed, $[\beta_1, \alpha_{p^{m-1}+2}, \dots, \alpha_{2p^{m-1}+1}] = (z - z^{-1})\beta_2$, for some $\beta_2 \in (FG)^+$. (It is the Lie bracket of $p^{m-1} + 1$ symmetric elements.) Iterating this argument, we obtain $[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p^{m+1}}] = (z - z^{-1})^p \beta_p$, for some $\beta_p \in (FG)^+$. But $(z - z^{-1})^p = 0$, and we are done.

To prove (2), we note that if $(\mathbb{Z}G)^+$ is Lie nilpotent, then so is $((\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})G)^+$ for any odd prime q . By the first case, $G \simeq Q_8 \times E \times P$, where P is a finite q -group, for each odd prime q . Therefore $G \simeq Q_8 \times E$. As we have observed before, the converse is trivial. $\square$

We conclude by mentioning that the paper of Giambruno and Sehgal also showed that if G has no 2-elements and $(FG)^-$ is Lie nilpotent, then so is FG . Our Theorem 1, however, will not hold for $(FG)^-$. As a counterexample, consider $D_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = (\sigma\tau)^2 = 1 \rangle$. It is clear that if $\text{char } F \neq 2$, then $(FD_8)^-$ is commutative, but by [5, Theorem V.4.4], FD_8 is not Lie nilpotent.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his thanks to his supervisor, Dr. Sudarshan Sehgal, both for proposing this problem and for all of his guidance along the way.

REFERENCES

1. A. Giambruno, S. K. Sehgal, *Lie nilpotence of group rings*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 4253–4261. MR **94g**:20008
2. M. Hall, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959. MR **21**:1996
3. I. Herstein, *Rings with involution*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1976. MR **56**:406
4. I. B. S. Passi, D. S. Passman, S. K. Sehgal, *Lie solvable group rings*, Canad. J. Math. **25** (1973), 748–757. MR **48**:4092
5. S. K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978. MR **80j**:16001

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, ALBERTA, CANADA T6G 2G1

E-mail address: glee@vega.math.ualberta.ca