CONTINUATION METHOD FOR α -SUBLINEAR MAPPINGS

YONG-ZHUO CHEN

(Communicated by Dale Alspach)

ABSTRACT. Let B be a real Banach space partially ordered by a closed convex cone P with nonempty interior $\overset{\circ}{P}$. We study the continuation method for the monotone operator $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$ which satisfies

$$A(tx) \geq t^{\alpha(a,b)} A(x),$$

for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, where $\alpha(a, b) \in (0, 1)$. Thompson's metric is among the main tools we are using.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B be a real Banach space partially ordered by a closed convex cone P with nonempty interior, which is denoted by $\overset{\circ}{P}$. Suppose $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$ is monotone, i.e., $Ax \geq Ay$ when $x \geq y$, and satisfies

$$(1) \quad A(tx) \geq \varphi(t) A(x),$$

where $t \in (0, 1)$ and φ is a positive function on $(0, 1)$. The fixed points of this type of operator were much discussed under various assumptions on φ . Among them, M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ studied u_0 -concave operator ([5]), where $\varphi(t) = [1 + \eta(x, t)]t$ with $\eta(x, t) > 0$, D. Guo established the existence of the unique fixed point for α -concave operators ([4]), where $\varphi(t) = t^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and U. Krause proved fixed point theorems for ascending operators ([6]), where $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous and $\lambda < \varphi(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. In [1], we investigated the mixed monotone counterpart of the monotone operator A which satisfies

$$(2) \quad A(tx) \geq t^{\alpha(a,b)} A(x),$$

for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, $t \in [a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, where $\alpha(a, b) \in (0, 1)$. This class of operator includes Guo's α -concave operator and U. Krause's ascending operator (see [1, Corollary 3.2]). We say that a monotone operator is α -sublinear if it satisfies (2).

One important method for solving an operator equation $F(x) = 0$ is the continuation method, i.e., to continuously deform F to a simpler operator G such that $G(x) = 0$ is easily solved. In the present paper, we intend to discuss the continuation method for α -sublinear mappings. Our work is motivated by a paper of A. Granas ([3]).

Received by the editors September 15, 1997 and, in revised form, April 5, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47H07, 47H09; Secondary 47H10.

Key words and phrases. α -sublinear, cone, fixed point, generalized contraction, monotone operator, ordered Banach space, Thompson's metric.

$x, y \in P - \{0\}$ are called comparable if there exist positive numbers λ and μ such that $\lambda x \leq y \leq \mu x$. This defines an equivalent relationship, and splits $P - \{0\}$ into disjoint components of P . $\overset{\circ}{P}$ is a component of P if $\overset{\circ}{P} \neq \emptyset$.

Unless specified otherwise, throughout this paper, we assume that the norm is monotone, i.e., $0 < x \leq y$ implies that $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$. Hence all the cones in this paper are normal, since P is normal iff B has an equivalent norm which is monotone.

Let C be a component of P and $x, y \in C$. Put

$$M(x/y) = \inf\{\lambda : x \leq \lambda y\} \quad \text{and} \quad M(y/x) = \inf\{\mu : y \leq \mu x\}.$$

Thompson's metric is defined by

$$\bar{d}(x, y) = \ln\{\max[M(x/y), M(y/x)]\}.$$

$\bar{d}(x, y)$ is a metric on C and C is complete with respect to $\bar{d}$ under our assumption on P ([7, Lemma 3]).

The following theorem is just the monotone operator version of Theorem 3.1 in [1], which was proved by appealing to Thompson's metric.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a component of P , and $A : C \rightarrow C$ be α -sublinear. Then A has exactly one fixed point x^* in C , and for any point $x_0 \in C$, we have $A^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

We also need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1.2 (Thompson [7]). *If the norm is monotone, then*

$$\|x - y\| \leq 3b e^{\bar{d}(x, y) - 1}$$

for all $x, y \in P$ with $\|x\| \leq b$ and $\|y\| \leq b$.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $u \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ and $B(u, r) \subset P$, where $B(u, r) = \{x \in B : \|x - u\| < r\}$. Then*

$$\bar{d}(x, u) \leq \ln\left\{\max\left(\frac{r + \|x - u\|}{r}, \frac{r}{r - \|x - u\|}\right)\right\}$$

for all $x \in B(u, r)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $x \neq u$. Then $x \in B(u, r)$ implies that $u \pm \frac{r(x-u)}{\|x-u\|} \in P$. It follows that

$$x \leq \frac{r + \|x - u\|}{r} u \quad \text{and} \quad u \leq \frac{r}{r - \|x - u\|} x.$$

Hence

$$\bar{d}(x, u) \leq \ln\left\{\max\left(\frac{r + \|x - u\|}{r}, \frac{r}{r - \|x - u\|}\right)\right\}.$$

□

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $D \subset X$ a closed subset. We say that $T : D \rightarrow X$ is a generalized contraction if for each $(a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$, there exists $L(a, b) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(3) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq L(a, b) d(x, y),$$

where $x, y \in D$ and $a \leq d(x, y) \leq b$. The following theorem is due to M. A. Krasnosel'skii ([5, Theorem 34.5], see also [2, Theorem (1.3.3)]).

Theorem 1.4 (Generalized Contraction Principle). *If $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a generalized contraction, then there exists a unique fixed point x^* of T , and for any point $x \in X$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$, where $x_n = T^n x$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we generalize A. Granas's main theorem in [3] to generalized contraction mappings. Section 3 discusses the continuation method for α -sublinear mappings. An example of application is given in Section 4.

2. TOPOLOGICAL TRANSVERSALITY FOR GENERALIZED CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

In this section, U stands for a bounded open set of X . Let $G(U)$ be the set of all generalized contraction mappings $T : \overline{U} \rightarrow X$, and $G_0(U) = \{T \in G(U) : (\text{Fix } T) \cap \partial U = \emptyset\}$, where $\text{Fix } T = \{x \in \overline{U} : x = Tx\}$. We denote $\text{diam } U = \sup \{\|x - y\| : x, y \in U\}$ and $\text{dist}(A_1, A_2) = \inf \{\|x_1 - x_2\| : x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2\}$, where A_1 and A_2 are subsets of X .

We say $T \in G_0(U)$ is *traverse* or *essential* (cf. [2, pp. 58-60] and [3]) if T has a fixed point, i.e., the graph of T crosses or traverses the diagonal of $U \times X$. The following theorem discusses the topological transversality for operators in $G_0(U)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $\{H_t\} \subset G_0(U)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfy:*

(H1) *For each $(a, b) \subset (0, \infty)$, there exists $L(a, b) \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$(4) \quad d(H_t(x_1), H_t(x_2)) \leq L(a, b) d(x_1, x_2)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \overline{U}$ with $a \leq d(x_1, x_2) \leq b$, where $L(a, b)$ is independent of t .

(H2) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(H_{t_1}(x), H_{t_2}(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \overline{U}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$, where δ is independent of x .*

If H_0 has a fixed point in U , then so does H_t for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in [0, 1] : x = H_\lambda(x) \text{ for some } x \in U\}$. $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ since $0 \in \Lambda$.

(i) Λ is closed in $[0, 1]$.

Let $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$ with $\lambda_n \in \Lambda$ and $x_n \in U$ such that $x_n = H_{\lambda_n} x_n$. Then

$$(5) \quad d(x_n, x_m) \leq d(H_{\lambda_n}(x_n), H_{\lambda_m}(x_n)) + d(H_{\lambda_m}(x_n), H_{\lambda_m}(x_m)).$$

We claim that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Otherwise, for any $k > 0$, there exist $n_k, m_k > k$ such that $d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \geq \delta$, where δ is a positive constant. Let $M = \text{diam } U$. (5) leads to

$$d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq d(H_{\lambda_{n_k}}(x_{n_k}), H_{\lambda_{m_k}}(x_{n_k})) + L(\delta, M) d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}),$$

and so

$$(6) \quad \delta \leq d(x_{n_k}, x_{m_k}) \leq \frac{d(H_{\lambda_{n_k}}(x_{n_k}), H_{\lambda_{m_k}}(x_{n_k}))}{1 - L(\delta, M)}.$$

By (H2), $d(H_{\lambda_{n_k}}(x_{n_k}), H_{\lambda_{m_k}}(x_{n_k})) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We reach a contradiction from (6). Hence there exists $x_0 \in \overline{U}$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) &\leq d(H_{\lambda_n}(x_n), H_{\lambda_0}(x_n)) + d(H_{\lambda_0}(x_n), H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) \\ &\leq d(H_{\lambda_n}(x_n), H_{\lambda_0}(x_n)) + d(x_n, x_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $x_0 = H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)$. Since $(\text{Fix } H_{\lambda_0}) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$, $x_0 \in U$ and $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$.

(ii) Λ is open in $[0, 1]$.

Let $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ and $x_0 = H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)$, where $x_0 \in U$. Choose $r > 0$ such that $r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial U)$. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ so that $d(H_\lambda(x_0), H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) < \frac{r}{2}$ when $\|\lambda - \lambda_0\| < \varepsilon_1$. Hence for $\lambda \in [0, 1] \cap (\lambda_0 - \varepsilon_1, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_1)$ and $x \in B(x_0, \frac{r}{2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(H_\lambda(x), x_0) &\leq d(H_\lambda(x), H_\lambda(x_0)) + d(H_\lambda(x_0), H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) \\ &\leq d(x, x_0) + \frac{r}{2} \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

For $(1 - L(\frac{r}{2}, r))r$, there exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that $d(H_\lambda(x_0), H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) < (1 - L(\frac{r}{2}, r))r$ when $\|\lambda - \lambda_0\| < \varepsilon_2$. Then for $\lambda \in [0, 1] \cap (\lambda_0 - \varepsilon_2, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon_2)$ and $x \in U$ with $\frac{r}{2} \leq d(x, x_0) \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} d(H_\lambda(x), x_0) &\leq d(H_\lambda(x), H_\lambda(x_0)) + d(H_\lambda(x_0), H_{\lambda_0}(x_0)) \\ &\leq L(\frac{r}{2}, r) d(x, x_0) + (1 - L(\frac{r}{2}, r))r \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\varepsilon = \max\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$. For all $\lambda \in [0, 1] \cap (\lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)$, we have $H_\lambda : \overline{B}(x_0, r) \rightarrow \overline{B}(x_0, r)$. By the Generalized Contraction Principle, there exists $x \in \overline{B}(x_0, r) \subset U$ such that $H_\lambda(x) = x$. We conclude that $\lambda \in \Lambda$, and $[0, 1] \cap (\lambda_0 - \varepsilon, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon) \subset \Lambda$.

Therefore $\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ is both open and closed, and consequently $\Lambda = [0, 1]$. $\square$

The following example illustrates that Theorem 2.1 is indeed more general than Theorem 3.1 in [3].

Example 2.2. Let $X = [0, \infty)$, $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ and $G_t(x) = \frac{x}{1+x} + t$, where $x, y \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Consider $U = [0, 2)$. Then $\partial U = \{2\}$. For each $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$d(G_t(x), G_t(y)) = \frac{\|x - y\|}{(1+x)(1+y)} \leq \frac{\|x - y\|}{1 + \|x - y\|} = \frac{1}{1 + d(x, y)} d(x, y).$$

For $0 < a \leq d(x, y) \leq b < \infty$, we can put $L(a, b) = \frac{1}{1+a}$. Hence G_t is a generalized contraction, however it is not a contraction in the usual sense. Since 2 is not a fixed point for any G_t and G_0 has a fixed point $0 \in U$, we apply Theorem 2.1 to conclude that G_t has a fixed point in $U = [0, 2)$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

3. CONTINUATION METHOD FOR α -SUBLINEAR MAPPINGS

In this section, we will use Thompson's metric and Theorem 2.1 as tools to study α -sublinear mappings.

Theorem 3.1. Let $S_t : \mathring{P} \rightarrow \mathring{P}$ be monotone for each $t \in [0, 1]$, and satisfy:

(H1) For each $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, there exists $\alpha(a, b) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(7) \quad S_t(cx) \geq c^{\alpha(a, b)} S_t(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathring{P}$ and $c \in [a, b]$, where $\alpha(a, b)$ is independent of $t \in [0, 1]$.

(H2) There exists a bounded open set U with $\overline{U} \subset \mathring{P}$ and $\text{dist}(\overline{U}, \partial P) = r > 0$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|S_{t_1}(x) - S_{t_2}(x)\| < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \overline{U}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$, where δ is independent of x .

Suppose $(\text{Fix } S_t) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. If S_0 has a fixed point in U , then so does S_t for each $t \in [0, 1]$, and the sequence $\{S_t^n(x)\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of S_t for any $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ with $\bar{d}(x, y) \in [-\ln b, -\ln a]$, where $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$. Without loss of generality, assume $M(x/y) \geq M(y/x)$. Then $\bar{d}(x, y) = \ln M(x/y)$ and $\frac{1}{b} \leq M(x/y) \leq \frac{1}{a}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(x) &\geq S_t(M(y/x)^{-1}y) \\ &\geq S_t(M(x/y)^{-1}y) \\ &\geq M(x/y)^{-\alpha(a,b)} S_t(y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M(S_t(y)/S_t(x)) \leq M(x/y)^{\alpha(a,b)}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(y) &\geq S_t(M(x/y)^{-1}x) \\ &\geq M(x/y)^{-\alpha(a,b)} S_t(x) \end{aligned}$$

implies that $M(S_t(x)/S_t(y)) \leq M(x/y)^{\alpha(a,b)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}(S_t(x), S_t(y)) &\leq \ln[M(x/y)^{\alpha(a,b)}] \\ &= \alpha(a, b) \ln M(x/y) \\ &= L(-\ln b, -\ln a) \bar{d}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $L(-\ln b, -\ln a) = \alpha(a, b)$.

Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 imply that U is also open in Thompson's metric, and its closure $\bar{U}$ and boundary ∂U are identical in both the norm topology and Thompson's metric topology.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, r)$ such that

$$\ln\left\{\max\left(\frac{r+\beta}{r}, \frac{r}{r-\beta}\right)\right\} < \varepsilon$$

for all $\beta \in [0, \varepsilon_1]$. By (H2), there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|S_{t_1}(x) - S_{t_2}(x)\| < \varepsilon_1$ for all $x \in \bar{U}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$. Using Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\bar{d}(S_{t_1}(x), S_{t_2}(x)) \leq \ln\left\{\max\left(\frac{r+\beta}{r}, \frac{r}{r-\beta}\right)\right\} < \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in \bar{U}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$. If $(\text{Fix } S_t) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and S_0 has a fixed point in U , then we can apply Theorem 2.1 to conclude that S_t has a fixed point in U for each $t \in [0, 1]$.

(H1) implies that the sequence $\{S_t^n(x)\}$ converges to the unique fixed point of S_t for any $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ by Theorem 1.1. $\square$

The following is a nonlinear alternative theorem for α -sublinear mappings.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$ be an α -sublinear mapping and U be a nonempty open bounded subset with $\bar{U} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}$ and $\text{dist}(\bar{U}, \partial P) > 0$. If $A(\bar{U})$ is bounded, then A has at least one of the following properties:

(i) A has a unique fixed point in $\bar{U}$, and the sequence $A^n(x)$ converges to that fixed point for any $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$.

(ii) $A(\partial U)$ contains a point of some exterior ray, i.e., there exists $x_0 \in U$ such that $Ay_0 = x_0 + \tau(y_0 - x_0)$ for some $\tau > 1$ and $y_0 \in \partial U$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in U$ and consider $S_t(x) = tAx + (1-t)x_0$. By the definition of α -sublinear mapping, for each $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$, there exists $\alpha(a, b) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ and $c \in [a, b]$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_t(cx) &= tA(cx) + (1-t)x_0 \\ &\geq tc^{\alpha(a,b)}Ax + (1-t)x_0 \\ &\geq c^{\alpha(a,b)}(tAx + (1-t)x_0) \\ &= c^{\alpha(a,b)}S_t(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $M = \sup\{\|y\| : y \in A(\overline{U})\}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, choose $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{2 \max\{M, \|x_0\|\}}$. Then for $x \in \overline{U}$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $|t_1 - t_2| < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{t_1}(x) - S_{t_2}(x)\| &= \|(t_1 - t_2)Ax - (t_1 - t_2)x_0\| \\ &\leq |t_1 - t_2|\|Ax\| + |t_1 - t_2|\|x_0\| \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Note that S_0 has a fixed point $x_0 \in U$. Assume that A does not have a fixed point in $\overline{U}$, then by Theorem 3.1, there exists $y_0 \in \partial U$ and $t \in (0, 1)$ such that $S_t(y_0) = y_0$, i.e., $tAy_0 + (1-t)x_0 = y_0$. It follows that $Ay_0 = x_0 + \tau(y_0 - x_0)$, where $\tau = \frac{1}{t} > 1$. $\square$

Remark. The distinction between cases (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.2 cannot be sharpened to a proper alternative. Let's consider the so-called square root version of Fibonacci's rabbit population model:

$$\overset{\circ}{P} = \overset{\circ}{R}_+^2, \quad A(a, b) = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}, \sqrt{a}), \quad (a, b) \in \overset{\circ}{R}_+^2.$$

Suppose $U = (3, 4) \times (1, 2) \subset \overset{\circ}{R}_+^2$. It is easy to check that A has a fixed point $(a^*, b^*) \approx (3.08, 1.75) \in U$ and $A(\overline{U}) = [1 + \sqrt{3}, 2 + \sqrt{2}] \times [\sqrt{3}, 2]$. Take $y_0 = (3, 1.44) \in \partial U$; then $A(y_0) = (1.2 + \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{3})$. Now there exists $x_0 = (4.8 - \sqrt{3}, 2.88 - \sqrt{3}) \in U$ such that $A(y_0) = x_0 + \tau(y_0 - x_0)$ with $\tau = 2$. Hence cases (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.2 are not mutually exclusive.

4. EXAMPLE

The following example illustrates the application of Theorem 3.2 to the Dirichlet problem for a uniformly elliptic differential operator.

Let Ω be a bounded convex domain in R^n ($n \geq 2$), whose boundary $\partial\Omega$ belongs to $C^{2+\mu}$ ($0 < \mu < 1$) and consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = f(x, u), \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x)u$$

is a uniformly elliptic differential operator, i.e., there exists $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \nu |\xi|^2, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n,$$

and $a_{ij}(x) = a_{ji}(x)$, $c(x) \geq 0$, all coefficients a_{ij} , b_i , $c \in C^\mu(\overline{\Omega})$.

Suppose $f(x, u) > 0$ is continuous for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $u \geq 0$. The solution of (8) is equivalent to the fixed point of the integral operator

$$(9) \quad Au(x) = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} G(x, y) f(y, u(y)) dy,$$

where $G(x, y)$ is the corresponding Green function which satisfies

$$0 < G(x, y) < \begin{cases} k_0 |x - y|^{2-n}, & n > 2, \\ k_0 |\ln |x - y||, & n = 2, \end{cases}$$

where $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x \neq y$.

It is well known that A is monotone and completely continuous from P into P (see [4, pp. 60-62]), where $P = \{u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$. The sup norm of $C(\overline{\Omega})$ is monotone in the partial order introduced by cone P . Note that $\overset{\circ}{P} = \{u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid u(x) > 0, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$, and it is easy to see $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$. Let $U = \{u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \mid m < u(x) < M, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$, where m and M are positive constants. Then $\overline{U} \subset \overset{\circ}{P}$ and $A(\overline{U})$ is bounded due to the complete continuity of A .

If there exists a lower semicontinuous function $\phi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ such that $\phi(r) > r$ and

$$f(x, tu) \geq \phi(t) f(x, u),$$

then $A(tu) \geq \phi(t) A(u)$. This implies that A is α -linear by observing $\phi(t) = t^{\log_t \phi(t)}$ and $\log_t \phi(t)$ attains its maximum $\alpha(a, b)$ on each $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ due to the lower semicontinuity of ϕ . Applying Theorem 3.2, we have at least one of the following:

(i) A has a unique fixed point $u_0 \in \overline{U}$ and the sequence

$$u_{n+1}(x) = \int_{\overline{\Omega}} G(x, y) f(y, u_n(y)) dy, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

converges to $u_0(x)$ in sup norm for any initial function $u_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $u_1(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

(ii) $A(\partial U)$ contains a point of some exterior ray, i.e., there exists $u_0 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $m < u_0(x) < M$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, such that

$$\int_{\overline{\Omega}} G(x, y) f(y, v_0(y)) dy = u_0 + \tau (v_0(x) - u_0(x))$$

for some $\tau > 1$ and $v_0 \in \partial U$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is very grateful to the referee for many valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y.-Z. Chen, *Thompson's metric and mixed monotone operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 117 (1993), 31-37. MR **94d**:47055
- [2] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Vol. I, Monografie Mat., Warszawa, 1982. MR **83j**:54038
- [3] A. Granas, *Continuation method for contractive maps*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, 3(1994), 375-379. MR **95d**:54034
- [4] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, New York, 1988. MR **89k**:47084

- [5] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and P. P. Zabreiko, *Geometrical Methods of Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR **85b**:47057
- [6] U. Krause, *A nonlinear extension of the Birkhoff-Jentzsch theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 114 (1986), 552-568. MR **87d**:47069
- [7] A. C. Thompson, *On certain contraction mappings in a partially ordered vector space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (1963), 438-443. MR **26**:6727

DIVISION OF NATURAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH AT BRADFORD, BRADFORD,
PENNSYLVANIA 16701

E-mail address: `yong@imap.pitt.edu`