A MATRIX-VALUED CHOQUET–DENY THEOREM

CHO-HO CHU, TITUS HILBERDINK, AND JOHN HOWROYD

(Communicated by Jonathan M. Borwein)

ABSTRACT. Let σ be a positive matrix-valued measure on a locally compact abelian group G such that $\sigma(G)$ is the identity matrix. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on σ for the absence of a bounded non-constant matrix-valued function f on G satisfying the convolution equation $f * \sigma = f$. This extends Choquet and Deny’s theorem for real-valued functions on G .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let σ be a probability measure on a locally compact abelian group G . A real Borel function f on G is called σ -harmonic if it satisfies the integral equation

$$f(x) = (f * \sigma)(x) = \int_G f(x - y) d\sigma(y) \quad (\text{for all } x \in G).$$

A celebrated theorem of Choquet and Deny [2] asserts that every bounded σ -harmonic function on G is constant if (and only if) σ is *adapted*; that is, the support of σ generates a dense subgroup of G . Choquet and Deny’s theorem plays an important role in probability theory and has been extended to various non-abelian groups (see, for example, [4, 5, 7, 8, 10]). Recently in [11], a vector-valued version of the Choquet–Deny theorem has been proved and used to obtain a vector-valued renewal theorem for the study of the L^p dimension of some vector-valued self-similar measures. In a related paper [3], the equation $f * \sigma = f$ has been studied under the assumption that both σ and f are operator-valued, but with *commuting ranges*. In this paper, we remove the restriction of commuting ranges and prove a Choquet–Deny type theorem for matrix-valued functions defined on G . This theorem uses positive definite matrices and differs from that of [11] where matrices with non-negative entries are considered instead, and consequently different techniques are used.

Let M_n be the C^* -algebra of $n \times n$ complex matrices. The pure states of M_n are exactly the *vector states* $\rho(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle$ where ξ is a unit vector in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let M_n^+ be the positive cone of M_n , consisting of all self-adjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues. An M_n^+ -valued measure σ on G will be called a *positive* M_n -valued measure and its *support* is defined to be

$$\text{supp } \sigma = \{x \in G : \sigma(V) \neq 0 \text{ for all open sets } V \text{ containing } x\}.$$

We say that σ is *adapted* if $\rho \circ \sigma$ is adapted on G for every pure state ρ of M_n . We note that $\text{supp } (\rho \circ \sigma) \subseteq \text{supp } \sigma$. We can write $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ where each σ_{ij} is a

Received by the editors April 6, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46G10, 45E10, 43A05, 43A25, 31C05.

complex-valued measure on G . A function $f: G \rightarrow M_n$ can also be denoted by $f = (f_{ij})$ where each f_{ij} is a complex-valued function on G . The convolution $f * \sigma$ can be defined naturally in terms of $f_{kl} * \sigma_{ij}$ via matrix multiplication. Details are given later. An M_n -valued function f on G is called σ -harmonic if it satisfies the convolution equation $f * \sigma = f$. We can now state our main result.

Theorem 1. *Let σ be a positive M_n -valued measure on G such that $\sigma(G)$ is the identity matrix. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) *every bounded σ -harmonic M_n -valued function on G is constant;*
- ii) *σ is adapted.*

2. CHOQUET–DENY TYPE THEOREM

We need some vector measure preliminaries. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the algebra of Borel sets in G . By an M_n -valued *measure* on G , we mean a (norm) countably additive function $\sigma: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow M_n$. If we use the matrix notation $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$, then each σ_{ij} is a complex-valued measure on G . We adopt the definition of a complex measure in [13] and note that complex-valued measures are not only bounded, but also of bounded total variation [13, Theorem 6.4]. As in [6, p. 2], we define the *semivariation* of σ to be a non-negative function $\|\sigma\|$ whose value on a set $S \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\|\sigma\|(S) = \sup\{|\rho \circ \sigma|(S) : \rho \in M_n^*, \|\rho\| \leq 1\}$$

where $|\rho \circ \sigma|$ is the total variation of the complex measure $\rho \circ \sigma$. We will write $\rho\sigma$ for $\rho \circ \sigma$. We say that σ is of *bounded semivariation* if $\|\sigma\|(G) < \infty$ which is equivalent to the condition that $\sigma(\mathcal{B})$ is norm-bounded in M_n by [6, Proposition 11]. Given any $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n$, we have

$$\|A\| \leq \left(\sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq n\|A\|.$$

The above inequality together with the boundedness of complex measures implies that every M_n -valued measure on G is of bounded semivariation.

Given a Borel function $\lambda: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the vector integral $\int_S \lambda d\sigma$ is defined in the usual way (see [6, Definition 12]). If λ is bounded, then we have

$$\left\| \int_G \lambda d\sigma \right\| \leq \|\lambda\|_\infty \|\sigma\|(G).$$

In general, we note that the bound of the above inequality cannot be sharpened to $\|\lambda\|_\infty \|\sigma(G)\|$, as the following example shows, although it can be if σ is positive with commuting range.

Example 1. Let $\alpha = -\frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\beta = \frac{3\pi}{4}$. Let σ be the following M_2 -valued measure on $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\sigma = A\delta_\alpha + B\delta_\beta$$

where δ_x is the point mass at $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ is the identity matrix. Let

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad |D| = \sqrt{D^* D} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and define $\rho: M_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\rho(X) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(DX)$$

for $X \in M_2$. Then $\|\rho\| = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(|D|) = 1$. Therefore

$$\|\sigma\|(\mathbb{R}) \geq |\rho\sigma|(\mathbb{R}) \geq |\rho(A)| + |\rho(B)| = \frac{\sqrt{8}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} > 1 = \|\sigma(\mathbb{R})\|.$$

Given $\lambda(x) = e^{ix}$, we have

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda(x) d\sigma(x) \right\| \geq |e^{\alpha i} \rho(A) + e^{\beta i} \rho(B)| = \frac{\sqrt{8}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} > 1 = \|\lambda\|_{\infty} \|\sigma(\mathbb{R})\|.$$

As in [1, 3], using the natural bilinear map

$$(A, B) \in M_n \times M_n \mapsto AB \in M_n,$$

we can define the σ -integrable functions $f: G \rightarrow M_n$ and the bilinear vector integrals $\int_S f d\sigma$ for $S \in \mathcal{B}$. For our purpose, we can simplify the construction in the following way. Given $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ and $f = (f_{ij})$, we say that f is σ -integrable on S if the integral $\int_S f_{ik} d\sigma_{kj}$ exists for all i, j, k in which case we define

$$\int_S f d\sigma = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \int_S f_{ik} d\sigma_{kj} \right) \in M_n.$$

For example, if $f_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \lambda$ where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, then we have the (i, j) -th entry $(\int_S f d\sigma)_{ij} = \int_S \lambda d\sigma_{ij}$ and $\int_S f d\sigma = \int_S \lambda d\sigma$. We can also define the following convolution of f and σ if it exists:

$$f * \sigma(x) = \int_G f(x-y) d\sigma(y).$$

Given two matrix-valued measures $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$, their convolution can be defined as

$$\sigma * \gamma = \left(\sum_k \sigma_{ik} * \gamma_{kj} \right).$$

Given a complex-valued Borel measure μ on G , the integral $\int_S f d\mu \in M_n$ denotes the Bochner integral of $f = (f_{ij})$ whenever it is well defined (see [6, p. 44]).

In the sequel, we will always assume that all M_n -valued measures σ are *regular* which means that each $\rho\sigma$ is a regular Borel measure on G , for every $\rho \in M_n^*$.

Let $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$ be an M_n -valued measure on G . We define its *Fourier transform* $\hat{\sigma}$ on the dual group $\hat{G}$ by

$$\hat{\sigma}(\lambda) = \int_G \lambda(-x) d\sigma(x) \in M_n$$

for $\lambda \in \hat{G}$. We also define the *determinant* $\mathbf{det} \sigma$ by convolution

$$\mathbf{det} \sigma = \sum_{\pi} \text{sgn}(\pi) \sigma_{1\pi(1)} * \cdots * \sigma_{n\pi(n)}$$

where π is a permutation. But for an M_n -valued function $f = (f_{ij})$ on G , we define the *determinant* $\det f$ by pointwise multiplication

$$\det f = \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) f_{1\pi(1)} \cdots f_{n\pi(n)}.$$

Using the above notation, we have

$$\det \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) = \widehat{\mathbf{det} \sigma}(\lambda).$$

We also have

$$\rho(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)) = \int_G \lambda(-x) d\rho\sigma(x) = \widehat{\rho\sigma}(\lambda)$$

for $\rho \in M_n^*$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is achieved by writing the equation $f = f * \sigma$ in the form $f * \mu = 0$ and convolving it with a judiciously chosen M_n -valued measure which reduces the equation to simultaneous scalar convolution equations, but convolved by the *same* scalar measure, as in the proof of Lemma 3 *ii*) $\Rightarrow$ *i*), to which one can apply the $L^1(G)$ -Tauberian theorem [9, 39.27] and get the result readily. The setting where the Tauberian theorem applies is the following lemma which can be proved as in [12, Theorem 2].

Lemma 2. *Let μ be a complex-valued measure on a locally compact abelian group G . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) for every $f \in L^\infty(G)$, $f * \mu = 0$ implies that f is constant;*
- ii) $\widehat{\mu}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$ where ι is the identity in $\widehat{G}$.*

We need to extend the above lemma to the following matrix-valued setting.

Lemma 3. *Let μ be an M_n -valued measure on a locally compact abelian group G . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) for every bounded M_n -valued function f on G , $f * \mu = 0$ implies that f is constant;*
- ii) $\det \widehat{\mu}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$.*

Proof. *i) $\Rightarrow$ ii).* Suppose that $\det \widehat{\mu}(\lambda) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$. Then there exists $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\widehat{\mu}(\lambda)^T \xi = 0$, where T denotes transpose. Define $f: G \rightarrow M_n$ by $f(x) = \lambda(x)(\zeta_{ij})$ where

$$(\zeta_{i1}, \zeta_{i2}, \dots, \zeta_{in}) = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$$

for all i . Then $f * \mu = 0$, but f is not constant.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ i). Let $f = (f_{ij})$ be a bounded M_n -valued function such that $f * \mu = 0$. Let $\gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$ be the M_n -valued measure defined as the adjoint matrix of $\mu = (\mu_{ij})$, using convolution, so that

$$\mu * \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{det} \mu & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mathbf{det} \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$f * \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{det} \mu & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mathbf{det} \mu \end{pmatrix} = f * \mu * \gamma = 0$$

which gives

$$f_{ij} * \mathbf{det} \mu = 0$$

for all i, j . Since $\widehat{\mathbf{det} \mu}(\lambda) = \det \widehat{\mu}(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$, Lemma 2 implies that f_{ij} is constant. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let $A \in M_n^+$ be such that $\langle A\xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then $A\xi = 0$.*

Proof. We have $A = B^2$ for some $B \in M_n^+$. Hence $\langle B^2\xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ which gives $B\xi = 0$ and $A\xi = 0$. $\square$

We also need the following well-known result for Theorem 1.

Lemma 5. *Let ν be a probability measure on a locally compact abelian group G . Then ν is adapted if, and only if, $\widehat{\nu}(\lambda) \neq 1$ for every $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$.*

Let f be an M_n -valued function on G and let Δ_e be the diagonal matrix in which each diagonal entry is the point mass δ_e at the identity e of G . Then we have $f * \sigma = f$ if, and only if, $f * (\sigma - \Delta_e) = 0$. Given $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$, we have

$$\left(\mathbf{det}(\sigma - \Delta_e) \right)^\wedge(\lambda) = \det(\widehat{\sigma - \Delta_e}(\lambda)) = \det(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) - I_n).$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. i) $\implies$ ii). Let $\rho(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle$ be a pure state of M_n . We need to show that $\rho\sigma$ is adapted. Suppose otherwise. By Lemma 5, there exists $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$ such that $\widehat{\rho\sigma}(\lambda) = 1$; that is $\langle \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\xi, \xi \rangle = 1$. As we do not know if $\|\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\|$ is at most 1 (see Example 1), we cannot conclude immediately that $\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\xi = \xi$ although it is true but requires the following arguments. Since $\rho\sigma$ is a probability measure, we have

$$\rho\sigma\{x \in G : \lambda(-x) = 1\} = 1.$$

Write $V = \{x \in G : \lambda(-x) \neq 1\}$; then

$$\langle \sigma(V)\xi, \xi \rangle = \rho\sigma(V) = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that $\sigma(V)\xi = 0$ and hence also

$$\sigma(G \setminus V)\xi = \xi.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\xi &= \left(\int_G \lambda(-x) d\sigma(x) \right) \xi \\ &= \left(\int_{G \setminus V} 1 d\sigma(x) \right) \xi + \left(\int_V \lambda(x) d\sigma(x) \right) \xi \\ &= \sigma(G \setminus V)\xi = \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\det(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) - I_n) = 0$. By Lemma 3, there is a non-constant bounded M_n -valued function f such that $f * (\sigma - \Delta_e) = 0$ which contradicts condition i).

ii) $\implies$ i). By Lemma 3, it suffices to show that $\det(\widehat{\sigma - \Delta_e})(\lambda) \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \widehat{G} \setminus \{\iota\}$. Suppose otherwise, so that $\det(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) - I_n) = 0$ for some $\lambda \neq \iota$. Then there is a unit vector $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda) - I_n)\xi = 0$; that is, $\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\xi = \xi$. Let

$\rho(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, \xi, \xi \rangle$. Then we have

$$\widehat{\rho\sigma}(\lambda) = \rho(\widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)) = \langle \widehat{\sigma}(\lambda)\xi, \xi \rangle = 1.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 5, $\rho\sigma$ is not adapted, contradicting condition *ii*). $\square$

We end with an example which shows that condition *ii*) in Theorem 1 cannot be replaced by the condition that $\text{supp } \sigma$ generates a dense subgroup of G .

Example 2. Let ν be any adapted probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\nu\{0\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & \delta_0 - \nu \\ \delta_0 - \nu & \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then σ is a positive M_2 -valued measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ is the identity matrix and $\text{supp } \sigma = \text{supp } \nu$ generates a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. A direct calculation reveals that every M_2 -valued function $f = (f_{ij})$, with $f_{11} = f_{12}$ and $f_{21} = f_{22}$, is σ -harmonic and need not be constant.

In fact under the change of coordinates $u = x + y$ and $v = x - y$, the measure σ is transformed to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \delta_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\nu - \delta_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The bounded solutions of the convolution equation with this measure are then of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} g & \alpha \\ h & \beta \end{pmatrix},$$

with α and β constants, g and h any bounded functions. Undoing the change of coordinates we see that all bounded solutions of $f = f * \sigma$ are the bounded functions $f = (f_{ij})$, with $f_{11} = f_{12}$ and $f_{21} = f_{22}$ both constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] R.G. Bartle, *A general bilinear vector integral*, Studia Math. 15 (1956) 337–352. MR **18**:289a
- [2] G. Choquet and J. Deny, *Sur l'équation de convolution $\mu * \sigma = \mu$* , C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math. 250 (1960) 779–801. MR **22**:9808
- [3] C-H. Chu and K-S. Lau, *Solutions of the operator-valued integrated Cauchy functional equation*, J. Operator Theory 32 (1994) 157–183. MR **96d**:43001
- [4] C-H. Chu and T. Hilberdink, *The convolution equation of Choquet and Deny on nilpotent groups*, Integral Equation & Operator Theory 26 (1996) 1–13. MR **98c**:43002
- [5] C-H. Chu and C-W. Leung, *Harmonic functions on homogeneous spaces*, Monatshefte Math. 128 (1999) 227–235. CMP 2000:04
- [6] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, *Vector measures*, Mathematical Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc. 1977. MR **56**:12216
- [7] E.B. Dynkin and M.B. Maljutov, *Random walks on groups with a finite number of generators*, Soviet Math. Doklady 137 (1961) 1042–1045. MR **24**:A1751
- [8] Y. Guivarc'h, *Croissance polynomiale et périodes des fonctions harmoniques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 101 (1973) 333–379. MR **51**:5841
- [9] E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol.II, Springer-Verlag (1979) Berlin. MR **81k**:43001
- [10] V.A. Kaimanovich and A.M. Vershik, *Random walks on discrete groups: boundary and entropy*, Ann. of Prob. 11 (1983) 457–490. MR **85d**:60024

- [11] K-S. Lau, J. Wang and C-H. Chu, *Vector-valued Choquet–Deny theorem, renewal equation and self-similar measures*, Studia Math. 117 (1995) 1–28. MR **97m**:43001
- [12] T. Ramsey and Y. Weit, *Ergodic and mixing properties of measures on locally compact abelian groups*, Proc. Amer. Soc. 92 (1984) 519–520. MR **86b**:43014
- [13] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw–Hill (1966) New York. MR **35**:1420

GOLDSMITHS COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON SE14 6NW, ENGLAND
E-mail address: `maa01chc@gold.ac.uk`

GOLDSMITHS COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON SE14 6NW, ENGLAND
E-mail address: `map01twh@gold.ac.uk`

GOLDSMITHS COLLEGE, UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON SE14 6NW, ENGLAND
E-mail address: `mas01jdh@gold.ac.uk`