A REMARK ON A THEOREM OF J. TITS

CURTIS D. BENNETT AND SERGEY SHPECTOROV

(Communicated by Stephen D. Smith)

ABSTRACT. Let G be a rank two Chevalley group and Γ be the corresponding Moufang polygon. J. Tits proved that G is the universal completion of the amalgam formed by three subgroups of G : the stabilizer P_1 of a point a of Γ , the stabilizer P_2 of a line ℓ incident with a , and the stabilizer N of an apartment A passing through a and ℓ . We prove a slightly stronger result, in which the exact structure of N is not required. Our result can be used in conjunction with the “weak BN -pair” theorem of Delgado and Stellmacher in order to identify subgroups of finite groups generated by minimal parabolics.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the continuing efforts to put together a complete and “simpler” proof of the classification of finite simple groups the problem of identifying the known simple groups from certain of their subgroups remains important. In particular, in one of the cases (the characteristic- p -type case) a simple Lie type group G in characteristic p must be reconstructed from its p -local subgroups. In the case where the rank of G is at least 3 there seems to be a clear “best” way to do this. Namely, G is known to be the universal closure of the amalgam of its maximal parabolic subgroups. There exists an easy geometric argument for this important fact, based on the simple connectedness of the building on which G acts (cf. Tits [T]).

When the rank of G is two, the universal closure of the amalgam of the maximal parabolics, $\{P_1, P_2\}$, is the free amalgamated product of P_1 and P_2 , and hence infinite. The day is saved by a theorem of Tits ([S], Chapter II, Theorem 8) stating that G is the universal closure of the amalgam of P_1 , P_2 and N , where N is the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup C from $B := P_1 \cap P_2$. (This definition does not work when the field is small. A better definition (see below) that works in all cases can be given in terms of the generalized quadrangle on which G acts.) The first proof of this result used the BN -pair technique; more recently an elementary proof was found based again on the simple connectedness of the rank three geometry of points, lines, and apartments of the generalized n -gon on which G acts.

Suppose we have an injection ϕ of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2\}$ into a group H . (We will use the bar notation for the images under this injection.) This amounts to finding in H a pair of subgroups $\{\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2\}$ forming an amalgam isomorphic to $\{P_1, P_2\}$. In many cases the subgroups $\bar{P}_1$ and $\bar{P}_2$ are readily available due to the classification by Delgado and Stellmacher [DGS] of “weak BN -pairs”. It seems desirable to

Received by the editors January 24, 2000.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E42, 20D06, 51E12, 51E24.

The second author received partial support from NSF grant #9896154.

complement the theorem of Delgado and Stellmacher by a result showing that under some general conditions $\bar{P}_1$ and $\bar{P}_2$ generate in H a subgroup isomorphic to G . This amounts to extending ϕ to an injection from G into H . In fact, by Tits' result, for this it suffices to extend ϕ to N .

Let $N_i := N \cap P_i$, $i = 1, 2$. If we want to extend ϕ to an injection of $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ into H , we need to define the image $\bar{N}$ of N as $\langle \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2 \rangle$. Since $N/C \cong D_{2n}$ (the images of N_1 and N_2 in N/C being groups of order 2), to extend ϕ we just need to verify the relation $(\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2)^n = \bar{c}$, where n_i , $i = 1, 2$, is chosen in $N_i \setminus C$ and $c := (n_1 n_2)^n$.

Since $C \trianglelefteq N$, the groups $\bar{N}_1$ and $\bar{N}_2$ normalize $\bar{C}$ and act on it. From this we can conclude that $x := (\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2)^n$ must be in $C_H(\bar{C})$. Furthermore, if n_1 and n_2 are involutions, then, of course, $\bar{n}_1$ and $\bar{n}_2$ are involutions, too, and hence, they invert x . But these are, essentially, all the conditions on x that we have. In particular, even if we know that x is in $\bar{C}$, we may still have two or more candidates for x . For example, if $n = 4$ and q is odd, there are at least two elements in $\bar{C}$ inverted by $\bar{n}_1$ and $\bar{n}_2$ (again assuming that $\bar{n}_1$ and $\bar{n}_2$ are involutions). Setting x equal to any of those candidate elements, we obtain a valid amalgam of three groups regardless of whether x was chosen as the "correct" $\bar{c}$ or not. Of course, we should expect that the wrong choices for x never show up in actual groups, but it appears that we need a non-local condition to see that the completion of the "wrong" amalgam collapses.

The above discussion demonstrates possible complications in the application of Tits' result. The main goal of the present paper is to prove a slightly enhanced version of Tits' theorem, nullifying some of these concerns.

For expository purposes we assumed above that G was simple. In actual applications it is beneficial to also allow almost simple target groups G . The exact condition is as follows. Let Γ be a finite classical Moufang polygon (see [vM] for a detailed account of generalized polygons), and let G be a group of type-preserving automorphisms of Γ , containing all point- and line-elations. That is, G contains the so-called *little projective group* of Γ (cf. [vM], Chapter 4). The latter condition only means that G cannot be one of the three exceptional groups: $Sp(4, 2)' \cong A_6$, $G_2(2)' \cong U_3(3)$, and the Tits simple group ${}^2F_4(2)'$. Other than these exceptions, G is, thus, any simple group of Lie type of rank 2 extended by an arbitrary combination of diagonal and field automorphisms. As above, we let P_1 and P_2 be two maximal parabolics of G containing a common Borel subgroup. In terms of the polygon Γ , P_1 and P_2 are the stabilizers in G of a point a and a line L of Γ , where a and L are incident. Let N be the setwise stabilizer of an apartment containing a and L , and let $C \trianglelefteq N$ be the elementwise stabilizer of the same apartment. We further set $N_i := N \cap P_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

As before, let ϕ be an injective morphism of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2\}$ into a group H . We will continue using the bar notation for the images under ϕ .

We can now state our results.

Theorem 1. *Under the above setup, if $\langle \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2 \rangle / \bar{C}$ is isomorphic to D_{2k} , $k \leq n$, then $k = n$, ϕ extends to an injection of the rank three amalgam $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ into H , and $\bar{G} := \langle \bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to G .*

Let G_0 be the intersection of G with the (normal) subgroup of $\text{Aut } \Gamma$ generated by all elations and generalized homologies. Let $C_0 := C \cap G_0$, a Cartan subgroup in G . As a corollary to Theorem 1 we also obtain the following.

Theorem 2. *If $\bar{C}_0$ is self-centralized in H , then $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic to G .*

It may appear from the phrasing of the statement of Theorem 1 that the third claim in it, that $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic to G , is obtained as a corollary of the other two via an application of Tits' theorem. In reality, we bypass Tits and show directly, using only a nice lemma of Delgado and Stellmacher, that ϕ extends to an isomorphism of the entire group G onto its image $\bar{G}$ in H .

We conclude the paper by discussing the exceptional groups $Sp(4, 2)'$, $G_2(2)'$ and ${}^2F_4(2)'$. Are they true exceptions from Theorem 1, or is it merely that our proof does not work well for these groups? First of all, in all the three groups $C = 1$. Thus, the claim as in Tits' theorem simply coincides for these groups with the subcase $k = n$ of Theorem 1. When $G = Sp(4, 2)'$ or $G_2(2)'$, and $k = n$, we show that the universal closure of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ is infinite. Therefore, they are true exceptions from both our Theorem 1 and the original Tits' theorem. The situation is different for $G = {}^2F_4(2)'$, the Tits simple group. Namely, we prove the following.

Theorem 3. *Under the setup described before Theorem 1, if $G = {}^2F_4(2)'$, then the universal closure of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ coincides with G . Furthermore, if $\bar{N} := \langle \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2 \rangle \cong D_{2k}$, where $k \leq 8$, then $k = 8$ and $\bar{G} := \langle \bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2 \rangle$ is isomorphic to G .*

Notice that since here $C = 1$ and $n = 8$, the second statement in Theorem 3 is analogous to the one in Theorem 1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section G is an arbitrary group generated by its two subgroups P_1 and P_2 . The coset geometry $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, P_1, P_2)$ has as elements all (right) cosets of P_1 and P_2 in G . A coset P_1a is incident to a coset P_2b if and only if $P_1a \cap P_2b \neq \emptyset$. Thus Γ is a connected rank 2 geometry and G acts on Γ flag-transitively by right shifts. We will assume throughout the section that the geometry Γ is *thick*, that is, every element of Γ is incident with at least three other elements. This corresponds to the group-theoretic condition $[P_i : P_1 \cap P_2] \geq 3$, $i = 1, 2$.

Frequently, we will view Γ as a bipartite graph—the incidence graph of the geometry Γ . The group G acts transitively on each bipartite half of Γ , and $x_i := P_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are representatives of the two orbits of G on the vertex set of Γ . Furthermore, every edge of Γ is conjugate to $\{x_1, x_2\}$.

Recall that the action of G on Γ is called *locally s -path transitive* if for every vertex v of Γ the stabilizer of v in G acts transitively on the set of all paths of length s (no returns allowed) starting at v . Clearly, this property holds for all v once it is checked for $v = x_1$ and x_2 .

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose the action of G on Γ is locally s -transitive and that Γ has girth $g = 2k$, where $k < s$. Then the diameter of Γ is k . In particular, Γ is a generalized k -gon geometry. Furthermore, $s = k + 1$.*

Proof. It follows from our assumptions that every path in Γ of length s is contained in a cycle of length $g = 2k \leq 2(s - 1)$. This implies that the diameter d of Γ is at most k . As $k \leq d$, we conclude that $d = k$. Thus, the geometry Γ is a generalized k -gon. It remains to see that s is at most $k + 1$. However, that is clear because there are two kinds of $k + 2$ -paths starting from a given vertex v : for one kind the

distance from v to the end vertex is $k - 2$, and for the other it is k . Here we use that Γ is thick and therefore a vertex at distance $k - 1$ is adjacent with at least two vertices at distance k . $\square$

The above lemma will be used in combination with the following lemma bounding the girth of Γ .

Suppose C is a subgroup of $P_1 \cap P_2$. Suppose further that for $i = 1, 2$ we have an element $n_i \in P_i \setminus (P_1 \cap P_2)$ such that $n_i^2 \in C$ and $n_i \in N_G(C)$. Set $t := n_1 n_2$ and

$$x_i := \begin{cases} x_1^{t^j}, & \text{if } i = 2j + 1; \text{ and} \\ x_2^{t^j}, & \text{if } i = 2j + 2. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The following hold:*

- (1) x_i is adjacent to x_{i+1} and $x_i \neq x_{i+2}$ for all i ; in particular, $\tau := (\dots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is an infinite path without returns;
- (2) $n_i, i = 1, 2$, acts on τ by reflecting it in x_i , and C stabilizes τ elementwise;
- (3) if $t^n \in C$, then $x_i = x_{i+2n}$ for all i ; in particular, $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_0)$ is a closed path without returns.

Remark. In (1) and (2) we view τ as a two-way infinite sequence of vertices, and not as a subset of Γ . Notice also that the conclusion in (3) implies that the girth of Γ does not exceed $2n$, which is the bound we need.

Proof. If $i = 2j + 1$, then $(x_i, x_{i+1}) = (x_1, x_2)^{t^j}$ and hence x_i and x_{i+1} are adjacent. If $i = 2j + 2$, then again x_i and x_{i+1} are adjacent since x_2 and x_3 are adjacent (indeed, $x_2 = x_2^{n_2}$ and $x_3 = x_1^t = x_1^{n_2}$) and $(x_i, x_{i+1}) = (x_2, x_3)^{t^j}$. So τ is indeed a path and t acts on τ by shifting it by two edges in the positive direction. Clearly, τ has no returns since t fixes neither x_1 nor x_2 . This proves (1).

For (2), observe, first of all, that since n_1 and n_2 leave C invariant, so does t . Since C fixes both x_1 and x_2 , C must fix every vertex x_i . Next, notice that $(n_2 n_1)^j = c_j t^{-j}$ for some $c_j \in C$. Therefore, for $j \geq 0$ we have $x_{2j+1}^{n_1} = x_1^{t^j n_1} = x_1^{n_1 c_j t^{-j}} = x_1^{t^{-j}} = x_{-2j+1}$. Also, $x_{2j+2}^{n_1} = x_2^{t^j n_1} = x_2^{n_1 c_j t^{-j}} = x_2^{t^{-j}} = x_{-2j}$. This proves that $x_i^{n_1} = x_{-i+2}$ for all $i \geq 1$. As $n_1^2 \in C$, we also have that $x_{-i+2}^{n_1} = x_i$, that is, the action of n_1 on τ is as claimed. Since $n_2 = n_1^{-1} t$ we also have that n_2 acts on τ by reflecting it in x_2 ; (2) is proved.

If $t^n \in C$, then $x_i = x_i^{t^n} = x_{i+2n}$, and (3) follows as well. $\square$

3. PROOFS

The proof of Theorem 1 will be comprised of Lemmas 3.1 through 3.5. In particular, in all those lemmas we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 1. We will continue using the notation from the introduction. Additionally, let $\hat{G}$ be the free amalgamated product of P_1 and P_2 . We will use the “anti-hat” notation: $\hat{P}_i$ will stand for the obvious preimage of P_i in $\hat{G}$; $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$ will be an element in the preimage of $g \in G$ (usually, $\hat{g}$ will be in some $\hat{P}_i$, making it unique). Let π denote the canonical surjection of $\hat{G}$ onto G . Since $\phi \circ \pi$, where π is restricted to $\hat{P}_1 \cup \hat{P}_2$, is an isomorphism from $\{\hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2\}$ to $\{P_1, P_2\}$, it extends to a homomorphism ψ from $\hat{G}$ to H (having $\bar{G}$ as its image). Continuing the trend, we will also use the combined “bar-anti-hat” notation, meaning that normally $\psi(\hat{g}) = \bar{g}$.

To the three groups G , $\bar{G}$, and $\hat{G}$ there correspond three coset geometries:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &:= \Gamma(G, P_1, P_2), \\ \bar{\Gamma} &:= \Gamma(\bar{G}, \bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2), \\ \hat{\Gamma} &:= \Gamma(\hat{G}, \hat{P}_1, \hat{P}_2).\end{aligned}$$

The homomorphisms π and ψ induce morphisms of $\hat{\Gamma}$ onto Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$. By abuse of notation we will use the same notation π and ψ for these induced morphisms. Notice that π and ψ are coverings both of geometries and graphs. Also notice that Γ is the Moufang generalized n -gon for G and $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a tree.

Let $\hat{x}_1$ and $\hat{x}_2$ be the cosets $\hat{P}_1$ and $\hat{P}_2$, respectively. Furthermore, set $x_i := \pi(\hat{x}_i) = P_i$ and $\bar{x}_i := \psi(\hat{x}_i) = \bar{P}_i$, $i = 1, 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *The groups G , $\bar{G}$ and $\hat{G}$ act locally $n + 1$ -path transitively on the corresponding graphs Γ , $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. For G and Γ , this is the *Tits property* for the Moufang generalized polygons. It holds since by assumption G contains all elations. (See [vM], Chapter 4.)

Since π is a covering, it establishes a bijection between all paths in $\hat{\Gamma}$ starting at $\hat{x}_i$ and all paths in Γ starting at x_i . Furthermore, if $\hat{\gamma}$ is a path in $\hat{\Gamma}$ and $\gamma = \pi(\hat{\gamma})$, then, for every $\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$, $\pi(\hat{\gamma}\hat{g}) = \gamma^g$, where $g = \pi(\hat{g}) \in G$. Thus, the action of $\hat{P}_i$ on the paths in $\hat{\Gamma}$ starting at $\hat{x}_i$ is equivalent to the action of P_i on the paths in Γ starting at x_i . This implies that the action of $\hat{G}$ on $\hat{\Gamma}$ is locally $n + 1$ -path transitive, since the action of G on Γ is. (Notice that every vertex in $\hat{\Gamma}$ is $\hat{G}$ -conjugate to either $\hat{x}_1$, or $\hat{x}_2$.)

Similarly, taking ψ , $\bar{G}$, and $\bar{\Gamma}$ in place of π , G and Γ , respectively, we observe that the action of $\bar{P}_i$ on the paths starting at $\bar{x}_i$ is equivalent to the action of $\bar{P}_i$ on the paths starting at $\bar{x}_i$. Hence the action of $\bar{G}$ on $\bar{\Gamma}$ is locally $n + 1$ -path transitive too. $\square$

Choose $\hat{n}_i \in \hat{N}_i \setminus \hat{C}$, $i = 1, 2$. (Clearly, $\hat{N}_i$ and $\hat{C}$ are defined as the subgroups of $\hat{P}_i$ that π maps to N_i and C , respectively.) Let $\hat{N} := \langle \hat{N}_1, \hat{N}_2 \rangle$. Since π establishes an isomorphism of $\hat{N}_i$ onto N_i , we have that $\hat{C} \triangleleft \hat{N}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and hence $\hat{C} \triangleleft \hat{N}$. Let $n_i := \pi(\hat{n}_i)$ and $\bar{n}_i := \psi(\hat{n}_i)$. Since $[N_i : C] = 2$, we have that $[\hat{N}_i : \hat{C}] = 2$ and hence $\hat{n}_i^2 \in \hat{C}$. Clearly, also $n_i^2 \in C$ and $\bar{n}_i^2 \in \bar{C}$. Define $\hat{t} := \hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2$ and

$$\hat{x}_i := \begin{cases} \hat{x}_1^{\hat{t}^j}, & \text{if } i = 2j + 1; \text{ and} \\ \hat{x}_2^{\hat{t}^j}, & \text{if } i = 2j + 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $x_i := \pi(\hat{x}_i)$ and $\bar{x}_i := \psi(\hat{x}_i)$ for all i . Recall that by assumption $\langle \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2 \rangle / \bar{C} \cong D_{2k}$. Therefore, $(\bar{n}_1\bar{n}_2)^k \in \bar{C}$.

Lemma 3.2. *The following hold:*

- (1) $\hat{\tau} := (\dots, \hat{x}_{-1}, \hat{x}_0, \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2, \dots)$ is a path without returns in $\hat{\Gamma}$; $\hat{n}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, acts on $\hat{\tau}$ by reflecting it in $\hat{x}_i$ and $\hat{C}$ fixes $\hat{\tau}$ elementwise;
- (2) $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_0)$ is an apartment in Γ ; and
- (3) $\bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_{i+2k}$ for all i ; that is, $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{2k-1}, \bar{x}_0)$ is closed path without returns.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Lemma 2.2, parts (1) and (2). Part (2) follows since $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2n-1}, x_0)$ is, clearly, the apartment, of which N is the stabilizer. Finally, part (3) follows from Lemma 2.2 (3), since $(\bar{n}_1\bar{n}_2)^k \in \bar{C}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *If $\bar{G}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1, then $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a generalized n -gon, $k = n$ and $(\bar{x}_0, \bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{2n-1}, \bar{x}_0)$ is an apartment in $\bar{\Gamma}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.1, the claim follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 (3). $\square$

At this point using that the amalgam $(\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2)$ is isomorphic to (P_1, P_2) we could prove that Γ is Moufang and then refer to the classification of Moufang n -gons. Instead we will give a direct proof.

Suppose a generalized n -gon Δ is covered by a tree $\hat{\Delta}$. By a *track* in $\hat{\Delta}$ we mean a connected component in the full preimage of an apartment of Δ . A track is a (doubly-) infinite path folding onto the corresponding apartment. For the family of all tracks in $\hat{\Delta}$ we have the following two properties: the *uniqueness property* states that every $n + 1$ -path is contained in a unique track, and the *exchange property* states that if the distinct $2n$ -paths $\hat{a}_0\hat{a}_1\dots\hat{a}_n\dots\hat{a}_{2n}$ and $\hat{a}_0\hat{a}_1\dots\hat{a}_n\hat{b}_{n+1}\dots\hat{b}_{2n}$ are parts of two tracks, then the path $\hat{a}_{2n}\hat{a}_{2n-1}\dots\hat{a}_n\hat{b}_{n+1}\dots\hat{b}_{2n}$ is also part of a track (cf. [DGS]). We would like to claim that the family of tracks defines Δ and the covering $\hat{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$ up to isomorphism. For that we define the following equivalence on the vertices of $\hat{\Delta}$. Two vertices $\hat{a}, \hat{b}$ of $\hat{\Delta}$ are called *elementary equivalent* if $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ belong to a common track and are distance $2n$ apart. Vertices $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are called *equivalent* if they are connected by a number of elementary equivalences.

Lemma 3.4 ([DGS]). *Two vertices $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of $\hat{\Delta}$ map onto the same vertex of Δ if and only if they are equivalent in the above sense.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we will give a proof of this known fact. It is clear that two elementary equivalent vertices map onto the same vertex of Δ (since vertices at distance $2n$ along a track map to the same vertex of the $2n$ -cycle in Δ). Consequently any two equivalent vertices of $\hat{\Delta}$ must also map to the same vertex of Δ . Conversely, suppose $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are two vertices of $\hat{\Delta}$ mapping onto the same vertex of Δ . We will prove that $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are equivalent by induction on the distance $m = d(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$ from $\hat{a}$ to $\hat{b}$. Let $\hat{\gamma}$ denote the shortest path from $\hat{a}$ to $\hat{b}$. Then the image of $\hat{\gamma}$ is a closed path in Δ with no returns (except at the end point). If $0 < m < 2n$, then the image of P would be a closed path of length less than $2n$ contrary to the fact that Δ has girth $2n$. Hence if $m < 2n$, then $\hat{a} = \hat{b}$ and, trivially, they are equivalent. Now, suppose $m \geq 2n$, say, $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{a}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2\dots\hat{a}_{m-1}\hat{b}$. By the uniqueness condition, the $n + 1$ -path $\hat{a}\hat{a}_1\dots\hat{a}_{n+1}$ is part of a unique track $\hat{\Sigma}$. Let $\hat{c}$ be the vertex of $\hat{\Sigma}$ at distance $2n$ from $\hat{a}$ such that the shortest path from $\hat{a}$ to $\hat{c}$ contains the vertices $\hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_{n+1}$. Then $\hat{c}$ is elementary equivalent to $\hat{a}$, and hence it maps onto the same vertex of Δ , as $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ do. Moreover, $d(\hat{c}, \hat{b}) \leq m - 2$, since $\hat{c}$ is at distance $n - 1$ from the vertex $\hat{a}_{n+1}$. Hence, by induction the vertices $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{b}$ are equivalent. This implies, however, that $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are also equivalent. $\square$

We have now established that the set of tracks of the covering tree determines the generalized n -gon.

Lemma 3.5. *$\bar{\Gamma}$ is isomorphic to Γ , and $\bar{G}$ is isomorphic to G .*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 it suffices to show that the set of tracks corresponding to the covering π coincides with set of tracks corresponding to ψ . Indeed, if π and ψ produce the same set of tracks in $\hat{\Gamma}$, then, for $\hat{a}, \hat{b} \in \hat{\Gamma}$, we have that $\pi(\hat{a}) = \pi(\hat{b})$ if and only if $\psi(\hat{a}) = \psi(\hat{b})$. Thus, the map $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$ defined by $\phi(\pi(\hat{a})) = \psi(\hat{a})$ for

$\hat{a} \in \hat{\Gamma}$ is a well-defined bijection between Γ and $\bar{\Gamma}$, and clearly it is an isomorphism of geometries corresponding to our isomorphism ϕ of $\{P_1, P_2\}$ onto $\{\bar{P}_1, \bar{P}_2\}$.

Notice that by Lemma 3.3 $\bar{\tau}$ is an apartment in $\bar{\Gamma}$. Therefore, $\hat{\tau}$ is a track with regard to both π and ψ . Since $\hat{G}$ acts on $\hat{\Gamma}$ locally $n+1$ -transitively, the uniqueness condition for tracks implies that both sets of tracks coincide with $\{\hat{\tau}^{\hat{G}}\}$. $\square$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. As the following lemma shows, Theorem 2 is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.

Lemma 3.6. *If $\bar{C}_0$ is self-centralized in H , then $\langle \bar{N}_1, \bar{N}_2 \rangle / \bar{C} \cong D_{2k}$ for some $k \leq n$.*

Proof. Notice that C_0 is a Cartan subgroup in G_0 and hence it is abelian. Since, by assumption, G_0 contains all elations of Γ , without loss of generality we may assume that $n_i = \pi(\hat{n}_i)$ is contained in $N_i \cap G_0$, $i = 1, 2$. Then $c := (n_1 n_2)^n$ (which is contained in C by assumption) is contained in C_0 and hence it centralizes C_0 . Now let $\hat{c} := (\hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2)^n$. (Clearly, $\pi(\hat{c}) = c$.) Observe that $\hat{C}$ is normal in both $\hat{N}_i$ and therefore $[\hat{c}, \hat{C}_0]$ (where $\hat{C}_0$ is, naturally, the preimage of C_0 in $\hat{C}$) is contained in $\hat{C}$. Since π establishes an isomorphism between $\hat{C}$ and C , and since $\pi([\hat{c}, \hat{C}_0]) = [c, C_0] = 1$, we must also have that $\hat{c}$ centralizes $\hat{C}_0$.

We now pass to the group H . Define $\bar{n}_i := \phi(n_i) = \psi(\hat{n}_i)$, $\bar{c} := (\bar{n}_1 \bar{n}_2)^n = \psi(\hat{c})$. Notice that $\bar{C}_0 = \phi(C_0) = \psi(\hat{C}_0)$. Therefore, $[\bar{c}, \bar{C}_0] = \psi([\hat{c}, \hat{C}_0]) = \psi(1) = 1$. Since $\bar{C}_0$ is, by assumption, self-centralized, we conclude that $\bar{c} \in \bar{C}_0 \leq \bar{C}$. This proves that $\langle \bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2 \rangle / \bar{C} \cong D_{2k}$ for some k dividing n . $\square$

We now turn to the exceptional groups $Sp(4, 2)'$, $G_2(2)'$ and ${}^2F_4(2)'$. In this case, for $i = 1$ or 2 (or both) we have $N_i = N \cap P_i = C = 1$. To see this, note that, as we are working over $GF(2)$, if $g \in G$ stabilizes an apartment A of Γ and a point or line $x \in A$, then g fixes the unique element $y \notin A$ incident with x . Consequently, g fixes the half-apartment containing x, y , and the element x' opposite to x in A , and it follows that g is an elation. According to Theorem 4.8.7 from [vM] (quoted from [Sz]), however, if $G = Sp(4, 2)'$ or $G_2(2)'$, then G does not contain at least one of the two classes of elations. Thus, for $i = 1$ or 2 , we have $N_i = N \cap P_i = C = 1$. This immediately implies that the universal group of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ is isomorphic to the free amalgamated product of P_i with the universal completion of the amalgam $\{P_{3-i}, N\}$, which is, in turn, isomorphic to the free amalgamated product of the latter two groups. Thus, the universal completion of $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ is infinite. Consider now the case $G = {}^2F_4(2)'$, so that Γ is the corresponding Moufang generalized octagon ($n = 8$) of order $(2, 4)$. (We distinguish points and lines of Γ by the condition that every point is on 5 lines and every line has three points.) Theorem 4.8.7 from [vM] tells us that in this case G contains all elations of order 2. In particular, G contains an entire apartment stabilizer from $\text{Aut } G = {}^2F_4(2)$. That is, $N \cong D_{16}$ and both N_1 and N_2 are of order 2. Notice that G does not contain the (point) elations of order 4.

Lemma 3.7. *G acts on Γ locally 7-, but not 8-path transitively; also, G acts transitively on 8-paths starting from a point.*

Proof. Suppose G is locally s -, but not $s+1$ -path transitive.

Let $\tilde{G} = {}^2F_4(2)$, so that $[\tilde{G} : G] = 2$. The group $\tilde{G}$ acts on Γ and this action is locally 9-path transitive. Let $\{x_1, x_2\}$ be an edge of Γ . Let $\tilde{B}$ be the stabilizer

of this edge in $\tilde{G}$, *i.e.*, $\tilde{B}$ is a Borel subgroup in $\tilde{G}$. It is well known that $\tilde{B}$ acts regularly on the set of 9-paths starting from x_1 and x_2 . Since $B := \tilde{B} \cap G$ has index two in $\tilde{B}$, we have that B has exactly two orbits on those 9-paths. Consequently, G has exactly two orbits on 9-paths starting with each particular type of element (point or line).

We have shown that $s \leq 8$. If $s = 8$, then, in particular, G is transitive on 8-paths starting from (and ending at) a line. Since the line elations are involutions, they are all contained in G . Hence the stabilizer of an 8-path γ starting at a line interchanges the two 9-paths extending γ . However, that means that G is transitive on 9-paths starting from a point, a contradiction. Thus, $s \leq 7$.

It remains to show that G is transitive on 8-paths starting from a point. (This assures, in particular, that $s \geq 7$.) Suppose G is not transitive on the set of all those 8-paths, and suppose γ is one of them. The stabilizer of γ in $\tilde{G}$ is a subgroup Z_4 of point elations. This subgroup meets G in just a Z_2 , that is, the stabilizer of γ in G has two orbits on the four 9-paths extending γ . However, this means that the number of G -orbits on the set of 9-paths starting from a point is at least four, a contradiction. $\square$

Since G is not locally $n+1$ - (that is, 9-) path transitive, our generic argument does not work, so we need to use a different technique. We first do the Tits' case.

Lemma 3.8. *G is the universal completion of the amalgam $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$.*

Proof. Let A be the apartment in the generalized octagon Γ , passing through x_1 and x_2 , that is stabilized by N . Consider a rank three geometry Θ , whose elements are the elements of the generalized octagon Γ , together with all the apartments G -conjugate to A . (Since G has index 2 in the full automorphism group of Γ , G has two orbits of equal size on the set of apartments; we simply take one of the two orbits.) The incidence between elements of Γ is natural, as in Γ . The incidence between the elements of Γ and the apartments is by inclusion.

We first observe that Θ is a strongly connected geometry, that is, the residue of every element of Θ is connected. Indeed, clearly, the residue of an apartment is connected. Also, if a is an element of Γ (say, a point), then, by simple counting, a and any two lines in the residue of a are contained in exactly 2^{10} apartments; exactly half of those apartments are elements of Θ . (The latter claim holds since G is transitive on 2-paths starting from a line, and since the two G -orbits on apartments have equal size.) Similarly, the residue of every line is connected.

We also observe that G acts flag-transitively on Θ and that $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ is the amalgam of maximal parabolics corresponding to the maximal flag $\{x_1, x_2, A\}$. By [T], G is the universal closure of $\{P_1, P_2, N\}$ if and only if Θ is simply connected. Thus, we need to show that $\pi_1(\Theta) = 1$. Viewing Γ as a subset of Θ and choosing the base point v as a point of Γ , we observe that every closed path in Θ , starting and ending at v , is homotopic to a closed path in Γ . Furthermore, it is well known that every cycle in Γ is homotopic to a product of apartments. Hence the lemma will follow if we show that every apartment in Γ can be decomposed as a product of apartments conjugate to A . (The apartment A and its conjugates are contractible in Θ as they are contained in the residue of an element of Θ .) Suppose $B = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{15}, v_0)$ is an apartment not conjugate to A . Without loss of generality, v_0 is a point. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_5$ be the five 8-paths joining v_0 with v_8 . Without loss of generality, $\gamma_1 = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_8)$ and $\gamma_2 = (v_0, v_{15}, v_{14}, \dots, v_8)$. Define a graph Σ ,

whose vertices are the five paths γ_i and where γ_i is adjacent to γ_j , $i \neq j$, if and only if $\gamma_i\gamma_j^{-1}$ is an apartment conjugate to A . By Lemma 3.7, exactly two (out of four) apartments containing γ_i are conjugate to A , which means that Σ has valency 2. Therefore, Σ is isomorphic to a 5-cycle. The vertices γ_1 and γ_2 are not adjacent, but in a 5-cycle they must have a common neighbour γ_i . However, that means that $B = \gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1} = (\gamma_1\gamma_i^{-1})(\gamma_i\gamma_2^{-1})$ is contractible. $\square$

To complete the proof of Theorem 3 it remains to show that $k = 8$. Suppose $k < 8$. Define $\bar{\Gamma}$ as in the beginning of this section. By Lemma 3.7, G is locally 7-path transitive on Γ and it is transitive on 8-paths starting from a point. Repeating the argument from Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $\bar{G}$, too, is locally 7-path transitive on $\bar{\Gamma}$ and it is transitive on 8-paths in $\bar{\Gamma}$ starting from a point. (Just like in Γ , a point in $\bar{\Gamma}$ is on five lines and a line has three points.) This allows us to show that $k < 7$ is impossible. Indeed, suppose $k \leq 6$. Then Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1 imply that $k = 6$ and $\bar{\Gamma}$ is a generalized 6-gon. However, in that case the 8-paths in $\bar{\Gamma}$ that start from a point split into two types: the end point of the path can be at distance four or six from the starting point. This contradicts the transitivity of $\bar{G}$ on 8-paths starting from a point.

Thus, $k = 7$. Here again we obtain a contradiction, even if in a slightly different way. First of all, the argument in the previous paragraph implies that the girth of $\bar{\Gamma}$ has to be exactly 14. Since $\bar{G}$ is transitive on 8-paths starting from a point, every such 8-path is contained in a 14-cycle. This implies that the maximal distance in $\bar{\Gamma}$ from a point is seven, leading to the following distance distribution diagram from a point:

Here x , the number of lines at distance seven, can be found as $\frac{640 \cdot 4}{3}$, which is not an integer. This contradiction establishes that $k = 8$, thus completing the proof of Theorem 3.

REFERENCES

- [DGS] A. Delgado, D. Goldschmidt and B. Stellmacher, *Groups and Graphs: New Results and Methods*, Birkhäuser, 1985. MR **88a**:05076
- [vM] H. van Maldeghem, *Generalized Polygons*, Birkhäuser, 1998. CMP 2000:05
- [S] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer, 1980. MR **82c**:20083
- [Sz] G. Seitz, Flag-transitive subgroups of Chevalley groups, *Ann. Math.* **97** (1973), 27–56. MR **49**:5201
- [T] J. Tits, Ensembles ordonnés, immeubles et sommes amalgamées, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg.* **A38** (1986), 367–387. MR **88j**:20041

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403

E-mail address: `cbennet@bgsu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OHIO 43403

E-mail address: `sergey@bayes.bgsu.edu`