AN ADDITION TO THE $\cos \pi \rho$ -THEOREM FOR SUBHARMONIC AND ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF ZERO LOWER ORDER

I. E. CHYZHYKOV

(Communicated by Juha M. Heinonen)

ABSTRACT. We obtain a sharp asymptotic relation between the infimum and the maximum on a circle of a subharmonic function of zero lower order. An example is constructed, which shows the sharpness of the relation in the class of entire functions of zero order such that $\log M(r, f)/\log^2 r \rightarrow +\infty$, where $M(r, f) = \max\{|f(z)| : |z| = r\}$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}$. We denote $A(r, u) = \inf\{u(z) : |z| = r\}$, $B(r, u) = \max\{u(z) : |z| = r\}$, $r > 0$. The classical $\cos \pi \rho$ -theorem asserts that if $f(z)$ is an entire function of finite order $\rho \in [0, 1]$, then

$$(1.1) \quad A(r_n, \log |f|) > (C_1(\rho) + o(1))B(r_n, \log |f|),$$

where $C_1(\rho) = \cos \pi \rho$, $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. It is known ([1], Chapter 6) that one can replace $\log |f|$ by an arbitrary subharmonic in the plane function u of lower order $\lambda \leq 1$ in (1.1). In this case, $C_1(\rho)$ must be replaced by $C_1(\lambda)$, and the constant is sharp. Hence, if $\lambda = 0$, then (1.1) implies $A(r_n, u) \sim B(r_n, u)$ as $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$. Naturally, the question on improvement of $\cos \pi \rho$ -theorem in the case of subharmonic or entire functions of zero order arises.

In 1962 P. Barry [2] showed that for an arbitrary subharmonic function u the inequality $B(r, u) \leq \psi(r)$ ($r \geq r_0$) implies

$$(1.2) \quad (\forall \varepsilon > 0) \quad A(r_n, u) > B(r_n, u) - (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\pi^2}{2} \psi_2(r_n), \quad r_n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfies the following conditions: $\psi_2(r)$ is continuous and slowly varying on $[1, +\infty)$ (i.e. $\psi_2(2r) \sim \psi_2(r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$), here $\psi_j(r) = \frac{d^j \psi(r)}{(d \log r)^j}$, $j = 1, 2$. On the other hand, in 1980 P. Fenton [3] proved that for subharmonic function u the condition $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B(r, u)/\log^{p+1} r = \sigma < +\infty$ implies for $p > 0$ that $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ the inequality

$$A(r, u) > B(r, u) - (\sigma + \varepsilon) \operatorname{Re}\{\log^{p+1} r - (\log r + i\pi)^{p+1}\}$$

Received by the editors July 5, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30D15, 31A05.

Key words and phrases. Subharmonic function, $\cos \pi \rho$ -theorem, entire function, minimum modulus.

The author was supported in part by INTAS, Grant # 99-00089.

holds for all r outside a set E satisfying

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\log^p r} \int_{E \cap [1, r]} \frac{p \log^{p-1} t}{t} dt \leq \frac{\sigma}{\sigma + \varepsilon}.$$

We remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\log^{p+1} r - (\log r + i\pi)^{p+1}\} &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi^2(p+1)p}{2} \log^{p-1} r \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{d^2 \log^{p+1} r}{(d \log r)^2}, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\psi(r) = \sigma(\log^+ r)^2$ ($r \geq 0$) A. A. Gol'dberg [4] and P. Fenton [5, 6] showed that

$$(1.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{A(r, |f|)}{B(r, |f|)} \geq C_2(\sigma) = \left(\prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - q^{2n-1}}{1 + q^{2n-1}} \right)^2,$$

where $q = e^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma}}$, $C_2(0) = 1$, for every entire function [6] such that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B(r, \log |f|)}{\log^2 r} = \sigma < +\infty$ (for every meromorphic function [4] such that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} N(r, 0, \infty, f) \log^{-2} r \leq \sigma < +\infty$), here $N(r, 0, \infty, f) = N(r, 0, f) + N(r, \infty, f)$ is Nevanlinna's counting function of zeros and poles. The constant $C_2(\sigma)$ in (1.3) is sharp [2], [4].

In 1982 P. Barry [7] established a sharp lower estimate for the remainder $A(r, u) - B(r, u)$ in the case $u = \log |f|$, f is an entire function with $B(r, \log |f|) = O(\log^{p+1} r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $0 < p < 1$. He also remarked, that a sharp estimate for $p > 1$ in the class on entire function was unknown. In this connection the following questions arise:

- 1) Is inequality (1.2) valid for subharmonic functions of zero lower order such that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B(r, u)}{\psi(r)} \leq 1$?
- 2) What is a sharp inequality between $A(r, \log |f|)$ and $B(r, \log |f|)$ in the class of entire functions such that $B(r, \log |f|)/\log^2 r \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$?

In this paper we give answers to both questions.

2. IMPROVING THE $\cos \pi \rho$ -THEOREM

Let us introduce the class Ψ of functions $\psi: [1; +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\psi_1(r) = \frac{d\psi(r)}{d \log r} = K_1 \exp \left\{ \int_1^r \frac{\beta(s)}{s} ds \right\},$$

where $K_1 > 0$ is a constant, $\beta \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\beta(r) > 0$, $\beta(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $\int_1^{+\infty} \beta(s) s^{-1} ds$ is divergent and

$$(2.1) \quad \log \frac{1}{\beta(r)} = O\left(\log \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}(r)}\right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty,$$

where $\hat{\beta}(r) = \max\{\beta(s) : s \geq r\}$. We remark that if function β is not required to satisfy condition (2.1), then the class of all such functions ψ_1 coincides with the class of functions that are positive continuously differentiable on $[1; +\infty)$, increasing to $+\infty$ and slowly varying. On the other hand, the condition $\psi_2(2r) \sim \psi_2(r)$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ implies $\psi_1(2r) \sim \psi_1(r)$, and the latter one implies $\psi(2r) \sim \psi(r)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $u(z)$ be a subharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}$. If there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B(r, u)/\psi(r) \leq 1$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ the inequality*

$$(2.2) \quad A(r, u) \geq B(r, u) - (1 + \varepsilon) \frac{\pi^2}{2} \psi_2(r)$$

holds outside a set E_ε of values r satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\psi_1(r)} \int_{E_\varepsilon \cap [1, r]} d\psi_1(t) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \varepsilon}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we partially use Barry's method. Let μ_u be a Riesz measure associated with a subharmonic function u , $\mu_u^*(t) = \mu_u(\{\zeta : |\zeta| \leq t\})$. For $R > 0$ we define the following functions:

$$u_1(z, R) = \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log \left| 1 - \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\mu_u(\zeta),$$

$$u_2(z, R) = \int_{|\zeta| < R} \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{\zeta} \right| d\mu_u(\zeta) = \int_{[0, R)} \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{t} \right| d\mu_u^*(t),$$

$$u_3(z, R) = u(z) - u_1(z, R).$$

One can write $u_2(z, R) = \int_0^R \log \left| 1 + \frac{z}{t} \right| d\mu_u^*(t)$ for almost all $R > 0$, where the last integral is understood in the Stieltjes sense.

Put $B_j(r, R) = \max\{u_j(z, R) : |z| = r\}$, $A_j(r, R) = \min\{u_j(z, R) : |z| = r\}$. It follows from the definitions of u_1 and u_2 that

$$(2.4) \quad A_2(r, R) \leq A_1(r, R) \leq B_1(r, R) \leq B_2(r, R).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u(0) = 0$ and $u(z)$ is harmonic in the unit disk with the center in the origin. We need the following lemma [8].

Lemma. *Suppose $R > 2r$. Then*

$$B_3(r, R) \leq \frac{4r}{R} B(2R, u), \quad -A_3(r, R) \leq \frac{4r}{R} B(2R, u).$$

The proof of a similar lemma can be found, for example, in [9], Lemma 6.1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We deduce from the definition of $u_2(z, R)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} B_2(t, R) - A_2(t, R) &= \int_0^R \log \left| 1 + \frac{t}{s} \right| d\mu_u^*(s) - \int_0^R \log \left| 1 - \frac{t}{s} \right| d\mu_u^*(s) \\ &= \int_0^R \log \left| \frac{t+s}{t-s} \right| d\mu_u^*(s). \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the following integral using Fubini's theorem and the latter relation ($0 < x < R$):

$$\begin{aligned}
 I(x, R) &= \int_0^x \frac{B_2(t, R) - A_2(t, R)}{t} dt \\
 &= \int_0^x \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^R \log \left| \frac{t+s}{t-s} \right| d\mu_u^*(s) \\
 &= \int_0^R d\mu_u^*(s) \int_0^{x/s} \log \left| \frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau} \right| \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \\
 &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \log \left| \frac{1+\tau}{1-\tau} \right| \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \mu_u^*(R) = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \mu^*(R).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from the definitions of u_1, u_2, u_3 and the lemma that

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(t, u) &\geq A_1(t, R) + A_3(t, R) \geq A_2(t, R) + A_3(t, R), \\
 B(t, u) &\leq B_2(t, R) + B_3(t, R).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $R > 2x$, in accordance with Lemma and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^x \frac{B(t, u) - A(t, u)}{t} dt &\leq \int_0^x \frac{B_2(t, R) - A_2(t, R)}{t} dt \\
 &+ \int_0^x \frac{B_3(t, R) - A_3(t, R)}{t} dt \leq I(x, R) + \frac{8x}{R} B(2R, u) \\
 &\leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} \mu_u^*(R) + \frac{8x}{R} B(2R, u).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.6}$$

The conditions of the theorem imply that for arbitrary $\eta > 0$ an arbitrary large r exists such that, $B(r, u) < (1+\eta)\psi(r)$, and, due to Jensen's formula for subharmonic functions [10, Chap. 4]

$$\int_0^r \frac{\mu_u^*(t)}{t} dt \leq B(r, u) < (1+\eta)\psi(r).$$

Define $r^* = \max\{t \leq r : \mu_u^*(t) \leq (1+2\eta)\psi_1(t)\}$. The value r^* is well defined, because, if we assume that there is no t satisfying $\mu_u^*(t) \leq (1+2\eta)\psi_1(t)$, then integrating the inverse inequality divided on t leads us to a contradiction with the choice of r .

Thus

$$(1+2\eta)(\psi(r) - \psi(r^*)) = (1+2\eta) \int_{r^*}^r \frac{\psi_1(t)}{t} dt \leq \int_{r^*}^r \frac{\mu_u^*(t)}{t} dt \leq (1+\eta)\psi(r).$$

From the last inequality we find that $\psi(r^*) \geq \frac{\eta}{1+2\eta}\psi(r)$. Therefore,

$$B(r^*, u) \leq B(r, u) \leq (1+\eta)\psi(r) \leq \frac{(1+\eta)(1+2\eta)}{\eta} \psi(r^*).$$

In particular, there exists an arbitrary large r^* which satisfies

$$\mu_u^*(r^*) \leq (1+2\eta)\psi_1(r^*), \quad B(r^*, u) \leq \frac{(1+\eta)(1+2\eta)}{\eta} \psi(r^*).
 \tag{2.7}$$

Choose R such that inequalities (2.7) hold with $r^* = 2R$. Then, from (2.6), using the slow variation of ψ_1 and ψ , we obtain for sufficiently large R

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^x \frac{B(t, u) - A(t, u)}{t} dt \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 + 2\eta) \psi_1(2R) + \frac{8(1 + 2\eta)(1 + \eta)}{\eta} \frac{x}{R} \psi(2R) \\ \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 + 3\eta) \psi_1(R) + \frac{8(1 + 2\eta)^2}{\eta} \frac{x}{R} \psi(R).$$

In accordance with (2.1) there exists a constant $K_2 \in (0; +\infty)$ such that $\hat{\beta}(t) \leq (\beta(t))^{\frac{1}{K_2}}$ as $t \geq t_0$. Put $\gamma(r) = \exp\{(\hat{\beta}(r))^{-\frac{1}{2}}\}$. Since $\hat{\beta}(r)$ is nonincreasing function and $\hat{\beta}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $\gamma(r)$ is a nondecreasing function, and $\gamma(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Let x be defined by the equality $x\gamma(x) = R$. Then we find that

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\psi_1(R)}{\psi_1(x)} = \exp\left\{\int_x^{x\gamma(x)} \frac{\beta(s)}{s} ds\right\} \leq \exp\left\{\int_x^{x\gamma(x)} \frac{\hat{\beta}(s)}{s} ds\right\} \\ \leq \exp\{\hat{\beta}(x) \log \gamma(x)\} = \exp\left\{\sqrt{\hat{\beta}(x)}\right\} \rightarrow 1, \quad x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using the slow variation of $\psi(r)$, it is easy to check that $\psi(r)r^{-1/2} \downarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{x}{R} \psi(R) = \frac{1}{\gamma(x)} \psi(x\gamma(x)) \leq \psi(x)(\gamma(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Applying l'Hospital's rule we obtain

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{\psi_1(x)\gamma(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\frac{\psi_1(x)}{x}}{\psi_1(x) \frac{\beta(x)}{x} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}(x) + \psi_1(x)((\gamma(x))^{\frac{1}{2}})'} \\ \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\beta(x)(\gamma(x))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\hat{\beta}^{K_2}(x) \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\hat{\beta}(x)}}\right\}} = 0.$$

Relations (2.10) and (2.11) imply

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{x}{R} \psi(R) \leq \frac{\psi(x)}{\gamma(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = o(\psi_1(x)),$$

on a sequence of values $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Combining (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12), we deduce

$$(2.13) \quad \int_0^x \frac{B(t, u) - A(t, u)}{t} dt \leq \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 + 4\eta) \psi_1(x),$$

for an arbitrary large x .

Using the generalized l'Hospital rule, we get

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 + 4\eta) \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\psi_1(x)} \int_0^x \frac{B(t, u) - A(t, u)}{t} dt \\ \geq \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{B(x, u) - A(x, u)}{\psi_2(x)}.$$

This means that on a sequence $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$A(r_n, u) > B(r_n, u) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} (1 + 5\eta) \psi_2(r_n).$$

Let E_ε be the set of those r , for which (2.2) is not fulfilled. Then taking into account (2.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi^2}{2}(1+4\eta)\psi_1(x) &\geq \int_0^x \frac{B(t,u)-A(t,u)}{t} dt \geq \int_{[0,x] \cap E_\varepsilon} \frac{B(t,u)-A(t,u)}{t} dt \\ &\geq \int_{[0,x] \cap E_\varepsilon} \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\psi_2(t)}{t} dt = \frac{\pi^2}{2}(1+\varepsilon) \int_{[0,x] \cap E_\varepsilon} d\psi_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now, estimate (2.3) follows from the arbitrariness of $\eta > 0$. Theorem 1 is proved. $\square$

Corollary. *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. If there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B(r, \log |f|)/\psi(r) \leq 1$, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$*

$$(2.15) \quad A(r, \log |f|) \geq B(r, \log |f|) - \frac{\pi^2}{2}(1+\varepsilon)\psi_2(r)$$

outside a set E_ε of values r satisfying (2.3).

3. AN EXAMPLE

Sharpness of inequality (2.2) in the class of subharmonic functions of zero order follows from Barry's results [2]. However, inequality (2.15) can be improved when $B(r, \log |f|) = O(\log^2 r)$ ($r \rightarrow +\infty$) (see (1.3) and the following remark).

Remark 1. For entire functions f satisfying $B(r, \log |f|) \leq \psi(r)$ ($r \geq r_0$), $\psi(r) = (\log^+ r)^{p+1}$, $0 < p < 1$, Barry [7] proved that

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \quad \frac{A(r_n, |f|)}{B(r_n, |f|)} > 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon - 1}{2\psi_2(r_n)}\right\}, \quad r_n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Using the Wiman-Valiron method, Barry also showed [7] that $\varepsilon - 1$ cannot be replaced by -1 in the last inequality, and estimated the set through which r_n may approach $+\infty$.

We construct an entire function of zero order, for which in (2.15) the asymptotic equality holds with $\varepsilon = 0$ on some sequence of $r = r_n \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Theorem 2. *Let $\psi(r) = \frac{\sigma}{p+1}(\log^+ r)^{p+1}$, ($r \geq 0$), $p > 0$, $0 < \sigma < +\infty$. There exists an entire function f such that $\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} B(r, \log |f|)/\psi(r) = 1$, and on a sequence $r_n \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$A(r_n, \log |f|) = B(r_n, \log |f|) - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} + o(1)\right)\psi_2(r_n), \quad p > 1,$$

$$\frac{A(r_n, |f|)}{B(r_n, |f|)} = 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{1 + o(1)}{2\psi_2(r_n)}\right\}, \quad 0 < p < 1,$$

$$\frac{A(r_n, |f|)}{B(r_n, |f|)} = C_2(\sigma/2) + o(1), \quad p = 1.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. In the notations of Theorem 2 we have

$$\psi_1(t) = \begin{cases} \sigma \log^p t, & t \geq 1, \\ 0, & 0 < t < 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varphi_1(r) = \psi_1^{-1}(r) = \exp\left\{\left(\frac{r}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\}$ be the inverse to ψ_1 function, $x_n = \varphi(n)$. We shall denote by $[x]$ an integral part of x and by K with a subscript a positive constant depending only on p and σ . Define the entire function $f(z)$ by the canonical product of zero genus

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 + \frac{z}{x_n}\right).$$

This representation becomes, for $r \in (x_n, x_{n+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} B(r, \log |f|) - A(r, \log |f|) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\log \left| 1 + \frac{r}{x_k} \right| - \log \left| 1 - \frac{r}{x_k} \right| \right) \\ (3.1) \quad &= \left(\sum_{k=1}^n + \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \right) \log \left| \frac{\frac{r}{x_k} + 1}{\frac{r}{x_k} - 1} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We choose $r = r_n = \sqrt{x_n x_{n+1}}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r_n &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{n+1}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\} \\ (3.2) \quad &= \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} p n^{\frac{1}{q}}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^{1+1/q}} \right) \right\}, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where q is defined by the equality $p^{-1} + q^{-1} = 1$. Let $t_{-k} = \frac{r_n}{x_{n+1-k}}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$), $t_k = \frac{x_{n+k}}{r_n}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). We need asymptotics for $t_{\pm k}$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. For arbitrary $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq k \leq n^\alpha$ using (3.2) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \log t_k &= \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\left(1 + \frac{k}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2pn} + O\left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) \right) \\ (3.3) \quad &= \frac{2k-1}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k}{n} \right) \right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that relation (3.3) also holds with t_{-k} instead of t_k for the same k .

For $k > n^\alpha$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log t_k &= \left(\frac{n+k}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} - \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 + o(1)) \\ &= \left(\frac{n+k}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n}{n+k} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 + o(1)) \right) \\ (3.4) \quad &\geq \left(\frac{n+k}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1 + o(1)}{(1 + n^{\alpha-1})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{n+k}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{p} > \frac{(n+k)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and for $n^\alpha < k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \log t_{-k} &= \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + o(1) - \left(\frac{n+k-1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\ (3.4') \quad &\geq \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(1 + o(1) - (1 - n^{\alpha-1})^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{n}{\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{p} > \frac{(n+k)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}-\alpha+1} p \sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\tau_k = \exp\left\{\frac{2k-1}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}n^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right\}$ and fix an arbitrary positive α from the interval $(\frac{1}{q}, 1)$. By a similar manner for $\log \tau_k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\log \tau_k &= \frac{(1+o(1))k}{p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}n^{\frac{1}{q}}} > \frac{n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}} > \frac{(n+k)n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad n^\alpha < k \leq n, \\ \log \tau_k &= \frac{(1+o(1))k}{p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}n^{\frac{1}{q}}} > \frac{(n+k)n^{-\frac{1}{q}}}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}} \geq \frac{(n+k)n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad k > n.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\log\left|\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right|$ is a decreasing function of t on $(1, +\infty)$, using (3.4), (3.4') and the latter inequalities from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}B(r, \log |f|) - A(r, \log |f|) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \log\left|\frac{t_{-k}+1}{t_{-k}-1}\right| + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{t_k+1}{t_k-1}\right| \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{\tau_k+1}{\tau_k-1}\right| + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\log\left|\frac{t_{-k}+1}{t_{-k}-1}\right| - \log\left|\frac{\tau_k+1}{\tau_k-1}\right|\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\log\left|\frac{t_k+1}{t_k-1}\right| - \log\left|\frac{\tau_k+1}{\tau_k-1}\right|\right) - \sum_{k=n+1}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{\tau_k+1}{\tau_k-1}\right| \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \log\left|\frac{\tau_k+1}{\tau_k-1}\right| + \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{t_k} + \int_{\tau_k}^{t_{-k}}\right) \frac{2 dx}{x^2-1} \\ (3.5) \quad &+ O\left(\sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\tau_k}^{+\infty} + \int_{t_k}^{+\infty}\right) + \sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^n \int_{t_{-k}}^{+\infty}\right) \frac{2 dx}{x^2-1} \\ &= \sum_1 + \sum_2 + O\left(\left(\sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^{+\infty} \int_{\exp\{K_3(n+k)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\}}^{+\infty} \right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^{+\infty} \int_{\exp\{K_3(n+k)n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}\}}^{+\infty}\right) \frac{dx}{x^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_1 + \sum_2 + O(\sum_3 + \sum_4).\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the last sum in (3.5). Using l'Hospital's rule one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(3.6) \quad \sum_3 &\leq \sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^{+\infty} \exp\{-K_3(n+k)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\} \leq \int_{n+n^\alpha}^{+\infty} \exp\{-K_3 t^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\} dt \\ &= O\left(\exp\{-K_3(n+n^\alpha)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\}(n+n^\alpha)^{1+\frac{1}{q}-\alpha}\right) \\ &= O(\exp\{-K_4 n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\}), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_4 &\leq \sum_{k=[n^\alpha]+1}^{+\infty} \exp\{-K_3(n+k)n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}\} \\
 (3.7) \quad &\leq \exp\{-K_3n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\} \frac{\exp\{-K_3n^{2\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}\}}{1 - \exp\{-K_3n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1}\}} \\
 &\leq \frac{\exp\{-K_3(n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}} + n^{2\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1})\}}{K_3n^{-|\alpha-\frac{1}{q}-1|}} = O(\exp\{-K_4n^{\alpha-\frac{1}{q}}\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_2$ is estimated dependent on a sign of q . First, we suppose that $q > 1$, i.e. $p > 1$. If $k \leq n^{\frac{1}{q}}$, then taking into account (3.3) and the inequality $\log x \leq x-1 \leq K(x_0) \log x$ which holds for $x \in [1, x_0]$ with some constant $K(x_0) > 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]} \left| \int_{\tau_k}^{t_k} \frac{2 dx}{x^2 - 1} \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]} \frac{|t_k - \tau_k|}{(1 + o(1)) \log \tau_k} = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]} \frac{\tau_k \left| \frac{t_k}{\tau_k} - 1 \right|}{\log \tau_k} \\
 &= O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]} \frac{\log \frac{t_k}{\tau_k}}{\log \tau_k}\right) = O\left(\sum_{k=1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]} \frac{k}{n}\right) = O(n^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The definition of τ_k and (3.3) yield and that $\min\{\log \tau_k, \log t_k\} \geq K_5 > 0$ for $n^{\frac{1}{q}} < k \leq n^\alpha$. Therefore, using the mean value theorem, we obtain $(\xi_k \in [\psi_1(t_k), \psi_1(\tau_k)])$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]+1}^{[n^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]} \left| \int_{\tau_k}^{t_k} \frac{2 dt}{t^2 - 1} \right| &= \sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]+1}^{[n^\alpha]} \left| \int_{\psi_1(\tau_k)}^{\psi_1(t_k)} \frac{2\varphi_1'(s)}{\varphi_1^2(s) - 1} ds \right| \\
 &= \sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]+1}^{[n^\alpha]} \frac{2\varphi_1'(\xi_k)}{\varphi_1^2(\xi_k) - 1} |\psi_1(t_k) - \psi_1(\tau_k)| \\
 (3.9) \quad &= O\left(\sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]+1}^{[n^\alpha]} \frac{|\log^p t_k - \log^p \tau_k|}{\xi_k^{\frac{1}{q}} \varphi_1(\xi_k)}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]}^{[n^\alpha]} \frac{|\log \frac{t_k}{\tau_k}| \log^{p-1} \tau_k}{\psi_1(\tau_k)^{\frac{1}{q}} \varphi_1(\xi_k)}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\sum_{k=[n^{\frac{1}{q}}]}^{[n^\alpha]} \exp\left\{-\frac{(1+o(1))k}{p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right\} \frac{k^2}{n^{1+1/q}}\right) \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \exp\left\{-K_6 \frac{k}{n^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right\}\right) = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \frac{1}{1 - \exp\{-K_6 n^{-\frac{1}{q}}\}}\right) \\
 &= O(n^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner, $\sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} |\int_{\tau_k}^{t-k}|$ can be estimated. Hence, (3.8) and (3.9) imply $\Sigma_2 = O(n^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for $q > 1$.

Now, suppose that $0 < p \leq 1$, i.e. $q < 0$ or $q = \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant K_7 such that τ_k, t_k, t_{-k} are greater than K_7 for all k . Thus, proceeding in a similar fashion as in (3.9) we can see that $(\zeta_k \in [\psi_1(\tau_k), \psi_1(t_k)])$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} \left| \int_{\tau_k}^{t_k} \frac{2dx}{x^2-1} \right| &= O \left(\sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} \frac{\varphi_1'(\zeta_k)}{\varphi_1^2(\zeta_k)} |\psi_1(t_k) - \psi_1(\tau_k)| \right) \\
 &= O \left(\sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} \frac{|\log^p t_k - \log^p \tau_k|}{\zeta_k^{1/q} \varphi_1(\zeta_k)} \right) \\
 &= O \left(\sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} \exp \left\{ -(1+o(1)) \frac{2k-1}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\} \frac{k^2}{n^{1+1/q}} \right) \\
 (3.10) \quad &= O \left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{3k}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\} \right) \\
 &= O \left(\frac{n^{-\frac{1}{p}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{3}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\}}{1 - \exp \left\{ -K_7(\sigma, p) n^{-\frac{1}{q}} \right\}} \right) \\
 &= o \left(\exp \left\{ -\frac{3}{4\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\} \right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that due to (3.3) estimate (3.10) also holds for $\sum_{k=1}^{[n^\alpha]} |\int_{\tau_k}^{t_{-k}}|$, so $\sum_2 = o(\exp\{-\frac{3n^{-\frac{1}{q}}}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}}}\})$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, using (3.6)–(3.10) one can obtain from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(r_n, \log |f|) - A(r_n, \log |f|) &= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \log \left| \frac{1 + \tau_k^{-1}}{1 - \tau_k^{-1}} \right| + \gamma(n) \\
 (3.11) \quad &= \log \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left| \frac{h^{2k-1} + 1}{h^{2k-1} - 1} \right| + \gamma(n),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$h = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}} \right\}, \quad \gamma(n) = \begin{cases} O(n^{\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}}), & q > 1, \\ o\left(\exp\left\{-\frac{3}{4p\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right\}\right), & q < 0 \text{ or } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Finally, it remains to compute the infinite product in (3.11). If $p = 1$ ($q = \infty$) we have [4] $\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{h^{2k-1}-1}{h^{2k-1}+1} \right)^2 = C_2(\sigma^*)$, ($h = \exp\{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^*}\}$, $\sigma = 2\sigma^*$). It is known ([11], Chap. IV) that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{h^{2k-1}-1}{h^{2k-1}+1} \right)^2 = \frac{\theta_0(0, h)}{\theta_3(0, h)},$$

where θ_0, θ_3 are theta-functions. If we associate with h the value $\tilde{k}$ of the modulus of a corresponding elliptic integral (see [11], p. 95), then $(\theta_j(0|\tau) \equiv \theta_j(0, h))$.

$$(3.12) \quad \tilde{k}^2 = \frac{\theta_2^4(0|\tau)}{\theta_3^4(0|\tau)} = 1 - \frac{\theta_0^4(0|\tau)}{\theta_3^4(0|\tau)} = \left\{ \frac{2h^{\frac{1}{4}} + 2h^{\frac{9}{4}} + \dots}{1 + 2h + 2h^4 + \dots} \right\}^4,$$

where $h = e^{\pi i \tau}$. It follows from the latter formula (see also [11], p. 118) that $\tilde{k}^2 \sim 16h$ as $h \downarrow 0$, which is equivalent to

$$(3.13) \quad \tilde{k}'^2 \sim 16h', \quad h' \downarrow 0,$$

where $\tilde{k}'$ is a complementary modulus ($\tilde{k}'^2 + \tilde{k}^2 = 1$, $h' = e^{\pi i \tau'}$, $\tau' = -\frac{1}{\tau}$). The connections between h , h' and $\tilde{k}$, $\tilde{k}'$ yield that $\tilde{k}' \rightarrow 1$ as $h \uparrow 1$. Indeed, $h' = \exp\left\{-\frac{i\pi}{\tau}\right\} = \exp\left\{\frac{\pi^2}{4 \log h}\right\}$, so $k' \rightarrow 0$ as $h \uparrow 1$. Hence, using (3.12) and (3.13) we obtain

$$\frac{\theta_0(0, h)}{\theta_3(0, h)} = \sqrt[4]{1 - \tilde{k}^2} \sim \sqrt[4]{16h'} = 2 \exp\left\{\frac{\pi^2}{4 \log h}\right\}, \quad h \uparrow 1.$$

Then if $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \prod_{k=1}^{+\infty} \left(\frac{h^{2k-1} + 1}{h^{2k-1} - 1} \right)^2 &= -\log \left((2 + o(1)) \exp\left\{\frac{\pi^2}{4 \log h}\right\} \right) \\ &= -\log 2 + o(1) - \frac{\pi^2}{4 \log h} = -\log 2 + o(1) + \frac{\pi^2}{2} p \sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

But $p \sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} n^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sigma p \left(\frac{n}{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \sim \sigma p \log^{p-1} r_n = \psi_2(r_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, according to (3.11) for $q > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(r_n, \log |f|) - A(r_n, \log |f|) &= \left(\frac{\pi^2}{2} + o(1) \right) \psi_2(r_n), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty, \\ B(r, \log |f|) &\sim N(r, 0, f) \sim \frac{\sigma}{p+1} \log^{p+1} r, \quad r \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < p < 1$ ($q < 0$), then $\psi_2(r_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $h = \exp\left\{-\frac{1+o(1)}{2\psi_2(r_n)}\right\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. In this case

$$\gamma(n) = o\left(\exp\left\{\frac{-3}{4\sigma^{\frac{1}{p}} p n^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right\}\right) = o\left(\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\psi_2(r_n)}\right\}\right), \quad n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Consequently, taking into account (3.11) as in the previous case,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(r_n, |f|)}{B(r_n, |f|)} &= \sqrt[4]{1 - \tilde{k}^2} e^{-\gamma(n)} = \sqrt[4]{1 - 16h(1 + o(1))} (1 - \gamma(n)(1 + o(1))) \\ &= (1 - 4h(1 + o(1)))(1 - \gamma(n)(1 + o(1))) \\ &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{1 + o(1)}{2\psi_2(r_n)}\right\}, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. $\square$

Remark 2. In [9] (Chapter 6) constructing an example which showed sharpness of inequality (2.15) the author approximated a subharmonic function by the logarithm of modulus of an entire function. But sharpness of (2.15) was shown only under the restriction $B(r, \log |f|)/\log^{5/2} r \rightarrow +\infty$.

REFERENCES

1. Hayman W.K. *Subharmonic functions, Vol 2*. London Math. Soc. Monographs, 20, Academic Press, 1989, pp. i–xxvi and 285–875. MR **91f**:31001
2. Barry P.D. *The minimum modulus of small integral and subharmonic functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **12** (1962), no. 47, 445–495. MR **25**:3172

3. Fenton P.C. *The infimum of small subharmonic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), no. 1, 43–47. MR **80j**:31001
4. Goldberg A. A. *On the minimum modulus of a meromorphic function of slow growth*, Mat. Zametki. **25** (1979), no. 6, 835–844. (in Russian) Engl. trans. in Math. Notes (1979), 432–437. MR **81c**:30058
5. Fenton P.C. *The minimum of small entire functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1981), no. 4, 557–561. MR **82c**:30040
6. Fenton P.C. *The minimum modulus of certain small entire functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **271** (1982), no. 1, 183–195. MR **83h**:30022
7. Barry P.D. *On integral functions which grow little more rapidly than do polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. **82A** (1982) no. 1, 55–95. MR **84i**:30035
8. Barry P.D. *Some theorems related to the $\cos \pi \rho$ -theorem*, Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) **21** (1970), no. 2, 334–360. MR **44**:456
9. Chyzhykov I. E. *Asymptotic properties of meromorphic in the half-plane or in the unit disk functions*, Thesis, Lviv, 1998, 156 pp. (in Ukrainian)
10. Hayman W.K., Kennedy P.B. *Subharmonic functions, Vol 1*, London Math. Soc. Monographs, 9, Academic Press, 1976. MR **57**:665
11. Akhiezer N. I. *Elements of elliptic functions theory*, GITTL, Moscow-Leningrad, 1948, 292 pp. (in Russian)

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS AND MATHEMATICS, LVIV NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

E-mail address: `matstud@franko.lviv.ua`