ON ONE PROBLEM OF UNIQUENESS OF MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS CONCERNING SMALL FUNCTIONS

HONG-XUN YI

(Communicated by Juha M. Heinonen)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we show that if two non-constant meromorphic functions f and g satisfy $\overline{E}(a_j, k, f) = \overline{E}(a_j, k, g)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, where a_j are five distinct small functions with respect to f and g , and k is a positive integer or ∞ with $k \geq 14$, then $f \equiv g$. As a special case this also answers the long-standing problem on uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning small functions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, by meromorphic function we shall always mean a meromorphic function in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We adopt the standard notations in the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [1]. For any non-constant meromorphic function $f(z)$, we denote by $S(r, f)$ any quantity satisfying

$$S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$$

for $r \rightarrow \infty$ except possibly a set of r of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function $a(z)$ is called a small function with respect to $f(z)$ if $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$. Let $S(f)$ be the set of meromorphic functions in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ which are small functions with respect to f . Note that $\mathbb{C} \in S(f)$ and $S(f)$ is a field (see [2]).

If $f(z)$ is a non-constant meromorphic function, $a(z) \in S(f) \cup \{\infty\}$, and k is a positive integer or ∞ , we denote by $\overline{E}(a, k, f)$ the set of distinct zeros of $f(z) - a(z)$ with multiplicities $\leq k$, where $f(z) - \infty$ means $1/f(z)$ (see [10, p.195]). In particular, we denote by $\overline{E}(a, \infty, f)$ the set of distinct zeros of $f(z) - a(z)$, and we denote it simply by $\overline{E}(a, f)$.

Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be non-constant meromorphic functions and let $a(z) \in \{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $\overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g)$ the counting function of common zeros of $f(z) - a(z) = 0$ and $g(z) - a(z) = 0$ (ignoring multiplicities), each point counted only once. Let

$$(1.1) \quad \overline{N}_{12}(r, a, f, g) := \overline{N}(r, a, f) + \overline{N}(r, a, g) - 2\overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g).$$

Received by the editors September 22, 2000 and, in revised form, December 1, 2000.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30D35; Secondary 30D30.

Key words and phrases. Meromorphic function, small function, uniqueness theorem.

This work was supported by the NSFC (NO. 19871050) and the RFDP (No. 98042209).

Then $\overline{N}_{12}(r, a, f, g)$ denotes the counting function of different solutions to $f(z) - a(z) = 0$ and $g(z) - a(z) = 0$ (see [7, p.107]). If

$$\overline{N}(r, a, f) - \overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{N}(r, a, g) - \overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g) = 0,$$

we say $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a(z)$ **IM**. If

$$\overline{N}(r, a, f) - \overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g) = S(r, f) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{N}(r, a, g) - \overline{N}_0(r, a, f, g) = S(r, g),$$

we say $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a(z)$ “**IM**” (see [10, p.254]). It is obvious that if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a(z)$ **IM**, then $\overline{E}(a, f) = \overline{E}(a, g)$, and $\overline{N}_{12}(r, a, f, g) = 0$; if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ share $a(z)$ “**IM**”, then $\overline{N}_{12}(r, a, f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g)$.

In the 1920s, R. Nevanlinna established the following famous second fundamental theorem:

Theorem A ([7, p.70]). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_q$ be q (≥ 3) distinct elements in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then*

$$(q-2)T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}(r, a_j, f) + S(r, f).$$

In 1929, R. Nevanlinna proved the following well-known theorem on the uniqueness of meromorphic functions by making use of Theorem A:

Theorem B ([7, p.109], see also [1, p.48]). *If f and g are meromorphic functions sharing a_j **IM** for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$, where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ are five distinct elements in $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, then $f \equiv g$.*

In [7, p.111], R. Nevanlinna proved that Theorem B is sharp. It is natural to ask the following problem, which is the long-standing one:

Problem A (see [7, p.77 and p.109], see also [2]–[6], [8]–[11]). *Does Theorem B hold if $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ are five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$?*

In recent years, some partial results were obtained on Problem A (see [2]–[6], [8]–[11]). In this paper, we give a positive answer to Problem A. In fact, we prove more generally the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be non-constant meromorphic functions and let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) be five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. If*

$$(1.2) \quad \overline{E}(a_j, k, f) = \overline{E}(a_j, k, g) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 5),$$

where k is a positive integer or ∞ with $k \geq 14$, then $f \equiv g$.

By Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1. *Let f and g be non-constant meromorphic functions and let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) be five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. If f and g share a_j **IM** ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$), then $f \equiv g$.*

It is obvious that Corollary 1 answers the above Problem A in the affirmative.

2. SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 1 ([11], see also [2, Lemma 2] or [10, p.185]). *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ be five distinct elements in $S(f) \cup \{\infty\}$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad 2T(r, f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}(r, a_j, f) + S(r, f).$$

Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function, $a(z) \in S(f) \cup \{\infty\}$, and k be a positive integer. We denote by $\overline{N}_k(r, a, f)$ the counting function of distinct zeros of $f(z) - a(z)$ with multiplicities $\leq k$, by $\overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a, f)$ the counting function of distinct zeros of $f(z) - a(z)$ with multiplicities $\geq k+1$, each point in these counting functions counted only once (see [10, p.190]).

Lemma 2. *Let $f(z)$ be a non-constant meromorphic function and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ be five distinct elements in $S(f) \cup \{\infty\}$, and k a positive integer. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, f) \leq \frac{3}{k} T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Proof. By Lemma 1, we can obtain (2.1). Noting that for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$

$$k \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, f) + \overline{N}(r, a_j, f) \leq N(r, a_j, f) \leq T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

we have from (2.1)

$$k \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, f) + 2T(r, f) \leq 5T(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

From this we get (2.2).

Lemma 3. *Let h be a non-constant meromorphic function, and let $a \in S(h)$ and $a \neq 0$. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad m(r, \frac{a'h - ah'}{h - a}) = S(r, h),$$

$$(2.4) \quad m(r, \frac{a'h - ah'}{h(h - a)}) = S(r, h).$$

Proof. Noting

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{a'h - ah'}{h - a} = a' - \frac{a(h' - a')}{h - a},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{a'h - ah'}{h(h - a)} = \frac{h'}{h} - \frac{h' - a'}{h - a},$$

and the lemma of the logarithmic derivative, we obtain Lemma 3.

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 4. *Let f and g be non-constant meromorphic functions and let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ be five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $f \neq g$, then*

$$(2.7) \quad \overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

3. PROOF OF LEMMA 4

If $\overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g)$, (2.7) obviously holds. In the following we suppose

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) \neq S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Set

$$(3.2) \quad L(w) := \frac{(w - a_1)(a_3 - a_2)}{(w - a_2)(a_3 - a_1)}.$$

Let $F(z) := L(f(z))$, $G(z) := L(g(z))$, $b_j := L(a_j)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$). From (3.2) we have $b_1 = 0$, $b_2 = \infty$, $b_3 = 1$,

$$(3.3) \quad T(r, F) = T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad T(r, G) = T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Since $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_5$ are five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$, from (3.2) we know that $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_5$ are five distinct elements in $\{S(F) \cap S(G)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Thus, $b_4, b_5 \neq 0, 1, \infty$ and $b_4 \neq b_5$. Noting $f \neq g$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad F \neq G.$$

From (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \overline{N}_0(r, b_5, F, G) \neq S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Set

$$(3.6) \quad H := \frac{F'(a'G - aG')(F - G)}{F(F - 1)G(G - a)} - \frac{G'(a'F - aF')(F - G)}{G(G - 1)F(F - a)},$$

where $a = b_4 (\neq 0, 1)$. Then we have from (3.6)

$$(3.7) \quad H = \frac{(F - G)Q}{F(F - 1)(F - a)G(G - 1)(G - a)},$$

where

$$(3.8) \quad Q = F'(a'G - aG')(G - 1)(F - a) - G'(a'F - aF')(F - 1)(G - a).$$

By a simple computation,

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Q &= a'FF'G^2 - a'FF'G - a(a - 1)FF'G' - aa'F'G^2 + aa'F'G \\ &\quad - a'F^2GG' + a'F'GG' + a(a - 1)F'GG' + aa'F^2G' - aa'FG'. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $H \equiv 0$. From (3.4) and (3.6) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{F'(a'G - aG')}{(F - 1)(G - a)} \equiv \frac{G'(a'F - aF')}{(G - 1)(F - a)}.$$

If a is a constant, noting $a \neq 1$, from (3.10) we get $F \equiv G$, which contradicts (3.4). Thus, a is not a constant. From (3.10) we have

$$\frac{F'(a'G - aG')}{G'(a'F - aF')} - 1 \equiv \frac{(F - 1)(G - a)}{(G - 1)(F - a)} - 1.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{a'[(F' - G')G - (F - G)G']}{G'(a'F - aF')} \equiv \frac{(1 - a)(F - G)}{(G - 1)(F - a)}.$$

From this we get

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{F' - G'}{F - G} \equiv \frac{(1 - a)G'(a'F - aF')}{a'G(G - 1)(F - a)} + \frac{G'}{G}.$$

By (3.5), we know that there is a point z_0 such that z_0 is a common zero of $F - b_5$ and $G - b_5$ that is not a zero or a pole of $a, a', b_5, b_5 - 1, b_5 - a$. It is obvious that z_0 is a pole of the left-hand side of (3.11), and not a pole of the right-hand side of (3.11), which is a contradiction. Thus,

$$(3.12) \quad H \not\equiv 0.$$

Suppose that z_n is a common zero of $F - b_5$ and $G - b_5$ that is not a zero or pole of $a, b_5, b_5 - 1, b_5 - a$. It is obvious that z_n is a zero of $F - G$, and z_n is not a pole of

$$\frac{Q}{F(F - 1)(F - a)G(G - 1)(G - a)},$$

where Q is given by (3.8). By (3.7), we know that z_n is a zero of H . Again by (3.12) we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{N}_0(r, b_5, F, G) &\leq N(r, 0, H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq m(r, H) + N(r, H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.6) we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} H &= \frac{F'}{F - 1} \cdot \frac{a'G - aG'}{G(G - a)} - \left(\frac{F'}{F - 1} - \frac{F'}{F} \right) \cdot \frac{a'G - aG'}{G - a} \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{G'}{G - 1} - \frac{G'}{G} \right) \cdot \frac{a'F - aF'}{F - a} + \frac{G'}{G - 1} \cdot \frac{a'F - aF'}{F(F - a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 3 and the lemma of the logarithmic derivative we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad m(r, H) = S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Substituting (3.15) into (3.13) we have

$$(3.16) \quad \overline{N}_0(r, b_5, F, G) \leq N(r, H) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Next we estimate on $N(r, H)$.

By (3.6), we know that the poles of H only possibly occur from the zeros of $F, G, F - 1, G - 1, F - a$ and $G - a$, the poles of F, G and a . Let S_0 be the set of all zeros, 1-points and poles of a , and let for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$A_j := \{z | F(z) - b_j(z) = 0\} \setminus S_0, \quad B_j := \{z | G(z) - b_j(z) = 0\} \setminus S_0,$$

where $b_1 = 0, b_2 = \infty, b_3 = 1$ and $b_4 = a$. Thus, the poles of H occur possibly only from the set

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq 4} A_p \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q \bigcup S_0.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq 4} \{A_p \cap B_p\}, \\ S_2 &:= \left\{ \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq 4} A_p \right\} \setminus \left\{ \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q \right\}, \\ S_3 &:= \left\{ \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q \right\} \setminus \left\{ \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq 4} A_p \right\}, \\ S_4 &:= \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq 4 \\ 1 \leq q \leq 4 \\ p \neq q}} \{A_p \cap B_q\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that S_1 is a set of the common zeros of $F - b_j$ and $G - b_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$); S_2 is a set of the zeros of $F - b_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) that is not the zeros of $G - b_k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$); S_3 is a set of the zeros of $G - b_j$ ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) that is not the zeros of $F - b_k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, 4$); S_4 is a set of the zeros of $F - b_j$ that is the zeros of $G - b_k$, where $1 \leq j, k \leq 4$ and $j \neq k$. From this we have

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 4} S_j = \bigcup_{1 \leq p \leq 4} A_p \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q.$$

Thus, the poles of H occur possibly only from the set

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 4} S_j \bigcup S_0.$$

Since b_1, b_2, b_3 and b_4 are four distinct elements in $\{S(F) \cap S(G)\} \cup \{\infty\}$, the contribution of S_0 to $N(r, H)$ is at most $S(r, F) + S(r, G)$. We next estimate the contribution of $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 4} S_j$ to $N(r, H)$. We discuss four cases:

Case 1. The contribution of S_1 to $N(r, H)$.

We distinguish four subcases:

Subcase 1.1. Suppose that $z_{11} \in A_1 \cap B_1$, and assume that z_{11} is a zero of F of order p_1 and G of order q_1 . Then from (3.9), we know that z_{11} is a zero of Q of order at least $p_1 + q_1 - 1$. Noting that z_{11} is a zero of $F - G$, from (3.7) we deduce that z_{11} is not a pole of H .

Subcase 1.2. Suppose that $z_{12} \in A_2 \cap B_2$, and assume that z_{12} is a pole of F of order p_2 and G of order q_2 . From (3.9), we know that z_{12} is a pole of Q of order at most $2p_2 + 2q_2 + 1$. Noting that z_{12} is a pole of $F - G$ of order at most $\max\{p_2, q_2\}$, from (3.7), we have that z_{12} is not a pole of H .

Subcase 1.3. Suppose that $z_{13} \in A_3 \cap B_3$. Noting that z_{13} is a zero of $F - G$, a simple pole of $\frac{F'}{F-1}$ and $\frac{G'}{G-1}$, from (3.6) we have that z_{13} is not a pole of H .

Subcase 1.4. Suppose that $z_{14} \in A_4 \cap B_4$. From (2.6), we know that z_{14} is a simple pole of $\frac{a'F - aF'}{F(F-a)}$ and $\frac{a'G - aG'}{G(G-a)}$. Noting that z_{14} is a zero of $F - G$, from (3.6) we see that z_{14} is not a pole of H .

From the above, it follows that the points in S_1 are not poles of H . Thus, the contribution of S_1 to $N(r, H)$ is 0.

Case 2. The contribution of S_2 to $N(r, H)$.

We distinguish four subcases:

Subcase 2.1. Suppose that $z_{21} \in A_1$ and $z_{21} \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q$. Then z_{21} is a zero of F , not a zero of G , $1/G$, $G - 1$ and $G - a$. From (3.6), we have that z_{21} is a pole of H of order at most 1.

Subcase 2.2. Suppose that $z_{22} \in A_2$ and $z_{22} \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q$. Then z_{22} is a pole of F , not a zero of G , $1/G$, $G - 1$ and $G - a$. From (3.6), we have that z_{22} is a pole of H of order at most 1.

Subcase 2.3. Suppose that $z_{23} \in A_3$ and $z_{23} \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q$. Then z_{23} is a zero of $F - 1$, not a zero of G , $1/G$, $G - 1$ and $G - a$. From (3.6), we have that z_{23} is a pole of H of order at most 1.

Subcase 2.4. Suppose that $z_{24} \in A_4$ and $z_{24} \notin \bigcup_{1 \leq q \leq 4} B_q$. Then z_{24} is a zero of $F - a$, not a zero of G , $1/G$, $G - 1$ and $G - a$. From (2.6) and (3.6), we have that z_{24} is a pole of H of order at most 1.

From the above, we know that the points in S_2 are poles of H of order at most 1.

Case 3. The contribution of S_3 to $N(r, H)$.

As with Case 2, we have that the points in S_3 are poles of H of order at most 1.

Case 4. The contribution of S_4 to $N(r, H)$.

Suppose that $z_4 \in S_4$. Then $z_4 \in A_p$ and $z_4 \in B_q$, where $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$ and $p \neq q$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $z_4 \in A_1$ and $z_4 \in B_2$. Then, z_4 is a zero of F , and a pole of G . From (2.6) and (3.6), we have that z_4 is a pole of H of order at most 2. Thus, the points in S_4 are poles of H of order at most 2.

Noting that each point of S_2 and S_3 is counted once, each point of S_4 is counted twice in

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, b_j, F, G).$$

From the above and (1.1) we obtain that the contribution of $\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq 4} S_j$ to $N(r, H)$ is at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, b_j, F, G).$$

Thus,

$$(3.17) \quad N(r, H) \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, b_j, F, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Substituting (3.17) into (3.16) we have

$$\overline{N}_0(r, b_5, F, G) \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, b_j, F, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G),$$

i.e.,

$$\overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

and Lemma 4 is proved.

4. THEOREM 2 AND ITS PROOF

Using Lemma 4, we can prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be non-constant meromorphic functions and let a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$) be five distinct elements in $\{S(f) \cap S(g)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. If $f \neq g$, then*

$$(4.1) \quad 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) \leq 9 \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 we have

$$(4.2) \quad \overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^4 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Noting

$$\overline{N}(r, a_5, f) + \overline{N}(r, a_5, g) = 2\overline{N}_0(r, a_5, f, g) + \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_5, f, g),$$

from this and (4.2) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \overline{N}(r, a_5, f) + \overline{N}(r, a_5, g) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) - \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_5, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

In the same manner as above, we have for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$(4.4) \quad \overline{N}(r, a_i, f) + \overline{N}(r, a_i, g) \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) - \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_i, f, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$(4.5) \quad 2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) \leq \sum_{i=1}^5 \overline{N}(r, a_i, f) + \sum_{i=1}^5 \overline{N}(r, a_i, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Substituting (4.3) and (4.4) into (4.5) we obtain (4.1), and Theorem 2 is thus proved. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Suppose that $f \neq g$. By Theorem 2, we can obtain (4.1).

If $k = \infty$, by hypothesis we have $\overline{E}(a_j, \infty, f) = \overline{E}(a_j, \infty, g)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$). Thus,

$$(5.1) \quad \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 5).$$

Substituting (5.1) into (4.1) we obtain

$$2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) = S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

This is a contradiction. Next, we assume that k is a positive integer.

By hypothesis we have

$$\overline{E}(a_j, k, f) = \overline{E}(a_j, k, g) \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, 5).$$

From this we obtain for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 5$

$$(5.2) \quad \overline{N}_{12}(r, a_j, f, g) \leq \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_5, f) + \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_5, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

Substituting (5.2) into (4.1) we obtain

(5.3)

$$2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) \leq 9 \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, f) + 9 \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g).$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, f) \leq \frac{3}{k} T(r, f) + S(r, f),$$

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^5 \overline{N}_{(k+1)}(r, a_j, g) \leq \frac{3}{k} T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Substituting (5.4) and (5.5) into (5.3) we obtain

$$2T(r, f) + 2T(r, g) \leq \frac{27}{k} T(r, f) + \frac{27}{k} T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

which contradicts the assumption $k \geq 14$. Thus, $f \equiv g$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author thanks the referee for valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

1. W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964. MR **29**:1337
2. K. Ishizaki and N. Toda, *Unicity theorems for meromorphic functions sharing four small functions*, Kodai Math. J. **21** (1998), 350-371. MR **99k**:30050
3. B. Q. Li, *Uniqueness of entire functions sharing four small functions*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 841-858. MR **98m**:32001
4. Y. H. Li, *Entire functions share four finite small functions IM*, Acta Math. Sinica **41** (1998), 249-260. MR **99j**:30034
5. Y. H. Li, *Meromorphic functions which share four or five small functions*, J. Math. Res. Exp. **20** (2000), 94-96.
6. Y. H. Li and J. Y. Qiao, *The uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning small functions*, Science in China Ser. (A) **43** (2000), 581-590. MR **2001f**:30037
7. R. Nevanlinna, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929; reprint, Chelsea, 1974. MR **54**:5468
8. M. Shirosaki, *An extension of unicity theorem for meromorphic functions*, Tohoku Math. J. **45** (1993), 491-497. MR **94k**:30076
9. N. Toda, *Some generalizations of the unicity theorem of Nevanlinna*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **69** (1993), 61-65. MR **94d**:3D056
10. H. X. Yi and C. C. Yang, *Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions*, Pure and Applied Math. Monographs No. 32, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
11. Q. D. Zhang, *A uniqueness theorem for meromorphic functions with respect to slowly growing functions*, Acta Math. Sinica **36** (1993), 826-833. MR **95f**:30047

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250100, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: hxyi@sdu.edu.cn