AVERAGE VALUES OF SYMMETRIC SQUARE L -FUNCTIONS AT THE EDGE OF THE CRITICAL STRIP

J. WU

(Communicated by Dennis A. Hejhal)

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$ be the set of all normalized newforms of weight 2 and level N , and let $L(\text{sym}^2 f, 1)$ be the symmetric square L -function associated to $f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$. If N is a prime, then there is a positive constant B such that

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O(N^{27/28} (\log N)^B).$$

This improves a recent result of Akbary, which requires $45/46$ in place of $27/28$.

§1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S_2(N)$ be the set of all cusp forms of weight 2 for the full modular group $\Gamma_0(N)$ with trivial character. This is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space with respect to the Petersson inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dx dy,$$

where $\mathbb{H}$ is the upper half-plane. Let $\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$ be the set of all normalized newforms in $S_2(N)$. Every $f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$ has the Fourier expansion of type

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{1/2} e(nz),$$

where $e(z) := e^{2\pi iz}$, $\lambda_f(1) = 1$ and $\lambda_f(n) \in \mathbb{R}$.

The symmetric square L -function associated to $f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad L(s, \text{sym}^2 f) := \zeta_N(2s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_f(n^2)}{n^s} \quad (\Re s > 1),$$

where

$$\zeta_N(s) := \prod_{p \nmid N} (1 - 1/p^s)^{-1}.$$

Define

$$L_{\infty}(s, \text{sym}^2 f) := \left(\frac{N}{\pi^{3/2}} \right)^s \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{s+2}{2}\right).$$

Received by the editors November 12, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11F67.

Then $\Lambda(s, \text{sym}^2 f) := L_\infty(s, \text{sym}^2 f)L(s, \text{sym}^2 f)$ is an entire function and satisfies the functional equation

$$(2) \quad \Lambda(s, \text{sym}^2 f) = \Lambda(1-s, \text{sym}^2 f).$$

Similar to the class number formula of Dirichlet, the value of $L(s, \text{sym}^2 f)$ at the edge of the critical strip (in this case $s = 1$) is of interest. When N is square free, one can show that (cf. [3], Lemma 2.5)

$$(3) \quad L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{8\pi^3 \langle f, f \rangle}{N}.$$

Therefore to study the average values of the Petersson inner product when f varies in $\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)$, it is enough to find an asymptotic formula for the average values of $L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)$.

The mean values of $L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)$ were first investigated by R. Murty [6]. He proved that if we assume that $L(\frac{1}{2} + i\tau, \text{sym}^2 f) \ll (N(|\tau| + 1))^\theta$ for some $\theta > 0$, then for prime N we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O(N^{7/10+4\theta/5} \mathcal{L}^3),$$

where here and in the sequel we systematically write $\mathcal{L} := \log N$. According to ([5], page 336), the value $\theta = 3/4$ is actually permissible. Thus the estimate (4) is only a conditional asymptotic formula. Very recently Akbary [1] has obtained an unconditional result: *If N is a prime, there is a positive constant B such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O(N^{45/46} \mathcal{L}^B).$$

In order to prove this asymptotic formula, he has combined the method of Duke [2] and that of Kowalski and Michel [4].

In this paper, we shall propose a better result.

Theorem. *Let N be a prime. Then there is a positive constant B such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O(N^{27/28} \mathcal{L}^B).$$

For comparison, we have $\frac{45}{46} \approx 0.978$ and $\frac{27}{28} \approx 0.964$. Our improvement comes from two observations. First we find that only applying the method of [4] is more effective than the combined method of [2] and [4]. Secondly we give a straightforward improvement of the method of [4], which allows us to make use of a wider range of parameters (see Lemma 2 below). Without the second remark, we only have 29/30 in place of 27/28.

§2. SOME LEMMAS

As in [4], we define

$$(5) \quad \omega_f(x, y) := \sum_{x < d^2 m \leq y} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m},$$

where

$$\varepsilon_N(d) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (d, N) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The next lemma is essentially Lemma 6 of [4], but the second term here is sharpened. This improvement does not need to add any new idea. In fact their proof can yield such a result. For completeness, we reproduce their proof here with some minor modifications.

Lemma 1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $x, y, z \geq 2$ with $y > x$. Then there exist a real number $M \in [x^r z^{-1}, y^r z]$ and real numbers $c(m)$ such that*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \ll \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m)}{m} \right|^2 + Nz^{-1} \right) \{\log(Nyz)\}^{B_1}$$

and

$$|c(m)| \ll \{\tau(m) \log z\}^{B_2},$$

where the $B_i = B_i(r)$ are some positive constants and $\tau(m)$ is the usual divisor function.

Proof. By Lemmas 4 and 5 of [4], we have

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{\substack{x^r < mn \leq y^r \\ n \leq z}} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m, n)}{mn} + O(z^{-1/2} \{\log(Nyz)\}^{B_3}),$$

where $c(m, n)$ is defined as in Lemma 4 of [4] and $B_3 = B_3(r) \geq 1$ is a constant. Introducing

$$c(m) := \sum_{x^r m^{-1} < n \leq z} \frac{c(m, n)}{n},$$

the preceding estimate can be written as

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r = \sum_{x^r z^{-1} < m \leq y^r z} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m)}{m} + O(z^{-1/2} \{\log(Nyz)\}^{B_3}).$$

After a classic dyadic splitting, we find for some $M \in [x^r z^{-1}, y^r z]$,

$$\omega_f(x, y)^r \ll \left(\left| \sum_{m \sim M} \lambda_f(m^2) \frac{c(m)}{m} \right| + z^{-1/2} \right) \{\log(Nyz)\}^{B_3}.$$

By using the inequality $(a+b)^2 \leq 2(a^2+b^2)$ ($a, b \in \mathbb{R}$) and the fact that $|\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)| \asymp N$, we deduce the inequality (6) with $B_1 = 2B_3$.

It remains to prove the upper bound for $c(m)$. Since $|c(m, n)| \leq \tau(mn)^{B_4}$ for some positive constant $B_4 = B_4(r)$ ([4], Lemma 4), we have

$$|c(m)| \leq \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\tau(mn)^{B_4}}{n} \leq \tau(m)^{B_4} \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\tau(n)^{B_4}}{n} \ll \{\tau(m) \log z\}^{B_2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

With the help of Lemma 1, we can improve Lemma 3 of [4]. The next lemma enlarges the admissible range of parameters in Lemma 3 of [4]. This is one of two keys in the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 2. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer, $x^r \geq N^{10}$ and $y > x$ with $\log y \ll \mathcal{L}$. There exists a positive constant $B_5 = B_5(r)$ such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \ll \mathcal{L}^{B_5}.$$

Proof. We take $z = N$ in Lemma 1 (instead of $z = N^2$ as in [4]). Then the assumption $x^r \geq N^{10}$ implies that $M \geq N^9$, and we may appeal to the mean value estimate of Corollary 1 of [4] (with $a(m) = c(m)/m\mathcal{L}^{B_2}$) to bound the double sums on the right-hand side of (6). This completes the proof of Lemma 2. $\square$

The following lemma is an improved version of Proposition 1 of [1], which is feasible for greater range of parameters.

Lemma 3. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $x^r \geq N^{10}$. There exists a positive constant $B_6 = B_6(r)$ such that*

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(n) = \frac{N}{12\sqrt{n}} \delta_{n=\square} + O(N^{1-1/2r} \tau(n) \mathcal{L}^{B_6} + N^{-1/2} x \sqrt{n} \tau(n)),$$

where $\delta_{n=\square} = 1$ if n is a square and $\delta_{n=\square} = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x \leq N^{3/2}$ since $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$ (Deligne's bound) and $|\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)| \asymp N$.

Let $y \in (x, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ with $\log y \ll \mathcal{L}$. By the Perron formula, we can write

$$\sum_{d^2 m < y} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1)} L(\text{sym}^2 f, s+1) \frac{y^s}{s} ds,$$

where $(\sigma) := \{\sigma + i\tau : -\infty < \tau < \infty\}$. Upon moving the line of integration from (1) to (-2) and using the functional equation (2), the theorem of residues allows us to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{d^2 m < y} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m} \\ &= L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) + \frac{1}{2\pi i A} \int_{(-2)} L(-s, \text{sym}^2 f) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-s}{2})^2 \Gamma(\frac{2-s}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{2+s}{2})^2 \Gamma(\frac{s+3}{2})} \left(\frac{y}{A^2}\right)^s \frac{ds}{s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = N/\pi^{3/2}$. Since $L(\text{sym}^2 f, s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re s > 1$, it is easy to see that the last integral is $\ll N^3 y^{-2}$. Hence we obtain

$$L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \sum_{d^2 m < y} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m} + O(N^3 y^{-2}).$$

As in [4], we write

$$(7) \quad L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) = \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m} + \omega_f(x, y) + O(N^3 y^{-2}),$$

where $\omega_f(x, y)$ is defined by (5).

In view of (3), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(n) &= \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)}{L(1, \text{sym}^2 f)} \lambda_f(n) \\ &= \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{4\pi \langle f, f \rangle} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f).\end{aligned}$$

By using (7), we deduce

$$(8) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(n) = M + R_1 + O(R_2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M &:= \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{4\pi \langle f, f \rangle} \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d) \lambda_f(m^2)}{d^2 m}, \\ R_1 &:= \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{\lambda_f(n)}{4\pi \langle f, f \rangle} \omega_f(x, y), \\ R_2 &:= \frac{N^4}{y^2} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{|\lambda_f(n)|}{\langle f, f \rangle}.\end{aligned}$$

In order to evaluate M , we recall the following well-known estimate ([6], Proposition 1):

$$(9) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{\lambda_f(m) \lambda_f(n)}{4\pi \langle f, f \rangle} = \delta_{m,n} + O(N^{-3/2} (m, n)^{1/2} (mn)^{1/2}),$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the diagonal Kronecker symbol. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}M &= \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \frac{\lambda_f(m^2) \lambda_f(n)}{4\pi \langle f, f \rangle} \\ &= \frac{N}{2\pi^2} \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \delta_{m^2, n} + O(R_3),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}R_3 &= \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{(m^2, n)^{1/2}}{d^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\ell|n} \ell^{1/2} \sum_{m \leq x, \ell|m^2} \sum_{d^2 \leq x/m} \frac{1}{d^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Now ℓ can be written uniquely as $\ell = \ell_1 \ell_2^2$ with ℓ_1 square free. Then we have $\ell \mid m^2$ if and only if $\ell_1 \ell_2 \mid m$. Therefore we have $m = \ell_1 \ell_2 m'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} R_3 &\ll \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\ell \mid n} \ell^{1/2} \sum_{m \leq x, \ell \mid m^2} 1 \\ &\ll \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\ell \mid n} \ell^{1/2} \sum_{m' \leq x/\ell_1 \ell_2} 1 \\ &\ll x \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{1/2} \sum_{\ell \mid n} \frac{\ell^{1/2}}{\ell_1 \ell_2} \\ &\ll N^{-1/2} x \sqrt{n} \tau(n) \end{aligned}$$

since $(\ell_1 \ell_2)^2 \geq \ell_1 \ell_2^2 = \ell$.

If $n = k^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \delta_{m^2, k^2} &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d \leq (x/k)^{1/2}} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \left(\zeta_N(2) - \sum_{d > (x/k)^{1/2}} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6\sqrt{n}} + O(x^{-1/2} n^{-1/4} + N^{-2} n^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can write, for any positive integer n ,

$$\sum_{d^2 m \leq x} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \delta_{m^2, n} = \left\{ \frac{\pi^2}{6\sqrt{n}} + O(x^{-1/2} n^{-1/4} + N^{-2} n^{-1/2}) \right\} \delta_{n=\square}.$$

Combining these estimates, we find that

$$(10) \quad M = \frac{N}{12\sqrt{n}} \delta_{n=\square} + O(N^{-1/2} x \sqrt{n} \tau(n) + N x^{-1/2} n^{-1/4} \delta_{n=\square} + n^{-1/2} \delta_{n=\square}).$$

By using Deligne's inequality $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$ and (9) with $m = n = 1$, we have

$$(11) \quad R_2 \ll N^4 y^{-2} \tau(n).$$

Let $r' > 1$ such that $1/r' + 1/2r = 1$. By using the inequality $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$, the estimate $\langle f, f \rangle \gg N/\mathcal{L}$ (see [2], Proposition 4), the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2, we deduce that there exists a positive constant $B_6 = B_6(r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad R_1 &\ll \tau(n) \mathcal{L} |\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)|^{1/r'} \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \omega_f(x, y)^{2r} \right)^{1/2r} \\ &\ll N^{1-1/2r} \tau(n) \mathcal{L}^{B_6}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (10), (11) and (12) into (8) and taking $y = N^2 + \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(n) = \frac{N}{12\sqrt{n}} \delta_{n=\square} + O(N^{1-1/2r} \tau(n) \mathcal{L}^{B_6} + N^{-1/2} x n^{1/2} \tau(n)),$$

where we have used the fact that $(N x^{-1/2} n^{-1/4} + n^{-1/2}) \delta_{n=\square}$ can be absorbed by $N^{1-1/2r} \tau(n)$ (since $x^r \geq N^{10}$). This completes the proof of Lemma 3. $\square$

§3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Now we are ready to prove our Theorem.

We start with the formula (7) instead of representing $L(\text{sym}^2 f, 1)$ by a sum of two absolutely convergent series as done in [1] (cf. [1], Lemma 4).

Let $r_1 \geq 1$ be an integer and $x_1^{r_1} \geq N^{10}$. Define $r'_1 > 1$ by $1/r'_1 + 1/2r_1 = 1$. By the Hölder inequality, Lemma 2 and the fact that $|\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)| \asymp N$, there exists a positive constant $B_7 = B_7(r_1)$ such that, for $y_1 > x_1$ with $\log y_1 \ll \mathcal{L}$,

$$\left| \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \omega_f(x_1, y_1) \right| \leq |\mathcal{B}_2^*(N)|^{1/r'_1} \left(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \omega_f(x_1, y_1)^{2r_1} \right)^{1/2r_1} \\ \ll N^{1-1/2r_1} \mathcal{L}^{B_7}.$$

Thus we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(\text{sym}^2 f, 1) \\ = \sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(m^2) + O(N^{1-1/2r_1} \mathcal{L}^{B_7} + N^4 y_1^{-2}).$$

It remains to evaluate the last double sum. According to Lemma 3, we have

$$\sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(m^2) = \frac{N}{12} \sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m^2} + R_4,$$

where

$$R_4 \ll N^{1-1/2r} \mathcal{L}^{B_6} \sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\tau(m^2)}{d^2 m} + N^{-1/2} x \sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\tau(m^2)}{d^2} \\ \ll (N^{1-1/2r} + N^{-1/2} x x_1) \mathcal{L}^{B_8},$$

$x^r \geq N^{10}$ and $B_8 = B_8(r)$ is a positive constant.

It is apparent that

$$\sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m^2} = \sum_{m < x_1} \frac{1}{m^2} \{ \zeta_N(2) + O((x_1/m)^{-1/2}) \} \\ = \zeta_N(2) \sum_{m < x_1} \frac{1}{m^2} + O(x_1^{-1/2}) \\ = \frac{\pi^4}{36} + O(x_1^{-1/2} + N^{-2}).$$

Combining these estimates yields

$$(14) \quad \sum_{d^2 m < x_1} \frac{\varepsilon_N(d)}{d^2 m} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} \lambda_f(m^2) \\ = \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O((N^{1-1/2r} + N^{-1/2} x x_1 + N x_1^{-1/2}) \mathcal{L}^{B_8}).$$

Inserting (14) into (13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_2^*(N)} L(1, \text{sym}^2 f) \\ &= \frac{\pi^4}{432} N + O\left((N^{1-1/2r_0} + N^{-1/2} x x_1 + N x_1^{-1/2} + N^4 y_1^{-2}) \mathcal{L}^{B_8}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_0 := \max\{r, r_1\}$. Now by taking $r = r_1 = 14$, $x = x_1 = N^{41/56}$ and $y_1 = N^2 + \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the desired result. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

REFERENCES

1. A. Akbary, *Average values of symmetric square L -functions at $\Re s = 2$* , C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **22** (3) (2000), 97–104. MR **2001h**:11067
2. W. Duke, *The critical order of vanishing of automorphic L -functions with large level*, Invent. Math. **119** (1995), 165–174. MR **95k**:11075
3. H. Iwaniec, W. Luo and P. Sarnak, *Low lying zeros of families of L -functions*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **91** (2001), 55–131.
4. E. Kowalski and P. Michel, *The analytic rank of $J_0(q)$ and zeros of automorphic L -functions*, Duke Math. J. **100** (1999), 503–542. MR **2001b**:11060
5. L. Mai and M. Ram Murty, *The Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem and modular elliptic curves*, Contemporary Math. **166** (1994), 335–340. MR **95g**:11049
6. M. Ram Murty, *The analytic rank of $J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q})$* , CMS Conf. Proc. **15** (1995), 263–277. MR **96i**:11054

INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN, UMR 7502 UHP-CNRS-INRIA, UNIVERSITÉ HENRI POINCARÉ
(NANCY 1), 54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE
E-mail address: wujie@iecn.u-nancy.fr