

## A NOTE ON INVERTIBILITY PRESERVERS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

MATEJ BREŠAR, AJDA FOŠNER, AND PETER ŠEMRL

(Communicated by David R. Larson)

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be  $\mathcal{B}$  be semisimple Banach algebras and let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a unital bijective linear operator that preserves invertibility. If the socle of  $\mathcal{A}$  is an essential ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\phi$  is a Jordan isomorphism.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be algebras with identity elements, and let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a linear map. We say that  $\phi$  is unital if it maps the identity element of  $\mathcal{A}$  into the identity element of  $\mathcal{B}$ , and we say that  $\phi$  preserves invertibility if  $\phi(x)$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{B}$  whenever  $x$  is invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It turns out that, under rather mild assumptions, Jordan homomorphisms are unital invertibility preserving maps (see e.g. [10, Proposition 1.3]). Motivated by various relevant results (such as the Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko theorem) Kaplansky [9] asked when the converse is true, that is, under which assumptions a unital invertibility preserving map must be a Jordan homomorphism. There has been a lot of activity concerning this question; we refer the reader to some rather recent papers ([3], [4], [6], [10]) for historical accounts. We shall now only briefly discuss those results that are closely connected with the present paper.

By  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  we denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ . In [8] Jafarian and Sourour proved that Jordan isomorphisms are the only bijective unital linear operators between  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(Y)$  that preserve invertibility in both directions (i.e.,  $x$  is invertible if and only if  $\phi(x)$  is invertible). Aupetit and du Mouton [4] extended this result to semisimple Banach algebras whose socle is an essential ideal (actually, they considered a slightly more general problem on maps preserving the full spectrum of each element). Finally, Sourour [10] showed that the result from [8] is true for maps that preserve invertibility (in only one direction).

The goal of this note is to obtain results similar to those in [4], however, under the assumption that the invertibility is preserved in one direction only. In particular, we shall thereby obtain a brief proof of Sourour's result [10]. It should be mentioned, however, that several ideas from both [4] and [10] will be used in our proof.

By a Banach algebra we shall mean a complex Banach algebra with an identity element. The socle of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  will be denoted by  $\text{soc}(\mathcal{A})$ . Recall that an ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *essential* if it has a nonzero intersection with every nonzero

---

Received by the editors July 25, 2002.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46H05, 46H10, 47B48.

Partially supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science of Slovenia.

ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ; in semisimple algebras this is equivalent to the condition that  $a \cdot \mathcal{I} = 0$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , implies  $a = 0$ .

We are now in a position to state our main result, which extends [4, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be semisimple Banach algebras and let  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a unital bijective linear operator that preserves invertibility. Then*

$$\phi^{-1}(\phi(a^2) - \phi(a)^2) \cdot \text{soc}(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

*In particular, if  $\text{soc}(\mathcal{A})$  is an essential ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\phi$  is a Jordan isomorphism.*

In primitive algebras every nonzero ideal is essential, and from a well-known theorem of Herstein [7] on Jordan homomorphisms onto prime rings it follows easily that every Jordan isomorphism  $\phi$  from a primitive algebra onto another algebra is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism (just consider  $\phi^{-1}$ ). Hence we have the following corollary, which generalizes [10, Main theorem].

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a primitive Banach algebra with nonzero socle, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a semisimple Banach algebra. If  $\phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a unital bijective linear operator that preserves invertibility, then  $\phi$  is either an isomorphism or an anti-isomorphism.*

## 2. PROOF

We first fix the notation and terminology. By  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  we denote semisimple Banach algebras, and by  $\phi$  a bijective unital linear operator from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$  that preserves invertibility. Note that the latter assumption can be equivalently formulated as  $\sigma(\phi(a)) \subseteq \sigma(a)$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\sigma(x)$  denotes the spectrum of the element  $x$ .

Recall that every minimal left ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{A}e$  where  $e$  is a minimal idempotent, i.e.,  $e^2 = e \neq 0$  and  $e\mathcal{A}e = \mathbb{C}e$ . In this case  $e\mathcal{A}$  is a minimal right ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The sum of all minimal left ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called the *socle* of  $\mathcal{A}$  and it coincides with the sum of all minimal right ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$ . For example, for any Banach space  $X$ ,  $\text{soc}(\mathcal{B}(X))$  is equal to the ideal of all finite rank operators in  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  has no minimal one-sided ideals, then we define  $\text{soc}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ . We say that a nonzero element  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  has rank one if  $u$  belongs to some minimal left ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  (equivalently,  $u = ue$  for some minimal idempotent  $e$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ). By  $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$  we denote the set of all elements of rank one in  $\mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see (see [5] for details) that  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $u \neq 0$  and  $u$  lies in some minimal right ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and furthermore, this is equivalent to the condition that  $u\mathcal{A}u = \mathbb{C}u \neq 0$ . Another, less obvious characterization is that  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $u \neq 0$  and  $|\sigma(zu) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  for every  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  or, equivalently,  $|\sigma(uz) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  for every  $z \in \mathcal{A}$  (see [4] or [5]).

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{B})$ .

*Proof.* Pick  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . We have to show that  $v = \phi(u)$  lies in  $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{B})$ , that is, that  $|\sigma(zv) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  for every  $z \in \mathcal{B}$ .

From a well-known result of Aupetit [1] (see also [2, Theorem 5.5.2]) it follows that  $\phi$  is continuous, and therefore of course  $\phi^{-1}$  is also continuous. Set  $M = (2\|\phi^{-1}\| + 1)^{-1}$  and pick  $z \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\|z\| \leq M$ . Since  $M < 1$ ,  $1 + z$  is invertible, and we have  $y = (1 + z)^{-1} - 1 = -z + z^2 - z^3 + z^4 - \dots$ . Set  $x = \phi^{-1}(y)$  and note that

$$\|x\| \leq \|\phi^{-1}\| \|y\| \leq \|\phi^{-1}\| \frac{\|z\|}{1 - \|z\|} \leq \|\phi^{-1}\| \frac{M}{1 - M} = \frac{1}{2},$$

so that  $1+x$  is invertible, whence it follows that  $1+x-\lambda u = (1+x)(1-\lambda(1+x)^{-1}u)$  is invertible for all but possibly one  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\phi$  preserves invertibility, the same is true for

$$\phi(1+x-\lambda u) = 1+y-\lambda v = (1+y)(1-\lambda(1+z)v),$$

which means that the spectrum of  $(1+z)v$  contains at most one nonzero point. Thus we proved that  $|\sigma((1+z)v) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  whenever  $\|z\| \leq M$ , and similarly we see that in this case also  $|\sigma(v(1+z)) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$ .

Now let  $z \in \mathcal{B}$  be any element. Define the analytic function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by  $f(\lambda) = (\lambda+z)v$  and note that  $|\sigma(f(\lambda)) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  whenever  $|\lambda| > \frac{\|z\|}{M}$ .

Suppose that  $v$  does not have a left inverse. Since, in particular,  $|\sigma(f(\lambda))| \leq 2$  whenever  $|\lambda| > \frac{\|z\|}{M}$ , it follows from [2, Theorem 3.4.25] that  $|\sigma(f(\lambda))| \leq 2$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Taking  $\lambda = 0$  we thus get  $|\sigma(zv)| \leq 2$ . However, since  $0 \in \sigma(zv)$ , it follows that  $|\sigma(zv) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$ , as desired. The case when  $v$  does not have a right inverse can be treated similarly, by considering the function  $\lambda \mapsto v(\lambda+z)$ . So we may assume that  $v$  is invertible. In this case  $|\sigma(f(\lambda))| = 1$  whenever  $|\lambda| > \frac{\|z\|}{M}$ , and so applying [2, Theorem 3.4.25] again we see that this holds true for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Accordingly,  $|\sigma(zv)| = 1$ , and so, in particular,  $|\sigma(zv) \setminus \{0\}| \leq 1$  (incidentally we mention that in the case when  $v$  is invertible we actually have  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B} \cong \mathbb{C}$ ).  $\square$

Given  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , there is  $\tau(u) \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $u^2 = \tau(u)u$ . Clearly  $\tau(u) \in \sigma(u)$ , and moreover, either  $\tau(u) = 0$  or  $\tau(u)$  is the only nonzero point in  $\sigma(u)$ . Since  $\tau(u)$  is unique, we may consider  $\tau$  as a function from  $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we extend it by defining  $\tau(0) = 0$ . Using  $u\mathcal{A}u = \mathbb{C}u$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , and considering  $(xu)^2$  and  $(ux)^2$  it follows easily that  $\tau(xu)u = uxu = \tau(ux)u$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Furthermore, we claim that  $\tau(x_1u + x_2u) = \tau(x_1u) + \tau(x_2u)$  for all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . This follows from [4, Lemma 2.3], but it can also be proved using only elementary tools. Indeed, examining  $(x_1u + x_2u)^2 = x_1ux_1u + x_1ux_2u + x_2ux_1u + x_2ux_2u$  and applying  $uxu = \tau(xu)u$  we get

$$(\tau(x_1u + x_2u) - \tau(x_1u) - \tau(x_2u))(x_1u + x_2u) = 0,$$

from which our assertion can be easily inferred. Also, it is straightforward to check that  $\tau(\lambda u) = \lambda\tau(u)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore, the restriction of  $\tau$  to any minimal left ideal  $\mathcal{A}u$  is a linear functional. Moreover, from  $u^2 = \tau(u)u$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , we conclude that  $|\tau(u)| \leq \|u\|$  and so  $\tau$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{A}u$ .

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\tau(xu) = \tau(\phi(x)\phi(u))$  and  $\tau(x^2u) = \tau(\phi(x)^2\phi(u))$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$  be a fixed element. Pick a nonzero  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $D_x = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < (\|\phi\|\|x\|)^{-1}\}$ . Then  $1 - \lambda\phi(x)$  is invertible for every  $\lambda \in D_x$ ; moreover, since  $\|\phi\| \geq 1$  ( $\phi$  is unital!), the same is true for  $1 - \lambda x$ . We have  $\phi(u) \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{B})$  and so we can define  $F_x, G_x : D_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$F_x(\lambda) = \tau((1 - \lambda x)^{-1}u), \quad G_x(\lambda) = \tau((1 - \lambda\phi(x))^{-1}\phi(u)).$$

Since  $\tau$  is a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{A}u$  (resp.  $\mathcal{B}\phi(u)$ ), we have

$$F_x(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(x^k u) \lambda^k, \quad G_x(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tau(\phi(x)^k \phi(u)) \lambda^k.$$

Suppose that  $G_x(\lambda) = \alpha \neq 0$  for some  $\lambda \in D_x$ . Then  $(1 - \lambda\phi(x))^{-1}\phi(u) - \alpha$  is not invertible, and hence also  $\phi(u) - \alpha(1 - \lambda\phi(x))$  is not invertible. Since  $\phi$  is

unital and preserves invertibility, it follows that  $u - \alpha(1 - \lambda x)$  is not invertible. Accordingly,  $(1 - \lambda x)^{-1}u - \alpha$  is not invertible, which means that  $F_x(\lambda) = \alpha$ . That is, we showed that  $G_x(\lambda) = F_x(\lambda)$  whenever  $G_x(\lambda) \neq 0$ . Since  $F_x$  and  $G_x$  are analytic functions, it follows that either  $F_x \equiv G_x$  or  $G_x \equiv 0$ .

Comparing coefficients at the expansions of  $F_x$  and  $G_x$  we see, in particular, that for any  $x \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  we have either  $\tau(\phi(x)\phi(u)) = 0$  or  $\tau(xu) = \tau(\phi(x)\phi(u))$  and  $\tau(x^2u) = \tau(\phi(x)^2\phi(u))$ . Both conditions are trivially satisfied for  $x = 0$ .

If  $\tau(\phi(x)\phi(u)) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , then we would have  $\phi(u)\phi(x)\phi(u) = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . However, since  $\phi$  is onto and  $\mathcal{B}$  is semisimple (and so, in particular, semiprime) this would yield  $\phi(u) = 0$ , a contradiction. Thus  $\tau(\phi(x_1)\phi(u)) \neq 0$  for some  $x_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then of course  $\tau(x_1^2u) = \tau(\phi(x_1)^2\phi(u))$ . Now suppose there exists  $x_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\tau(x_2^2u) \neq \tau(\phi(x_2)^2\phi(u))$ . Then  $\tau(\phi(x_2)\phi(u)) = 0$ ; hence  $\tau(\phi(x_1 + \mu x_2)\phi(u)) \neq 0$  for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , which in turn implies that  $\tau((x_1 + \mu x_2)^2u) = \tau(\phi(x_1 + \mu x_2)^2\phi(u))$ . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \left( \tau(x_1x_2u + x_2x_1u) - \tau(\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(u) + \phi(x_2)\phi(x_1)\phi(u)) \right) \\ + \mu^2 \left( \tau(x_2^2u) - \tau(\phi(x_2)^2\phi(u)) \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , which clearly contradicts our assumption that  $\tau(x_2^2u) \neq \tau(\phi(x_2)^2\phi(u))$ . This means that  $\tau(x^2u) = \tau(\phi(x)^2\phi(u))$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . In a similar (but of course shorter) fashion one shows that also  $\tau(xu) = \tau(\phi(x)\phi(u))$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  and let  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . From the first identity in Lemma 2.2 we see that  $\tau(x^2u) = \tau(\phi(x^2)\phi(u))$  and from the second one we see that  $\tau(x^2u) = \tau(\phi(x)^2\phi(u))$ . Comparing we get  $\tau((\phi(x^2) - \phi(x)^2)\phi(u)) = 0$ . Set  $x_0 = \phi^{-1}(\phi(x^2) - \phi(x)^2)$ , and note that  $\tau(x_0u) = \tau(\phi(x_0)\phi(u)) = 0$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . But this yields that  $x_0u = 0$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ . Indeed, if  $x_0u_0$  was not 0 for some  $u_0 \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{A})$ , then, by the semisimplicity of  $\mathcal{A}$ , there would be  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\sigma(x_0u_0 \cdot x) \neq \{0\}$ , meaning that  $\tau(x_0 \cdot u_0x) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Accordingly,  $x_0 \cdot \text{soc}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Aupetit, *The uniqueness of the complete norm topology in Banach algebras and Banach Jordan algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **47** (1982), 1-6. MR **83g**:46044
- [2] B. Aupetit, "A primer on spectral theory", Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. MR **92c**:46001
- [3] B. Aupetit, *Spectrum-preserving linear mappings between Banach algebras or Jordan-Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **62** (2000), 917-924. MR **2001h**:46078
- [4] B. Aupetit and H. du Mouton, *Spectrum preserving linear mappings in Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **109** (1994), 91-100. MR **95c**:46070
- [5] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *Finite rank elements in semisimple Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **128** (1998), 287-298. MR **99a**:46089
- [6] M. Brešar and P. Šemrl, *Spectral characterization of idempotents and invertibility preserving linear maps*, Expo. Math. **17** (1999), 185-192. MR **2000d**:16050
- [7] I. N. Herstein, *Jordan homomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1956), 331-341. MR **17**:938f
- [8] A. A. Jafarian and A. R. Suorour, *Spectrum preserving linear maps*, J. Funct. Anal. **66** (1986), 255-261. MR **87m**:47011
- [9] I. Kaplansky, "Algebraic and analytic aspects of operator algebras", Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1970. MR **47**:845

- [10] A. R. Sourour, *Invertibility preserving linear maps on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 13-30. MR **96f**:47069

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARIBOR, PF, KOROŠKA 160, SI-2000 MARIBOR, SLOVENIA

*E-mail address:* bresar@uni-mb.si

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARIBOR, PF, KOROŠKA 160, SI-2000 MARIBOR, SLOVENIA

*E-mail address:* ajda.fosner@uni-mb.si

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA, JADRANSKA 19, SI-1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

*E-mail address:* peter.semrl@fmf.uni-lj.si