THE NUMBER OF HALL π -SUBGROUPS OF A π -SEPARABLE GROUP

ALEXANDRE TURULL

(Communicated by Jonathan I. Hall)

ABSTRACT. We observe a simple formula to compute the number $\nu_\pi(G)$ of Hall π -subgroups of a π -separable finite group G in terms of only the action of a fixed Hall π -subgroup of G on a set of normal π' -sections of G . As a consequence, we obtain that $\nu_\pi(K)$ divides $\nu_\pi(G)$ whenever K is a subgroup of a finite π -separable group G . This generalizes a recent result of Navarro. In addition, our method gives an alternative proof of Navarro's result.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group, and let π be a set of primes. We denote by $\nu_\pi(G)$ the number of Hall π -subgroups of G . In the case when G is π -separable, we know that $\nu_\pi(G) > 0$, and $\nu_\pi(G) = [G : N_G(H)]$, where H is any Hall π -subgroup of G . This formula involves the calculation of a normalizer, and it does not immediately allow us to compare $\nu_\pi(G)$ to $\nu_\pi(K)$ for a subgroup K of G . We propose the following formula, which uses only the calculation of some centralizers, and provides an obvious way to relate $\nu_\pi(G)$ and $\nu_\pi(K)$. It appears not to have been explicitly observed before.

Theorem 1.1. *Let π be a set of primes, and let G be a finite π -separable group. Let H be a Hall π -subgroup of G , and let*

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_\ell = G$$

be a sequence of normal subgroups of G such that N_i/N_{i-1} is either a π -group or a π' -group for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of N_i/N_{i-1} that are π' -groups. Then,

$$\nu_\pi(G) = \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [F : C_F(H)].$$

As a consequence, we obtain the following corollary, which is a generalization of the main result of Navarro [1]. Our proof of the corollary is based on our theorem, and provides an alternate proof of Navarro's result.

Corollary 1.2. *Let π be a set of primes, let G be a finite π -separable group, and let K be a subgroup of G . Then $\nu_\pi(K)$ divides $\nu_\pi(G)$.*

Received by the editors February 17, 2003 and, in revised form, June 7, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20D20.

The author was partially supported by an NSA Grant.

2. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume the theorem is false. Among all counterexamples, choose one with ℓ as small as possible. Since the result holds for $\ell = 0$, and it is well known if $\ell \leq 2$, we have $\ell > 2$. Set $N = N_1$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the set of Hall π -subgroups of G . Define an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{H}$ by, for $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, $H_1 \sim H_2$ if and only if $H_1N = H_2N$. The equivalence classes are in one-to-one correspondence with the groups H_1N/N , that is, the Hall π -subgroups of G/N . Hence, the number of equivalence classes in $\mathcal{H}$ is exactly $\nu_\pi(G/N)$. Since the elements of $\mathcal{H}$ are all conjugate in G , and N is a normal subgroup of G , the groups H_1N for $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ are all isomorphic to HN . In particular, $\nu_\pi(H_1N) = \nu_\pi(HN)$ does not depend on $H_1 \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows that

$$\nu_\pi(G) = |\mathcal{H}| = \nu_\pi(G/N)\nu_\pi(HN).$$

By our choice of ℓ , the theorem holds for both G/N and HN , and this implies that the theorem holds for G . This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

The following lemma appears in slightly different form in [1]. We include a proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose H is a finite group, acting on the finite group F , and assume that $|H|$ and $|F|$ are relatively prime. Suppose that K is an H -invariant subgroup of F . Then $[K : C_K(H)]$ divides $[F : C_F(H)]$.*

Proof. Assume the lemma is false, and choose a counterexample with $|F|$ as small as possible, and among all such, with $[F : K]$ as small as possible. Since $|F|$ and $|H|$ are relatively prime, for each prime p , the H -invariant Sylow p -subgroups of F (respectively of K) are the maximal H -invariant p -subgroups of F (respectively of K), and they are conjugate by elements of $C_F(H)$ (respectively by elements of $C_K(H)$). Hence, for each prime p , we may take some H -invariant Sylow p -subgroup P of F that contains an H -invariant Sylow p -subgroup of K . This implies that P also contains a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_F(H)$, and a Sylow p -subgroup of $C_K(H)$. Hence, P , $C_P(H)$, $P \cap K$ and $K \cap C_P(H)$ are respectively Sylow p -subgroups of F , $C_F(H)$, K and $C_K(H)$. It follows that the p -part of $[K : C_K(H)]$ is $[P \cap K : P \cap C_K(H)]$, and the p -part of $[F : C_F(H)]$ is $[P : P \cap C_F(H)]$. Hence, the minimality of our counterexample implies that F is a p -group for some prime p . The minimality of $[F : K]$ in our counterexample implies that K is maximal among the H -invariant subgroups of F . Let Φ be the Frattini subgroup of F . Since K is a proper subgroup, $K\Phi$ is a proper H -invariant subgroup of F , and it follows that $\Phi \subseteq K$. Hence, K is a normal subgroup of F . It follows that H acts on the group F/K and that $C_F(H)/C_K(H)$ is isomorphic to the centralizer of the action of H on F/K . This implies that $[C_F(H) : C_K(H)]$ divides $[F : K]$. Hence the conclusion of the lemma holds. This final contradiction completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let H be a Hall π -subgroup of G that contains a Hall π -subgroup of K . Hence, $K \cap H$ is a Hall π -subgroup of K . Let

$$1 = N_0 \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_\ell = G$$

be a sequence of normal subgroups of G such that N_i/N_{i-1} is either a π -group or a π' -group for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of N_i/N_{i-1} that are π' -groups. Then,

by Theorem 1.1, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \nu_\pi(G) = \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [F : C_F(H)].$$

Furthermore, $\nu_\pi(K)$ can be computed in a similar way by considering the action of $H \cap K$ on the appropriate quotients of the sequence of normal subgroups of K ,

$$1 = N_0 \cap K \triangleleft N_1 \cap K \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft N_\ell \cap K = K.$$

Notice that $(N_i \cap K)/(N_{i-1} \cap K)$ is $(H \cap K)$ -isomorphic to $(N_i \cap K)N_{i-1}/N_{i-1}$, an $(H \cap K)$ -invariant subgroup of N_i/N_{i-1} . For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we set $K(F)$ to be $(N_i \cap K)N_{i-1}/N_{i-1}$. Then we have

$$\nu_\pi(K) = \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [K(F) : C_{K(F)}(H \cap K)].$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\nu_\pi(K)$ divides

$$\prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}} [F : C_F(H \cap K)].$$

Since this clearly divides our expression (2.1) for $\nu_\pi(G)$ above, the corollary follows. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. NAVARRO, *Number of Sylow subgroups in p -solvable groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 3019–3020. MR **2004d**:20020

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611-8105
E-mail address: turull@math.ufl.edu